

Weierstrass Preparation Theorem, Resultants and Puiseux Factorization Theorem

Ian Hung

MAT 477

Nov. 24, 2011

Special Weierstrass Div. Thm(S.W.D.) \Rightarrow W.D.Thm

$\forall f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ (conv. power series) and $P^d(\lambda, y) := y^d + \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k y^{d-k}$

exists $Q_f^d(x, \lambda, y) \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \lambda, y\}$ and $R_f^d(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \lambda\}$ s. th.

$$f(x, y) = P^d(\lambda, y)Q_f^d(x, \lambda, y) + \sum_{j=1}^d R_{f,j}^d(x, \lambda)y^{d-j} . \quad (\diamond)$$

Weier. Prep. Thm (W.P.Thm.): If $f(0, y) = y^d h(y)$, $h(0) \neq 0 \implies$

exist unique $\{\lambda_k(x)\}_k$, $\lambda_k(0) = 0$ and $Q_f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ s.th. $Q_f(0, 0) \neq 0$

and
$$f(x, y) = P^d(\lambda(x), y)Q_f(x, y) .$$

W.D.Thm: Division of $g(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ by f with remainder of $\deg_y < d$

Special W.D.Thm \Rightarrow W.P.Thm. Pick $Q_f^d(x, \lambda, y)$ and $R_{f,j}^d(x, \lambda)$

Proof: Plug in $x = 0, \lambda = 0$ in eqn. $(\diamond) \Rightarrow$

$$y^d h(y) := y^d Q_f^d(0, 0, y) + \sum_{j=1}^d R_{f,j}^d(0, 0) y^{d-j}$$

\Rightarrow all $R_{f,j}^d(0, 0) = 0$, $Q_f^d(0, 0, y) = h(y)$ and $Q_f^d(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$.

Plug in $x = 0, \lambda = 0$ in $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}$ eqn. $(\diamond) \Rightarrow$

$$0 \equiv y^{d-i} Q_f^d(0, 0, y) + y^d \frac{\partial Q_f^d}{\partial \lambda_i}(0, 0, y) + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial R_{f,j}^d}{\partial \lambda_i}(0, 0) y^{d-j}$$

$\Rightarrow \forall j > i \frac{\partial R_{f,j}^d}{\partial \lambda_i}(0, 0) = 0, \frac{\partial R_{f,i}^d}{\partial \lambda_i}(0, 0) = -h(0) \Rightarrow \det\left(\frac{\partial R_{f,j}^d}{\partial \lambda_i}\right)(0, 0) \neq 0$.

I.F.Thm. $\Rightarrow \exists \lambda_j(x) \in \mathbb{C}\{x\}$, s.th. $\lambda(0) = 0, R_{f,j}^d(x, \lambda(x)) \equiv 0$.

Almost W.D.Thm.(Newton Inter.): Say $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ and

$p^d(\mu^d, y) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} (y - \mu_i)$, where $\mu^d := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$. Then

$$f(y) := f(\cdot, y) = p^d(\mu^d, y)q^d(x, \mu^d, y) + \sum_{j=1}^d r_j^d(x, \mu^d)y^{d-j} \quad (*)^d$$

with $q^d \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \mu^d, y\}$ and $r_j^d \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \mu^d\}$. (We skip writing x .)

Proof Obvious for $d = 1$: $f(y) = (y - \mu_1) \frac{f(y) - f(\mu_1)}{(y - \mu_1)} + f(\mu_1)$.

Let $\Delta_y^1 f(x; \mu_1, y) := \frac{f(y) - f(\mu_1)}{(y - \mu_1)}$, $(\Delta_y^{d+1} f) := \Delta_y^1(\Delta_y^d)(\mu^d; \mu_{d+1}, y)$.

Note $\Delta_y^1 f(x; \mu_1, y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \tau y + (1 - \tau)\mu_1) d\tau \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \mu_1, y\}$.

Proof of Newton Interpolation: Suffices $(\star)^d \Rightarrow (\star)^{d+1}$

Apply $d = 1$ case to $q^d(\mu^d, y)$ with respect to y and plug into $(\star)^d$

$$q^d(\mu^d, y) = (y - \mu_{d+1})\Delta_y^1 q^d(\mu^d, \mu_{d+1}, y) + q^d(\mu^d, \mu_{d+1})$$

$\Rightarrow (\star)^{d+1}$ with $q^{d+1}(\mu^{d+1}, y) = (\Delta_y^{d+1} f)(\mu^{d+1}, y)$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d+1} r_j^{d+1}(\mu^{d+1})y^{d+1-j} := \sum_{j=1}^d r_j^d(\mu^{d+1})y^{d-j} + \prod_{i=1}^d (y - \mu_i)(\Delta_y^d f)(\mu^d, \mu_{d+1}).$$

All Newton's divided differences $\Delta_y^d f(\mu^{d+1})$ are symmetric!

