

# A HIERARCHY OF CENTRALLY EXTENDED ALGEBRAS AND THE LOGARITHM OF THE DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

BORIS KHESIN

The algebra of differential operators on the circle is one of the main objects in conformal field theory and the theory of integrable systems [B], [PRS]. This algebra contains the subalgebra of vector fields on the circle and has a unique central extension as does its subalgebra [G]. Following [KK], we describe in Section 1 an explicit construction of this extension and a new concept of the logarithm of the derivative operator  $\log D$ . This concept turns out to be very useful for defining the corresponding 2-cocycle; it also gives a central extension of a larger algebra of pseudodifferential operators on the circle. Moreover, the analogous extended algebra exists for differential operators with coefficients in any reductive algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . In particular, the Kac-Moody and the Virasoro algebras are the simplest restrictions of the described cocycle on the zeroth and the first-order differential operators. (See also [KP].) We define here a whole hierarchy of subalgebras admitting nontrivial central extensions. (See Section 2.)

The author is deeply grateful to V. Kac, T. Ratiu, A. Weinstein, and I. Zakharevich for fruitful discussions.

**1. The logarithm of the derivative operator.** The ring  $\mathcal{R}$  of pseudodifferential operators is the ring of formal series  $A(x, D) = \sum_{-\infty}^n a_i(x) D^i$  with respect to  $D$ , where  $a_i(x) \in C^\infty(S^1, k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and the variable  $D$  corresponds to  $d/dx$ . The multiplication law in  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by the product of symbols

$$(1) \quad A(x, \xi) \circ B(x, \xi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} A_\xi^{(n)}(x, \xi) B_x^{(n)}(x, \xi)$$

(where  $A_\xi^{(n)} = d^n A / d\xi^n$  and  $B_x^{(n)} = d^n B / dx^n$ ), and it coincides with the usual multiplication law on the subalgebra  $\mathcal{R}_+ \subset \mathcal{R}$  of differential operators (i.e., on polynomials with respect to  $D$ ). This law determines a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$(2) \quad [A, B] = A \circ B - B \circ A.$$

There is an operator  $\text{res}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow C^\infty(S^1)$  on the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ :  $\text{res}(\sum a_i D^i) = a_{-1}(x)$ . The main property of  $\text{res}$  is  $\int \text{res}[A, B] = 0$  for arbitrary  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ . (Here and below, we integrate over the circle  $S^1$ .)

Received 16 November 1991.

Communicated by N. Yu. Reshetikhin.

Now we consider the formal expression  $\log D$ . Certainly, for any pseudo-differential operator  $A \in \mathcal{R}$ , the formal product  $A \circ \log D$  (according to (1), where  $\log \xi$  is the symbol of  $\log D$ ) does not belong to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

The crucial point is that the formal commutator  $[\log D, A] = \log D \circ A - A \circ \log D$  is an element of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Thus,  $\log D$  acts on  $\mathcal{R}$  by commutation  $[\log D, *]$ . In coordinate form, if  $A = \sum_{i=-\infty}^n a_i(x)D^i$ , then (due to (1–2))

$$(3) \quad [\log D, A] = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} A_x^{(k)} D^{-k}$$

where  $A_x^{(k)}$  is the operator  $A_x^{(k)} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^n a_i^{(k)} D^i$  with  $a_i^{(k)} = d^k a_i / dx^k$ . Note that, even for a differential operator  $A \in \mathcal{R}_+$ , the result  $[\log D, A]$  is, generally speaking, a pseudodifferential operator.

**THEOREM 1 ([KK]).** *A nontrivial central extension of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by the 2-cocycle*

$$c(L, M) = \int \text{res}([\log D, L] \circ M) = \int \text{res} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} L_x^{(k)} D^{-k} \circ M \right)$$

where  $L$  and  $M$  are arbitrary pseudodifferential symbols on  $S^1$ . The restriction of this cocycle to  $\mathcal{R}_+$  gives the nontrivial central extension of  $\mathcal{R}_+$ .

*Remark 2.* The restriction of this cocycle to the subalgebra of vector fields (i.e., to the first-order differential operators) is the Gelfand-Fuchs cocycle of the Virasoro algebra. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} c(f(x)D, g(x)D) &= \int \text{res}([\log D, f(x)D] \circ g(x)D) \\ &= \int \text{res}((f'D^0 - f''D^{-1}/2 + f'''D^{-2}/3 - \dots) \circ gD^1) \\ &= \int \text{res}(\dots + f''(x)g'(x)D^{-1}/6 + \dots) = \frac{1}{6} \int f''(x)g'(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This observation implies the nontriviality of the cocycle on  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_+$ .

*Proof of the theorem.* Skew symmetry of  $c(L, M)$  is a consequence of the identities

$$[\log D, L \circ M] = [\log D, L] \circ M + L \circ [\log D, M] \quad \text{and} \quad \int \text{res}[\log D, A] = 0$$

for any  $L, M, A \in \mathcal{R}$ . These identities themselves follow immediately from (1–3).

