

# Differential forms and the de Rham cohomology - Part I

Paul Harrison

University of Toronto

October 30, 2009

# I. Review—Triangulation of Manifolds

$M$  = smooth, compact, oriented  $n$ -manifold. Can *triangulate*  $M$ , i.e.  $\exists$  simplicial  $n$ -complex  $K$ , homeomorphism  $\pi : K \rightarrow M$  s.t.  $\forall \sigma \in K \exists$  coordinate neighbourhood  $(U, f)$  near  $\bar{\sigma}$  s.t.  $f^{-1} \circ \pi$  is affine in  $\sigma$ . Write  $\sigma$  instead of  $\pi(\sigma)$ .  $\sigma^k \in K$  orientable by ordering vertices:  $\bar{\sigma}^k = q_0 \cdots q_k$ .

Given  $\{q_{\lambda_0}, \dots, q_{\lambda_r}\} \subseteq \{q_0, \dots, q_k\}$ ,  $\tau = q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_r}$  called  $r$ -face of  $\sigma$ . Inductively, orientation of  $\tau_i = q_0 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_k$  agrees with orientation of  $\sigma$  iff  $i$  is even. Write  $F(\sigma) =$  set of faces of  $\sigma$ , boundary  $\partial\sigma = \bar{\sigma} \setminus \sigma$  with appropriate orientation.

$k$ -chain  $A = \sum a_i \sigma_i^k \in \Sigma_k$  is a formal sum of  $k$ -simplices.  $\partial A = \sum a_i \partial \sigma_i^k$ . For every simplex  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ , there is a "cosimplex"  $\sigma \in \Sigma^k$  defined by  $\sigma \cdot \sigma = 1$ ,  $\sigma \cdot \tau = 0$  for  $\tau \neq \sigma$ . Define coboundary:  $(\partial^* A) \cdot B = A \cdot (\partial B)$ .

## Review—Differential forms

$\Lambda^k(T_p M)^*$  = set of  $k$ -linear alternating functions on  $(T_p M)^k$ .

Differential  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M) : p \in M \mapsto \omega(p) \in \Lambda^k(T_p M)^*$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map,  $Df$  = tangent map of  $f$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega^k(N)$  define  $f^*\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ :

$$(f^*\omega)(p)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \omega(f(p))(Df(p)(v_1), \dots, Df(p)(v_k))$$

Cover compact  $n$ -manifold  $M$  with coordinate charts

$U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f_i} M$ ,  $i = 1..k$ . Then  $\exists$  partition of unity

$\{\phi_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{i=1..k}$  s.t.:

1. All  $\phi_i$  are smooth
2.  $\text{Supp}(\phi_i) \subset U_i \forall i$ , where  $\text{Supp}(\phi_i) = \text{clos}\{p \in M : \phi_i(p) \neq 0\}$
3.  $\sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i = 1$

For  $n$ -form  $\omega = \alpha dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$  in coords  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , define  $\int_V \omega = \int_U \alpha dx_1 \dots dx_n$ . In general, define  $\int_M \omega = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{U_i} \phi_i \omega$

## II. Stokes' Theorem

Let  $M$  be a compact oriented  $n$ -manifold with boundary,  $\omega$  an  $(n-1)$ -form on  $M$ . Then  $\int_{\partial M} \omega = \int_M d\omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K = \text{Supp}(\omega)$ . Only need to prove for  $K \subset V = f(U)$ , for coordinate chart  $(U, f)$ , then use partition of unity. Write

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j-1} \wedge dx_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n,$$
$$d\omega = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_j} \right) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n.$$

Two cases to consider:  $f(U) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$  and otherwise.

## Stokes' Theorem— $f(U) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$

(1)  $f(U) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$ . Then  $\int_{\partial M} \omega = 0$ , so we must show

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_U \left( \sum (-1)^{j-1} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_j} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n = 0.$$

WLOG,  $f^{-1}(K) \subset \text{int}(Q)$ , where  $Q = \{x \in H^n : x_j^1 \leq x_j \leq x_j^0\}$   
 ( $H^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_0 \leq 0\}$ ), for  $x_j^1, x_j^0$  such that  $f^{-1}(K) \subset \text{int}(Q)$ .  
 Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U \left( \sum (-1)^{j-1} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_j} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n &= \sum (-1)^{j-1} \int_Q \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_j} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \sum (-1)^{j-1} \int_Q [a_j(x_1, \dots, x_j^0, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad - a_j(x_1, \dots, x_j^1, \dots, x_n)] dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Stokes' Theorem— $f(U) \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$

(2)  $f(U) \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$\omega|_{\partial M} = a_1(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

Set  $Q$  as above, with  $x_1^0 = 0$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M d\omega &= \sum (-1)^{j-1} \int_Q \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_j} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \int_Q [a_1(0, \dots, x_n) - a_1(x_1^1, \dots, x_n)] dx_2 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \int_Q a_1(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) dx_2 \cdots dx_n = \int_{\partial M} \omega \end{aligned}$$

QED

### III. Poincaré's Lemma

$M$  is contractible to  $p_0 \in M$  if  $\exists$  smooth map  $C : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  s.t. for all  $p \in M$ ,  $C(p, 1) = p$  and  $C(p, 0) = p_0$

**Poincaré's Lemma.** *If  $M$  is contractible,  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$  is closed ( $d\omega = 0$ ) iff it is exact ( $\omega = d\eta$  for some  $\eta$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  be the projection map,  $\bar{\omega} = C^*\omega$ .

