

PFA(S)[S] and Locally Compact Normal Spaces

Franklin D. Tall¹

February 3, 2012

Abstract

We examine locally compact normal spaces in models of form PFA(S)[S], in particular characterizing paracompact, countably tight ones as those which include no perfect pre-image of ω_1 and in which all separable closed subspaces are Lindelöf.

1 Introduction

We will be using a particular kind of model of set theory constructed with the aid of a supercompact cardinal. These models have been used in [30, 29, 47, 46, 45, 51, 52, 19]. We start with a particular kind of Souslin tree — a *coherent* one — in the ground model. Such trees are obtainable from \diamond [28, 40]. Their definition will not concern us here. One then iterates proper partial orders as in the proof of the consistency of PFA (so we need to assume the consistency of a supercompact cardinal) but only those that preserve the Souslinity of that tree. By [33], that produces a model for *PFA(S): PFA restricted to partial orders that preserve S* . We then force with S . We shall

¹Research supported by NSERC grant A-7354.

2010 AMS Math. Subj. Class. Primary 54A35, 54D15, 54D20, 54D45, 03E35, 03E65; Secondary 54E35.

Key words and phrases: PFA(S)[S], paracompact, locally compact, normal, perfect pre-image of ω_1 , locally connected, reflection, Axiom R, P-ideal Dichotomy, Dowker space, collectionwise Hausdorff, homogeneous compacta.

say $PFA(S)[S]$ *implies* φ if φ holds whenever we force with S over a model of $PFA(S)$, for S a coherent Souslin tree. We shall say φ *holds in a model of form* $PFA(S)[S]$ if φ holds in some particular model obtained this way.

$PFA(S)[S]$ and particular models of it impose a great deal of structure on locally compact normal spaces because they entail many useful consequences of both PFA and $V = L$. We amalgamate here three previous preprints [44], [46], and [45] dealing with characterizing paracompactness and killing Dowker spaces in locally compact normal spaces, as well as with homogeneity in compact hereditarily normal spaces. Our proofs will avoid the difficult set-theoretic arguments in other papers on $PFA(S)[S]$ by just quoting the familiar principles derived there, and so should be accessible to any set-theoretic topologist.

The consequences of $PFA(S)[S]$ we shall use and the references in which they are proved are:

(Balogh's) Σ (defined below) [19];

\aleph_1 -CWH (locally compact normal spaces are \aleph_1 -collectionwise Hausdorff [47]);

PID (P-ideal Dichotomy (defined below) [52]).

We also mention for the reader's interest:

MM (compact countably tight spaces are sequential [52]);

FCL (every first countable hereditarily Lindelöf space is hereditarily separable [31]);

$FC\aleph_1$ -CWH (every first countable normal space is \aleph_1 -collectionwise Hausdorff [30]);

OCA (Open Colouring Axiom [17]);

$\mathfrak{b} = \aleph_2$ ([28]).

In the particular model used in [30], we also have:

FCCWH (every first countable normal space is collectionwise Hausdorff [30]);

CWH (locally compact normal spaces are collectionwise Hausdorff [47]);

Axiom R (see below [29]).

Proofs of all of these results are published or available in preprints.

In Section 2 we characterize paracompactness in locally compact normal spaces in certain models of $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. In Section 3, we improve our characterization via the use of P-ideal Dichotomy. In Section 4, we examine applications of Axiom R. In Section 5 we obtain some reflection results in ZFC for (locally) connected spaces. In Section 6, we apply our results to exclude certain locally compact Dowker spaces in models of $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. In Section 7, we apply $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ to compact hereditarily normal spaces.

2 Characterizing paracompactness in locally compact normal spaces

Engelking and Lutzer [16] characterized paracompactness in generalized ordered spaces by the absence of closed subspaces homeomorphic to stationary subsets of regular cardinals. This was extended to monotonically normal spaces by Balogh and Rudin [8]. Moreover, for first countable generalized ordered spaces, one can do better:

Proposition 2.1 [50]. *Assuming the consistency of two supercompact cardinals, it is consistent that a first countable generalized ordered space is (hereditarily) paracompact if and only if no closed subspace of it is homeomorphic to a stationary subset of ω_1 .*

We were interested in consistently obtaining a similar characterization for locally compact normal spaces. However, as we shall see, the locally compact, separable, normal, first countable, submetrizable, non-paracompact space Weiss constructed in [55] has no subspace homeomorphic to a stationary subset of ω_1 . Nonetheless, for restricted classes of locally compact normal spaces, we can get characterizations of paracompactness that do depend on the spaces' relationship with \aleph_1 .

We will assume all spaces are Hausdorff.

In [29] we proved:

Lemma 2.2. *In a particular model (the one of [30]) of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$, every locally compact, hereditarily normal space which does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 is paracompact.*

Lemma 2.2 will follow from what we prove here as well. We can turn this result into a characterization as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which locally compact hereditarily normal spaces are (hereditarily) paracompact if and only if they do not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

Proof. The backward direction follows from Lemma 2.2, since a space is hereditarily paracompact if every open subspace of it is paracompact, and open subspaces of locally compact spaces are locally compact. The “hereditarily” version of the other direction is because perfect pre-images of ω_1 are countably compact and not compact, and hence not paracompact. Without “hereditarily” we need:

Lemma 2.4 [15]. *In a countably tight space, perfect pre-images of ω_1 are closed.*

Lemma 2.5 [3, 5, 29]. *A locally compact space has a countably tight one-point compactification if and only if it does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

Note that if X has a countably tight one-point compactification, X itself is countably tight. \square

We also now have a partial characterization for locally compact spaces that are only normal:

Theorem 2.6. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact normal space is paracompact and countably tight if and only if its separable closed subspaces are Lindelöf and it does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

The proof of Theorem 2.6 is quite long. It is convenient to first prove the weaker

Theorem 2.7. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact normal space X is paracompact and countably tight if and only if the closure of every Lindelöf subspace of X is Lindelöf, and X does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

One direction is easy. As we saw earlier, perfect pre-images of ω_1 will be excluded by countable tightness plus paracompactness. It is also easy to see that a paracompact space with a dense Lindelöf subspace is Lindelöf — since it has countable extent — so closures of Lindelöf subspaces are Lindelöf. The other direction is harder, but much of the work has been done elsewhere. We refer to [20] for a definition of the reflection axiom *Axiom R*. Dow [12] proved it equivalent to stationary set reflection for stationary subsets of $[\kappa]^\omega$, κ uncountable. However, we shall only use the following three results concerning it. We have:

Lemma 2.8 [29]. *Axiom R holds in the PFA(S)[S] model of [30].*

Definition. $L(Y)$, the Lindelöf number of Y , is the least cardinal κ such that every open cover of Y has a subcover of size $\leq \kappa$.