The same identities together with the Jacobi identity on  $\mathcal{R}$  allows one to verify the cocycle property

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcirc_{L, M, N} c(L, [M, N]) \\ &= \int \text{res}([\log D, L][M, N] + [\log D, N][L, M] + [\log D, M][N, L]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 3.* Assume for a moment that  $\log D$  is an element of the algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then we can define not only the commutator  $[\log D, A]$  but also a product  $\log D \circ A$ , and rewrite the cocycle  $c(A, B) = \int \text{res}([\log D, A] \circ B)$  as  $c(A, B) = \int \text{res}(\log D \circ [A, B])$ . The last formula means that the cocycle  $c(A, B)$  is a 2-coboundary (and hence, trivial) because it is a linear function of the commutator:  $c(A, B) = \langle \log D, [A, B] \rangle$ . Recalling that  $\log D \notin \mathcal{R}$ , we get a heuristic proof of the nontriviality of the cocycle.

The same point of view on the Kac-Moody algebras gives a description of the Maurer-Cartan cocycle as a ‘‘coboundary’’:  $\int \text{tr}([d/dx, A(x)] \circ B(x)) = \int \text{tr}(d/dx \circ [A(x), B(x)])$ . The ‘‘logarithmic’’ theory turns out to be very close to the corresponding theory of the Kac-Moody algebras [RS]. In further publications we will touch on the ‘‘logarithmic’’ analogs of flat connections, gauge transformations, the Floquet theorem, and the Drinfeld-Sokolov hamiltonian reduction.

*Remark 4.* The value of  $c(f(x)D^m, g(x)D^n)$  on the homogeneous generators of  $\mathcal{R}$  vanishes for  $n + m + 1 < 0$  and, generally speaking, does not vanish for  $m + n + 1 \geq 0$ . The restriction of this cocycle on the differential operators ( $n, m \geq 0$ ) coincides with the formula from [KP]:

$$c(f(x)D^m, g(x)D^n) = \frac{m!n!}{(m + n + 1)!} \int f^{(n+1)}g^{(m)} dx.$$

(See, for example, [R].)

**2. The cocycle on the subalgebras.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a reductive matrix Lie algebra. We consider pseudodifferential operators on the circle with matrix coefficients  $\{A(x, D) = \sum_{-\infty}^n a_i(x)D^i, \text{ where } a_i \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathcal{G})\}$ . These operators form a ring (and Lie algebra)  $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{G}$  with respect to the same multiplication law (1), where the product  $\circ$  includes the matrix product of the corresponding coefficients.

Now  $\text{res}: \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{G} \rightarrow C^\infty(S^1, k)$  means taking the trace of the matrix coefficient in front of  $D^{-1}$ :  $\text{res}(\sum a_i(x)D^i) = \text{tr } a_{-1}(x)$ . Analogously to Section 1, we define the action of  $\log D$  on  $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{G}$ :

$$[\log D, A] = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} A_x^{(k)} D^{-k}.$$

The following statement is completely parallel to Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 5.** *For any reductive Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  the algebra of pseudodifferential operators  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  has a nontrivial central extension given by the cocycle  $c(A, B) = \int \text{res}([\log D, A] \circ B)$ .*

*Remark 6.* The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  has several interesting subalgebras. First of all, analogously to the scalar case, we define the subalgebra  $\mathcal{R}_{+, \mathcal{G}}$  of all matrix differential operators. Further, for any positive integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}$  be the subalgebra consisting of differential operators  $\{A_m(x, D) = \sum_{m \leq i} a_i(x)D^i, a_i \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathcal{G})\}$ . (Note that  $\mathcal{R}_{0, \mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{R}_{+, \mathcal{G}}$ .)

And, finally, the following two subalgebras are well known:  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{B(x, D) = b(x)D^0, b \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathcal{G})\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is the current algebra corresponding to  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $\text{Vect} = \{V(x, D) = v(x)D^1, v \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathcal{G}\ell_n)\}$  such that  $v(x)$  is a scalar matrix  $\forall x\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}\ell_n}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $S^1$ ; see Section 1.

**THEOREM 7.** *The restriction of the 2-cocycle  $c(A, B) = \int \text{res}([\log D, A] \circ B)$  to each of the subalgebras  $\mathcal{R}_{+, \mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}$  (for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \text{Vect}$  (for  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}\ell_n$ ) of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  defines a nontrivial central extension of this subalgebra.*

*Remark 8.* Note that this “logarithmic” central extension of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\text{Vect}$  gives the Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras respectively. Thus, these objects appear together in this general context.

