

MAT 240, Fall 2008  
Solutions to Problem Set 3

1. Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $x \in \text{span}(\{y, z\})$  if and only if there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $x = ay + bz$ , i.e. if and only if  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (b, a + bi, a(1 + i) + b, -ai - bi)$ . This is equivalent to  $b = x_1$ ,  $a = x_2 - ix_1$ ,  $x_3 = (2 - i)x_1 + (1 + i)x_2$  and  $x_4 = (-1 - i)x_1 - ix_2$ .
2. We will prove each direction separately.  
 $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $V$ . Then,  $\text{span}(W) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i \mid a_i \in F, w_i \in W, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset W$ , since  $W$  is a subspace so  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i \in W$ . Also,  $W = \{1 \cdot w \mid w \in W\} \subset \text{span}(W)$ . Hence,  $\text{span}(W) = W$ .  
 $\Leftarrow$ : Assume  $\text{span}(W) = W$ . We check conditions of subspace test (Theorem 1.3):
  - (a)  $0 \in W$  since  $0 = 0 \cdot w \in \text{span}(W)$  for any  $w \in W$  (note we can prove that  $0 \cdot w = 0$  in a vector space the same way we proved that in any field  $F$  for any  $x \in F$ ,  $0 \cdot x = 0$ );
  - (b) let  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ , then  $w_1 + w_2 \in \text{span}(W) = W$ ,
  - (c) let  $c \in F$ ,  $w \in W$ , then  $cw \in \text{span}(W) = W$ .

Hence,  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

3. Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  and let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be subsets of  $V$ . Then it is easy to prove that if  $S_1 \subset \text{span}(S_2)$  then  $\text{span}(S_1) \subset \text{span}(S_2)$ . Indeed,  $\text{span}(S_1) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i s_i \mid c_i \in \mathbb{F}_5, s_i \in S_1, i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^m d_j z_j \right) \mid c_i, d_j \in \mathbb{F}_5, z_j \in S_2, i, j \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j z_j \mid c_i, d_j \in \mathbb{F}_5, z_j \in S_2, i, j \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \text{span}(S_2)$ . We use this observation in the following problems.
  - (a) We have that  $S_2 \subset S_1$  which implies that  $\text{span}(S_2) \subset \text{span}(S_1)$ . On the other hand, if  $f \in S_1$ , then  $f(x) = (x - 1)g(x)$ , where  $g(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ , for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . So,  $f(x) = a_3x^3(x - 1) + a_2x^2(x - 1) + a_1x(x - 1) + a_0(x - 1) \in \text{span}(S_2)$ . Hence,  $\text{span}(S_1) \subset \text{span}(S_2)$ . Therefore,  $\text{span}(S_1) = \text{span}(S_2)$ .
  - (b) Obviously,  $z \in S_2$  and  $z \notin \text{span}(S_1)$ , so  $\text{span}(S_2)$  is not a subset of  $\text{span}(S_1)$ . Note however that  $x = (x + z) - z$ , so  $S_1 \subset \text{span}(S_2)$ , and hence  $\text{span}(S_1) \subset \text{span}(S_2)$ .
  - (c) We have that  $S_1 \subset S_2$ , hence  $\text{span}(S_1) \subset \text{span}(S_2)$ . We want to prove that  $S_2 \subset \text{span}(S_1)$ , which implies that  $\text{span}(S_2) \subset \text{span}(S_1)$ . Then we have that  $\text{span}(S_1) = \text{span}(S_2)$ .

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_2$ . If  $A \in \text{span}(S_1)$ , then there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_5$  such that

$$A = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using calculations in  $\mathbb{F}_5$  to solve the following system of equations for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & + & 2\gamma = a \\ & 2\beta & + 3\gamma = b \\ & 3\beta & + 2\gamma = c \\ 4\alpha & + & 3\gamma = d \end{array}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 4a - d = 4a + 4d \\ 0 &= 3b - 2c = 3b + 3c. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the first equation by 4 and the second by 2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a + d \\ 0 &= b + c \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have one free variable  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_5$  and  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (a + 3\gamma, 3b + \gamma, \gamma)$ .

