

"Economics has never been a science - and it is even less now than a few years ago." Paul Samuelson

"Funeral by funeral, theory advances" Paul Samuelson

"Economics is extremely useful as a form of employment for economists." J.K. Galbraith

"The only function of economic forecasting is to make astrology look respectable." J.K. Galbraith

"In economics it is a far, far wiser thing to be right than to be consistent" J.K. Galbraith

# Binomial Model

$S_n$  = stock price at time  $n$

$S_{n+1} = uS_n$  with probability  $p$ ,  $S_{n+1} = dS_n$  with probability  $q = 1 - p$

$0 < d < u$  fixed

$r$  = interest rate:  $\$1 \rightarrow \$(1 + r)$  in time 1

$0 < d < 1 + r < u$  or it is not interesting

$V$  = European call option Strike price  $K$ , maturity at time  $N$

means  $V_N = (S_N - K)_+$

Problem:  $V_0 = ?$

## Arbitrage pricing theory

Start with  $X_0$  dollars

You can have  $\Delta_0$  shares of stock (costs  $\Delta_0 S_0$ ) and put the rest in the bank

At time 1 you have  $X_1 = \Delta_0 S_1 + (1+r)(X_0 - \Delta_0 S_0)$  which is either  $X_1(u) = \Delta_0 u S_0 + (1+r)(X_0 - \Delta_0 S_0)$  with prob  $p$

or

$X_1(d) = \Delta_0 d S_0 + (1+r)(X_0 - \Delta_0 S_0)$  with prob  $q$

Because it is just two equations in two unknowns, you can choose  $X_0, \Delta_0$  so that  $X_1 = V_1$

$$\Delta_0 = \frac{V_1(u) - V_1(d)}{S_1(u) - S_1(d)} \quad X_0 = \frac{1}{1+r} [\tilde{p} V_1(u) + \tilde{q} V_1(d)]$$

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1+r-d}{u-d} \quad \tilde{q} = \frac{u-1-r}{u-d}$$

Arbitrage pricing theory says that  $X_0 = V_0$

We know  $V_N = (S_N - K)_+$

We now have  $V_{N-1} = \tilde{E}[\frac{1}{1+r} V_N] = \tilde{E}[\frac{1}{1+r} (S_N - K)_+]$

$$V_{N-2} = \tilde{E}[(\frac{1}{1+r})^2 (S_N - K)_+ | S_{N-2}]$$

$\tilde{E}$  is with respect to random walk  $S_n$  with  $S_{n+1} = uS_n$  with probability  $\tilde{p}$  and  $S_{n+1} = dS_n$  with probability  $\tilde{q}$

$$V_0 = \tilde{E}[(\frac{1}{1+r})^N (S_N - K)_+ | S_0]$$

# Brownian motion as limit of random walks

$Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  independent with  $P(Y_i = 1) = P(Y_i = -1) = 1/2$

$$M_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$B^{(n)}(t) = \frac{M_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Takes step  $\pm 1/\sqrt{n}$  at times  $1/n, 2/n, \dots$

$B^{(n)}(t)$  converges to Brownian motion

$(B^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, B^{(n)}(t_k)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} k$  dimensional Gaussian

Covariance  $E[B^{(n)}(t)B^{(n)}(s)] \rightarrow t \wedge s$

# Diffusion with variance $\sigma^2$ and drift $\mu$ as limit of random walks

$X^{(n)}(t)$  jumps at time increments  $1/n$  either  $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\mu}{n}$  or  $-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\mu}{n}$  with probability  $1/2$

$$X^{(n)}(t) - \frac{\mu}{n} \lfloor nt \rfloor = \sigma B^{(n)}(t)$$

So  $X^{(n)}(t) \rightarrow X(t) = \sigma B(t) + \mu t$  or  $dX = \sigma dB + \mu dt$

# Geometric Brownian motion as limit of Binomial model

For each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  consider a Binomial model  $S^{(n)}$  with  $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and  $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and  $r_n = 0$  and step size  $1/n$

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1 + r_n - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2} = \tilde{q}$$

$X^{(n)}(t) = \log S^{(n)}(t)$  jumps at time increments  $1/n$  either  $\log u_n$  or  $\log d_n$ , each with probability  $1/2$

$$\log u_n = \log\left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$\log d_n = \log\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$X^{(n)}(t) \rightarrow \sigma B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

$$S^{(n)}(t) \rightarrow S(t) = e^{\sigma B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t} \text{ Geometric Brownian motion } dS = \sigma S dB$$

$$\text{If } r_n = \frac{r}{n} \text{ get } dS = \sigma S dB + r S dt$$

## Option pricing formula

$$V_0 = \tilde{E}\left[\left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right)^{-[nT]} (S_{[nT]} - K)_+\right]$$

becomes

$$V_0 = E[e^{-rT} (S_T - K)_+] \quad dS = \sigma S dB + rS dt$$

At time  $t \in [0, T]$

$$V(t) = E[e^{-r(T-t)} (S_T - K)_+ | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

