Shannon's theorem and Poisson boundaries for locally compact groups

Giulio Tiozzo University of Toronto

June 17, 2020



1. The Poisson representation formula - classical case



- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The ray (and strip) criterion

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The ray (and strip) criterion
- 4. The Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The ray (and strip) criterion
- 4. The Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem
- 5. Proofs

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The ray (and strip) criterion
- 4. The Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem
- 5. Proofs

joint with Behrang Forghani

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = \mathbf{0} \}$



Theorem (Poisson representation) There is a bijection

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(S^{1},\lambda)$

Theorem Given an integrable function $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$,

Theorem Given an integrable function $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, the function $u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$

Theorem

Given an integrable function $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, the function $u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} , i.e. $\Delta u = 0$.

Theorem

Given an integrable function $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, the function $u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} , i.e. $\Delta u = 0$. Here,

$$P_r(\theta) := \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2-2r\cos\theta}$$

is the Poisson kernel.

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

 $\blacktriangleright \rightarrow$ boundary values

$$f(\xi) := \lim_{z \to \xi} u(z)$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

$\blacktriangleright \rightarrow$ boundary values

$$f(\xi) := \lim_{z \to \xi} u(z)$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 \leftarrow Poisson integral

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

► → boundary values (probabilistic interpretation)

$$f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}(\lim_{z_t \to \xi} u(z_t))$$

where z_t is Brownian motion

 \blacktriangleright \leftarrow Poisson integral

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt$$

The Poisson representation formula - II Theorem (Poisson) There is a bijection

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

 $\blacktriangleright \rightarrow \text{boundary values (probabilistic interpretation)}$

$$f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}(\lim_{z_t \to \xi} u(z_t))$$

where z_t is Brownian motion



Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$.

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$,

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$,

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=\frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z) := \frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

and setting $z = e^{it}$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=rac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

$$rac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t)$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z) := rac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \overline{a}z)^2}$$

$$rac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t)=\left|g_a^\prime(e^{it})
ight|$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=rac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

$$\left| rac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t) = \left| g_a'(e^{it})
ight| = rac{1-r^2}{|1-re^{i(t- heta)}|^2}$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=rac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

$$\frac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t) = \left|g_a'(e^{it})\right| = \frac{1-r^2}{|1-re^{i(t-\theta)}|^2} = P_r(\theta-t)$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=\frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

and setting $z = e^{it}$ we obtain

$$\frac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t) = \left|g_a'(e^{it})\right| = \frac{1-r^2}{|1-re^{i(t-\theta)}|^2} = P_r(\theta-t)$$

RN derivative of boundary action

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := rac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g'_a(z) := rac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \overline{a}z)^2}$$

and setting $z = e^{it}$ we obtain

$$\frac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t) = \left|g_a'(e^{it})\right| = \frac{1-r^2}{|1-re^{i(t-\theta)}|^2} = P_r(\theta-t)$$

RN derivative of boundary action = Poisson kernel

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \mathbf{P}_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(e^{it}) \frac{dg_a \lambda}{d\lambda}(t) \ d\lambda(t)$$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(e^{it}) rac{dg_a \lambda}{d\lambda}(t) \ d\lambda(t)$$

Finally if $\xi = e^{it}$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \mathbf{P}_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(e^{it}) rac{dg_a\lambda}{d\lambda}(t) \ d\lambda(t)$$

Finally if $\xi = e^{it}$

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \, dg_a \lambda(\xi)$$
The Poisson representation formula - IV

Theorem (Poisson representation) If $f: L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$, then

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \ dg_a \lambda(\xi)$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} .

The Poisson representation formula - IV

Theorem (Poisson representation) If $f: L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$, then

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \ dg_a \lambda(\xi)$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} .

Question. Can we generalize this to other groups $G \neq PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$?

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G.

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*.

Definition A function $u: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G.

Definition A function $u: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

$$u(g) = \int_G u(gh) \; d\mu(h)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G.

Definition A function $u: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

$$u(g) = \int_G u(gh) \; d\mu(h)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Let B be a space on which G acts (measurably).