Note that  $\bar{\omega}$  can be written uniquely as  $\bar{\omega} = \omega_1 + dt \wedge \eta$ , such that  $\omega_1(v_1, \dots, v_k) = 0$  if some  $v_i \in \ker(D\pi)$ , and similarly for  $\eta$ .

## Poincaré's Lemma

Let  $i_t : M \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i_t(p) = (p, t)$ . Define  $I : \Omega^k(M \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  as follows. For  $p \in M$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \in T_p M$ ,

$$(I\bar{\omega})(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}) = \int_0^1 [\eta(p, t) (Di_t(v_1), \dots, Di_t(v_{k-1}))] dt$$

Claim:  $d(I\bar{\omega}) = \omega$

*Sublemma.*  $i_1^* \bar{\omega} - i_0^* \bar{\omega} = d(I\bar{\omega}) + I(d\bar{\omega})$ .

Note that  $I(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = I(\omega_1) + I(\omega_2)$ , so enough to prove for  $\bar{\omega} = f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$  or  $\bar{\omega} = f dt \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$ .



## Poincaré's Lemma—sublemma

Case 1:  $\bar{\omega} = f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$ , we have

$$d\bar{\omega} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} + \text{terms not containing } dt.$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(d\bar{\omega})(p) &= \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt \right) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \\ &= (f(p, 1) - f(p, 0)) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \\ &= i_1^* \bar{\omega}(p) - i_0^* \bar{\omega}(p) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $\bar{\omega} = f dt \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$ . Since  $i_t$  takes  $M$  to a subspace of constant  $t$ , we have  $i_1^* \bar{\omega} = i_0^* \bar{\omega} = 0$ , so we need

$$d(I\bar{\omega}) = -I(d\bar{\omega}).$$

## Poincaré's Lemma—sublemma

$$d\bar{\omega} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\alpha}} dx_{\alpha} \wedge dt \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}},$$

$$(Id\bar{\omega})(p) = - \sum_{\alpha} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\alpha}} dt \right) dx_{\alpha} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} d(l\bar{\omega})(p) &= d \left\{ \left( \int_0^1 f dt \right) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\alpha}} dt \right) dx_{\alpha} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

## Poincaré's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= (C \circ i_1)^* \omega = i_1^*(C^* \omega) = i_1^* \bar{\omega}, \\ 0 &= (C \circ i_0)^* \omega = i_0^*(C^* \omega) = i_0^* \bar{\omega}\end{aligned}$$

Since  $d\omega = 0$ , we get  $d\bar{\omega} = C^* d\omega = 0$ . Setting  $\alpha = \int \bar{\omega}$ ,

$$\omega = i_1^* \omega = d(\int \bar{\omega}) = d\alpha.$$

QED

## IV. The de Rham Chain Complex

Define  $(Int^k(\omega))(A) = \int_A \omega$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{k-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} & \Omega^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d_k} & \Omega^{k+1}(M) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow Int^{k-1} & & \downarrow Int^k & & \downarrow Int^{k+1} & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{k-1}^*} & \Sigma^k & \xrightarrow{\partial_k^*} & \Sigma^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$

Diagram commutes by Stokes' Thm.

Star  $St(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in F(\tau)} \tau$  open in  $M$ ,  $\{St(p_i)\}$  cover  $M$ . Define subordinate partition of unity  $\{\phi_i\}$ , and define

$$\Phi^k(q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}) = k! \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \phi_{\lambda_i} d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \hat{i} \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k}$$

## V. Elementary forms

**Theorem.**

$$\text{Supp}(\Phi^k \sigma) \subset \text{St}(\sigma) \quad (1a)$$

$$\Phi^k \partial^* A = d\Phi^{k-1} A \quad (1b)$$

$$\Phi^0(\sum q_i) = \mathbf{1} \quad (1c)$$

Furthermore,  $\Phi^k$  is a right inverse of  $\text{Int}^k$ .

*Proof.* (1a) follows immediately since  $\text{Supp} \phi_i \subset \text{St}(q_i)$ .

For (1c),  $\Phi^0(q_i) = \phi_i$ , hence  $\Phi^0(\sum_i q_i) = \mathbf{1}$ .