Lemma 2.9 [5]. *Axiom R implies that if X is a locally Lindelöf, regular, countably tight space such that every open Y with $L(Y) \leq \aleph_1$ has $L(\overline{Y}) \leq \aleph_1$, then if X is not paracompact, it has a clopen non-paracompact subspace Z with $L(Z) \leq \aleph_1$.*

Lemma 2.10 [5]. *Axiom R implies that if X is locally Lindelöf, regular, countably tight, and not paracompact, then X has an open subspace Y with $L(Y) \leq \aleph_1$, such that Y is not paracompact.*

We also have:

Lemma 2.11. *If Y is a subset of a locally Lindelöf space of countable tightness in which closures of Lindelöf subspaces are Lindelöf, then if $L(Y) \leq \aleph_1$, then $L(\overline{Y}) \leq \aleph_1$.*

Proof. For let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open sets with Lindelöf closures covering \overline{Y} . There are \aleph_1 of them, say $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$, which cover Y . Then $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{U_\alpha} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \overline{U_\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \overline{U_\beta} \supseteq \overline{Y}$. But each $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \overline{U_\beta}$ is Lindelöf, so $L(\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{U_\alpha}) \leq \aleph_1$. \overline{Y} is a closed subspace of $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \overline{U_\alpha}$, so it too has Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$. \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 2.7 it therefore suffices to prove:

Theorem 2.12. *PFA(S)[S] implies that if X is a locally compact normal space with $L(X) \leq \aleph_1$, closures of Lindelöf subspaces of X are Lindelöf, and X includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 , then X is paracompact.*

Crucial ingredients in proving this are \aleph_1 -CWH and:

Lemma 2.13 [19]. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies

Σ : if X is compact and countably tight, and $Z \subseteq X$ is such that $|Z| \leq \aleph_1$ and there exists a collection \mathcal{V} of open sets, $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \aleph_1$, and a collection $\mathcal{U} = \{U_V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ of open sets, such that $Z \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$, and for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, there is a $U_V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U_V$, and $|U_V \cap Z| \leq \aleph_0$, then Z is σ -closed discrete in $\bigcup \mathcal{V}$.

The conclusion of Lemma 2.13 had previously been shown under MA_{ω_1} by Balogh [3]. The weaker conclusion asserting that Z is σ -discrete, if it's locally countable, was established by Todorcevic. A modification of his proof yields the stronger result [19]. It follows that:

Corollary 2.14. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies that if X is locally compact, includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 , and $L(X) \leq \aleph_1$, and $Y \subseteq X$, $|Y| = \aleph_1$, is such that each point in X has a neighbourhood meeting at most countably many points of Y , then Y is σ -closed-discrete.

We now need some results of Nyikos:

Definition. A space X is of **Type I** if $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$, where each X_α is open, $\alpha < \beta$ implies $\overline{X}_\alpha \subseteq X_\beta$, and each \overline{X}_α is Lindelöf. $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is **canonical** if for limit α , $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$.

Lemma 2.15 [37]. If X is locally compact, $L(X) \leq \aleph_1$, and every Lindelöf subset of X has Lindelöf closure, then X is of Type I, with a canonical sequence.

Lemma 2.16 [34]. If X is of Type I, then X is paracompact if and only if $\{\alpha : \overline{X}_\alpha - X_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$ is non-stationary.

Proof of Theorem 2.12. If X is paracompact, this is straightforward. Suppose X were not paracompact. X is of Type I so we may pick a canonical sequence and we may pick a stationary $S \subseteq \omega_1$ and $x_\alpha \in \overline{X}_\alpha - X_\alpha$, for each $\alpha \in S$. By Corollary 2.14, $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ is σ -closed-discrete, so there is a stationary set of limit ordinals $S' \subseteq S$ such that $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in S'\}$ is closed discrete. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S'\}$ be a discrete collection of open sets expanding it. Pressing down yields an uncountable closed discrete subspace of some X_α , contradiction. \square

Note that Lemma 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.7, for consider the closure of a Lindelöf subspace Y of a locally compact, hereditarily normal space which does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 . The following argument in Nyikos [37] will establish that \overline{Y} is Lindelöf. First consider the special case when Y is open. Let B be a right-separated subspace of the boundary of Y . We claim B is countable, whence the boundary is hereditarily Lindelöf, so \overline{Y} is Lindelöf. Since the one-point compactification of \overline{Y} is countably tight, by Lemma 2.13, if B is uncountable, it has a discrete subspace D of size \aleph_1 . D is closed discrete in $Z = \overline{Y} - (\overline{D} - D)$, so in Z there is a discrete open expansion $\{U_d : d \in D\}$ of D , because \overline{Y} is hereditarily strongly \aleph_1 -collectionwise Hausdorff by **CWH**. Since $Y \subseteq Z$, $\{U_d \cap Y : d \in D\}$ is a discrete collection of non-empty subsets of Y , contradicting Y 's Lindelöfness.

Now consider an arbitrary Lindelöf Y . Since X is locally compact, Y can be covered by countably many open Lindelöf sets. The closure of their union is Lindelöf and includes \overline{Y} .

We next note that the requirement that Lindelöf subspaces have Lindelöf closures can be weakened. Recall the following result in [25]:

Lemma 2.17. *Every locally compact, metalindelöf, \aleph_1 -collectionwise Hausdorff, normal space is paracompact.*

Since in a normal \aleph_1 -collectionwise Hausdorff space the closure of a Lindelöf subspace has countable extent, and metalindelöf spaces with countable extent are Lindelöf, we see that it suffices to have that closures of Lindelöf subspaces are metalindelöf.

