

# Symplectic Fibration and Connection

kaidi Ye

August 26, 2019

## 1 Overview

This talk will give the main idea of proving Thurston's theorem which concerns the construction of a symplectic form  $\omega$  on a manifold  $M$  that is compatible with a given compact symplectic fibration  $(\pi : M \rightarrow B)$  under some suitable conditions.

Notice that such  $\omega$  need not always exist. For example, consider the Hopf bundle  $(S^3 \times S^1, \pi, S^2)$ . The Hopf bundle is a compact symplectic fibration and the fibre is just torus  $S^1 \times S^1$  with standard symplectic form. However the  $S^3 \times S^1$  does not admit a symplectic structure.

We will begin with some basic set up and some necessary definitions.

## 2 Basic set up and definitions

Let  $F$  be a smooth manifold. A **Fibration** with fibre  $F$  over a smooth manifold  $B$  consists of a smooth map  $\pi : M \rightarrow B$  such that the following conditions hold:

1.  $M$  is a smooth manifold.
2.  $\pi$  is surjective mapping.
3. For every point  $p \in B$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset B$  and a diffeomorphism  $\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$  such that  $\pi|_U = pr \circ \phi$  where the map  $pr : U \times F \rightarrow U$  is the projection.

Let  $B$  be a connected smooth manifold equipped with an open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$  and  $\pi : M \rightarrow B$  be a fibration with fibre  $F$ . For every  $\alpha$ , there exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times F$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & U_\alpha \times F \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow pr \\ & & U_\alpha \end{array}$$

We denote by  $F_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$  the fibre over  $b \in B$  and by  $\phi_\alpha(b) : F_b \longrightarrow F$  the restriction of  $\phi_\alpha$  to  $F_b$  followed by the projection onto  $F$ .

The **transition map**  $\phi_{\beta\alpha} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \longrightarrow \text{Diff}(F)$  is defined by

$$\phi_{\beta\alpha} = \phi_\beta(b) \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}(b).$$

Very often, a fibration will carry some extra structure called a **structure group**. Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(F)$ .  $G$  is a **structure group** of  $(\pi, \{\phi_\alpha\})$  if transition functions  $\phi_{\beta\alpha}$  all take values in  $G$ .

**Definition 2.1. Symplectic Fibration:** Let  $\pi : M \longrightarrow B$  be a locally trivial fibration with fiber  $(F, \omega_F)$  which is a symplectic manifold.  $\pi$  is symplectic fibration if each fibre  $F_b$  carries a symplectic structure  $\sigma_b \in \Omega^2(F_b)$  such that  $\exists \{\phi_\alpha\}$  such that

$$\sigma_b = \phi_\alpha^*(b)\sigma$$

for all  $b \in U_\alpha$ .

Once we have definition of symplectic fibration, we are ready to define the compatible symplectic form.

**Definition 2.2. Compatible Symplectic form** Let  $\pi : M \longrightarrow B$  be a symplectic fibration with fibre  $(F, \omega_F)$ . A symplectic form  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  is called compatible with the symplectic fibration  $\pi$  if,  $\omega|_{F_b} = \sigma_b$  for every  $b \in B$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\pi : M \longrightarrow B$  be a locally trivial fibration with connected base  $B$ , closed manifold  $M$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  be a symplectic form such that the fibres are all symplectic submanifold of  $M$ . Then  $\pi : M \longrightarrow B$  admits the structure of a symplectic fibration which is compatible with  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* sketch of proof:

(1) Stokes' theorem implies that the symplectic forms  $\sigma_b = \iota_b^* \omega \in \Omega^2(F_b)$  all represent the same cohomology class in  $H^2(F)$  under map  $\phi_\alpha(b)$  where  $\iota_b : F_b \longrightarrow M$ .

(2) Use Moser's stability theorem to show that the fibres  $(F_b, \sigma_b)$  are all symplectomorphic to a standard fibre  $(F, \sigma)$ . Deduce that the structure group of  $(\pi, \{\phi_\alpha\})$  can be reduced to  $\text{Symp}(F, \sigma)$ .

*Remark.* The detailed explanation is on *Introduction to Symplectic Topology* by D.Mcduff and D.Salamon page 253.

□

### 3 Thurston Theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\pi : (M, \omega) \longrightarrow (B, \beta)$  be compact symplectic fibration with symplectic fibre  $(F, \sigma)$  and connected symplectic base  $(B, \beta)$ . Define  $F_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$  where  $b \in B$ . Let  $\sigma_b$  be the symplectic form on  $F_b$ .

Let  $a \in H^2(M)$  be a cohomology class such that for every  $b \in B$ ,

$$\iota_b^*(a) = [\sigma_b]$$

where

$$\iota_b : F_b \longrightarrow M$$

is the inclusion map.

