

(u, v, and w knots) x (topology, combinatorics, low algebra, and high algebra)

Dror Bar-Natan, Kansas State April 7 2009, <http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/KSU-090407>

"God created the knots, all else in topology is the work of mortals."

Leopold Kronecker (modified)



1	Witten Chern-Simons	u-knots	1-1 →	v-knots	onto →	w-knots	Cattaneo BF Theory															
topology		<p>DBN, data by Brian Gilbert, http://www.math.utoronto.ca/~drorbn/Gallery/KnottedObjects/Candies/</p> <p>u-knots are usual knots:</p> <p>2</p> <p>R1</p> <p>R2</p> <p>R3</p> <p>=PA ⟨ R123 ⟩_{0 legs}</p> <p>Reidemeister</p> <p>"Knots in \mathbb{R}^3"</p>	1-1 →	<p>v-knots are virtual knots:</p> <p>3</p> <p>R123 VR1 M</p> <p>=PA ⟨ R123 VR123 M ⟩₀</p> <p>=CA ⟨ R123 ⟩₀</p> <p>Kauffman</p> <p>= Knots on surfaces, modulo stabilization:</p> <p>4</p> <p>Related to "movies of flying rings" to knotted tubes in 4-space, and to "basis conjugating automorphisms of free groups".</p>	onto →	<p>w is for welded, weakly v, and warmup:</p> <p>4 {w-knots} = {v-knots} / (OC)</p> <p>where OC is Overcrossings Commute:</p> <p>yet OC ≠ UC</p> <p>McCool Goldsmith Fenn Rimanyi Rourke Satoh Brendle Hatcher</p>																
combinatorics		<p>Extend any $V : \{u\text{-knots}\} \rightarrow A$ to "singular u-knots" using $V(\mathbb{X}) := V(\mathbb{X}) - V(\mathbb{X})$, and think "differentiation".</p> <p>Declare "V is of type m" iff $V^{(m+1)} \equiv 0$, think "polynomial of degree m".</p> <p>$W = V^{(m)}$ roughly determines V; $W \in \mathcal{A}_m^* = (\mathcal{K}_m / \mathcal{K}_{m+1})^*$ with</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}_m := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{circle with } m \text{ chords} \\ \hline \text{arrow diagram} \end{array} \right\} / \text{eq.} \xrightarrow{4T} \text{in } \mathcal{A}_4$</p> <p>Need an expansion $Z : \{u\text{-knots}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_m$.</p> <p>Vassiliev Goussarov</p>	5	<p>The Miller Institute knot</p> <p>in \mathcal{K}_4</p> <p>All the same, except</p> <p>$V(\mathbb{X}) := V(\mathbb{X}) - V(\mathbb{X})$</p> <p>$V(\mathbb{X}) := V(\mathbb{X}) - V(\mathbb{X})$</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}^v := \{\text{"arrow diagrams"}\} / 6T$</p> <p>Need a $Z : \{v\text{-knots}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^v$.</p>	6	<p>All the same, except</p> <p>$\mathcal{A}^w := \mathcal{A}^v / TC$</p> <p>Need a $Z : \{w\text{-knots}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^w$.</p> <p>"Tails Commute (TC)":</p> <p>7</p>																
low algebra		<p>Similar with metrized Lie algebras replacing arbitrary Lie algebras</p> <p>Penrose Cvitanovic Vogel</p> <p>So Far: Invariants for any (\mathfrak{g}, R):</p> <p>$\{\text{knots}\} \xrightarrow[\text{high}]{} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{T_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_R} \mathbb{C}$</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>m</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$\dim \mathcal{A}_m^v$</td><td>2</td><td>7</td><td>27</td><td>≥ 139</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$\dim \mathcal{L}ie_m$</td><td>2</td><td>7</td><td>27</td><td>≥ 122</td> </tr> </table>	m	1	2	3	4	$\dim \mathcal{A}_m^v$	2	7	27	≥ 139	$\dim \mathcal{L}ie_m$	2	7	27	≥ 122	10	<p>Similar with Lie bi-algebras replacing arbitrary Lie algebras</p> <p>Haviv Leung</p>	9	<p>Theorem. $\mathcal{A}^w \cong \mathcal{A}^{wt} :=$</p> <p>only & TC</p> <p>This screams, if you speak the language, LIE ALGEBRAS.</p> <p>And indeed we have</p> <p>Theorem. Given a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}, there is $T : \mathcal{A}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(I\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_{ab}^*)$.</p>	8
m	1	2	3	4																		
$\dim \mathcal{A}_m^v$	2	7	27	≥ 139																		
$\dim \mathcal{L}ie_m$	2	7	27	≥ 122																		
high algebra		<p>Knots are the wrong objects to study in knot theory! They are not finitely generated and they carry no interesting operations.</p> <p>11</p> <p>$d \rightarrow$</p> <p>$u \rightarrow$</p> <p>$\text{Knotted Trivalent Graphs}$</p> <p>$\text{miles away} \rightarrow \text{forget} \rightarrow \text{unzip}$</p> <p>$\text{connect} \rightarrow \text{or}$</p> <p>$\text{Drinfel'd} \rightarrow$</p> <p>Theorem (~). A homomorphic Z is the same as a "Drinfel'd Associator".</p>		<p>Z is a Quantum Group?</p> <p>More precisely, a homomorphic Z ought to be equivalent to the Etingof-Kazhdan theory of deformation quantization of Lie bialgebras.</p> <p>Etingof Kazhdan</p> <p>Dror's Dream: Straighten and flatten this column.</p> <p>An Idle Question.</p> <p>Is there physics in this column?</p>	13	<p>Switch to w-knotted trivalent tangles,</p> <p>wKTT := CA ⟨ $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}, Y$ ⟩.</p> <p>Theorem (~). A homomorphic Z is equivalent to proving the Kashiwara-Vergne statement.</p> <p>Statement (~, KV, 1978) (proven Alekseev-Meinrenken, 2006). Convolutions of invariant functions on a group match with convolutions of invariant functions on its Lie algebra: for any finite dim. Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g},</p> <p>$(\text{Fun}(G)^{\text{Ad } G}, \star) \cong (\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{Ad } G}, \star)$.</p> <p>(Closely related to the "orbit method" of representation theory).</p> <p>Alekseev Torossian</p>	12															