Corollary 2.18. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact normal space X is paracompact if and only if the closure of every Lindelöf subset of X is metalindelöf and X does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

A consequence of Corollary 2.14 is that we can improve Theorem 2.12 for spaces with Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$ to get:

Theorem 2.19. *$\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies that if X is a locally compact normal space with $L(X) \leq \aleph_1$, and X includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 , then X is paracompact.*

Proof. As before, it suffices to consider the case of an open Lindelöf Y . If the closure of Y were not Lindelöf, since it has Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$ there

would be a locally countable subspace Z of size \aleph_1 included in $\overline{Y} - Y$. That subspace would then be σ -closed-discrete by Corollary 2.14. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 from Theorem 2.7, we obtain a contradiction by getting an uncountable closed discrete subspace of Y . Since we have σ -closed-discrete, we only need normality rather than hereditary normality. \square

In retrospect, Theorem 2.19 is perhaps not so surprising: a phenomenon first evident in [3] is that “normal plus $L \leq \aleph_1$ ” can often substitute for “hereditarily normal” in this area of investigation.

In fact, an even further weakening is possible:

Definition. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of a space X and let $x \in X$. $\text{Ord}(x, \mathcal{U}) = |\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U\}|$. X is **submeta- \aleph_1 -Lindelöf** if every open cover has a refinement $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{U}_n$ such that each \mathcal{U}_n is an open cover, and for each $x \in X$, there is an n such that $|\text{Ord}(x, \mathcal{U}_n)| \leq \aleph_1$.

Theorem 2.20. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact normal space is paracompact and countably tight if and only if it is submeta- \aleph_1 -Lindelöf and does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

Proof. It suffices to prove that closures of Lindelöf subspaces have Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$, for then we can apply Theorem 2.19 to get that closures of Lindelöf subspaces are Lindelöf. Thus all we need is

Lemma 2.21. *Every submeta- \aleph_1 -Lindelöf space with extent $\leq \aleph_1$ has Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$.*

Proof. Following a similar proof in [4], suppose the space X has an open cover \mathcal{V} with no subcover of size $\leq \aleph_1$. Let $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{U}_n$ be an open refinement of \mathcal{V} as in the definition of submeta- \aleph_1 -lindelöfness. For each $y \in X$, pick $n(y) \in \omega$ such that $|\text{Ord}(y, \mathcal{U}_{n(y)})| \leq \aleph_1$. Let $X_n = \{x \in X : n(x) = n\}$. Then for every $n < \omega$, there is a maximal $A_n \subseteq X_n$ such that no member of \mathcal{U}_n contains two points of A_n . By maximality, $\mathcal{V}' = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{\bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U}_n : x \in U\} : x \in A_n\}$ covers X_n . Since \mathcal{V} has no subcover of size $\leq \aleph_1$, $|A_n| > \aleph_1$ for some n . But $|A_n|$ is closed discrete. \square

An immediate corollary of Theorem 2.19 is:

Corollary 2.22. *$\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies every locally compact normal space of size $\leq \aleph_1$ with a G_δ -diagonal is metrizable.*

The point is that spaces with a G_δ -diagonal do not admit perfect pre-images of ω_1 , compact spaces with a G_δ -diagonal are metrizable, and paracompact locally metrizable spaces are metrizable.

Weiss' space mentioned above constrains attempts at characterizing paracompactness. It is submetrizable, so has a G_δ -diagonal. That latter property is hereditary; Lutzer [32] proved that linearly ordered spaces with a G_δ -diagonal are metrizable, so:

Proposition 2.23. *If a space has a G_δ -diagonal, it has no subspace homeomorphic to a stationary set.*

We are thus going to need stronger constraints on sets of size \aleph_1 than just excluding copies of stationary sets, if we wish to weaken the Lindelöf requirement of Theorem 2.7 to just something involving \aleph_1 . Also note that Weiss' space prevents us from removing the cardinality restriction from Theorem 2.19. We will consider some such constraints in Section 4.

3 Applications of P-ideal Dichotomy

In order to prove Theorem 2.6, we introduce some known ideas about ideals.

Definition. A collection \mathcal{I} of countable subsets of a set X is a **P-ideal** if each subset of a member of \mathcal{I} is in \mathcal{I} , finite unions of members of \mathcal{I} are in \mathcal{I} , and whenever $\{I_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, there is a $J \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I_n - J$ is finite for all n .

P (short for **P-ideal Dichotomy**): For every P-ideal \mathcal{I} on a set X , either

i) there is an uncountable $A \subseteq X$ such that $[A]^{\leq \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$

or ii) $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} B_n$ such that for each n , $B_n \cap I$ is finite, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition. [15] An ideal \mathcal{I} of subsets of a set X is **countable-covering** if for each countable $Q \subseteq X$, there is $\{I_n^Q : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, such that for each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I \subseteq Q$, $I \subseteq I_n^Q$ for some n .

CC: For every countable-covering ideal \mathcal{I} on a set X , either:

i) there is an uncountable $A \subseteq X$ such that $A \cap I$ is finite, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$,

or ii) $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} B_n$ such that for each n , $[B_n]^{\leq \omega} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Todorćević's proof that $PFA(S)[S]$ implies \mathbf{P} appears in [52]. In [15], Eisworth and Nyikos proved that \mathbf{P} implies \mathbf{CC} , and also proved the following remarkable result:

Lemma 3.1. *\mathbf{CC} implies that if X is a locally compact space, then either*

- a) X is the union of countably many ω -bounded subspaces,*
- or b) X does not have countable extent,*
- or c) X has a separable closed subspace which is not Lindelöf.*

Recall a space is ω -**bounded** if every countable subspace has compact closure. ω -bounded spaces are obviously countably compact.

From [23] we have:

Lemma 3.2. *An ω -bounded space is either compact or includes a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

We can now prove Theorem 2.6.