4. (a) Let  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . To show that  $\text{span}(S) = V$ , let

$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (a - c - d) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $V \subset \text{span}(S)$ . Obviously,  $\text{span}(S) \subset V$ , hence  $\text{span}(S) = V$ .

- (b) If,  $\text{span}(S') = V$ , then  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{span}(S')$ . That is, there exist  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \begin{pmatrix} a_{i11} & a_{i12} \\ a_{i21} & a_{i22} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{pmatrix} a_{i11} & a_{i12} \\ a_{i21} & a_{i22} \end{pmatrix} \in S' \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{i11} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{i12} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{i21} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{i22} \end{pmatrix} \\ 1 + 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{i11} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{i12} \\ 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (a_{i11} + a_{i12}) \\ 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot 0 \\ 1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction.

5. (a) Take  $S = \{x^2 + x, 2x^2 + x, x^2 + x + 1\}$ . Then,  $ax^2 + bx + c = (-a + 2b - c)(x^2 + x) + (a - b)(2x^2 + x) + c(x^2 + x + 1)$ , for any  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_5$ .
- (b) Consider  $g(x) = x$ ,  $g \in V$ . If  $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_i(x)$ , for some  $f_i \in S'$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_5$ , then  $g(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_i(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_i(3) = g(3)$ . However,  $g(1) = 1 \neq 3 = g(3)$ . Contradiction.
6. (a) Let  $v \in \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2)$ . Then  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i s_i$  for some  $s_i \in S_1 \cap S_2$  and scalars  $c_i$ . Since  $s_i \in S_1$  for all  $i$ ,  $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$ . Also,  $s_i \in S_2$  for all  $i$ , so  $v \in \text{span}(S_2)$ . Hence,  $v \in \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$ .
- (b) Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $S_1 = \{(1, 0)\}$ ,  $S_2 = \{2, 0\}$ . Then  $\text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \text{span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  and  $\text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2) = \text{span}(S_1)$ , i.e. the x-axis.
- (c) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $S_1 = \{(1, 0)\}$ ,  $S_2 = \{1, 0\}, \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $\text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \text{span}(\{(1, 0)\}) = \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$ .

7. (a) Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and the linear combination

$$a \begin{pmatrix} -1+i & 1+i \\ 0 & 1-i \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} i+1 & 1 \\ -1 & i-1 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ i & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which gives system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} (-1+i)a &+ (1+i)b & &= 0 \\ (1+i)a &+ b &+ c &= 0 \\ &- b &+ ic &= 0 \\ (1-i)a &+ (-1+i)b &+ 2c &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system for  $b$  and  $c$ , we get  $0 \cdot a = 0$ , so we can take any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . So,  $(a, b, c) = (a, \frac{1-i}{1+i}a, -a)$ . In particular, if we take  $a = 1+i$ , we get a non-trivial solution  $(a, b, c) = (1+i, 1-i, -1-i)$ . Hence,  $S$  is not linearly independent in  $V$ .

(b) It is easy to show that if

$$a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

then  $a = b = c = 0$ , so  $S$  is a linearly independent set.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} a(x^5 + x^4 - x^3) + b(x^5 + x^4 - x^2) + c(x^5 + x^4 - x) &= 0 \\ (a+b+c)x^5 + (a+b+c)x^4 - ax^3 - bx^2 - cx^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} a+b+c &= 0 \\ a+b+c &= 0 \\ -a &= 0 \\ -b &= 0 \\ -c &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ , so  $S$  is linearly independent.

8. (a) This statement is the same as: The set  $S = \{f, g\}$  is linearly dependent if and only if for all  $a, b \in F$ ,  $f(a)g(b) - g(a)f(b) = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$ : Assume that  $S$  is linearly dependent. Then there exist  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ , such that  $\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in F$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\alpha \neq 0$ , so there exists  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Then,  $f(x) = (-\beta)\alpha^{-1}g(x)$ . For all  $a, b \in F$ ,  $f(a)g(b) = (-\beta)\alpha^{-1}g(a)g(b) = g(a)f(b)$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : If  $f$  and  $g$  are identically zero, then  $f = g$ , so  $S$  is linearly dependent. Assume that not both  $f$  and  $g$  are identically zero. Say, without loss of generality, that there exists  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $g(x_0) \neq 0$ , so there exists  $g(x_0)^{-1}$ . Assume that for all  $a, b \in F$ ,  $f(a)g(b) - g(a)f(b) = 0$ . Then for all  $x \in F$ ,  $f(x) = (g(x_0)^{-1}f(x_0))g(x)$ . Since  $g(x_0)^{-1}f(x_0)$  is a constant,  $S$  is linearly dependent.

