

Overview of First-Order Logic

Alex Edmonds

MAT 477

January 2014

Review of first-order logic

Def: The logical symbols are \forall , \exists , \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow , \neg , $($, $)$, $=$, and the variable symbols v_1, v_2, \dots

Def: Every non-logical symbol is either

- a constant symbol;
- an n -ary relation symbol for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$; or
- an n -ary function symbol for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Def: A language \mathcal{L} is a collection of symbols including all logical symbols and some non-logical symbols. Typically, we denote a language by its non-logical symbols. For instance, the language of arithmetic \mathcal{L}_A is given by the constant symbol 0, the unary function symbol S [successor], and the binary function symbols + and \times .

Def: Any finite sequence of symbols in the language \mathcal{L} is called a \mathcal{L} -expression.

Def: We define \mathcal{L} -terms recursively as follows:

- Any constant symbol or variable symbol in \mathcal{L} is a term;
- If f is an n -ary function and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term.

e.g. $+(S(0), 0)$ is a \mathcal{L}_A -term. However, we allow ourself notational conveniences. For instance, we may express $+(S(0), 0)$ as $(S0) + 0$ so long as its understood what it represents. Likewise, we may informally use letters to represent variables, i.e. x instead of v_4 .

Def: We define \mathcal{L} -formulas as follows:

- If t_1 and t_2 are \mathcal{L} -terms, then $t_1 = t_2$ is a formula;
- If R is an n -ary relation symbol in \mathcal{L} , and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a formula;
- If φ is a formula, then $\neg\varphi$ is a formula;
- φ and ψ formulas $\Rightarrow (\varphi \wedge \psi)$ is a formula. Similarly for $\vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$;
- φ formula, x variable symbol $\Rightarrow \forall x \varphi$ and $\exists x \varphi$ are formulas.

Note: Formulas can't talk about arbitrary sets, or arbitrary formulas.

Notation: Again, we allow ourselves some informal notational conveniences. For instance, we may write the formula

$$\forall v_1 (v_1 > 0 \rightarrow \neg v_1 = 0) \text{ as } (\forall x > 0)(x \neq 0).$$

Def: A variable is called free in the formula φ if it occurs in φ not under a quantifier, i.e. v_1 is *free* in $v_1 = v_1$ and in $(\forall v_1 v_1 = v_1) \wedge v_1 = v_1$. If v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k} are free in φ , we may denote φ by $\varphi(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k})$. Then, $\varphi(t, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k})$ is the formula obtained by replacing all free occurrences of v_{i_1} by term t . A *sentence* is a formula with no free variables.

Def: A *theory* T in the language \mathcal{L} is a set of \mathcal{L} -sentences called *axioms*.

Peano Arithmetic is a theory in \mathcal{L}_A including the following axioms:

1. $\forall x \ 0 \neq Sx$
2. $\forall x \forall y (Sx = Sy \rightarrow x = y)$
3. $\forall x (x + 0 = x)$
4. $\forall x \forall y (x + Sy = S(x + y))$
5. $\forall x (x \times 0 = 0)$
6. $\forall x \forall y (x \times Sy = (x \times y) + x)$
7. For every formula $\varphi(x)$, $\{\varphi(0) \wedge \forall x (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(Sx))\} \rightarrow \forall x \varphi(x)$

Note that Axiom 7 is not actually a single axiom but rather countably many distinct axioms, one for each formula φ .

Logical Axioms

Regardless of the theory, we always include the following axioms implicitly.

For any \mathcal{L} -formulas φ, ψ, ζ :

1. $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
2. $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \zeta)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \zeta))$
3. $(\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$

are axioms.

Model: So far, we have discussed notation. We wish to attach meaning to notation. When we interpret $\forall x$, it is necessary to restrict ourselves to some domain. We also need an interpretation of each constant, function, and relation in \mathcal{L} . Formally,

Def: An \mathcal{L} -model is a set U , called the universe, together with:

- For each constant symbol $c \in \mathcal{L}$, some $c \in U$
- For each function symbol $f^k \in \mathcal{L}$, some function $f : U^k \rightarrow U$
- For each relation symbol $R^k \in \mathcal{L}$, some k -place relation R on U

Def: The *term-function* $T_t : U^\omega \rightarrow U$ of the term t is given by:

- if t is a variable symbol x_i , then $T_t(a_1, a_2, \dots) = a_i$;
- if t is a constant symbol c , then $T_t(a_1, a_2, \dots) = c$;
- if t is $f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, then

$$T_t(a_1, a_2, \dots) = f(T_{t_1}(a_1, \dots), T_{t_2}(a_1, \dots), \dots)$$

Def: The *truth-function* $F_\varphi : U^\omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ of the formula φ is given by:

- If φ is $t_1 = t_2$, then $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ if $T_{t_1}(a_1, \dots) = T_{t_2}(a_1, \dots)$;

$F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 0$ otherwise;

- If φ is $R(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, then $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ if it holds that

$R(T_{t_1}(a_1, \dots), \dots, T_{t_k}(a_1, \dots))$; $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 0$ otherwise;

- If φ is $\psi \wedge \zeta$, then $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ if $F_\psi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ and

$F_\zeta(a_1, \dots) = 1$; $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 0$ otherwise;

- Defined similarly for $\psi \vee \zeta$, $\psi \rightarrow \zeta$, $\psi \leftrightarrow \zeta$;

• If φ is $\neg\psi$, then $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ if $F_\psi(a_1, \dots) = 0$;

• If φ is $\forall x_i \psi$, then $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ if for all $a'_i \in U$,

$F_\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i, a_{i+1}, \dots) = 1$; $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 0$ otherwise;

• If φ is $\exists x_i \psi$, $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 1$ if there exists $a'_i \in U$

s.th. $F_\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i, a_{i+1}, \dots) = 1$; $F_\varphi(a_1, \dots) = 0$ otherwise.

Def: Suppose φ is a sentence. Then its truth function F_φ is constant.

Say \mathcal{M} models φ (write $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$) if $F_\varphi = 1$.

The Standard Model: Herein, we are primarily concerned with the language \mathcal{L}_A and its *standard model*. This model has \mathbb{N} as its universe with 0 interpreted as the additive identity; S interpreted as the successor function; + interpreted as addition; and \times interpreted as multiplication.

We'll say the \mathcal{L}_A sentence φ is *true* if it is modeled by the standard model.

Rule of Deduction: From φ and $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, we can conclude ψ .

Provability: A *proof* of an \mathcal{L} -sentence φ in an \mathcal{L} -theory T is a finite sequence of \mathcal{L} -formulas ending with φ such that each formula is either an axiom or follows by the rule of deduction from some earlier formulas in the sequence. If a proof of φ exists, write $T \vdash \varphi$ and say φ is a theorem.

Fact (Soundness): Our rule of deduction is truth-preserving. Hence, if the axioms of T are modeled by \mathcal{M} , then \mathcal{M} models every theorem of T .

Note: For every \mathcal{L}_A -formula φ , either φ or $\neg\varphi$ is true. However, we'll see that both φ and $\neg\varphi$ can be unprovable. Write $T \not\vdash \varphi$ for φ unprovable.

A last note on notation: It is useful to borrow the notation of formal languages for our own 'mathematicians' language. In general, context will dictate which is which. However, herein we'll use italics (i.e. $\exists y y = S0$) for our 'mathematician' language and non-italics (i.e. $\exists y y = S0$) for our formal language. On the other hand, \mathcal{L}_A has no numeral symbols except 0 so we'll use \bar{n} to denote $S \dots S0$ (n times).