Then for every sufficiently large positive real number  $k$ , there exists a symplectic form  $\omega_k \in \Omega^2(M)$  which is compatible with the fibration  $\pi$  and represents the class  $a + k[\pi(\beta)^*]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\phi_\alpha\}$  as in **Definition 2.1**. Let open sets  $U_\alpha \subset B$  in the cover are chosen to be contractible. Let  $\tau_0 \in \Omega^2(M)$  be any closed 2-form which represents the class  $a \in H^2(M)$ . For any  $\alpha$ , let  $\sigma_\alpha \in \Omega^2(U_\alpha \times F)$  be the 2-form obtained from  $(F, \sigma)$  via pullback map:  $U_\alpha \times F \longrightarrow F$ . First, we want to show the 2-forms

$$\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha - \tau_0 \in \Omega^2(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$$

are exact.

For fixed  $\alpha$  and fixed  $b \in U_\alpha$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : F &\longrightarrow U_\alpha \times F \\ x &\mapsto (b, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$Pr_2 \circ \iota = Id_F$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Pr_2 : U_\alpha \times F &\longrightarrow F \\ (b, x) &\mapsto x. \end{aligned}$$

For the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(F) & \xrightarrow{Pr_2^*} & H^2(U_\alpha \times F) & \xrightarrow{(\iota^* : \cong)} & H^2(F) \\ & & \downarrow (\phi_\alpha^* : \cong) & & \downarrow (\phi_\alpha^*(b) : \cong) \\ & & H^2(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_b^* : \cong)} & H^2(F_b) \end{array}$$

$$\iota^* \circ Pr_2^* = (Pr_2 \circ \iota)^* = Id_{H^2(F)}. \quad (1)$$

It follows that

$$\iota^*[\sigma_\alpha] = [\sigma]$$

and therefore

$$\iota_b^*[\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha] = [\sigma_b]. \quad (2)$$

Now we need to check the map  $\iota^*$ . Notice that  $\iota^*$  is a linear map and (1) tells us this map should be surjective. Recall that  $U_\alpha$  is chosen to be contractible. Therefore we have:

$$H^2(U_\alpha \times F) \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes H^2(F) \cong H^2(F)$$

This implies that

$$\dim(H^2(U_\alpha \times F)) = \dim(H^2(F)) \quad (3)$$

By compactness of fibre  $F$ , we notice that  $\dim(H^2(F)) < \infty$ . Using (3) together with rank-nullity theorem, this implies that  $\iota^*$  is also an injective map. As  $\phi_\alpha^*$  and  $\phi_\alpha^*(b)$  are isomorphisms, the same holds for  $\iota_b^*$ . Finally, (2) together with the assumption in the theorem  $\iota_b^*(a) = [\sigma_b]$  implies:

$$[\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha] = a \in H^2(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$$

and therefore:

$$\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha - \tau_o \in \Omega^2(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$$

are exact. By exactness, we know there exist a collection of 1-forms  $\lambda_\alpha \in \Omega^1(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$  such that  $\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha - \tau_o = d\lambda_\alpha$ .

Second, we define a 2-form  $\tau := \tau_o + \sum_\alpha d((\rho_\alpha \circ \pi)\lambda_\alpha) \in \Omega^2(M)$  where  $\{\rho_\alpha\}_\alpha$  a partition of unity subordinate to the cover  $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$  and we want to check if  $\tau$  is closed, represents the cohomology class  $a \in H^2(M)$  and restricts to the form  $\sigma_b$  on each fibre.

For closedness, just notice that  $d\tau = d\tau_o + d\sum_\alpha d((\rho_\alpha \circ \pi)\lambda_\alpha) = 0$ .

Therefore we have  $[\tau] = a$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_b^* \tau &= \iota_b^*(\tau_o + \sum_\alpha d((\rho_\alpha \circ \pi)\lambda_\alpha)) \dots \textcircled{1} \\ &= \iota_b^* \tau_o + \sum_\alpha (\iota_b^* d(\rho_\alpha \circ \pi) \wedge \lambda_\alpha + \iota_b^*((\rho_\alpha \circ \pi) \wedge d\lambda_\alpha)) \dots \textcircled{2} \\ &= \iota_b^* \tau_o + \sum_\alpha \iota_b^*((\rho_\alpha \circ \pi) d\lambda_\alpha) \dots \textcircled{3} \\ &= \sum_\alpha (\rho_\alpha \circ \pi) \iota_b^*(\tau_o + d\lambda_\alpha) \dots \textcircled{4} \\ &= \sum_\alpha (\rho_\alpha \circ \pi) \iota_b^*(\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha) \dots \textcircled{5} \\ &= \iota_b^*(\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha) \dots \textcircled{6} \\ &= \sigma_b \dots \textcircled{7} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

*Remark.* 1. We can  $\textcircled{2}$  to  $\textcircled{3}$  of equation (4) by using  $\iota_b^* d(\rho_\alpha \circ \pi) = 0$  since it vanishes on vectors tangent to the fibre.

2. Recall that  $\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha - \tau_o = d\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\phi_\alpha^* \sigma_\alpha \in H^2(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$ . Therefore we can reduce  $\textcircled{4}$  to  $\textcircled{5}$  line of equation (4).

