Dror Bar-Natan: Classes: 2003-04: Math 157 - Analysis I:

## Math 157 Analysis I - Solution of the Final Exam

web version: http://www.math.toronto.edu/~ drorbn/classes/0304/157AnalysisI/Final/Solution.html
Problem 1. We say that a set $A$ of real numbers is dense if for any open interval $I$, the intersection $A \cap I$ is non-empty.

1. Give an example of a dense set $A$ whose complement $A^{c}=\{x \in \mathbb{R}: x \notin A\}$ is also dense.
2. Give an example of a non-dense set $B$ whose complement $B^{c}=\{x \in \mathbb{R}: x \notin B\}$ is also not dense.
3. Prove that if $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function $(f(x)<f(y)$ for $x<y)$ and if the range $\{f(x): x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $f$ is dense, then $f$ is continuous.

## Solution.

1. Take for example $A=\mathbb{Q}$, the set of rational numbers. Then $A^{c}$ is the set of irrational numbers. We've seen in class that between any two (different) numbers (i.e., within any open interval) there is a rational number and there is an irrational number. Hence both $A$ and $A^{c}$ are dense.
2. Take for example $B=[0, \infty]$, the set of non-negative numbers. Then $B^{c}=(-\infty, 0)$ is the set of negative numbers. The set $B$ is not dense because, for example, it's intersection with the interval $(-2,-1)$ is empty. The set $B^{c}$ is not dense because, for example, it's intersection with the interval $(1,2)$ is empty.
3. We have to show that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $\epsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ so that $|x-a|<\delta$ implies $|f(x)-f(a)|<\epsilon$. So let $\epsilon>0$ be given. By the density of $A:=\{f(x): x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ we know that we can find an element of $A$ in the interval $(f(a)-\epsilon, f(a))$ and another element of $A$ in the interval $(f(a), f(a)+\epsilon)$. That is, we can find $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ so that $f(a)-\epsilon<f\left(x_{1}\right)<f(a)$ and $f(a)<f\left(x_{2}\right)<f(a)+\epsilon$. It follows from the monotonicity of $f$ that $x_{1}<a$ and that $a<x_{2}$. Now set $\delta=\min \left(a-x_{1}, x_{2}-a\right)$ (this is a positive number because $x_{1}<a$ and $a<x_{2}$ ). Finally if $|x-a|<\delta$ then $x$ is in the interval $(a-\delta, a+\delta) \subset\left(a-\left(a-x_{1}\right), a+\left(x_{2}-a\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. By the monotonicity of $f$ it follows that $f(x)$ is in the interval $\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \subset(f(a)-\epsilon, f(a)+\epsilon)$, and so $|f(x)-f(a)|<\epsilon$, as required.

Problem 2. Sketch the graph of the function $y=f(x)=x e^{-x^{2} / 2}$. Make sure that your graph clearly indicates the following:

- The domain of definition of $f(x)$.
- The behaviour of $f(x)$ near the points where it is not defined (if any) and as $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$.
- The exact coordinates of the $x$ - and $y$-intercepts and all minimas and maximas of $f(x)$.

Solution. Our function is defined for all $x$. As $x$ goes to $\pm \infty$ exponentials dominate polynomials, and so certainly $e^{x^{2} / 2}$ gets much bigger than $x$. So $\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} f(x)=0$. Solving the equation $x e^{-x^{2} / 2}=0$ we see that the only intersection of the graph of $f$ with the axes is at $(0,0)$. We can compute $f^{\prime}(x)=x^{\prime} e^{-x^{2} / 2}+x\left(e^{-x^{2} / 2}\right)^{\prime}=e^{-x^{2} / 2}-x^{2} e^{-x^{2} / 2}=\left(1-x^{2}\right) e^{-x^{2} / 2}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\prime} e^{-x^{2} / 2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(e^{-x^{2} / 2}\right)^{\prime}=-2 x e^{-x^{2} / 2}-x\left(1-x^{2}\right) e^{-x^{2} / 2}=x\left(x^{2}-3\right) e^{-x^{2} / 2}$. Solving $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ we see that the only critical points are when $1-x^{2}=0$. That is, at $x= \pm 1$. As $f^{\prime \prime}(1)=-2 e^{-1 / 2}<0$, the point $(1, f(1))=\left(1, e^{-1 / 2}\right)$ is a local max. As $f^{\prime \prime}(-1)=2 e^{-1 / 2}>0$, the point $(-1, f(-1))=\left(-1,-e^{-1 / 2}\right)$ is a local min. As there are no other critical points and the behaviour of $f$ near the ends of its domain of deifnition is mute (as determined before), $\left(1, e^{-1 / 2}\right)$ is actually a global max and $\left(-1,-e^{-1 / 2}\right)$ is actually a global min. Thus overall the graph is:


Problem and Solution 3. Compute the following derivative and the following integrals:

1. Using the fundamental theorem of calculus in the form $\frac{d}{d u} \int_{0}^{u} f(t) d t=f(u)$ and the chain rule with $u=\sin x$ we get

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\int_{0}^{\sin x} \sqrt{\arcsin t} d t\right)=\sqrt{\arcsin \sin x} \cdot(\sin x)^{\prime}=\sqrt{x} \cos x
$$

2. We make the substitution $u=\sqrt{x}$ (and thus $x=u^{2}$ and $d x=2 u d u$ ) to compute

$$
\int \frac{e^{\sqrt{x}}}{\sqrt{x}} d x=\int \frac{e^{u}}{u} 2 u d u=2 \int e^{u} d u=2 e^{u}+C=2 e^{\sqrt{x}}+C
$$

3. Integrating by parts twice we get

$$
\int x^{2} e^{x} d x=x^{2} e^{x}-\int 2 x e^{x} d x=x^{2} e^{x}-2 x e^{x}+\int 2 e^{x} d x=x^{2} e^{x}-2 x e^{x}+2 e^{x}+C
$$

4. We make the substitution $u=2^{x}$ (and thus $x=\log _{2} u$ and $d x=\frac{d u}{u \log 2}$ ) to compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{4^{x} d x}{2^{x}+1}=\int \frac{u^{2} \frac{d u}{u \log 2}}{u+1}=\frac{1}{\log 2} \int \frac{u d u}{u+1}=\frac{1}{\log 2} \int\left(1-\frac{1}{u+1}\right) d u \\
=\frac{1}{\log 2}(u-\log |u+1|)+C=\frac{1}{\log 2}\left(2^{x}-\log \left|2^{x}+1\right|\right)+C
\end{gathered}
$$

5. We use the factorization $x^{2}-3 x+2=(x-1)(x-2)$ to get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{d x}{x^{2}-3 x+2}=\int \frac{d x}{(x-1)(x-2)}=\int\left(\frac{d x}{x-2}-\frac{d x}{x-1}\right) \\
=\log |x-2|-\log |x-1|+C=\log \left|\frac{x-2}{x-1}\right|+C
\end{gathered}
$$

Problem 4. In solving this problem you are not allowed to use any properties of the exponential function $e^{x}$.

1. Two differentiable functions, $e_{1}(x)$ and $e_{2}(x)$, defined over the entire real line $\mathbb{R}$, are known to satisfy $e_{1}^{\prime}(x)=e_{1}(x), e_{2}^{\prime}(x)=e_{2}(x), e_{1}(x)>0$ and $e_{2}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and also $e_{1}(0)=e_{2}(0)$. Prove that $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the same. That is, prove that $e_{1}(x)=e_{2}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. A differentiable function $e(x)$ defined over the entire real line $\mathbb{R}$ is known to satisfy $e^{\prime}(x)=e(x)$ and $e(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and also $e(0)=1$. Prove that $e(x+y)=e(x) e(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Solution.

1. Set $f(x):=e_{1}(x) / e_{2}(x)$ (this is well defined because $e_{2}(x)$ is never 0 ) and compute

$$
f^{\prime}=\left(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}-e_{1} e_{2}^{\prime}}{e_{2}^{2}}=\frac{e_{1} e_{2}-e_{1} e_{2}}{e_{2}^{2}}=0
$$

So $f$ is a constant. But $f(0)=e_{1}(0) / e_{2}(0)=1$, so that constant is 1 and $e_{1}(x) / e_{2}(x)=$ 1 for all $x$. This means that $e_{1}=e_{2}$.
2. Fix $y$ and set $e_{1}(x)=e(x+y)$ and $e_{2}(x)=e(x) e(y)$. Then $\left(e_{1}(x)\right)^{\prime}=(e(x+y))^{\prime}=$ $e(x+y)=e_{1}(x)$ and $\left(e_{2}(x)\right)^{\prime}=(e(x) e(y))^{\prime}=(e(x))^{\prime} e(y)=e(x)\left(e(y)=e_{2}(x)\right.$ and $e_{1}(0)=e(0+y)=e(y)=1 e(y)=e(0) e(y)=e_{2}(0)$. All the other conditions of the first part of this question are even easier to verify, and so the conclusion of that part holds. Namely, $e_{1}=e_{2}$, which means $e(x+y)=e(x) e(y)$.

Problem 5. In solving this problem you are not allowed to use any properties of the trigonometric functions.

1. A twice-differentiable function $c(x)$ defined over the entire real line $\mathbb{R}$ is known to satisfy $c^{\prime \prime}(x)=-c(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and also $c(0)=c^{\prime}(0)=0$. Write out the degree $n$ Taylor polynomial $P_{n, a, c}(x)$ of $c$ at $a=0$.
2. Write a formula for the remainder term $R_{n, 0, c}(x):=c(x)-P_{n, 0, c}(x)$. (To keep the notation simple, you are allowed to assume that $n$ is even or even that $n$ is divisible by 4 ).
3. Prove that $c$ is the zero function: $c(x)=0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Solution.