The forward direction follows from 2.7. To prove the other direction, it suffices to show that if Y is a Lindelöf subspace of our space X , then \bar{Y} is Lindelöf. Applying 3.1, we see that by 3.2, \bar{Y} will be σ -compact if we can exclude alternatives b) and c). c) is excluded by hypothesis, so it suffices to show that \bar{Y} has countable extent. But that is easily established, since \bar{Y} is locally compact normal and hence \aleph_1 -**CWH**. A closed discrete subspace of size \aleph_1 in \bar{Y} could thus be fattened to a *discrete* collection of open sets. Their traces in Y would contradict its Lindelöfness. \square

Corollary 3.3. *There is a model of form $PFA(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact space is metrizable if and only if it is normal, has a G_δ -diagonal, and every separable closed subspace is Lindelöf.*

Proof. Theorem 2.7 applies, since spaces with G_δ -diagonals do not include perfect pre-images of ω_1 . \square

This characterization does not hold in ZFC; the tree topology on a special Aronszajn tree is a locally compact Moore space, and hence has a G_δ -diagonal. Under MA_{ω_1} , it is (hereditarily) normal. See e.g. the survey article [49]. Every separable subspace of an ω_1 -tree is bounded in height, and so is countable.

The condition in Theorem 2.6 that separable closed sets are Lindelöf, i.e. countable sets have Lindelöf closures, can actually be weakened by a different argument, although perhaps the proof is more interesting than the result.

Theorem 3.4. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact normal space is paracompact and countably tight if and only if it includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 and the closure of each countable discrete subspace is Lindelöf.*

We need several auxiliary results before proving this.

Lemma 3.5 [2]. *If X is Tychonoff, countably tight, \aleph_1 -Lindelöf, and countable discrete sets have Lindelöf closures, then X is Lindelöf.*

Recall a space is defined to be \aleph_1 -**Lindelöf** if every open cover of size \aleph_1 has a countable subcover; equivalently, if every set of size \aleph_1 has a complete accumulation point.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. Let X be locally compact, normal, and not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 . Then either:*

a) X is σ -compact,

or b) $e(X) > \aleph_0$,

or c) X has a countable discrete subspace D such that \overline{D} is not Lindelöf.

Proof. Assume b) and c) fail. Since X is locally compact and countably tight, by Lemma 3.5, it suffices to prove X is \aleph_1 -Lindelöf.

If not, there is a $Y \subseteq X$ of size \aleph_1 with no complete accumulation point. Thus Y is locally countable and hence σ -discrete. Hence there is an uncountable discrete $Z \subseteq Y$ with no complete accumulation point. Let $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Then $\overline{Z} = \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} \overline{\{z_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}}$. By hypothesis, it follows that $L(\overline{Z}) \leq \aleph_1$. But then \overline{Z} is paracompact by Theorem 2.12. But $e(X) \leq \aleph_0$, so \overline{Z} is Lindelöf, so Z does have a complete accumulation point, giving a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. It suffices to show closures of countable subspaces of our spaces are Lindelöf. By normality and \aleph_1 -collectionwise Hausdorffness they have countable extent, and we are assuming countable discrete subspaces have Lindelöf closures, so we are done by Theorem 3.6 \square

One is tempted to substitute the condition that *discrete subspaces have Lindelöf closures* for b) and c) of Theorem 3.6, but unfortunately it is already known that countably tight spaces with that property are Lindelöf [2].

4 Applications of Axiom R and Hereditary Paracompactness

We shall consider hereditary paracompactness and obtain some interesting results. We will need the following result of Balogh [6]:

Lemma 4.1. *If X is countably tight, has a dense subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$, and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf, then X is hereditarily metalindelöf.*

Balogh assumes in [6] that all spaces considered are regular, but does not use regularity in the proof of Lemma 4.1. He also does not actually require *all* subspaces of size $\leq \aleph_1$ to be metalindelöf in order to obtain the conclusion of Lemma 4.1. We refer the reader to [6] for the details. Note that it follows that in a countably tight space in which every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf, separable sets are (hereditarily) Lindelöf. Also note that Weiss' space must have a subspace of size \aleph_1 which is not metalindelöf.

Theorem 4.2. *Axiom R implies a locally separable, regular, countably tight space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Proof. One direction is trivial. To go the other way, we shall first obtain paracompactness via Lemma 2.10. Here we do need regularity. I thank Sakae Fuchino for pointing this out. Let V be an open subspace with $L(V) \leq \aleph_1$. Covering V by $\leq \aleph_1$ separable open sets, we see that $d(V) \leq \aleph_1$. Then by Lemma 4.1, \overline{V} is hereditarily paracompact. To get the whole space hereditarily paracompact, note it is a sum of separable, hence hereditarily Lindelöf, clopen sets. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Axiom R implies that a locally hereditarily separable, regular space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if each subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Proof. Local hereditary separability implies countable tightness. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Axiom R implies a locally second countable, regular space is metrizable if and only if every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Proof. This is clear, since such a space is locally hereditarily separable, while paracompact, locally metrizable spaces are metrizable. \square

Corollary 4.5 [5]. *Axiom R implies every locally compact space in which every subspace of size \aleph_1 has a point-countable base is metrizable.*

Proof. Dow [11] showed that compact spaces in which every subspace of size \aleph_1 has a point-countable base are metrizable. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Axiom R implies a locally compact space is metrizable if and only if it has a G_δ -diagonal and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Proof. Compact spaces with G_δ -diagonals are metrizable. \square

Note: Results similar to ours concerning Axiom R were obtained by S. Fuchino and his collaborators independently [21], [22].

