## MAT 327: Introduction to Topology Assignment #5 Due on Monday July 31, 2023 by 11:59 pm

Note: This assignment covers material from Week #1-#9.

## Problem 1

Let  $X := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \ge 0\}$ . Define a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for a topology on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{B} := \{ B_{\epsilon}((a,b)) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, 0 < \epsilon < b \} \bigcup \{ B_{\epsilon}((a,\epsilon)) \cup \{(a,0)\} \mid \epsilon > 0, a \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Convince yourself that  $\mathcal{B}$  is indeed a basis for a topology on X. The space X equipped with this topology is called the Moore plane.

(a) Show that the Moore plane is completely regular.

Let A be a closed set and  $p \in A^c$ . Let B be a basis set containing p. Define a function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  as follows. First, define f(p) := 0. If  $x \in B^c$ , define f(x) := 1. If  $x \in B \setminus \{p\}$ , then let  $L_x$  be the line segment starting from p, passing through x, and ending at the boundary of B; define  $f(x) := \frac{d(p,x)}{r}$  where d is the euclidean distance and r is the euclidean length of L.

We show that f is continuous. Let  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $I = (f(x) - \delta, f(x) + \delta)$ . It suffices to show that there exist a basis neighbourhood U of x such that  $f(U) \subseteq I$ . We first observe that  $\overline{B}$  is the closed ball. If  $x \in \overline{B}^c$ , then we can choose U to be a neighbourhood basis of x contained in  $\overline{B}^c$  and so  $f(U) = \{1\} = \{f(x)\} \subseteq I$ . If  $x \in \overline{B}$ , suppose for simplicity  $x \neq p$ . Then we can choose U to be a neighbourhood basis of x with diameter equal  $\delta r/2$  where r is the length of  $L_x$  and so  $f(U) \subseteq I$ . The case when x = p is similar.

(b) Let Z be a topological space with a dense subset D and a closed discrete subset C such that  $|P(D)| \leq |C|$ . Show that Z is not normal.

Suppose Z is normal, D is a dense subset and C is a closed discrete set. Since C is discrete and closed, A and  $C \setminus A$  are closed disjoint sets for every  $A \subseteq C$ . For each set  $A \subseteq C$ , we choose disjoint nieghbourhoods  $U_A$  and  $V_A$  of A and  $C \setminus A$ . We define the function  $F : P(C) \to P(D)$  as follows. Define  $F(\emptyset) := \emptyset$  and F(C) := D. Otherwise, define  $F(A) := U_A \cap D$ . We show that F is injective. Suppose  $A_1, A_2 \subseteq C$  such that  $A_1 \neq A_2$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $A_2 \setminus A_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U_{A_2 \setminus A_1} \cap U_{A_2} \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_{A_2 \setminus A_1} \cap U_{A_1} \neq \emptyset$ . Since D is dense, we also have that  $U_{A_2 \setminus A_1} \cap F(A_2) \neq \emptyset$  and

 $V_{A_2 \setminus A_1} \cap F(A_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U_{A_2 \setminus A_1}$  is disjoint from  $V_{A_2 \setminus A_1}$ , it follows that  $F(A_1) \neq F(A_2)$  and hence F is injective. This implies that  $|C| < |P(C)| \le |P(D)|$  and so |C| < |P(D)| as needed.

(c) Use the above to show that the Moore plane is not normal.

Let  $C := \{(x, y) \in X \mid y = 0\}$  and  $D = \{(x, y) \in X \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . It's easy to see that C is closed and discrete and D is dense. Since  $|C| = |\mathbb{R}| = |P(\mathbb{Q}^2)| = |P(D)|$ , it follows by part (b) that the Moore plane is not normal.

