

Explicit Inverse Mapping Theorem

Siu Sing Chow

April 1, 2010

1. Classical Inverse Mapping Theorem

Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $\phi \in C^1(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $a \in D$ and $\det(D\phi(a)) \neq 0$.

$\exists \phi^{-1}$ at $\phi(a)$, but for what $r > 0 \exists \psi := \phi^{-1} \in C^1(B_r(\phi(a)), D)$,

where $B_r(b) := \{y : \|y - b\| \leq r\}$

Say $\delta \in C^0(D, \mathbb{R})$ and $T \in C^0(D, \text{lin}(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}))$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \cdot T \equiv \delta \cdot Id, \text{ e.g. } \delta(x) := \det\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right) \Rightarrow T(x) := \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{\#}$$

2. Notations and Tools

$$\nabla\phi(x, y) := \int_0^1 \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(tx + (1-t)y) dt$$

$$\phi_a(x) := -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^{-1} (\phi(x) - \phi(a)) + \phi(a)$$

$$\implies \phi_a(a) = \phi(a) \quad \frac{\partial\phi_a}{\partial x}(a) = -\frac{1}{2} Id$$

$$\nabla\phi_a(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^{-1} \nabla\phi(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\delta(a)} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^{\#} \nabla\phi(x, y)$$

$$\nabla\phi(x, y)(x - y) = \phi(x) - \phi(y) \quad , \quad \nabla\phi_a(x, y)(x - y) = \phi_a(x) - \phi_a(y)$$

3. Explicit Inverse Mapping Theorem

$$d\phi \in \text{Lip}(D, \mathbb{R}^n), K \subset D \text{ compact}, C_1 = \min_{a \in K} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^\# \right\|^{-1} > 0.$$

$$C_0 > 0 \text{ s.th } C_0 \cdot \sup_{a \in K, |z| \leq C_0 |\delta(a)|} \frac{1}{|z|} \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a+z) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} C_1$$

Theorem: $\forall a \in K, 0 \leq c \leq C_0$ and $r := |\delta(a)|$,

I. $\phi(B_{cr}(a)) \supset B_{C_1 cr^2}(\phi(a))$

II. $\phi : B_{cr}(a) \rightarrow \phi(B_{cr}(a))$ is 1:1.

Cor: $\exists \phi^{-1} \in C^1(B_{C_1 cr^2}(\phi(a)), B_{cr}(a))$.

4. Claims $r(a) = \sup \{r \mid (a, B_r(a), B_r(a)) \subset Z\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z &:= \{(a, x, y) \mid \|\nabla\phi_a(x, y)\| \leq \frac{3}{4}\} \cap \{(a, x, y) \mid \|Id + \nabla\phi_a(x, y)\| \leq \frac{3}{4}\} \\ &= \left\{ (a, x, y) \mid \left\| \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^\# \nabla\phi(x, y) \right\| \leq \frac{3}{2} |\delta(a)| \right\} \cap \\ &\quad \left\{ (a, x, y) \mid \left\| \delta(a) Id - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^\# \nabla\phi(x, y) \right\| \leq \frac{3}{4} |\delta(a)| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Claim1 : $r(a) \geq C_0 |\delta(a)|$

Claim2 : $\forall c : 0 \leq c \leq 1 \Rightarrow a. \phi_a : B_{cr(a)}(a) \rightarrow B_{cr(a)}(\phi(a))$ is 1 : 1

b. $\phi_a(B_{cr(a)}(a)) \supset B_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}r(a)}(\phi(a))$

5. Claims \Rightarrow Theorem

$$\phi(x) - \phi(a) = -2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right) (\phi_a(x) - \phi_a(a)), \text{ and}$$

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(a)| \leq cr(a) |\delta(a)| C_1 \Rightarrow |\phi_a(x) - \phi_a(a)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right) \right\|^{-1} |\phi(x) - \phi(a)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right) \right\|^{-1} cr(a) |\delta(a)| C_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^{-1} \right\| cr(a) |\delta(a)| C_1$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} cr(a) \text{ since } \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^{-1} \right\| |\delta(a)| C_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

6. Claims \Rightarrow Theorem

$$\text{i.e. } \phi_a(x) \in B_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}r(a)}(\phi_a(a)) \stackrel{(2b)}{\subset} \phi_a(B_{cr(a)}(a))$$

$$\stackrel{(2a)}{\Rightarrow} x \in B_{cr(a)}(a) \Rightarrow \phi(x) \in \phi(B_{cr(a)}(a))$$

$$\text{Summarizing: } \phi(B_{cr(a)}(a)) \supset B_{cr(a)|\delta(a)|C_1}(\phi(a))$$

With Claim 1 and $cr(a) = c'|\delta(a)| \Rightarrow$ (I), with $0 \leq c' \leq C_0$

$$\forall x, y \in B_{cr(a)}(a), \phi_a(x) = \phi_a(y) \stackrel{(2a)}{\Rightarrow} x = y$$

$$\iff \phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow x = y \Rightarrow$$
(II)