Finally we can construct the 2-form for  $M$  :  $\omega_k = \tau + k\pi^* \beta$  where  $k$  is a positive real number.

Thirdly, we want to explain why  $\omega_k$  is closed and non-degenerate for sufficiently large  $k$ .

For closed, just notice that  $d\omega_k = d\tau + kd\pi^* \beta = 0$ .

For non-degeneracy:

For arbitrary  $p \in M$ , define  $vert_p = \ker(d\pi|_p) \subset T_p M$  and  $hor_p = (vert_p)^\tau = \{u \in T_p M | \tau(u, v) =$

$0 \forall v \in \text{vert}_p\}$ .

Notice that  $\tau$  is non-degenerate on  $\text{vert}_p$  as  $\iota_b^* \tau = \sigma_b$ . Therefore we can do the following splitting:

$$T_p M = \text{vert}_p \oplus \text{hor}_p$$

In order to finish the proof we need the following claims whose proofs are leave to readers.

1.  $d\pi|_p : \text{hor}_p \longrightarrow T_{\pi(p)} B$  are linear isomorphisms.
2.  $\exists k_0$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$  the  $\omega_k$  are non-degenerate on the subbundle  $\text{hor} \subset TM = \cup_{p \in M} T_p M$ .
3. For every  $k$ ,  $\omega_k$  is non-degenerate on subbundle  $\text{vert} = \cup_{p \in M} \text{vert}_p$  (notice that  $\omega_k|_{\text{vert}} = \tau|_{\text{vert}}$ ).
4. The tangent bundle of  $M$  splits as  $TM = \text{vert} \oplus \text{hor}$ . Moreover  $\forall k, \forall p \in M, \omega_k|_p(u, v) = 0$  for  $u \in \text{hor}_p$  and  $v \in \text{vert}_p$ .

$\omega_k$  non-degenerate on  $M$  means  $\forall \vec{u} \neq 0 \in TM, \exists \vec{v} \in TM$  such that  $\omega_k(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) \neq 0$ . For any non-zero  $\vec{u} \neq 0 \in TM$ , we can decompose it as  $\vec{u} = \vec{h} + \vec{t}$  where  $\vec{h} \in \text{hor}_p$  and  $\vec{t} \in \text{vert}_p$ . Note that  $\vec{h}, \vec{t}$  can't be zero at same time since  $\vec{u} \neq 0$ .

Let  $k_0$  be the number in the second claim. For every  $\vec{h} \in \text{hor}_p$  and  $\vec{t} \in \text{vert}_p$ , we need to discuss the following cases:

1. Suppose  $\vec{h} \neq 0$  and  $\vec{t} = 0$ .  $\exists \vec{p} \in \text{hor}$  such that  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{u}, \vec{p}) \neq 0$  since  $\omega_k$  is non-degenerate on  $\text{hor}$  when  $k > k_0$ .
2. Suppose  $\vec{h} = 0$  and  $\vec{t} \neq 0$ .  $\exists \vec{q} \in \text{vert}$  such that  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}) \neq 0$  by  $\omega_k$  is non-degenerate on subbundle  $\text{vert}$ . Therefore,  $\vec{q}$  is a vector in  $TM$  such that  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{u}, \vec{q}) \neq 0$ .  
Moreover, if any of  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{h}, \vec{p})$  and  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q})$  are negative, say  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}) < 0$ , we can make it to be positive by multiplying  $-1$  on  $\vec{q}$ . (ie, if  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}) < 0$ , pick  $\vec{q}' = -\vec{q}$  to replace  $\vec{q}$ . This will give you  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}') > 0$ .)
3. Suppose both  $\vec{h}, \vec{t}$  are non zero vectors and let  $k > k_0$ .  $\exists \vec{p} \in \text{hor}$  and  $\exists \vec{q} \in \text{vert}$  such that  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{h}, \vec{p}) > 0$  and  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}) > 0$  since  $\omega_k$  is non-degenerate on subbundle  $\text{vert}$  and  $\text{hor}$ .  
Therefore  $\omega_k|_p(\vec{u}, \vec{p} + \vec{q}) = \omega_k|_p(\vec{u}, \vec{p}) + \omega_k|_p(\vec{u}, \vec{q}) = \omega_k|_p(\vec{h}, \vec{p}) + \omega_k|_p(\vec{h}, \vec{q}) + \omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{p}) + \omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}) = \omega_k|_p(\vec{h}, \vec{p}) + \omega_k|_p(\vec{t}, \vec{q}) \neq 0$ .

Therefore, we know  $\omega_k$  is non-degenerate on  $M$  if  $k > k_0$ .

Overall together with (1)-(4) will imply  $\omega_k$  is a symplectic form on  $M$  which is compatible with the bundle and represents the cohomology class  $a + k[\pi^* \beta]$  for sufficiently large  $k$ .

□

## 4

## References

- [1] Ana Cannas da Silva *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry* Springer, New York, first edition, 2008
- [2] D. McDuff and D. Salamon *Introduction to Symplectic Topology* Oxford University Press Inc, New York, 2nd edition, 1998