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G.

Definition A function $u: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

$$u(g) = \int_G u(gh) \; d\mu(h)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Let *B* be a space on which *G* acts (measurably). A measure ν on *B* is μ -stationary if

$$u = \int_G g
u \ d\mu(g).$$

Let μ be a prob. measure on *G*.

Let μ be a prob. measure on *G*. Consider the random walk

Let μ be a prob. measure on *G*. Consider the random walk

 $w_n := g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

Let μ be a prob. measure on *G*. Consider the random walk

 $w_n := g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

where (g_i) are i.i.d. with distribution μ .

Let μ be a prob. measure on *G*. Consider the random walk

 $w_n := g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

where (g_i) are i.i.d. with distribution μ .

We denote $\Omega := (w_n)$ the space of sample paths, and $T((w_n)) := (w_{n+1})$ is the shift on Ω .

Let μ be a prob. measure on *G*. Consider the random walk

 $w_n := g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

where (g_i) are i.i.d. with distribution μ .

We denote $\Omega := (w_n)$ the space of sample paths, and $T((w_n)) := (w_{n+1})$ is the shift on Ω .

Definition

A space (B, ν) is a μ -boundary if there exists a measurable map

bnd :
$$\Omega \rightarrow B$$

such that bnd = bnd \circ *T*.

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$.

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_n o \in \partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Then we define the hitting measure

$$u(A) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in A)$$

Then we define the hitting measure

$$u(\mathbf{A}) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in \mathbf{A})$$

which is μ -stationary.

Then we define the hitting measure

$$u(\mathbf{A}) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in \mathbf{A})$$

which is μ -stationary.



Then we define the hitting measure

$$u(\mathbf{A}) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in \mathbf{A})$$

which is μ -stationary.



Moreover, $(\partial X, \nu)$ is a μ -boundary, given by the map $\Omega \ni \operatorname{bnd}(\omega) := \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in \partial X$

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*, let (*B*, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi: L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \rightarrow H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*, let (*B*, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi: L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \rightarrow H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_f(g) := \int_B f \ dg
u$$

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*, let (*B*, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi: L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \rightarrow H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_f(g) := \int_B f \; dg
u$$

Definition

A μ -boundary (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if Φ is an isomorphism

$$L^{\infty}(B,\nu)
ightarrow H^{\infty}(G,\mu)$$

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*, let (*B*, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi: L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \rightarrow H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_f(g) := \int_B f \; dg
u$$

Definition

A μ -boundary (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if Φ is an isomorphism

$$L^{\infty}(B,
u)
ightarrow H^{\infty}(G,\mu)$$

Corollary

Poisson boundary is trivial (= 1 point) ⇔ bounded harmonic functions are constant

Let *G* be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on *G*, let (*B*, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi: L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \rightarrow H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_f(g) := \int_B f \; dg
u$$

Definition

A μ -boundary (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if Φ is an isomorphism

$$L^{\infty}(B,
u)
ightarrow H^{\infty}(G,\mu)$$

Corollary

Poisson boundary is trivial (= 1 point) ⇔ bounded harmonic functions are constant

Examples. Abelian groups; nilpotent groups

Identification of the Poisson boundary

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Identification of the Poisson boundary

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?
Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

(Some) History.

Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups
- Horbez '16 : $Out(F_n)$

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups
- Horbez '16 : $Out(F_n)$
- Maher-T. '18: Cremona group

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*.

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G : d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has finite first moment if $\int_G d(o, go) d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has finite first moment if $\int_G d(o, go) \ d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G,

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has finite first moment if $\int_G d(o, go) d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d,

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has <u>finite first moment</u> if $\int_G d(o, go) d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary.

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has finite first moment if $\int_G d(o, go) d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has finite first moment if $\int_G d(o, go) d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability,

Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\#\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\}\leq Ce^{CR}$$

The measure has <u>finite first moment</u> if $\int_G d(o, go) d\mu(g) < +\infty$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\operatorname{\mathsf{bnd}}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94) If there exists a sequence $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability,

Theorem (Kaimanovich ~ '94) If there exists a sequence $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that $\frac{d(w_n, \pi_n(bnd(\omega)))}{2} \to 0$

п

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94) If there exists a sequence $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Corollary If random walk tracks geodesics sublinearly

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94) If there exists a sequence $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Corollary If random walk tracks geodesics sublinearly \rightarrow geometric boundary is Poisson boundary.