Let  $Y := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \geq 0\} \cup \{(0,-1)\}$ . We define a collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of Y as follows. If  $(x,y) \in Y$  for y > 0, then  $\{(x,y)\} \in \mathcal{B}$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $M_x := \{(x,y) \mid 0 \leq y < 2\}$ , let  $N_x := \{(x+y,y) \mid 0 \leq y < 2\}$ , and let  $P_x$  be a finite subset of  $(M_x \cup N_x) \setminus \{(x,0)\}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(M_x \cup N_x) \setminus P_x$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Also, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{(x,y) \in Y \mid x > n\} \cup \{(0,-1)\}$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Draw a picture of the sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  to help you visualize it and convince yourself that  $\mathcal{B}$  is indeed a basis for a topology on Y.

(d) Show that Y is regular.

*Hint:* Consider three cases, y = -1, y = 0, and y > 0.

Let  $(x, y) \in Y$  and let U be a neighbourhood of (x, y). If y > 0, then  $V := \{(x, y)\}$  is a neighbourhood of (x, y) such that  $\overline{V} = V \subseteq U$ . If y = 0, then there exists a finite set  $P_x \subseteq M_x \cup N_x$  such that  $(M_x \cup N_x) \setminus P_x \subseteq U$ . Letting  $V := (M_x \cup N_x) \setminus P_x$ , it follows that V is a neighbourhood of (x, y) such that  $\overline{V} = V \subseteq U$ . If y = -1, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{(x, 0) \mid x > n\} \cup \{(0, -1)\} \subseteq U$ . Letting  $V := \{(x, 0) \mid x > n+1\} \cup \{(0, -1)\}$ , it follows that V is a neighbourhood of (x, y) such that  $\overline{V} = \{(x, 0) \mid x > n+1\} \cup \{(0, -1)\} \subseteq U$ . We conclude that Y is regular.

(e) Let  $C := \{(x,0) \mid x \leq 1, \text{ which is a closed set. Let } f : Y \to [0,1] \text{ be a continuous map such that } f(C) = \{0\}.$  For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n := f^{-1}(0) \cap \{(x,0) \mid n-1 \leq x \leq n\}.$  Show that  $A_n$  is infinite for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will prove it by induction. Since  $A_1 = \{(x,0) \mid 0 \le x \le 1\}$ ,  $A_1$  is infinite. Suppose that  $A_n$  is infinite for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix a countable set  $D \subseteq A_n$  and fix  $(q,0) \in D$ . We will first show that  $N_q \setminus f^{-1}(0)$  is countable. We write  $N_q \setminus f^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k$ where  $E_k := N_q \setminus f^{-1}(-1/k, 1/k)$ . Suppose  $E_k$  is infinite; then every neighbouhood of (q, 0) will contain  $N_q$  except for finitely many points and, hence, in particular will intersect  $E_k$ . This implies that  $(q, 0) \in \overline{E_k} = E_k$ , but we know that f(q, 0) = 0 by virtue of the fact that  $(q, 0) \in A_n$ . It follows that  $E_k$  is finite for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $N_q \setminus f^{-1}(0)$  is countable. Define the set  $P_q := \{(x,0) \mid x \in \pi_1(N_q \setminus f^{-1}(0))\}$  and  $P := \bigcup_{(q,0) \in D} P_q$ . Then define the set  $F := \{(x,0) \mid n \leq x \leq n+1\} \setminus P$ . Since  $P_q$  is countable for each  $(q,0) \in D$ and D is countable, it follows that P is countable and hence F is infinite. We will show that  $F \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$ . Let  $(x,0) \in F$ . Then every neighbourhood of (x,0) must contain  $M_x$  except for finitely many points; in particular, by definition of F,  $M_x$  must intersect  $N_q \cap f^{-1}(0)$  for some  $(q,0) \in D$ . It follows that  $(x,0) \in f^{-1}(0) = f^{-1}(0)$ . Since  $F \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$  and  $F \subseteq \{(x,0) \mid n \leq x \leq n+1\}$ , it follows that  $F \subseteq A_{n+1}$ . Since F is infinite, we conclude that  $A_{n+1}$  is infinite.

(f) Use the above to show that Y is not completely regular.

*Hint:* Show first that f(0, -1) = 0. *Remark:* In #11 in section 33, Munkres constructs another topological space that is regular but not completely regular.

