

Lecture 12: Locally Decodable Codes with High Rate

Error-Correcting Codes (Spring 2016)
Rutgers University
Swastik Kopparty
Scribes: Sijian Tang

In a previous class, we saw Reed-Muller locally decodable codes, with constant queries, where the encoding map sends: k bits $\rightarrow 2^{k^\epsilon}$ bits. We then saw matching vector codes that improve this to $k \rightarrow 2^{2^{(\log k)^\epsilon}}$ bits.

This lecture: Locally decodable code with constant rate, i.e. $k \rightarrow O(k)$ bits. As we mentioned earlier, it is known that constant rate cannot coexist with constant query local decoding.

1 Review of RM codes with constant rate

First, we consider RM code with the following parameters: code words are m variables polynomials in \mathbb{F}_q with degree at most d . So we have:

$$\text{dim} = \text{number of monomials with total degree } d \text{ in } m \text{ variables} = \binom{d+m}{m}$$

$$\text{length} = q^m.$$

$$\delta = \text{distance} = 1 - \frac{d}{q} \text{ (i.e. } d = (1 - \delta)q)$$

$$\text{Rate} = \binom{d+m}{m} \cdot \frac{1}{q^m} = \frac{d^m}{m!} \cdot \frac{1}{q^m} (1 + o(1)) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{m!} (1 - \delta)^m \text{ Here think } m \text{ is constant.}$$

We know this is locally decodable with $q = O(k^{1/m})$ queries. So we can see the rate is less than $1/2$ for any instantiation of RM codes. Now our question is: can we have locally decodable codes with $R > \frac{1}{2}$. (Discuss in class: maybe we can try RM code with other evaluate set. But it is not obvious to see whether it works or not.)

2 Multiplicity Code

Here we still works in \mathbb{F}_q , first set $m = 2$. Different from RM code, here we evaluate both values and derivatives on \mathbb{F}_q^m . This allows us to increase the degree parameter d up to $2q$.

$$\text{Message} := \{p(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y], \text{deg}(p) \leq d\}$$

$$\text{Codeword of } p := \text{Evaluate for each } (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 (p(x, y), \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x, y), \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(x, y)).$$

(We may need Hasse derivative if it's necessary)

$$\text{Alphabet} = \Sigma = \mathbb{F}_q^3.$$

Under these settings:

$$\text{Number of Codewords} = q^{\# \text{ of monomials of total deg } d} = q^{\binom{d+m}{m}}$$

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{\log_{|\Sigma|}(\# \text{ of codewords})}{q^2} = \frac{1/3 \binom{d+m}{m}}{q^2} \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{d^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{d^2}{q^2}$$

Why does this code have distance? For this we need a lemma.

Lemma 1. Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel Lemma

Let $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be non-zero polynomial with $\deg(p) \leq d$. Then,

$$\sum_{a \in S^m} \text{mult}(p, a) \leq d \cdot |S|^{m-1}$$

Or equivalently, $\mathbb{E}_{a \in S^m} \text{mult}(p, a) \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$

Using this Lemma we can bound the distance of the code. Let $p \neq \tilde{p}$ be polynomial of $\deg \leq d$, c and \tilde{c} be codewords. If c and \tilde{c} agrees in coordinate (x, y) . Then

$$p(x, y) = \tilde{p}(x, y), \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x}(x, y), \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(x, y) = \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

Let $Q = p - \tilde{p}$, then $Q(x, y) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{mult}(p, (x, y)) \geq 2$

So every agreement of c, \tilde{c} contributes 2 to $\sum_{a \in S^2} \text{mult}(p, a)$. By Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel

Lemma, number of agreements $\leq \frac{dq}{2}$. Which means $\text{distance} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{dq}{q^2}\right) = 1 - \frac{d}{2q}$. Fix the

distance to be δ , so we have $d = 2(1 - \delta)q$, $\text{Rate} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot d^2 q^2 = \frac{2}{3}(1 - \delta)^2$.

Thus with positive relative distance, we have achieved rate nearly $2/3$, going beyond $1/2$ as promised.

Now there are two things remains for us to do:

1. Show this code is locally decodable.
2. Prove the Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel Lemma.

3 Local Decoding

Given $r : \mathbb{F}_q^2 \rightarrow \Sigma$, s.t. $\Delta(r, \text{codeword of } p) \leq \epsilon$. We want to recover $(p(a), \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a), \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a))$.