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94) If there exists a sequence $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Corollary If random walk tracks geodesics sublinearly \rightarrow geometric boundary is Poisson boundary. Pick $\pi_n(\omega) := \gamma_{\omega}(\ell n)$.











Ray approximation If $\frac{d(w_n, \pi_n(bnd(\omega)))}{n} \to 0$ then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary. Pick $\pi_n(\omega) := \gamma_\omega(\ell n)$.



Ray approximation If $\frac{d(w_n, \pi_n(bnd(\omega)))}{n} \to 0$ then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary. Pick $\pi_n(\omega) := \gamma_\omega(\ell n)$.



Ray approximation If $\frac{d(w_n, \gamma_{\omega}(\ell n))}{n} \to 0$ then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary. Pick $\pi_n(\omega) := \gamma_{\omega}(\ell n)$.











 $H_n(\mathbb{P}^{\xi}) \lesssim \log \# B(\gamma(\ell n), r_n)$



 $H_n(\mathbb{P}^{\xi}) \lesssim \log \# B(\gamma(\ell n), r_n)$

since $r_n = o(n)$
Sublinear tracking and entropy



 $H_n(\mathbb{P}^{\xi}) \lesssim \log \# B(\gamma(\ell n), r_n)$ since $r_n = o(n)$ $h(\mathbb{P}^{\xi}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H_n(\mathbb{P}^{\xi})}{n} \lesssim \lim \frac{1}{n} \log \# B(\gamma(\ell n), r_n) \to 0$

Ray approximation

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Ray approximation

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Question. (Kaimanovich \sim '96) Does the same criterion work for locally compact groups?

Ray approximation

Theorem (Kaimanovich \sim '94)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Question. (Kaimanovich \sim '96) Does the same criterion work for locally compact groups?

(Kaimanovich-Woess '02) Ray criterion for invariant Markov operators (intermediate between discrete and lcsc groups)

Let G be a locally compact, second countable group,

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*.

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

 $m(\{g \in G : d(o, go) \leq R\}) \leq Ce^{CR}$

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$m(\{g\in G : d(o,go)\leq R\})\leq Ce^{CR}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d,

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

 $m(\{g \in G : d(o, go) \leq R\}) \leq Ce^{CR}$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary.

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

 $m(\{g \in G : d(o, go) \leq R\}) \leq Ce^{CR}$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$m(\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\})\leq Ce^{CR}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\operatorname{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability,

Let *G* be a locally compact, second countable group, and μ a measure on *G*. Let *m* be Haar measure, and $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. A metric *d* on *G* is temperate if $\exists C$ s.t.

$$m(\{g\in G: d(o,go)\leq R\})\leq Ce^{CR}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let d be a temperate metric on G, suppose that μ has finite first moment w.r.t. d, and let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. If there are measurable functions $\pi_n : B \to G$ such that

$$\frac{d(w_n,\pi_n(\mathsf{bnd}(\omega)))}{n}\to 0$$

in probability, then (B, λ) is the Poisson boundary.

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper δ -hyperbolic space

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper δ -hyperbolic space and G < Isom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth.

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper δ -hyperbolic space and G < lsom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment.

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper δ -hyperbolic space and G < lsom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment. Then:

• if $\ell = 0$, then the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) is trivial;

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper δ -hyperbolic space and G < lsom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment. Then:

- *if* $\ell = 0$, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
- if ℓ > 0, then the Poisson boundary of (G, µ) is (∂X, ν), where ∂X is the Gromov boundary.

Recall the drift

$$\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(o, w_n o)}{n}$$

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper δ -hyperbolic space and G < lsom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment. Then:

- *if* $\ell = 0$, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
- if ℓ > 0, then the Poisson boundary of (G, µ) is (∂X, ν), where ∂X is the Gromov boundary.
- For countable G: [Kaimanovich '94].