*Proof.* The nontriviality of the Maurer-Cartan and Gelfand-Fuchs cocycles implies the nontriviality of the central extensions of the subalgebras  $\mathcal{R}_{+, \mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{R}_{1, \mathcal{G}}$ , containing  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  or  $\text{Vect}$ . Thus, we need to prove the theorem for the hierarchy  $\mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}$ ,  $m \geq 2$  only, where this argument about the restriction is not applicable.

We recall that there exists a “Killing form”  $K(A, B) = \int \text{res}(A \circ B)$  on the algebra  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ . This form is really nondegenerate, because “res” is the trace of the matrix product and, hence, is a nondegenerate bilinear form for a reductive algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Using this “Killing form”, we naturally identify the dual space  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^*$  with  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  itself. A pseudodifferential symbol  $L \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  can be considered as a linear functional on  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$  (i.e.,  $L \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ ):  $\langle L, A \rangle = \int \text{res}(A \circ L)$ . Then the dual spaces for the subalgebras  $\mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}$  can be viewed as subspaces in  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^* \simeq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ :  $\mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}^* \simeq \{W(x, D) = \sum_{i \leq -m-1} w_i(x)D^i, w \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathcal{G})\}$ . (We identify  $\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G}$ .)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be elements of  $\mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}$ :  $A(x, D) = a_m(x)D^m + a_{m+1}(x)D^{m+1} + \dots$ ,  $B(x, D) = b_m(x)D^m + b_{m+1}(x)D^{m+1} + \dots$ . Assume that the cocycle  $c(A, B)$  is a coboundary:  $c(A, B) = \langle L, [A, B] \rangle = \int \text{res}([A, B] \circ L)$  for some  $L \in \mathcal{R}_{m, \mathcal{G}}^*$ ,  $L(x, D) = l_{-m-1}(x)D^{-m-1} + l_{-m-2}(x)D^{-m-2} + \dots$ .

Calculating the residue,  $c(A, B) = \int \text{res}([a_m D^m + a_{m+1} D^{m+1} + \dots, b_m D^m + b_{m+1} D^{m+1} + \dots] \circ (l_{-m-1} D^{-m-1} + l_{-m-2} D^{-m-2} + \dots))$ , we get a linear combination of the terms  $\int a_p^{(s)} b_q^{(t)} l_r^{(n)} dx$ , where the integers  $p, q, r, s, t, u$  satisfy

$$(4) \quad (p + q + r) - (s + t + u) = -1,$$

$s, t, u \geq 0, p, q \geq m, r \leq -m - 1$ . We recall that the functions  $l_r(x)$  (and, hence, all derivatives  $l_r^{(u)}(x)$ ) are fixed by our assumption.

On the other hand, the value

$$\begin{aligned} c(A, B) &= \int \text{res}([\log D, A] \circ B) \\ &= \int \text{res}([\log D, a_m D^m - a_{m+1} D^{m+1} + \dots] \circ (b_m D^m + b_{m+1} D^{m+1} + \dots)) \\ &= \int \text{res}((+ a'_m D^{m-1} - a''_m D^{m-2}/2 - \dots + a'_{m+1} D^m - a''_{m+1} D^{m-1}/2 - \dots) \\ &\quad \circ (b_m D^m + b_{m+1} D^{m+1} + \dots)) \end{aligned}$$

is a linear combination of the terms  $\int a_p^{(s)} b_q^{(t)} dx$  such that

$$(5) \quad (p + q) - (s + t) = -1.$$

Comparing these terms for arbitrary  $A$  and  $B$  with the linear combination above and, in particular, comparing the conditions (4) and (5), we obtain  $r = u$ . This contradicts the condition set  $u \geq 0$  and  $r \leq -m - 1$ . Indeed, for nonnegative integer  $m$ , the number  $u = r \leq -1$ . Thus, we have proved the nontriviality of the cocycle for any  $m \geq 0$  (not only for  $m \geq 2$ ). Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [B] I. BAKAS, *The structure of the  $W_\infty$  algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 487–508.
- [G] E. GETZLER, *Cyclic homology and the Beilinson-Manin-Schechtman central extension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 729–734.
- [KK] O. KRAVCHENKO AND B. KHESIN, *Central extension of the algebra of pseudodifferential symbols*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **25:2** (1991), 83–85.
- [KP] V. G. KAC AND D. H. PETERSON, *Spin and wedge representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **78** (1981), 3308–3312.
- [PRS] C. N. POPE, L. J. ROMANS, AND X. SHEN, *Ideals of Kac-Moody algebras and realisation of  $W_\infty$* , Phys. Lett. B **245** (1990), 72–78.
- [R] A. RADUL, *The Lie algebras of differential operators, their central extensions and W-algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **25:1** (1991), 33–49.
- [RS] A. REIMAN AND M. SEMENOV-TYAN-SHANSKII, *Current algebras and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **21** (1980), 630–634.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720; khessin@math.berkeley.edu