But we can *compute* transition probabilities for  $S_t$

$$p(t, x, y) dy = P(xe^{\sigma B(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} \in dy) = \frac{1}{\sigma y \sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(\log \frac{y}{x} - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t)^2}{2t\sigma^2}} dy$$

$$V(t) = \int e^{-r(T-t)} (y - K)_+ p(T-t, S_t, y) dy$$

$$= S(t) \Phi\left(\frac{\log \frac{S_t}{K} + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\right) - e^{-r(T-t)} K \Phi\left(\frac{\log \frac{S_t}{K} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\right) \quad \Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-y^2/2} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$



# Risk Neutral Valuation

We have a stock and a risk free bond

Stock price  $dS(t) = S(t)(\sigma(t)dB(t) + \mu(t)dt)$  Hold  $\Delta(t)$  stock at time  $t$   
wealth process assumed to satisfy

$$dX(t) = \Delta(t)dS(t) + r(t)(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt$$

Note that this does *not* follow directly from differentiating equation for the wealth. The extra term is set to be 0 and called *self-financing condition*

The *risk-neutral measure* is a probability measure  $\tilde{P}$  on  $(C([0, T]), \mathcal{F}_t)$  equivalent to  $P$  under which all tradable assets are martingales after discounting by  $e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds}$

If there is a risk neutral measure then the value at time  $t$  of claim paying asset  $V(T)$  at time  $T$  is

$$V(t) = \tilde{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s)ds} V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

To find the risk neutral measure we write

$$d\left(e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} S(t)\right) = e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} \sigma(t) S(t) (\theta(t) dt + dB(t)) \quad \theta(t) = \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)}$$

Define  $\tilde{B}(t) = \int_0^t \theta(s) ds + B(t)$

$$d\left(e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} S(t)\right) = e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} \sigma(t) S(t) d\tilde{B}(t)$$

define for Borel sets  $A \in C([0, T])$ ,

$$\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z(T) dP \quad Z(T) = e^{-\int_0^T \theta(t) dB(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \theta^2(t) dt}$$

By Cameron-Martin-Girsanov  $\tilde{B}(t)$  is a Brownian motion under  $\tilde{P}$  and all tradable assets in our model are martingales under  $\tilde{P}$ , so  $\tilde{P}$  is the risk neutral measure

# European call

$$V(T) = (S(T) - K)_+$$

$$V(0) = u(T, S) = \tilde{E}_S[e^{-\int_0^T r(t)dt}(S(T) - K)_+]$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial u}{\partial S} - ru \quad u(0, S) = (S - K)_+$$

$$V(0) = u(T, S(0))$$

## Constructing the hedge

Let

$$M(t) = \tilde{E}\left[e^{-\int_0^T r(s)ds} V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

$M(t)$  is a martingale with respect to  $\tilde{E}$ . By the martingale representation theorem there exists a progressively measurable  $\gamma(t)$  so that

$$M(t) = M(0) + \int_0^t \gamma(s) d\tilde{B}(s)$$

$$\Delta(t) = e^{\int_0^t r(s)ds} \frac{\gamma(t)}{\sigma(t)S(t)}$$

$$X(t) = e^{\int_0^t r(s)ds} M(t)$$

$$dX(t) = \Delta(t)dS(t) + r(t)(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt \quad X(T) = V(T)$$

So we have constructed a hedge

## Interest rate models

An easy model for interest rates is

$$dr(t) = (\theta - \alpha r(t))dt + \sigma dB(t)$$

called **mean reverting**. This is called *Vasicek model* although it is just Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process  $+\theta$ . If coefficients are nonrandom functions of time it is called *Hull-White*

Discount function

$$Z_{t,T} = E[e^{-\int_t^T r(s)ds} | \mathcal{F}_t] \quad Z_{t,T} = Z_{t,T}(r(t))$$

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T} = (\theta - \alpha r) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial r} + \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial r^2} - rZ$$

explicit solution in Hull-White case

### Example. Cox-Ingersol-Ross model

The interest rate  $r(t)$  is assumed to satisfy the equation

$$dr(t) = (\alpha - \beta r(t))dt + \sigma\sqrt{r(t)}dB(t).$$

Note that the Lipschitz condition is not satisfied, but existence/uniqueness holds by the stronger theorem we did not prove