7. Claim 1: $\max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq C_0 |\delta(a)|, z := tx + (1-t)y$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^\# (\nabla \phi(a+x, a+y) - \nabla \phi(a, a)) \right\| \cdot \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^\# \right\|^{-1} \\ & \leq \|(\nabla \phi(a+x, a+y) - \nabla \phi(a, a))\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(t(a+x) + (1-t)(a+y)) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) dt \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a+z) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) dt \right\| \leq \frac{|z|}{2} \frac{C_1}{C_0} \\ & \leq |\delta(a)| \frac{1}{2} C_1 \leq \frac{1}{4} |\delta(a)| \left\| \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a) \right)^\# \right\|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Combine with, $\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a)\right)^{\#} \nabla\phi(a, a) = \delta(a) Id \Rightarrow$

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a)\right)^{\#} \nabla\phi(a+x, a+y) - \delta(a) Id \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\delta(a)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a)\right)^{\#} \nabla\phi(a+x, a+y) \right\| \leq \frac{5}{4} |\delta(a)| \quad (*) .$$

Moreover, $\left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a)\right)^{\#} \nabla\phi(a+x, a+y) - \frac{1}{2} \delta(a) Id \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} |\delta(a)|$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \delta(a) Id - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(a)\right)^{\#} \nabla\phi(a+x, a+y) \right\| \leq \frac{5}{8} |\delta(a)| \quad (**) .$$

Now $\max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq C_0 |\delta(a)|$, (*) and (**) $\Rightarrow (a, a+x, a+y) \in Z \Rightarrow$

$r(a) \geq C_0 |\delta(a)|$, as required.

9. Proof of Claim 2a:

For $x \in B_{cr(a)}(a)$, $|\phi_a(x) - \phi(a)| = |\nabla\phi_a(x, a)(x - a)|$

$$\leq \|\nabla\phi_a(x, a)\| |x - a| \leq \frac{3}{4}cr(a) \leq cr(a) \Rightarrow$$

$\phi_a(B_{cr(a)}(a)) \subset B_{cr(a)}(\phi(a))$. Say $x, y \in B_{cr(a)}(a)$ and $\phi_a(x) = \phi_a(y)$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla\phi_a(x, y)(x - y) = 0 \Rightarrow (Id + \nabla\phi_a(x, y))(x - y) = x - y$$

$$\Rightarrow |x - y| = \|Id + \nabla\phi_a(x, y)\| |x - y| \Rightarrow |x - y| \leq \frac{3}{4}|x - y| \Rightarrow x = y \quad \square$$

10. Proof of Claim 2b:

Let $b \in B_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}r(a)}(\phi(a))$ and set $f_b(x) := x + \phi_a(x+a) - b$.

Then, $f_b(x) - f_b(y) = (Id + \nabla\phi_a(x+a, y+a))(x-y)$.

For $x \in B_{cr(a)}(0)$, $|f_b(x)| \leq |x + \phi_a(x+a) - \phi_a(a)| + |\phi(a) - b|$

$$\leq \|Id + \nabla\phi_a(x+a, a)\| |x| + \frac{1}{4}cr(a) \leq \frac{3}{4}cr(a) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}r(a) = cr(a)$$

So, $f_b(B_{cr(a)}(0)) \subset B_{cr(a)}(0)$.

11. Proof of Claim 2b:

For $x, y \in B_{cr(a)}(0)$,

$$|f_b(x) - f_b(y)| = |(I + \nabla\phi_a(x+a, y+a))(x-y)| \leq \frac{3}{4}|x-y|,$$

Let $x^0 = 0$, $x^k := f_b(x^{k-1})$ for $k \geq 1$.

$\exists x^* \in B_{cr(a)}(0)$ s.t. $x^k \rightarrow x^*$ and $f_b(x^*) = x^*$

It implies $\phi_a(x^* + a) = b$ \square

12. Example

Say $\phi : M \rightarrow K = \phi(M) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

$J_\phi(x) \approx x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_m^{\alpha_m} =: x^\alpha$ locally. Say $g(y) := \text{dist}(y, \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K)$.

Question: Estimate $1 \leq s < \infty$ s.th.

$\exists \epsilon_0 > 0, \forall b \in \partial K, \forall 0 \leq t \leq \epsilon_0$

$\exists y : t = |y - b| \leq \epsilon_0$ and $|g(y)| \gtrsim |y - b|^s = t^s > 0$

Claim: $s = \max 2|\alpha|$.

13. Proof

$a = 0, b = \phi(0)$. Locally $g(\phi(x)) \gtrsim |J_\phi(x)|^2 = |x^\alpha|^2$,

by Exp. Inv. Mapping Thm. $|g(y)| = |g(y) - g(b)|$

$\gtrsim |x - a|^{2|\alpha|} \gtrsim |\phi(x) - \phi(a)|^{2|\alpha|} = |y - b|^{2|\alpha|}$, provided $y := \phi(x)$

with $|x - a|^{|\alpha|} \cong |x^\alpha|$, e.g. when $|x_1| = \dots = |x_1|$, and since

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(a)| \leq c|x - a|$$