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19) Let X be a proper CAT(0) space

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and G < Isom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and G < Isom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and G < Isom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment. Then:

• *if* $\ell = 0$, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and G < Isom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment. Then:

- *if* $\ell = 0$, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
- if ℓ > 0, then the Poisson boundary of (G, µ) is (∂X, ν), where ∂X is the visual boundary.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and G < Isom(X) be a closed subgroup with bounded exponential growth. Let μ be a (spread-out) measure on G with finite first moment. Then:

- *if* $\ell = 0$, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
- if ℓ > 0, then the Poisson boundary of (G, µ) is (∂X, ν), where ∂X is the visual boundary.
- ► For countable *G*: [Karlsson-Margulis '99].

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

$$DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.



Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

$$DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup,

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

$$DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup, let μ be a measure on G

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup, let μ be a measure on G with finite first moment,

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup, let μ be a measure on G with finite first moment, and let V be its vertical drift.

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup, let μ be a measure on G with finite first moment, and let V be its vertical drift. Then:

1. *if* V = 0, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
Applications - Diestel-Leader graphs

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup, let μ be a measure on G with finite first moment, and let V be its vertical drift. Then:

- 1. *if* V = 0, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
- 2. *if* V < 0, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is* $\partial \mathbb{T}_q \times \{\omega_2\}$ *with the hitting measure;*

Applications - Diestel-Leader graphs

Consider the Diestel-Leader graph

 $DL_{q,r} := \{(o_1, o_2) \in \mathbb{T}_q \times \mathbb{T}_r : h_1(o_1) + h_2(o_2) = 0\}.$

and edges $(z_1, z_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $z_1 \sim y_1$ and $z_2 \sim y_2$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $G < Aut(DL_{q,r})$ be a closed subgroup, let μ be a measure on G with finite first moment, and let V be its vertical drift. Then:

- 1. *if* V = 0, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is trivial;*
- 2. *if* V < 0, *then the Poisson boundary of* (G, μ) *is* $\partial \mathbb{T}_q \times \{\omega_2\}$ *with the hitting measure;*
- if V > 0, then the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) is {ω₁} × ∂T_r with the hitting measure.

$${\it H}(\mu):=-\sum_{g}\mu(g)\log\mu(g)$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\mu) &:= -\sum_{g} \mu(g) \log \mu(g) \ h &:= \lim_{n o \infty} rac{\mathcal{H}(\mu_n)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}(\mu) := -\sum_g \mu(g)\log\mu(g) \ & h := \lim_{n o \infty} rac{\mathcal{H}(\mu_n)}{n} \ & ere \ \mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g). \end{aligned}$$

whe $\mu_n(g)$ $:= \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$

$${\it H}(\mu):=-\sum_{m{g}}\mu(m{g})\log\mu(m{g})$$

$$h:=\lim_{n o\infty}rac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik \sim '83, Derriennic \sim '85) Let G be a countable group and μ a measure on G,

$${\it H}(\mu):=-\sum_{m{g}}\mu(m{g})\log\mu(m{g})$$

$$h:=\lim_{n o\infty}rac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik \sim '83, Derriennic \sim '85) Let G be a countable group and μ a measure on G, with finite entropy h.

$${\it H}(\mu):=-\sum_{m{g}}\mu(m{g})\log\mu(m{g})$$

$$h:=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik \sim '83, Derriennic \sim '85) Let G be a countable group and μ a measure on G, with finite entropy h. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

$${\it H}(\mu):=-\sum_{g}\mu(g)\log\mu(g)$$

$$h:=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$.