Why this is enough? Here instead of view the polynomial itself as message, we find a set of points that the values on these points uniquely defines the polynomial. View those values as our message (just as in the Reed-Muller case).

Pick $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ uniformly at random, let $L = \vec{a} + \vec{b}t$. Query $r(\vec{a} + \vec{b}t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Plan: To find $Q(T)$. An easy observation is we can access "noisy" version of $Q(t)$ and $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

$$Q(T) = p(a_1 + b_1 T, a_2 + b_2 T), \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}(T) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \cdot b_1 + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \cdot b_2.$$

Now the problem are converted to the subproblem: Decoding univariable multiplicity codes. Given $r : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^2$, find the unique polynomial $Q(T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of $\deg \leq d$, s.t.

$$|\{t \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ s.t. } (Q(t), \frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}(t)) \neq r(t)\}| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d}{2q})$$

Left as an exercise, just like decoding R-S code (via Berlekamp Welch)

w.h.p. over choice of line, we can recover $Q(T) = p(a + bT)$. Which means we have:

$$p(a) = Q(0) \quad b_1 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a) + b_2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}(0)$$

So we need another line! Get another linear combination and can solve for $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a), \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a)$.

Review the parameters: Local decoding using $2q = O(\sqrt{n})$ queries.

S = order of multiplicity. $S = 2$ here.

In general, evaluate derivative of orders $< S$. $\frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} p(x, y)$, where $i + j < S$.

Given codeword $\Sigma = \mathbb{F}_q^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}}$, $deg = d = (1 - \delta)sq$.

Rate = $\frac{s}{s+1}(1 - \delta)^2$, $dist = \delta$.

Local decoding using S lines, handles error fraction = $\frac{\delta}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$

Instead, there is a variant of this decoding algorithm that decodes with $10s$ lines - this lets us decode from $\frac{\delta}{10}$ fraction of errors for all s .

So query complexity = $10sq = O(s\sqrt{k})$.

We can also take m big, so Rate becomes: $\geq (\frac{s}{s+m})^m (1 - \delta)^m$. Query Complexity = $O((\frac{m+s}{s})^m \cdot k^{\frac{1}{m}})$. Thus if we take m to be a big constant, δ to be a very tiny constant $\ll 1/m$, and s to be a massive constant $\gg m^2$, we get codes with rate nearly 1, and decodable with k^ϵ queries from a constant ($= \frac{\delta}{20}$) fraction errors.

Today it is known how to reduce the query complexity to around $2^{\sqrt{\log k}}$. This is done by combining multiplicity codes with subconstant relative distance with the Alon-Edmonds-Luby distance amplification trick to bring the relative distance back up to constant (one needs to understand how AEL interacts with local decoding here).

4 Proof of Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel Lemma

Here we only show the easy case where $m = 2$. Our strategy is fix $x = a$ and count the roots.

Assume that

$$p(x, y) = p_0(x) + p_1(x)y + \dots + p_t(x)y^t$$

such that $deg(p_i(x)) \leq d - i$, $p_t(x) \neq 0$.

Claim: For any $a \in S$, $\sum_{b \in S} mult(p, (a, b)) \leq mult(p_t, a) \cdot |S| + t$.

Claim \Rightarrow MSZ lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in S} \sum_{b \in S} mult(P, (a, b)) &\leq \left(\sum_{a \in S} mult(p_t, a) \right) \cdot |S| + t|S| \\ &\leq deg(p_t) \cdot |S| + t|S| \leq d|S| \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Claim:

Let $M = mult(p_t, a)$, we have: $\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} p_t(a) = 0$, $\forall i < M$, $\frac{\partial^M}{\partial x^M} p_t(a) \neq 0$.

$$\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} p(x, y) = p_0^{(i)}(x) + \dots + p_t^{(i)}(x)(y^t)^{(j)}$$

Consider $p^{(M,0)}(x, y) = \dots + p_t^{(M)}(x)y^t$. Let $Q(y) = p^{(M,0)}(a, y) = \dots + p_t^{(M)}(a)y^t$. Recall that $p_t^{(M)}(a) \neq 0$.

So we can see $\sum_{b \in S} mult(Q, b) \leq t$. So we only need to show:

$$mult(p, (a, b)) \leq mult(Q, b) + M$$

which is easy to verify directly using the fact that $p^{(M,j)}(a, b) = Q^{(j)}(b)$.