Let C be the closed set from part (e) and let  $f: X \to [0.1]$  be a continuous function such that  $f(C) = \{0\}$ . By part (e), we know that  $A_n$  is nonempty for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then every basis neighbouhood of (0, -1) will contain  $A_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and therefore intersect  $f^{-1}(0)$ . This implies that  $(0, -1) \in \overline{f^{-1}(0)} = f^{-1}(0)$  and so f(0, -1) = 0. We conclude that the closed set C and the point (0, -1) cannot be separated by a continuous function and so Y is not completely regular.

## Problem 2

We will define a new separation axiom.

We say that a normal topological space X is perfectly normal  $(T_6)$  if for every pair of disjoint closed sets A and B, there exists a continuous function  $f: X \to [0, 1]$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$  and  $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = B$ .

(a) We say a closed set  $A \subseteq X$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  set if it's the intersection of countably many open sets. Let X be a normal space and let A and B be disjoint closed sets. Show that A and B are  $G_{\delta}$  sets if and only if there exists a continuous function  $f: X \to [0, 1]$ such that  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$  and  $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = B$ .

Remark: This is usually called the strong version of the Urysohn Lemma.

 $\implies$ 

Suppose A and B are  $G_{\delta}$  sets; so  $A = \bigcap_n U_n$  and  $B = \bigcap_n V_n$  where  $U_n$  and  $V_n$  are open sets.

For each n, A and  $U_n^c$  as well as B and  $V_n^c$  are disjoint closed sets and so we can invoke Urysohn Lemma to choose continuous functions  $h_n, g_n : X \to [0, 1]$  such that

$$h_n(A) = 0, \quad h_n(U_n^c) = 1, \quad g_n(B) = 0, \quad g_n(V_n^c) = 1$$

Then we define the functions  $h, g: X \to [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$h(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} h_n(x), \quad g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} g_n(x)$$

Since the sum converges uniformly on X, it follows that h and g are continuous. If h(x) = 0, then  $h_n(x) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implying that  $x \in U_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $x \in \bigcap_n U_n = A$  and so  $h^{-1}(0) = A$ . Similarly, we have that  $g^{-1}(0) = B$ . Then the function  $h: X \to [0, 1]$  defined by

$$f(x) := \frac{h(x)}{h(x) + g(x)}$$

is the desired function.

 $\Leftarrow$ 

Suppose there exists a function  $f: X \to [0, 1]$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) = A$  and  $f^{-1}(1) = B$ . Then  $A = \bigcap_n U_n$  and  $B = \bigcap_n V_n$  where

$$U_n = f^{-1}(-1/n, 1/n), \quad V_n = f^{-1}(1 - 1/n, 1 + 1/n)$$

We conclude that A and B are  $G_{\delta}$  sets as needed.

(b) Let X be a normal space. Show that X is perfectly normal if and only if every closed set is a  $G_{\delta}$  set if and only if every closed set A is  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  for some continuous function  $f: X \to [0, 1]$ .

Suppose X is perfectly normal. Let A be a closed set. If A = X, then A is trivially a  $G_{\delta}$  set and can be written as  $f^{-1}(0)$  where f = 0. Otherwise, let  $B = \{x\}$  where  $x \notin A$ . By the definition of perfectly normal, there exists a continuous function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) = A$  and  $f^{-1}(1) = B$ . By the backward direction in part(a), it follows that A is a  $G_{\delta}$  set. This shows that the first statement implies the second and third.

By part (a), the second statement trivially implies the first.

The proof of the forward direction in part (a) shows in particular that if two disjoint closed sets can be written as  $A = h^{-1}(0)$  and  $B = g^{-1}(0)$  for some continuous functions  $h, g: X \to [0, 1]$ , then there exists a function  $f: X \to [0, 1]$  defined by  $f := \frac{h}{h+g}$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(0)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(1)$ . This shows that the third statement implies the first. We have then shown that all three statements are equivalent.

(c) Show that every metrizable space is perfectly normal.