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik \sim '83, Derriennic \sim '85) Let G be a countable group and μ a measure on G, with finite entropy h. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

Proof. Using subadditivity:

$$\mu_{n+m}(w_{n+m}) \geq \mu_n(w_n)\mu_m(w_n^{-1}w_{n+m})$$

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik '83, Derriennic '85) Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*, with finite entropy *h*. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik '83, Derriennic '85) Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*, with finite entropy *h*. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

Proof. Using subadditivity:

$$\mu_{n+m}(w_{n+m}) \geq \mu_n(w_n)\mu_m(w_n^{-1}w_{n+m})$$

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik '83, Derriennic '81) Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*, with finite entropy *h*. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

Proof. Using subadditivity:

 $-\log \mu_{n+m}(w_{n+m}) \leq -\log \mu_n(w_n) - \log \mu_m(w_n^{-1}w_{n+m})$

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik '83, Derriennic '81) Let *G* be a countable group and μ a measure on *G*, with finite entropy *h*. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

Proof. Using subadditivity:

$$-\log \mu_{n+m}(w_{n+m}) \leq -\log \mu_n(w_n) - \log \mu_m(w_n^{-1}w_{n+m})$$

and by Kingman's theorem.

Theorem (Kaimanovich-Vershik '83, Derriennic '81) Let G be a countable group and μ a measure on G, with finite entropy h. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu_n(w_n)\right)=h.$$

Proof. Using subadditivity:

$$-\log \mu_{n+m}(w_{n+m}) \leq -\log \mu_n(w_n) - \log \mu_m(w_n^{-1}w_{n+m})$$

and by Kingman's theorem.

Question. (Derriennic) Can we generalize to locally compact groups?

Let μ be a measure on *G*, abs. cont. w.r.t. the Haar measure *m*

Let μ be a measure on *G*, abs. cont. w.r.t. the Haar measure *m* and let $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Let μ be a measure on *G*, abs. cont. w.r.t. the Haar measure *m* and let $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. The differential entropy is

$$h_{diff}(\mu) := -\int_{G}
ho(g) \log
ho(g) dm(g)$$

Let μ be a measure on *G*, abs. cont. w.r.t. the Haar measure *m* and let $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. The differential entropy is

$$h_{diff}(\mu) := -\int_{G}
ho(g) \log
ho(g) dm(g)$$

Note: it can be negative!

Let μ be a measure on *G*, abs. cont. w.r.t. the Haar measure *m* and let $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$. The differential entropy is

$$h_{diff}(\mu) := -\int_G
ho(g) \log
ho(g) dm(g)$$

Note: it can be negative!

The Furstenberg entropy of the μ -boundary (B, λ) is

$$h(\lambda) := \int_{G imes B} \log rac{dg\lambda}{d\lambda}(\gamma) \ dg\lambda(\gamma) d\mu(g)$$

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) .

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) .

Theorem (Derriennic)

For any μ -boundary (B, λ), we have

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) . Theorem (Derriennic) For any μ -boundary (B, λ) , we have

 $h(\lambda) \leq h(\nu).$

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) . Theorem (Derriennic) For any μ -boundary (B, λ) , we have

 $h(\lambda) \leq h(\nu).$

If $h(\nu)$ is finite,

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) . Theorem (Derriennic) For any μ -boundary (B, λ) , we have

 $h(\lambda) \leq h(\nu).$

If $h(\nu)$ is finite, then

 $h(\nu) = h(\lambda)$

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) .

Theorem (Derriennic)

For any μ -boundary (B, λ), we have

 $h(\lambda) \leq h(\nu).$

If $h(\nu)$ is finite, then

 $h(\nu) = h(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow (B, \lambda)$ is the Poisson boundary

Let G be a lcsc group,

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*,

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*, $\mu \ll m$,

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*, $\mu \ll m$, $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*, $\mu \ll m$, $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) .

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*, $\mu \ll m$, $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) . If ρ is bounded and the differential entropy $H_n < \infty$ for any n,

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*, $\mu \ll m$, $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) . If ρ is bounded and the differential entropy $H_n < \infty$ for any *n*, then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{d\mu_n}{dm}(w_n)\right)=h(\nu)$$

almost surely.

Let *G* be a lcsc group, μ a prob. measure on *G*, $\mu \ll m$, $\rho := \frac{d\mu}{dm}$.

Theorem (Forghani-T. '19)

Let $(\partial G, \nu)$ be the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) . If ρ is bounded and the differential entropy $H_n < \infty$ for any *n*, then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{d\mu_n}{dm}(w_n)\right)=h(\nu)$$

almost surely.

Recall $h(\nu)$ = Furstenberg entropy.

Proof of Shannon theorem

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary.

Proof of Shannon theorem

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$.

Proof of Shannon theorem

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$,
Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$, $w_{\infty} := \text{bnd}(\omega)$.

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$, $w_{\infty} := \text{bnd}(\omega)$.

1. By the ergodic theorem,

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$, $w_{\infty} := \text{bnd}(\omega)$.

1. By the ergodic theorem, for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega = (w_n)$

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_{\infty}) = h(\lambda).$$

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$, $w_{\infty} := \text{bnd}(\omega)$.

1. By the ergodic theorem, for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega = (w_n)$

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_{\infty}) = h(\lambda).$$

2. Let $f_n : (M, \kappa) \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ with $\int f_n d\kappa \leq C$.

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$, $w_{\infty} := \text{bnd}(\omega)$.

1. By the ergodic theorem, for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega = (w_n)$

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_{\infty}) = h(\lambda).$$

2. Let $f_n : (M, \kappa) \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ with $\int f_n d\kappa \leq C$. Then

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log f_n(x) \right) \ge 0 \quad \kappa \text{-a.e.}$$

Let (B, λ) be a μ -boundary. Suppose $\rho \leq C$. Let $\rho_n := \frac{d\mu_n}{dm}$, $w_{\infty} := \text{bnd}(\omega)$.

1. By the ergodic theorem, for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega = (w_n)$

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_{\infty}) = h(\lambda).$$

2. Let $f_n : (M, \kappa) \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ with $\int f_n d\kappa \leq C$. Then

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log f_n(x) \right) \ge 0 \quad \kappa\text{-a.e.}$$

3. Check

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dw_{n-1}\lambda}{d\lambda}(w_{\infty})\rho_n(w_n) \ d\mathbb{P} \leq C.$$

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \geq 0.$$

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \ge 0.$$
$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) \ge h(\lambda)$$

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \ge 0.$$
$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) \ge h(\lambda)$$

5. (Derriennic)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}-\frac{1}{n}\log\rho_n(w_n)\ d\mathbb{P}=h(\nu)$$

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \ge 0.$$
$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) \ge h(\lambda)$$

5. (Derriennic)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}-\frac{1}{n}\log\rho_n(w_n)\ d\mathbb{P}=h(\nu)$$

6. By Fatou's lemma

$$h(\lambda) \leq \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} \leq \lim_{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} = h(\nu)$$

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \ge 0.$$
$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) \ge h(\lambda)$$

5. (Derriennic)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}-\frac{1}{n}\log\rho_n(w_n)\ d\mathbb{P}=h(\nu)$$

6. By Fatou's lemma

$$h(\lambda) \leq \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} \leq \lim_{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} = h(\nu)$$

7. Thus setting $\lambda = \nu$,

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \ge 0.$$
$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) \ge h(\lambda)$$

5. (Derriennic)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}-\frac{1}{n}\log\rho_n(w_n)\ d\mathbb{P}=h(\nu)$$

6. By Fatou's lemma

$$h(\lambda) \leq \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} \leq \lim_{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} = h(\nu)$$

7. Thus setting $\lambda = \nu$, a.s.

$$\liminf_n \left(-\frac{1}{n}\log\rho_n(w_n)\right) = h(\nu)$$

4. Hence

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{dw_n \lambda}{d\lambda}(w_\infty) \right) \ge 0.$$
$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) \ge h(\lambda)$$

5. (Derriennic)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}-\frac{1}{n}\log\rho_n(w_n)\ d\mathbb{P}=h(\nu)$$

6. By Fatou's lemma

$$h(\lambda) \leq \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} \leq \lim_{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) d\mathbb{P} = h(\nu)$$

7. Thus setting $\lambda = \nu$, a.s.

$$\liminf_{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \log \rho_n(w_n) \right) = h(\nu)$$

QED

The end



Thank you!!!