Error-Correcting Codes (Spring 2016) Rutgers University Swastik Kopparty Scribes: Brandon Butch and Sijian Tang

# 1 Introduction

Last time we saw codes with constant  $R, \delta > 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Today's focus will be codes with constant distance d (not relative distance) that meet the volume packing bound. Such codes are called **BCH Codes**.

### 1.1 Essentials of finite fields

BCH codes take advantage of certain properties of finite fields (that may not hold true for fields in general).

**Fact 1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  denote the finite field of  $2^m$  elements. The following hold

- 1.  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  is a vector space of dimension m over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- 2.  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  has characteristic 2 ( $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} : 2x = x + x = 0$ )
- 3.  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} : (x+y)^2 = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 = x^2 + y^2 \text{ since } 2xy = 0$

**Example 2.** For m = 2 we have  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\}$  with field operations summarized in the following tables

+	0	1	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$
0	0	1	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$
1	1	0	$\alpha + 1$	$\alpha$
$\alpha$	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$	0	1
$\alpha + 1$	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \alpha + 1 \end{array}$	$\alpha$	1	0

Addition for  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}$ 

, ,	0	-	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha + 1 \end{array}$	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$
$\alpha$	0	$\alpha$	$\alpha + 1$	1
$\alpha + 1$	0	$\alpha + 1$	1	$\alpha$

Multiplication for  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}$ 

Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}/\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then every element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \alpha_i$$

where all  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . We will represent elements using the following function

$$\phi: \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$$
$$\phi(\beta) = (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m)$$

such that  $\sum c_i \alpha_i = \beta$ .  $\phi(\beta)$  can be viewed as the concatenation of the  $c_i$ 's. This is nothing more than a representation of the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  as m bit strings. (Note that there are  $2^m$  elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , the same as the number of binary strings of length m.) Each  $\phi(\beta)$  can be thought of as a bit string that corresponds uniquely with an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , but the operations (particularly multiplication) would need to be redefined more carefully if one wanted to perform them directly on the bit string representations.

**Example 3.** Using  $\{1, \alpha\}$  as a basis for  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}$ , we can express each element as  $c_1 1 + c_2 \alpha$  where  $c_1, c_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ . This gives us the following representations

$$\phi(0) = 00$$
  

$$\phi(1) = 10$$
  

$$\phi(\alpha) = 01$$
  

$$\phi(\alpha + 1) = 11.$$

#### **1.2** Essentials of Vandermonde matrices

Define a  $k \times k$  matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_k \\ \gamma_1^2 & \gamma_2^2 & \dots & \gamma_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_1^{k-1} & \gamma_2^{k-1} & \dots & \gamma_k^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

In general, element  $\gamma_i^{i-1}$  occupies coordinate (i, j). This is called a Vandermonde matrix.

**Fact 4.** If  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$  are all distinct, then this matrix is nonsingular.

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise. Let u be a nonzero vector such that  $Vu^T = 0$ . Explicitly, we have  $\forall i$ 

$$u_0 + u_1\gamma_i + u_2\gamma_i^2 + \dots + u_{k-1}\gamma_i^{k-1} = 0$$

which would imply that the polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} u_j x^j$  vanishes at k distinct points (namely  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ ). This is a contradiction, since the polynomial has degree k-1, therefore it can have at most k-1 distinct roots.

## 2 BCH Codes

#### 2.1 Warm Up

As an introduction to BCH Codes, let's examine the case for d = 5. For  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  with a fixed basis and  $\phi$  as above, define the party check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ \phi(\alpha_1) & \phi(\alpha_2) & \cdots & \phi(\alpha_{2^m - 1}) \\ | & | & | \\ \phi(\alpha_1^3) & \phi(\alpha_2^3) & \cdots & \phi(\alpha_{2^m - 1}^3) \\ | & | & | \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{2^m-1}\} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0\}$ . This is a  $2m \times 2^m - 1$  matrix. Each column in the upper half (i.e. the upper *m* rows) consists of the image under  $\phi$  of a *nonzero* element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ ; the lower half of the column consists of the image of that element cubed. Note that because of the way we defined  $\phi$ , the upper half will simply be all nonzero bit strings of length *m*.