Now (1b). Let  $\sigma = q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}$ , then

$$d\Phi^k(q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}) = (k+1)! d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \dots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k}.$$

## Sidenote: two important identities

Recall  $(\partial^* \sigma) \cdot \tau = \sigma \cdot (\partial \tau) = 1$  if  $\sigma \in \partial \tau$  and 0 otherwise. Hence

$\partial q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k} = \sum_s^* q_s q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}$ , where  $\sum^*$  is over  $s$  s.t.

$q_s q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}$  is simplex. In particular,

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \Phi \partial^* (q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}) = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum_s^* \Phi (q_s q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}).$$

Also note that  $d(\sum \phi_i) = 0$ . Since  $\sum \phi_i = \sum_{i=0}^k \phi_{\lambda_i} + \sum_{s \neq \lambda_i} \phi_s$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^k d\phi_{\lambda_i} + \sum_{s \neq \text{any } \lambda_i} d\phi_s = 0$$

We use both these identities in the following.

## Elementary forms—Proof of (1b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum_s^* \Phi(q_s q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k}) \\
 = & \sum_s^* \left\{ \phi_s d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} - \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \phi_{\lambda_i} d\phi_s \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{i} \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} \right\} \\
 = & \sum_{s \neq \text{any } \lambda_i} \phi_s d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} + \\
 & \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \phi_{\lambda_i} \sum_{j=0}^k d\phi_{\lambda_j} \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{i} \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} \\
 = & \sum_{s \neq \text{any } \lambda_i} \phi_s d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} + \sum_{i=0}^k \phi_{\lambda_i} d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} \\
 = & d\phi_{\lambda_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{\lambda_k} = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} d\Phi(q_{\lambda_0} \cdots q_{\lambda_k})
 \end{aligned}$$

## Elementary forms— $Int \circ \Phi^k = Id$

Now prove  $\Phi^k$  is right-sided inverse of  $Int^k$  by induction.  $k = 0 \Rightarrow$  consider vertex  $q_j$  and covertex  $q_i$ , so  $q_i \cdot q_j = \delta_{ij}$ . Note by definition  $\Phi^0(q_i) = \phi_i$  and  $\phi_i(q_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Also note for function  $f$ ,  $Int^0(f) \cdot q_j = f(q_j)$ . Hence  $Int^0(\Phi^0(q_i)) \cdot q_j = \delta_{ij}$ , i.e.  $Int^0 \circ \Phi^0 = Id$ .

$k > 0 \Rightarrow$  will follow from

$$(Int^k \Phi^k \sigma) \cdot \tau = \int_{\tau} \Phi^k \sigma = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tau \neq \sigma \\ 1 & \text{if } \tau = \sigma \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $\tau \neq \sigma$ , then  $\exists q_i \in F(\sigma)$  with  $q_i \notin F(\tau)$ , so  $\phi_i \equiv 0$  in  $\tau$ , proving for  $\tau \neq \sigma$ .



## Elementary forms— $\text{Int} \circ \Phi^k = \text{Id}$

If  $\sigma = \tau$ , write  $\partial\sigma = \psi + \dots$ . Then,

$$\int_{\sigma} \Phi^k \sigma \stackrel{!}{=} \int_{\sigma} \Phi^k \partial^* \psi = \int_{\sigma} d\Phi^{k-1} \psi = \int_{\partial\sigma} \Phi^{k-1} \psi \stackrel{!}{=} \int_{\psi} \Phi^{k-1} \psi = 1,$$

where ! uses the case  $\sigma \neq \tau$  and the last equality uses inductive hypothesis.

QED

For  $\sigma \in K$ ,  $\Phi\sigma$  called an *elementary form*.

## VI. Stay tuned!

Define  $\mathbf{H}_{\Sigma}^k = \ker(\partial_k^*) / \text{im}(\partial_{k-1}^*)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega}^k = \ker(d_k) / \text{im}(d_{k-1})$ , the differential and simplicial cohomology groups respectively. Define  $\mathbf{H}_{\Sigma}^* = \bigoplus \mathbf{H}_{\Sigma}^k$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega}^* = \bigoplus \mathbf{H}_{\Omega}^k$ . For  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{H}^k$ ,  $\mathbf{h}' \in \mathbf{H}^r$ , can define cup product  $\mathbf{h} \smile \mathbf{h}' \in \mathbf{H}^{k+r}$  under which  $\mathbf{H}_{\Sigma}^*$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega}^*$  become rings.

We will show the subcomplex  $(\ker \text{Int}^{\bullet})$  is acyclic (i.e.  $\ker d_k = \text{im } d_{k-1}$  when restricted to  $\ker \text{Int}^{\bullet}$ ) and use this to prove de Rham's theorem:  $\text{Int}^{\bullet}$  is a ring isomorphism between  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega}^*$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{\Sigma}^*$ .