(b) By part a), we need to find  $a, b \in F$ , such that  $f(a)g(b) - g(a)f(b) \neq 0$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are not identically zero, there exist  $a, b \in F$ , such that  $f(a) \neq 0$  and  $g(b) \neq 0$ . Then, if  $g(a) = 0$ ,  $f(a)g(b) - g(a)f(b) = f(a)g(b) \neq 0$ . Otherwise, if  $g(a) \neq 0$ , then  $f(a)g(-a) - g(a)f(-a) = -f(a)g(a) - g(a)f(a) = -2f(a)g(a) \neq 0$ .

9. (a) If  $\alpha(ax) + \beta(by) + \gamma(cz) = 0$ , then  $(\alpha a)x + (\beta b)y + (\gamma c)z = 0$ . Since  $\{x, y, z\}$  is linearly independent,  $\alpha a = \beta b = \gamma c = 0$ . Now, since  $abc \neq 0$ , their inverses exist, to  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ , that is  $\{ax, by, cz\}$  is linearly independent.
- (b) Span of any set  $U$  is a linearly dependent set. Indeed, if  $x \in U$ , then  $cx \in \text{span}(U)$  for any scalar  $c$ . Assume  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $(-c) \cdot x + 1 \cdot (cx) = 0$  is a non-trivial combination of vectors from  $\text{span}(U)$ , so  $\text{span}(U)$  is linearly dependent. Therefore,  $\text{span}(\{x + z, x - y\})$  is a linearly dependent set.  
Note,  $S' = \{x + z, x - y\}$  is a linearly independent set.
- (c) This is a linearly dependent set. Indeed, if  $a(x + z) + b(x - y) + c(y + z) = 0$ , then  $(a + b)x + (-b + c)y + (a + c)z = 0$ . Since  $\{x, y, z\}$  is linearly independent, we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a + b & = & 0 \\ -b + c & = & 0 \\ a & + & c = 0. \end{array}$$

This system of equations has a solution  $(a, b, c) = (-c, c, c)$ , for any  $c \in F$ . Therefore, for any  $c \neq 0$ , this is a non-trivial solution.

10. By definition, a set is linearly independent if it is not linearly dependent. Therefore, we will show that  $S$  is not linearly dependent.  
Assume that  $S$  is linearly dependent. Then there exist finitely many distinct vectors  $f_i \in S$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and scalars  $\alpha_i \in F$  which are not all zero, such that  $\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n = 0$ . Let  $k$  be the highest degree of polynomial with non-zero scalar, that is  $k = \max\{\deg(f_i) \mid \alpha_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (note, this is a finite non-empty set, so maximum exists). Then, for some unique  $i_0$ ,  $f_{i_0}(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_0$ ,  $a_j \in F$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ . We have that  $\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$  with leading coefficient  $\alpha_{i_0} a_{i_0}$ , since all polynomials in  $S$  have distinct degree smaller than  $k$ . However,  $\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n = 0$ , so leading coefficient has to be zero, i.e.  $\alpha_{i_0} a_{i_0} = 0$ , implying  $\alpha_{i_0} = 0$  since  $a_{i_0} \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction to our choice of  $k$ .
11. (a) Assume that  $S_1 \cup S_2$  is a linearly dependent set. That is, for some  $x_i \in S_1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $y_j \in S_2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in F$  not all zero, such that  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \beta_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2 + \dots + \beta_m y_m = 0$ ,  $n, m \geq 1$ . Then,  $v = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = -(\beta_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2 + \dots + \beta_m y_m) \in \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$ , so  $v = 0$ . Since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are linearly independent sets, this means that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\beta_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , which is a contradiction.
- (b) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  and consider  $S_1 = \{(1, 1)\}$  and  $S_2 = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ .