

# Algebra II final

Name:

April 24, 2012

1. Find the Galois groups of the polynomial  $x^4 - 2$  over each of the fields  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . You may use without proof the following facts:
  - $x^4 - 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
  - $x^4 - 2 = (x^2 - x - 1)(x^2 + x - 1)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .
  - $x^4 - 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ .
2. Let  $F \subset K$  be a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ . Suppose that an intermediate field  $F \subset E \subset K$  and a subgroup  $H \subset G$  correspond, in the sense that  $H = \text{Gal}(K/E)$ . Prove that  $F \subset E$  is a Galois extension if and only if  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .
3. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian commutative ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Suppose that  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a surjective  $R$ -module morphism. Prove that  $f$  is injective. (You may use the following result: if  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring, then there are no infinite ascending chains of submodules of  $M$ .)
4. Let  $V$  be an irreducible complex representation of a finite group  $G$ . Let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of index  $k$ . Let  $W \subset V$  be an  $H$ -invariant subspace.
  - (a) Prove that  $\dim W \geq \frac{1}{k} \dim V$ .
  - (b) Prove that if  $\dim W = \frac{1}{k} \dim V$ , then  $W$  is an irreducible  $H$ -representation.
5. Let  $G$  be a finite group. Prove that the following are equivalent.

- (a) For every  $g \in G$ , there exists  $h \in G$  such that  $g^{-1} = hgh^{-1}$ .
  - (b) For every complex representation  $V$  of  $G$ ,  $V \cong V^*$ .
6. Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Recall the following results.

**Zariski's Lemma**

If  $k \subset F$  is a field extension such that  $F$  is finitely generated as a  $k$ -algebra, then  $F = k$ .

**Weak form of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz**

If  $I \subsetneq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a proper ideal, then  $Z(I) \neq \emptyset$ .

- (a) Prove Zariski's Lemma using the weak form of the Nullstellensatz.
- (b) Prove the weak form of Nullstellensatz using Zariski's lemma.