With the added power of $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$, we can utilize Lemma 4.1 without assuming local separability. First, we observe:

Theorem 4.7. *If X is countably tight and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf, then X does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

Proof of Theorem 4.7. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.1 and:

Lemma 4.8. *Every perfect pre-image of ω_1 includes one of density $\leq \aleph_1$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \omega_1$ be perfect and onto. Let $C = \{\alpha : \pi^{-1}(\alpha + 1) - \pi^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then C is unbounded, for suppose not. Then there is an α_0 such that $Y = \pi^{-1}(\alpha_0 + 1)$. But then Y is compact, contradiction. Pick for each $\alpha \in C$, a $d_\alpha \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha + 1) - \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$. Let $Q = \{d_\alpha : \alpha \in C\}$. Then $\pi \upharpoonright Q$ is perfect, so $\pi(Q)$ is closed unbounded, so is homeomorphic to ω_1 . \square

From Theorem 4.7 we then obtain:

Theorem 4.9. *In the model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ of [30] a locally compact, normal, countably tight space is paracompact if every subspace of size \aleph_1 is metalindelöf.*

Proof. Separable subspaces are Lindelöf; by 4.8 and 4.1, there are no perfect pre-images of ω_1 in such spaces. \square

Corollary 4.10. *In the $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ model of [30], a locally compact, countably tight space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if it is hereditarily normal and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.7 and the observation that countable tightness is inherited by open subspaces. \square

Corollary 4.11. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact, locally separable space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if it is hereditarily normal and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

This will follow from Theorem 4.10 and **FC \aleph_1 -CWH**, since the latter implies the hypothesis of the following:

Lemma 4.12 [35]. *If separable, normal, first countable spaces do not have uncountable closed discrete subspaces, then compact, separable, hereditarily normal spaces are countably tight.*

We can avoid introducing the hitherto unused axiom **FC \aleph_1 -CWH** by quoting:

Lemma 4.13 [48]. *If there is a separable, normal, first countable space with an uncountable closed discrete subspace, there is a locally compact one.*

Proof of Corollary 4.11. It suffices to show such a space is countably tight. Given $x \in \bar{Y}$, there is a separable open neighbourhood U of x with \bar{U} compact. Then $x \in \overline{(U \cap Y)}$. \bar{U} is countably tight by Lemma 4.12. Thus there is a countable $D \subseteq U \cap Y$ such that $x \in \bar{D} \cap \bar{U}$. But then $x \in \bar{D}$ as required. \square

There is another way of proving Corollary 4.11, which actually gives a slightly stronger result: locally satisfying the countable chain condition instead of locally separable. This follows from [52], in which Todorcevic showed that *PFA implies compact, hereditarily normal spaces satisfying the countable chain condition are hereditarily Lindelöf* (and hence first countable). We shall give a different proof of this in Section 6.

5 (Local)Connectedness and ZFC Reflections

One can sometimes replace our use of Axiom R by the assumption of (local) connectedness, thanks to the following observation:

Lemma 5.1 [15, 5.9]. *If X is locally compact, locally connected, and countably tight, then X is a topological sum of Type I spaces if and only if every Lindelöf subspace of X has Lindelöf closure. Similarly, if X is locally compact, connected, countably tight, and Lindelöf subspaces have Lindelöf closures, then it is Type I.*

Thus we have:

Theorem 2.12'. *PFA(S)[S] implies a locally compact, locally connected, normal space X is paracompact if and only if separable closed subspaces are Lindelöf, and X does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

Theorem 4.2'. *A locally compact, (locally) connected, locally separable, countably tight, regular space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Proofs. Theorem 4.2' is the only one which requires a bit of thought. Any Lindelöf subspace is included in a separable open set S . \overline{S} is Lindelöf and therefore so is \overline{L} . Thus the space is a sum of Type I spaces, each of density $\leq \aleph_1$, and by Lemma 4.1, each of these is hereditarily metalindelöf. By local separability, the space is then hereditarily paracompact.

Corollary 4.3'. *A locally compact, (locally) connected, locally hereditarily separable, regular space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if each subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.*

Particularly pleasant is:

Corollary 5.2. *A manifold is metrizable if and only if every subspace of size \aleph_1 is metalindelöf.*

Corollary 4.5'. *A locally compact, (locally) connected space in which every subspace of size \aleph_1 has a point-countable base is metrizable.*

Corollary 4.6'. *A locally compact, (locally) connected space is metrizable if and only if it has a G_δ -diagonal and every subspace of size \aleph_1 is metalindelöf.*

We also have:

Theorem 4.7'. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies a locally compact, normal, countably tight, connected or locally connected space is paracompact if every subspace of size \aleph_1 is metalindelöf.

Theorem 4.9'. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies a locally compact, locally connected, countably tight space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if it is hereditarily normal and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.

Corollary 4.12'. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies a locally compact, locally connected, locally separable space is hereditarily paracompact if and only if it is hereditarily normal and every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf.

Balogh [5] proved:

Lemma 5.3. Let X be a locally Lindelöf, regular, countably tight space with $L(X) \leq \aleph_1$. Suppose that every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ of X is paracompact, and X is either normal or locally has countable spread. Then X is paracompact.

We then have the following variation of Corollary 4.3':

Theorem 5.4. Let X be a locally compact, (locally) connected space in which every subspace of size $\leq \aleph_1$ is metalindelöf, and which locally has countable spread. Then X is hereditarily paracompact.

Proof. It suffices to show X is paracompact, since all the properties in question are open-hereditary. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, it suffices to prove that X is countably tight and closures of Lindelöf subspaces are Lindelöf. Lindelöf subspaces are included in the union of countably many subspaces with countable spread and hence have countable spread. If a Lindelöf $Y \subseteq X$ did not have (hereditarily) Lindelöf closure, there would be a right-separated subset Z of \overline{Y} , with $|Z| = \aleph_1$. But Z would then be metalindelöf and locally countable, hence paracompact and σ -discrete. Note that X — and hence \overline{Z} — is countably tight, since compact spaces with countable spread are countably tight [1]. By Lemma 4.1, \overline{Z} is then hereditarily metalindelöf. \overline{Z} is locally separable, since if U is an open subspace of X with countable spread, $Z \cap U$ is dense in $\overline{Z} \cap U$, but is countable, since Z is σ -discrete. Similarly the closure of Z in Y is locally separable. But the closure of Z in Y is Lindelöf, so it's separable. But then \overline{Z} is separable. But then \overline{Z} is hereditarily Lindelöf, contradiction.

The advantage of eliminating explicit and implicit uses of Axiom R as we did in 2.12' and 4.12' is that it makes it likely that such results can then be obtained without the necessity of assuming large cardinals, by using \aleph_2 -p.i.c. forcing as in e.g. [53].