Claim 5. The code described by H has distance at least 5.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that any 4 columns of H are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Consider 4 arbitrary columns and let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$  be the corresponding representations in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose the columns were dependent with coefficients  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \phi(\beta_i) = 0$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \phi(\beta_i^3) = 0.$$

By the linearity of  $\phi$ , this gives us

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i\beta_i\right) = 0$$
$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i\beta_i^3\right) = 0$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \beta_i = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \beta_i^3 = 0.$$
 (2)

We square (1), using the fact that the field has characteristic 2, to obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \beta_i\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \beta_i^2 = 0.$$
 (3)

Repeat this step, squaring again to obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \beta_i^2\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i \beta_i^4 = 0.$$
(4)

Now we express (1), (2), (3), (4) as a combined system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 \\ \beta_1^2 & \beta_2^2 & \beta_3^2 & \beta_4^2 \\ \beta_1^3 & \beta_2^3 & \beta_3^3 & \beta_4^3 \\ \beta_1^4 & \beta_2^4 & \beta_3^4 & \beta_4^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If the matrix of  $\beta$ 's is nonsingular (i.e. its determinant is nonzero), then the only way this equation can be satisfied is if

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 \\ \beta_1^2 & \beta_2^2 & \beta_3^2 & \beta_4^2 \\ \beta_1^3 & \beta_2^3 & \beta_3^3 & \beta_4^3 \\ \beta_1^4 & \beta_2^4 & \beta_3^4 & \beta_4^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

is almost Vandermonde. It is easy to work out that, since all  $\beta_i$  are nonzero and distinct, M is nonsingular. Therefore, it must be the case that  $e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_4 = 0$ , which implies that any 4 columns of H are indeed linearly independent.

How large is this code? Recall H has dimensions  $2m \times 2^m - 1$ . Let  $n = 2^m - 1$ , then

$$|C| \geq \frac{2^n}{2^{2m}} = \frac{2^n}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Recall the volume packing bound for distance 5:

$$|C| \le \frac{2^n}{B(2)} = \frac{2^n}{(n+1)\binom{n}{2}} = \Theta\left(\frac{2^n}{n^2}\right).$$

### 2.2 BCH Codes in general

How can we generalize the above construction to larger distances? For some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the parity check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ \phi(\alpha_1) & \phi(\alpha_2) & \cdots & \phi(\alpha_{2^m-1}) \\ | & | & | & | \\ \phi(\alpha_1^3) & \phi(\alpha_2^3) & \cdots & \phi(\alpha_{2^m-1}^3) \\ | & | & | & | \\ \phi(\alpha_1^5) & \phi(\alpha_2^5) & \cdots & \phi(\alpha_{2^m-1}^5) \\ | & | & | & | \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ | & | & | & | \\ \phi(\alpha_1^{2t-1}) & \phi(\alpha_2^{2t-1}) & \cdots & \phi(\alpha_{2^m-1}^{2t-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

This is an extension the earlier definition, where row *i* corresponds with exponent 2i-1. As above, the  $\alpha$ 's run over all elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0\}$ . *H* has dimensions  $tm \times 2^m - 1$ .

Claim 6. The code described by H has distance at least 2t + 1.