1. From $c^{\prime \prime}(x)=-c(x)$ it is clear that $c^{(2 k)}=(-1)^{k} c$ and that $c^{(2 k+1)}=(-1)^{k} c^{\prime}$. So $c^{(2 k)}(0)=(-1)^{k} c(0)=0$ and $c^{(2 k+1)}(0)=(-1)^{k} c^{\prime}(0)=0$ and hence all the coefficients of $P_{n, a, c}(x)$ are 0 . In other words, $P_{n, a, c}=0$.
2. If $n$ is divisible by 4 then $c^{(n+1)}=c^{\prime}$ and so the remainder formula says that for any $x \neq 0$ there is a $t$ between 0 and $x$ for which

$$
R_{n, 0, c}(x)=\frac{c^{(n+1)}(t)}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1}=\frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1}
$$

3. Factorials grow faster then exponentials, so in the remainder formula the denominator $(n+1)$ ! grows faster then the term $x^{n+1}$, while the numerator $c^{\prime}(t)$ is bounded (by the theorem that a continuous function on a closed interval is bounded). So the remainder goes to 0 when $n$ goes to $\infty$, and hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n, a, c}(x)=c(x)$. But $P_{n, a, c}(x)=0$ for all $n$, so necessarily $c(x)=0$.

Remark 1. Two alternative forms of the remainder formula are

$$
\frac{c^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!} x(x-t)^{n}=\frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{n!} x(x-t)^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{x} \frac{c^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!}(x-t)^{n} d t=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{n!}(x-t)^{n} d t
$$

Either one of those could equaly well be used to solve part 3 of the problem.
Remark 2. There is an alternative approach to the whole problem; start with part 3 and go backwards. To do part 3, consider the function $f:=c^{2}+\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. We have $f^{\prime}=2 c c^{\prime}+2 c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime}=$ $2 c c^{\prime}-2 c^{\prime} c=0$, so $f$ is a constant function. But $f(0)=c(0)^{2}+c^{\prime}(0)^{2}=0^{2}+0^{2}=0$, so $f$ must be the 0 function. But $f$ is a sum of squares, and the only way a sum of squares can be 0 is if each summand is 0 . So $c^{2}=0$ and hence $c=0$ as required in part 3 . But if $c$ is the 0 function then its Taylor polynomials are all 0 and the remainder terms are also all 0 , solving parts 1 and 2 as well. This is not the solution I had in mind when I wrote the problem, but people who solved the problem this way got full credit.

Problem 6. In solving this problem you are not allowed to use the irrationality of $\pi$, but you are allowed, indeed advised, to borrow a few lines from the proof of the irrationality of $\pi$.

Is there a non-zero polynomial $p(x)$ defined on the interval $[0, \pi]$ and with values in the interval $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ so that it and all of its derivatives are integers at both the point 0 and the point $\pi$ ? In either case, prove your answer in detail.
Solution. There is no such polynomial. Had there been one, we would have

$$
0<\int_{0}^{\pi} p(x) \sin x d x<\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2} \sin x d x=1
$$

but also, by repeated integration by parts (an even number of times, for simplicity),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\pi} p(x) \sin x d x=-\left.p(x) \cos x\right|_{0} ^{\pi}+\int_{0}^{\pi} p^{\prime}(x) \cos x d x \\
& =-p(x) \cos x+\left.p^{\prime}(x) \sin x\right|_{0} ^{\pi}-\int_{0}^{\pi} p^{\prime \prime}(x) \sin x d x=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\left.\left(\right.$ terms involving $\pm 1, p^{(k)}(x), \sin x$ and $\left.\cos x\right)\right|_{0} ^{\pi} \pm p^{(2 n)}(x) \sin x d x$.
For any $n$ the first term in this formula involves only integers $\left(\right.$ as $p^{(k)}(0), p^{(k)}(\pi), \sin 0, \sin \pi$, $\cos 0$ and $\cos \pi$ are all integers), and if $2 n$ is larger than the degree of $p$, the second term is 0 . So $\int_{0}^{\pi} p(x) \sin x d x$ is an integer. But by the first formula it is in $(0,1)$. That can't be

The results. 80 students took the exam; the average grade was $69.33 / 120$, the median was $71.5 / 120$ and the standard deviation was 26.51 . The overall grade average for the course (of $\left.X=0.05 T_{1}+0.15 T_{2}+0.1 T_{3}+0.1 T_{4}+0.2 H W+0.4 \cdot 100(F / 120)\right)$ was 68.5 , the median was 71.57 and the standard deviation was 18.64 . Finally, the transformation $X \mapsto 100(X / 100)^{\gamma}$ was applied to the grades, with $\gamma=0.92$. This made the average grade 70.41 , the median 73.5 and the standard deviation 17.77. There were 30 A's (grades higher or equal to 80) and 12 failures (grades below 50).