6 PFA(S)[S] and Locally Compact Dowker Spaces

The question of whether there exist *small Dowker spaces*, i.e. normal spaces with product with the unit interval not normal, which have familiar cardinal invariants of size $\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$, continues to attract attention from set-theoretic topologists. See for example the surveys [7, 39, 41, 43]. Although there are many consistent examples, there have been very few results asserting the consistency of the non-existence of such examples. We shall partially remedy that situation here. In this section, we observe that PFA(S)[S] excludes some possible candidates for *small Dowker spaces*. Most of our results follow easily from what we have already proved. Recall:

Lemma 6.1 [13]. *For a normal space X , the following are equivalent:*

- a) X is countably paracompact,
- b) $X \times [0, 1]$ is normal,
- c) $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is normal.

“Small” is not very well-defined; in the recent survey [41], Szeptycki concentrates on the properties *cardinality* \aleph_1 , *first countability*, *separability*, *local compactness*, *local countability* (i.e. each point has a countable neighbourhood) and *submetrizability* (i.e. the space has a weaker metrizable topology). We shall deal with several of these, weakening — in terms of non-existence — *cardinality* $\leq \aleph_1$ to *Lindelöf number* $\leq \aleph_1$ and *submetrizable* to *not including a perfect pre-image of ω_1* . Note that submetrizable spaces have G_δ -diagonals and hence cannot include perfect pre-images of ω_1 , since countably compact spaces with G_δ -diagonals are metrizable [9].

Main Theorem

- 1) PFA(S)[S] implies there is no locally compact, hereditarily normal Dowker space which in addition:

- a) satisfies the countable chain condition,
 - or b) includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 and is either connected or locally connected.
 - or c) has countable extent.
- 2) $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies there is no locally compact Dowker space which includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 and has Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$.
- 3) In the $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ model of [30]:
- (a) there is no locally compact, hereditarily normal Dowker space including a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .
 - (b) there is no locally compact Dowker space in which separable closed subspaces are Lindelöf and which includes no perfect pre-image of ω_1 .
 - (c) there is no locally compact, countably tight Dowker space in which every subspace of size \aleph_1 is metalindelöf.
 - (d) there is no locally compact, countably tight, Dowker D -space.

We shall start with:

Theorem 6.2. *Assume $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. Let X be a locally compact, hereditarily normal space satisfying the countable chain condition. Then X is hereditarily Lindelöf, and hence countably paracompact.*

Proof. This follows from [52], where Todorcevic proves:

Lemma 6.3. *$\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies compact hereditarily normal spaces satisfying the countable chain condition are hereditarily Lindelöf.*

Proof. Since open subspaces are locally compact normal, the space is hereditarily \aleph_1 -collectionwise-Hausdorff and hence has countable spread. If the space were not hereditarily Lindelöf, it would have an uncountable right-separated subspace, and hence, by Σ , an uncountable discrete subspace, contradiction. \square

Todorcevic's proof was more difficult, since \aleph_1 -CWH was not available to him.

The one-point compactification of a locally compact, hereditarily normal space X is hereditarily normal, and satisfies the countable chain condition if and only if X does. The result follows, so we have established 1a) of the Main Theorem. \square

1b) of the Main Theorem follows from 2.19 plus 5.1.

To prove 1c), we call on Theorem 2.6. Since separable closed subspaces are Lindelöf, the space is the union of countably many ω -bounded – hence countably compact – subspaces. In a normal space, the closure of a countably compact subspace is countably compact, and it is not hard to show that the union of countably many countably compact closed subspaces of a normal space is countably paracompact.

Restating 2) of the Main Theorem, we next have:

Theorem 6.4. *PFA(S)[S] implies every locally compact Dowker space of Lindelöf number $\leq \aleph_1$ includes a perfect pre-image of ω_1 .*

Corollary 6.5. *PFA(S)[S] implies there are no locally compact submetrizable Dowker spaces of size \aleph_1 .*

Proof. 6.4 follows immediately from 2.19. \square

The conclusion of Theorem 6.4 is an improvement of a result in [3]; Balogh proved from MA_{ω_1} that locally compact spaces of size \aleph_1 which don't include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 are σ -closed-discrete, hence, if normal, are countably paracompact.

To show that Theorem 6.2 is not vacuous, we note that Nyikos [36] constructed, assuming \diamond , a hereditarily separable, locally compact, first countable, hereditarily normal Dowker space.

In [26], the authors remark that they can construct under \diamond , using their technique of refining the topology on a subspace of the real line, a locally compact Dowker space. By CH, such a space has cardinality \aleph_1 . Since it refines the topology on a subspace of \mathbb{R} , it is submetrizable. Thus the conclusion of Corollary 6.5 is independent. We do not have consistent counterexamples for clauses 1b), 3b), c), d) of the Main Theorem.

Clause 3a) of the Main Theorem follows immediately from 2.2; 3b) follows from 2.6. To prove 3c), first observe that by 4.7, X does not include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 . Next, if Y is a separable closed subspace of X , by 4.1 Y is Lindelöf. \square

“ D -spaces” are popular these days. See e.g. [14], [24].

Definition. X is a D -space if for every neighborhood assignment $\{V_x\}_{x \in X}$, there is a closed discrete $Y \subseteq X$ such that $\bigcup\{V_x : x \in Y\}$ is a cover.

Theorem 6.6. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which a locally compact normal countably tight space is paracompact if and only if it is a D -space.*

Clause 3d) of the Main Theorem follows. Theorem 6.6 is analogous to the fact that *linearly ordered spaces are paracompact if and only if they are D -spaces* (see e.g. [24]).

Proof of Theorem 6.6. Assume the space is D . It is well-known and easy to see that countably compact D -spaces are compact. It is also easy to see that closed subspaces of D -spaces are D .

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that a countably tight D -space cannot include a perfect pre-image of ω_1 . By \aleph_1 -CWH, the closure of a countable subspace of our space is collectionwise Hausdorff, and hence has countable extent. But again, it is well-known that D -spaces with countable extent are Lindelöf. By Theorem 2.6, our space is then paracompact.