*Proof.* The proof mimics the one given earlier. We need to show that any 2t columns are linearly independent. Suppose columns corresponding to  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{2t}$  were dependent with coefficients  $e_1, \ldots, e_{2t} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . Then, as before, using linearity of  $\phi$ , we know that  $\forall j \leq t$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2t} e_i \beta_i^{2j-1} = 0.$$

We need to show  $\forall l \leq 2t$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2t} e_i \beta_i^l = 0.$$

We know that this holds for odd  $l \leq 2t$ . But, if we know that it holds for some l', then we know it holds for 2l' because

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2t} e_i \beta_i^{2l'} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2t} e_i \beta_i^{l'}\right)^2$$

since our field has characteristic 2. Now construct the matrix M as in the earlier proof, and realize that M is a column-scaling of a Vandermonde matrix with distinct, nonzero  $\beta$ 's. Therefore, M is nonsingular and so the columns of H are linearly independent.

What is the size of this code? Let  $n = 2^m - 1$ , then

$$|C| \ge \frac{2^n}{2^{nt}} = \frac{2^n}{(n+1)^t} = \Theta\left(\frac{2^n}{n^t}\right).$$

This matches the volume packing bound up to a constant factor.

# 3 Relation between BCH code and RS code

Consider the dual of RS code:

Take RS code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with evaluation set  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and code word be all polynomials of degree  $\langle k$ . What is  $C^{\perp}$ ?

$$C^{\perp} = \{ f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q | \forall p(x) \text{ of degree} < k, \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(x) p(x) = 0 \}$$

Claim 7.  $\forall m < q-1$ ,

$$\sum_{x\in \mathbb{F}_q} x^m = 0$$

Take  $y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\},$  s.t.  $y^m \neq 1.$  (exist since  $deg(x^m-1) < q-1.$  ) Then:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} (xy)^m = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x^m$$
$$(y^m - 1) \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x^m = 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} x^m = 0$$

This directly implies that  $x^i \perp x^j$  if i + j < q - 1So  $x^i \in C^{\perp}$  for each  $i \leq q - 1 - k$ . Let  $S = span\{1, x, ..., x^{q-1-k}\}$ . Then  $dim(S) = x^{q-k}$  That means:  $S \subset C^{\perp}$  and  $dim(S) = dim(C^{\perp})$ . So  $S = C^{\perp}$ . So this is the parity check matrix for C.

This gives a quick proof that C has distance  $\geq q - k - 1$ : Any q - k colums form a Vandermonde Matrix and so are linear independent.

Let  $q = 2^m$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_2 \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Claim 8.** Let  $\widetilde{C} = BCH$  code with parameter  $t = \lfloor \frac{q-k}{2} \rfloor$ , then

$$\hat{C} = \hat{C} := \{ p \in C \text{ s.t. } p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$$

*Proof.* For any  $v \in ((F)_2)^n$ , we want to show that:

$$Hv = 0$$
 if and only if  $Hv = 0$ 

Where  $\widetilde{H}$  is the parity check matrix for  $\widehat{C}$ , we have:

$$\widetilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x) & \\ \phi(x^3) & \\ \vdots & \\ \phi(x^{q-1-k}) & \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $\widetilde{H}v = 0$ , then  $\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}v = 0$ , where  $\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} = \widetilde{H}$ +even rows. We can see that  $\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} = \phi(H)$ , which finishes the proof.

So BCH code with parameter t are contained in RS code with distance 2t + 1. So using BerlekampWelch algorithm one can decode BCH code of parameter t r from t errors in time ploy(n). ( $n^t$  is trivial).

Dual of BCH codes are called Dual-BCH codes. Dual-BCH codes with parameter t is a code with  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$ ,  $|C| = O(n^t)$ .

Turns out that C has distance  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}$ . (Follows from Weil Bound)

Remarkable because:

- 1. Greedy/Random code with  $n^t$  codeword has distance  $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n}}$
- 2. Optimal tradeoff for distance vs. size in the region.

How do codeword of C looks like?

$$f: \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{F}_2$$
$$f(x) = \text{first bit of } \phi(\sum_{i=0}^{2t-1} a_i x^i)$$

Equivalently, pick  $\mathbb{F}_2$  linear function  $\ell : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ .

$$f(x) = \ell(\sum_{i=0}^{2t-1} a_i x^i)$$