MAT137Y1 – LEC0501 *Calculus!*

DEFINITIONS, PROOFS AND INDUCTION



September 19th, 2018

For Monday (Sep 24), watch the videos:

Absolute value, distance and inequalities: 2.4

For Wednesday (Sep 26), watch the videos:

• Limits: 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.6

Pick the correct definition.

Definition

Let *n* ∈ Z. We say that *n* is odd if
1 *n* = 2*a* + 1
2 ∀*a* ∈ Z, *n* = 2*a* + 1
3 ∃*a* ∈ Z, *n* = 2*a* + 1

Is the following statement true or false? Prove it!

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If none of *n* and *m* are multiple of 5, then n + m is not multiple of 5.

What's wrong with the following proof?

Theorem

The sum of two odd numbers is even.

Proof.	
3 is odd.	
5 is odd.	
3+5=8 is even.	

Write a correct proof!

What's wrong with the following proof?

Theorem

The sum of two odd numbers is even.

Proof.	
3 is odd.	
5 is odd.	
3+5=8 is even.	

Write a correct proof!

Are the following statements true or false? Prove it!

2
$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, p \leq n$$

Are the following statements true or false? Prove it!

$$2 \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \ \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \ p \leq n$$

Are the following statements true or false? Prove it!

$$1 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \, p \leq n$$

2
$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, p \leq n$$

A You can **not** permute quantifiers of different kinds.

Statement 1

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, \, x + y > 0$

Statement 2

$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \, y^2 > x$

Statement 1

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, \, x + y > 0$

Statement 2

$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \, y^2 > x$

Definition: one-to-one functions

A *one-to-one* (or injective) function is a function which maps two different elements to two different values.

Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function.

Which of the following statements mean that f is one-to-one?

1
$$f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$$

2 $\exists x_1, x_2 \in D, \ f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$
3 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \ f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$
4 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \ x_1 \neq x_2 \implies f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$
5 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \ x_1 = x_2 \implies f(x_1) = f(x_2)$
6 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \ f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) \implies x_1 \neq x_2$
7 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2$

Use mathematical symbols to write that f is not injective.

Is f one-to-one?

Definition

A function $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be one-to-one when

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2$$

or equivalently when

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in D, \, x_1 \neq x_2 \implies f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$$

Prove that:

1
$$f(x) = 3x + 5$$
 is one-to-one.

2
$$f(x) = x^2$$
 is not one-to-one.

A bad proof using induction

Theorem

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, 7 divides $9^n - 2^{n+1}$.

Proof:

We assume that the property is true for some *n* and we show that it also holds for n + 1.

By our induction hypothesis, $\exists a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $9^n - 2^{n+1} = 7a$. Then, $9^{n+1} - 2^{n+2} = 9 \times 9^n - 2 \times 2^{n+1}$

$$= 9 \times (9^{n} - 2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1}) - 2 \times 2^{n+1}$$

= 9 \times (9^{n} - 2^{n+1}) + 9 \times 2^{n+1} - 2 \times 2^{n+1}
= 9 \times 7 \times a - 7 \times 2^{n+1}
= 7 \times (9a - 2^{n+1})

But... $9^2 - 2^3 = 73 = 7 \times 10 + 3...$ What went wrong?

A bad proof using induction

Theorem

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, 7 divides $9^n - 2^{n+1}$.

Proof:

We assume that the property is true for some *n* and we show that it also holds for n + 1.

By our induction hypothesis, $\exists a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $9^n - 2^{n+1} = 7a$. Then, $9^{n+1} - 2^{n+2} = 9 \times 9^n - 2 \times 2^{n+1}$

$$= 9 \times (9^{n} - 2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1}) - 2 \times 2^{n+1}$$

= 9 \times (9^{n} - 2^{n+1}) + 9 \times 2^{n+1} - 2 \times 2^{n+1}
= 9 \times 7 \times a - 7 \times 2^{n+1}
= 7 \times (9a - 2^{n+1})

But... $9^2 - 2^3 = 73 = 7 \times 10 + 3...$ What went wrong?

Is the plane just a line?

What's wrong with the following induction proof?

Theorem

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ greater than or equal to 2, *n* points of the plane are always aligned.

Proof:

- Base case: when n = 2 the property is obviously true.
- Induction step: we assume that the property is true for some n ≥ 2 and we want to show that it also holds for n + 1. Let A₁, A₂, ..., A_{n+1} be n + 1 points of the plane. By the induction hypothesis, we have
 - A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n are on the same line *D*.
 - $A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_{n+1}$ are on the same line D'.

Then A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_n are at the same time on *D* and *D'* so that D = D'.

Thus A_1, \ldots, A_{n+1} are on the same line.

Yes, of course! You can try to prove the following statements using induction:

1 For *n* greater than or equal to 1,

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

- 2 For *n* greater than or equal to 1, the sum of the *n* first odd numbers equals n^2 .
- **3** $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 7 \text{ divides } 9^n 2^n.$