For the other direction, a paracompact, locally compact space is a discrete sum of σ -compact spaces. It is well-known that σ -compact spaces are D -spaces, and it is easy to verify that discrete sums of D -spaces are D -spaces. \square

One way of strengthening normality without necessarily implying countable paracompactness is to assume hereditary normality. Another is to assume powers of the space are normal. And then one could assume both. Let's see what happens. We have already looked at hereditary normality; but let us also recall from [30] that:

Proposition 6.7. *There is a model of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ in which every locally compact space with hereditarily normal square is metrizable.*

Even in ZFC, a hereditarily normal square has consequences. The following results are due to P. Szeptycki [42]:

Proposition 6.8. *If X^2 is normal and X includes a countable non-discrete subspace, then X is countably paracompact.*

Corollary 6.9. *If X is separable, first countable, or locally compact, and X^2 is normal, then X is countably paracompact.*

On the other hand, following a suggestion of W. Weiss, Szeptycki [42] noticed that Rudin’s ZFC Dowker space [38] has all finite products normal.

Although our consistency results concerning small Dowker spaces improve previous ones, they have two unsatisfactory aspects. First of all, all but 1c) prove paracompactness, rather than countable paracompactness, so there ought to be sharper results.

It is likely that in our results involving hereditary normality, “perfect pre-image of ω_1 ” can be weakened to “copy of ω_1 .” This would follow from the following conjecture and unpublished theorem of the author.

Conjecture. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies every first countable perfect pre-image of ω_1 includes a copy of ω_1 .

Theorem 6.10. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies that every hereditarily normal perfect pre-image of ω_1 includes a first countable perfect pre-image of ω_1 .

Another unsatisfactory aspect of our consistency results is that a supercompact cardinal is required to construct models of form $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. This is surely overkill, when we are really concerned with \aleph_1 . We suspect that large cardinals are not needed except possibly for those relying on Axiom R. The other clauses probably can be obtained without any large cardinals, by \aleph_2 -p.i.c. forcing as in e.g. [53].

7 Hereditarily Normal Compact Spaces

Under $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$, hereditarily normal compact spaces – “ T_5 compacta” for short – have strong properties. We have already seen (6.2) that separable ones are hereditarily Lindelöf. It follows that they are first countable. Hence:

Theorem 7.1. *Countably compact, locally compact T_5 spaces are sequentially compact.*

Corollary 7.2. *$\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies T_5 compacta are sequentially compact.*

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let X be a countably compact, locally compact T_5 space. The one-point compactification of the closure of the range of a sequence is a separable T_5 compactum, so is first countable. The closure of the range is then itself first countable, so there is a subsequence converging to a limit point of the range. \square

Juhász, Nyikos, Szentmiklóssy [27] proved:

Lemma 7.3. *T_5 compacta which are homogeneous and hereditarily strongly \aleph_1 -collectionwise-Hausdorff are countably tight.*

It follows by \aleph_1 -CWH that $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies homogeneous T_5 compacta are countably tight. But we can do better:

Theorem 7.4. *$\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ implies homogeneous T_5 compacta are first countable.*

Proof. The authors of [27] show that homogeneous T_5 compacta are first countable, provided their open Lindelöf subspaces have hereditarily Lindelöf boundaries. We proved this following the proof of 2.12 above. \square

The conclusion of 7.4 was earlier proved consistent by de la Vega, using a different model [10].

The conclusion of 7.2 is not true in ZFC: Fedorchuk's S -space from \diamond [18] is a T_5 compactum which is countably tight – because it is hereditarily separable – but has no non-trivial convergent sequences.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to members of the Toronto Set Theory Seminar and to Gary Gruenhagen and Sakae Fuchino for discussions concerning this work. I also thank the referee of [46] for correcting the statements of the D -space results, and for suggesting I merge [46] and [44].

References

- [1] ARHANGEL'SKIĬ, A. V. Bicomacta that satisfy the Suslin condition hereditarily. Tightness and free sequences. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 199 (1971), 1227–1230.
- [2] ARHANGEL'SKIĬ, A. V., AND BUZYAKOVA, R. Z. On linearly Lindelöf and strongly discretely Lindelöf spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127, 8 (1999), 2449–2458.
- [3] BALOGH, Z. T. Locally nice spaces under Martin's axiom. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 24, 1 (1983), 63–87.

- [4] BALOGH, Z. T. Paracompactness in locally Lindelöf spaces. *Canad. J. Math.* 38, 3 (1986), 719–727.
- [5] BALOGH, Z. T. Locally nice spaces and axiom R. *Topology Appl.* 125, 2 (2002), 335–341.
- [6] BALOGH, Z. T. Reflecting point-countable families. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131, 4 (2003), 1289–1296.
- [7] BALOGH, Z. T. Dowker spaces. In *Encyclopedia of General Topology*, K. P. Hart, J.-I. Nagata, and J. E. Vaughan, Eds. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, 2004, pp. 354–356.
- [8] BALOGH, Z. T., AND RUDIN, M. E. Monotone normality. *Topology Appl.* 47, 2 (1992), 115–127.
- [9] CHABER, J. Conditions which imply compactness in countably compact spaces. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 24, 11 (1976), 993–998.
- [10] DE LA VEGA, R. A new bound on the cardinality of homogeneous compacta. *Topology Appl.* 153, 12 (2006), 2118–2123.
- [11] DOW, A. An introduction to applications of elementary submodels to topology. *Topology Proc.* 13, 1 (1988), 17–72.
- [12] DOW, A. Set theory in topology. In *Recent progress in general topology (Prague, 1991)*, M. Hušek and J. van Mill, Eds. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 167–197.
- [13] DOWKER, C. H. On countably paracompact spaces. *Canadian J. Math.* 3 (1951), 219–224.
- [14] EISWORTH, T. On D -spaces. In *Open Problems in Topology II*, E. Pearl, Ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 129–134.
- [15] EISWORTH, T., AND NYIKOS, P. J. Antidiamond principles and topological applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), 5695–5719.
- [16] ENGELKING, R., AND LUTZER, D. Paracompactness in ordered spaces. *Fund. Math.* 94, 1 (1977), 49–58.