Let A and B be disjoint closed sets. Since A and B are closed, d(x, A) = 0 iff  $x \in A$  and similarly for B. Then  $f : X \to [0, 1]$  defined by  $f(x) := \frac{d(x, A)}{d(x, A) + d(x, B)}$  is the desired function.

(d) Show that perfectly normal is strictly stronger than completely normal.

To show that perfectly normal is stronger than completely normal, it suffices to show that the property of being perfectly normal is hereditary. If so, then a perfectly normal space is in particular a normal space that is hereditarily normal, and hence completely normal. Let Y be a subspace of a perfectly normal space X and let A and B be disjoint closed set in Y. Then  $A = \tilde{A} \cap Y$  and  $B = \tilde{B} \cap Y$  for some closed sets  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  in X. Since X is perfectly normal, there exist continuous functions  $h, g : X \to [0, 1]$  such that  $h^{-1}(0) = \tilde{A}$  and  $g^{-1}(0) = \tilde{B}$ . Then  $h|_Y, g|_Y : Y \to [0, 1]$ are continuous functions such that  $h|_Y^{-1}(0) = A$  and  $g|_Y^{-1}(0) = B$ . Define the function  $f : Y \to [0, 1]$  by  $f := \frac{h|_Y}{h|_Y + g|_Y}$ ; then f satisfies  $f^{-1}(0) = A$  and  $f^{-1}(1) = B$ . In particular,  $f^{-1}([0, 1/4)$  and  $f^{-1}(3/4, 1]$  are disjoint open neighbourhoods of A and B, and hence Y is normal. The existence of such a function f for every pair of disjoint closed sets in Y implies that Y is perfectly normal as needed.

Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  defined in the hint. We will show that this space is completely normal but nor perfectly normal. It is clear that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $T_1$  space since  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{p\}$ is open for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let A and B be subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ . We want to show that there exists disjoint neighbouhoods of A and B. At least one of A or B does not contain 0. if  $0 \notin A$  and  $0 \in B$ ,  $\overline{A} = A \cup \{0\}$ and the condition  $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$  cannot be satisfied. If both A and B do not contain 0, then they are both open sets. The statement then follows trivially since A and B are disjoint neighbouhoods of A and B, respectively. We conclude that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  is completely normal.

We now show that X is not perfectly normal. Consider the set  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a closed set as it contains 0. Notice that any neighbourhood of  $\mathbb{Z}$  must be of the form  $\mathbb{R} \setminus P$  for some finite set P. If  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  set, then it can be written as a countable intersection of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . However, such a set must be of the form  $\mathbb{R} \setminus D$  for some countable or finite set D and hence uncountable. Since Z is countable, it cannot be a  $G_{\delta}$  set. We conclude that X is not perfectly normal as there exists a closed set that is not a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

*Hint:* Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  where  $\mathcal{T} := \{ U \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \notin U \text{ or } U^c \text{ is finite } \}.$ 

## Problem 3 \*(bonus)\*

We have defined many separation axioms and have proven the following hierarchy:

 $T_6 \implies T_5 \implies T_4 \implies T_{3.5} \implies T_3 \implies T_2 \implies T_1$ 

Find topological spaces  $X_i$ , where  $i \in S := \{1, 2, 3, 3.5, 4, 5\}$ , such that  $X_i$  is  $T_i$  but not  $T_j$  where j is the next number in S. You do not need to prove that these topological spaces satisfy what you claim they do.

- Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  where  $\{x\}$  is the only nontrivial open set. Then X is  $T_1$  but not  $T_2$ .
- The space  $\mathbb{R}_K$  defined in lectures is  $T_2$  but not  $T_3$ .
- The space Y defined in problem 1 is  $T_3$  but not  $T_{3.5}$ .
- The Moore plane defined in problem 1 is  $T_{3.5}$  but not  $T_4$ .
- The space  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{R}}$  is  $T_4$  but not  $T_5$ .
- The space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$  defined in problem 2d is  $T_5$  but not  $T_6$ .