Proof: Induction on d and the case of $d = 2$ suffice:

$$\text{Clearly } \Delta_y^2 f(\mu^3) = \frac{\frac{f(\mu_1) - f(\mu_2)}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} - \frac{f(\mu_3) - f(\mu_2)}{\mu_3 - \mu_2}}{\mu_1 - \mu_3}$$

is symmetric in μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 . For induction replace f by $\Delta_y^{d-2} f$.

Cor All $r_j^d(\mu^d)$ of the Almost W.D.Th. are symmetric with respect to μ^d :

Since p^d, f and q^d are symmetric in μ^d , so is $r_j^d(\mu^d)$.

$P^d(\sigma(\mu^d), y) := p^d(\mu^d, y)$ defines Elem. symm. poly.'s

Thm 1 $SP_k := \{\text{hom. symm. pol. of deg. } k\} = \sigma^*(WH_k)$, where

$$WH_k := \{W_k : W_k(\sigma) = \sum_{\beta: \sum_{j=1}^d j\beta_j = k} c_\beta \sigma_1^{\beta_1} \dots \sigma_d^{\beta_d}\}$$

(called weighted hom. pol. of deg. k with weights wt $\sigma_i = i$).

Cor 1: Every symm. formal $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_k(\sigma(\mu))$, $W_k \in WH_k$

Cor 2: Weierstrass Div. Thm. version with formal expansion

$$\hat{Q}^d(x, \sigma, y)|_{\sigma=\sigma(\mu)} := q^d(x, \mu, y) \text{ and } \hat{R}_j^d(x, \sigma)|_{\sigma=\sigma(\mu)} := r_j^d(x, \mu).$$

Claim 1: $\ker \sigma^*|_{\mathcal{W}H_k} = \{0\}$

Denote $\sigma(\mu^d) := (\sigma_1(\mu^d), \dots, \sigma_d(\mu^d))$ and $\sigma^*H(\mu^d) := H(\sigma(\mu^d))$.

Proof: $H \in \ker \sigma^*|_{\mathcal{W}H_k} \implies DH(\sigma(\mu)) \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu} \equiv 0$. $P^d(\sigma(\mu^d), \mu_j) \equiv 0$.

Fix j and take partials $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}(P^d(\sigma(\mu^d), \mu_j)) \equiv 0 \iff$ in matrix form

$-T := -[\delta_{ij} \cdot \frac{\partial P^d}{\partial y}(\sigma(\mu^d), \mu_j)] \equiv [\mu_j^{d-k}] \cdot [\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \mu_i}(\mu^d)]$ and since

$\det T \equiv (-1)^{d \cdot (d+1)/2} \cdot \prod_{i < j} (\mu_j - \mu_i)^2 \Rightarrow \det(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \mu_i}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma^*(DH) \equiv 0$

\implies inductively $\sigma^*(D^\alpha H) = 0 \Rightarrow (D^\alpha H)(0) = 0$ for all α , i.e.

$\ker \sigma^*|_{\mathcal{W}H_k} = \{0\}$. (In fact $|\det(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \mu_i})| \equiv \prod_{i < j} |\mu_j - \mu_i|$!)

Claim 2: $\dim SP_k = \dim WH_k$ (Note: $\sigma_i \in SP_i$)

Fact 1: $\{\sigma^\alpha\}_{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} j\alpha_j = k}$ form a basis of WH_k ($\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$).

Fact 2: Consider μ^β , $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ with $\beta_{j+1} \leq \beta_j$, $|\beta| = k$.

Then symmetrizations $S(\mu^\beta)$ form a basis of SP_k .

Let $\Psi(\alpha) = \beta$, where $\beta_j = \alpha_j + \dots + \alpha_d$, $j \geq 1$.

Then Ψ is one-to-one and $|\Psi(\alpha)| = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + d\alpha_d$.

W.D.Thm. (Formal) \implies W.D.Thm. (Convergent)

Proof: Via Thm: If $\sigma^* G(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}\{\mu\} \Rightarrow G(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}\{\mu\}$ (I owe you).

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^* Q_f^d(\sigma) := q^d(\mu), \sigma^*(R_{f,j}^d) := r_j^d \Rightarrow Q_f^d \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \sigma, y\}, R_{f,j}^d \in \mathbb{C}\{x, \sigma\}.$$

$$\sigma^* \{f(x, y) - [P^d(\sigma, y)Q_f^d(\sigma, y) + \sum_{k=1}^d R_{f,k}^d(x, \sigma)y^{d-k}]\} = 0. \ker \sigma^* = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x, y) = P^d(\sigma, y)Q_f^d(\sigma, y) + \sum_{k=1}^d R_{f,k}^d(x, \sigma)y^{d-k} \text{ W.D.Thm(conv).}$$

Corollary: $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ is a UFD.

Via W.P.T. and Gauss Thm: R is UFD $\Rightarrow R[y]$ is UFD. (I owe you.)

Resultants: Say $P^d(c(\lambda, \mu), y) := P^q(\lambda, y) \cdot P^{d-q}(\mu, y)$

and let $A(\lambda, y) := P^q(\lambda, y)$, $B(\mu, y) := P^{d-q}(\mu, y)$. Note:

$$\sum_k \frac{\partial c_k}{\partial \lambda_i}(\lambda, \mu) y^{d-k} = y^{q-i} B(\mu, y), \quad \sum_k \frac{\partial c_k}{\partial \mu_j}(\lambda, \mu) y^{d-k} = y^{d-q-j} A(\lambda, y).$$

Define linear map $Res_{A,B}(\lambda, \mu) : (Pol_{q-1} \oplus Pol_{d-q-1}) \longrightarrow Pol_{d-1}$,

by matrix $\frac{\partial c}{\partial(\lambda, \mu)}$ (in basis y^j); i.e. $(F, G) \mapsto F \cdot B(\mu, y) + G \cdot A(\lambda, y)$

Thm. \nexists a com. rt. of $A(\lambda^0, y)$ and $B(\mu^0, y) \Leftrightarrow \det Res_{A,B}(\lambda^0, \mu^0) \neq 0$.

Proof: Since both $\Leftrightarrow \nexists$ non-triv. sol. to $F \cdot B(\mu^0, y) + G \cdot A(\lambda^0, y) \equiv 0$.

Cor. (RC) $\exists \lambda^*, \mu^* \in \mathbb{C}\{c - c(\lambda^0, \mu^0)\}$ s.th. $p^d(c, y) = A(\lambda^*, y)B(\mu^*, y)$

Newton-Puiseux Thm(NP): Say $f = p^d(\lambda(x), y)$, $\lambda(0) = 0$ and

$V(f) := \{f(x, y) = 0\} \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Then

1. $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y(t) \in \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ s. th. $y(0) = 0$ and $f(t^k, y(t)) = 0$;
2. If $f(x, y)$ is irred. in $\mathbb{C}\{x\}[y]$ then

$$f(t^d, y) = \prod_{j=1}^d (y - y(\epsilon^j t)) ,$$

where $\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/d}$ is the primitive root of $\epsilon^d = 1$.

Cor. Can describe $V(f) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$: WP then NP Thm.'s.

Lemma: $f(x, y) = y^d + \sum_{i=1}^d c_i(x)y^{d-i}$, $c_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}\{x\}$.

If for a $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ all x^{ip} divide $c_i(x)$ then define $d_i(x) := c_i(x)/x^{ip}$ and

$$g(x, y) := y^d + \sum_{i=1}^d d_i(x)y^{d-i}.$$

Then f is irred. $\Rightarrow g(0, y) = (y + a)^d$.

Proof: Otherwise $g(x, y)$ factors in $\mathbb{C}\{x\}[y]$ (by Cor. RC).

Let $\mu_0(h)$ be the largest int. μ s.th x^μ divides $h \in \mathbb{C}\{x\}$, ∞ if $h \equiv 0$.

Cor. If $c_1(x) \equiv 0$ for f as before and $p = \min_{2 \leq i \leq d} \mu_0(c_i)/i \in \mathbb{N}$ then

show f is not irreducible. Followed by proof of NP 1 .

Proof If f is irred. by prev. $g(0, y) = (y + a)^d \Rightarrow a = 0$, but $p < \infty \Rightarrow ?!$

Proof of 1. in NP Thm. We may assume that f is irreducible.

By translation $y' = y + c_1/d$ may assume $c_1 \equiv 0$ (then $c_i \not\equiv 0$ for some i) .

Also $\min_{2 \leq i \leq d} (\mu_0(c_i)/i) =: p/q$, where $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Then $q > 1$.

Since $\mu_0(c_i(t^q)) = q \cdot \mu_0(c_i(t)) \Rightarrow f_1(t, y) = f(t^q, y)$ is reducible \Rightarrow

(induction) $\exists k', y(t) \in \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ s.th. $y(0) = 0$ and $f_1(t^{k'}, y(t)) = 0$,

so letting $k = qk'$ completes the proof.

Proof of 2 in NP Thm.

Choose k , $y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j t^{kj}$ s.th. $f(t^k, y(t)) = 0$

and k, k_1, \dots are positive integers with no common divisor \Rightarrow

$$f(t^k, y) = \prod_{j=1}^k (y - y(e^j t)) g(t, y) \quad (\text{Since } y(e^j t) \text{ are distinct}).$$

Claim: If f is irreducible then $g(t, y) \equiv 1$ and $k = d$.

Suffices to show that $\prod_{j=1}^k (y - y(e^j t)) \in \mathbb{C}\{t^k\}[y]$. Each coefficient

of $t^r y^s$ is a linear comb. of terms $\sigma^\alpha := \prod_{wt(\alpha)=r} \sigma_i (\mu^k)^{\alpha_i}$ evaluated at

$\mu^k = (\epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^k)$, i.e. $P^k(\sigma, y) = y^k - 1 \Rightarrow \sigma^\alpha = 0$ unless $r \in k \cdot \mathbb{Z}$.