- [17] FARAH, I. OCA and towers in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin}$. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 37 (1996), 861–866.
- [18] FEDORČUK, V. V. On the cardinality of hereditarily separable compact Hausdorff spaces. *Soviet Math Dokl.* 16 (1975), 651–655.
- [19] FISCHER, A. J., TALL, F. D., AND TODORCEVIC, S. B. Forcing with a coherent Souslin tree and locally countable subspaces of countably tight compact spaces. submitted.
- [20] FLEISSNER, W. G. Left separated spaces with point-countable bases. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 294, 2 (1986), 665–677.
- [21] FUCHINO, S., JUHÁSZ, S., SOUKUP, L., SZENTMIKLÓSSY, Z., AND USUBA, T. Fodor-type reflection principle, metrizable and meta-Lindelöfness. *Topology Appl.* 157 (2010), 1415–1429.
- [22] FUCHINO, S., SOUKUP, L., SAKAI, H., AND USUBA, T. More about Fodor-type reflection principle. In preparation.
- [23] GRUENHAGE, G. Some results on spaces having an orthobase or a base of subinfinite rank. In *Proceedings of the 1977 Topology Conference (Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge, La., 1977)*, I *Topology Proc.* (1977), vol. 2, pp. 151–159 (1978).
- [24] GRUENHAGE, G. A survey of D -spaces. In *Set Theory and its Applications, Contemp. Math.*, ed. L. Babinkostova, A. Caicedo, S. Geschke, M. Scheepers. 2011, pp. 13–28.
- [25] GRUENHAGE, G., AND KOSZMIDER, P. The Arkhangel’skiĭ-Tall problem under Martin’s axiom. *Fund. Math.* 149, 3 (1996), 275–285.
- [26] JUHÁSZ, I., KUNEN, K., AND RUDIN, M. E. Two more hereditarily separable non-Lindelöf spaces. *Canad. J. Math.* 28, 5 (1976), 998–1005.
- [27] JUHÁSZ, I., NYIKOS, P., AND SZENTMIKLÓSSY, Z. Cardinal restrictions on some homogeneous compacta. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133, 9 (2005), 2741–2750.
- [28] LARSON, P. An S_{\max} variation for one Souslin tree. *J. Symbolic Logic* 64, 1 (1999), 81–98.

- [29] LARSON, P., AND TALL, F. D. On the hereditary paracompactness of locally compact hereditarily normal spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, to appear.
- [30] LARSON, P., AND TALL, F. D. Locally compact perfectly normal spaces may all be paracompact. *Fund. Math.* 210 (2010), 285–300.
- [31] LARSON, P., AND TODORCEVIC, S. Katětov’s problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354, 5 (2002), 1783–1791.
- [32] LUTZER, D. J. A metrization theorem for linearly orderable spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 22 (1969), 557–558.
- [33] MIYAMOTO, T. ω_1 -Souslin trees under countable support iterations. *Fund. Math.* 142, 3 (1993), 257–261.
- [34] NYIKOS, P. J. Set-theoretic topology of manifolds. In *General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra, V (Prague, 1981)*, vol. 3 of *Sigma Ser. Pure Math.* Heldermann, Berlin, 1983, pp. 513–526.
- [35] NYIKOS, P. J. Hereditary normality versus countable tightness in countably compact spaces. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on General Topology and Applications (Oxford, 1989)* (1992), vol. 44, pp. 271–292.
- [36] NYIKOS, P. J. Hereditarily normal, locally compact Dowker spaces. *Proceedings of the 1999 Topology and Dynamics Conference (Salt Lake City, UT)*, *Topology Proc.* 24 (1999), 261–276.
- [37] NYIKOS, P. J. Applications of some strong set-theoretic axioms to locally compact T_5 and hereditarily scwH spaces. *Fund. Math.* 176, 1 (2003), 25–45.
- [38] RUDIN, M. E. A normal space X for which $X \times I$ is not normal. *Fund. Math.* 73, 2 (1971/72), 179–186.
- [39] RUDIN, M. E. Dowker spaces. In *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, Eds. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 761–780.
- [40] SHELAH, S., AND ZAPLETAL, J. Canonical models for \aleph_1 -combinatorics. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 98, 1-3 (1999), 217–259.

- [41] SZEPTYCKI, P. J. Small Dowker spaces. In *Open Problems in Topology, II*, E. Pearl, Ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 233–240.
- [42] SZEPTYCKI, P. J. Normality in products with a countable factor. *Topology Appl.* 157 (2010), 1622–1628.
- [43] SZEPTYCKI, P. J., AND WEISS, W. A. R. Dowker spaces. In *The work of Mary Ellen Rudin (Madison, WI, 1991)*, F. D. Tall, Ed. New York Acad. Sci.
- [44] TALL, F. D. Characterizing paracompactness in locally compact normal spaces. Preprint.
- [45] TALL, F. D. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ and hereditarily normal compacta. Preprint.
- [46] TALL, F. D. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ and locally compact Dowker spaces. Preprint.
- [47] TALL, F. D. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$: more mutually consistent topological consequences of PFA and $V = L$. *Canad. J. Math.*, to appear.
- [48] TALL, F. D. Set-theoretic consistency results and topological theorems concerning the normal Moore space conjecture and related problems. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Wisconsin (Madison), 1969;. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* 148 (1977), 53.
- [49] TALL, F. D. Normality versus collectionwise normality. In *Handbook of set-theoretic topology*, K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, Eds. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 685–732.
- [50] TALL, F. D. Topological applications of generic huge embeddings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 341, 1 (1994), 45–68.
- [51] TALL, F. D. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ and the Arhangel’skiĭ-Tall problem. *Topology Proc.* (2012), 109–120.
- [52] TODORCEVIC, S. Forcing with a coherent Souslin tree. Preprint, 2011.
- [53] TODORCEVIC, S. Directed sets and cofinal types. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 290, 2 (1985), 711–723.
- [54] TODORCEVIC, S. Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals. *Acta Math.* 159 (1987), 261–294.

- [55] WEISS, W. A countably paracompact nonnormal space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79, 3 (1980), 487–490.

Franklin D. Tall, Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto,
Toronto, Ontario M5S 2E4, CANADA
e-mail address: tall@@math.utoronto.ca