

Orbital L-functions for $GL(3)$

James Arthur

BIRS, Wed. Nov. 17, 2021, 1:00 PM

Conference for 80th birthday of W. Casselman.

②

$$\underline{G = GL(n) = GL(n+1) \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}}$$

I. FOREWORD

Two kinds of L-functions for G

Spectral: (Standard) Automorphic L-fns

$L(2, \pi)$, π cusp. aut rep. (Tate $GL(1)$ - 1950,

Godement-Jacquet $GL(n+1)$ - 1972)

Geometric: Orbital L-fns $L(2, R)$,

R an order in field $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $(n+1)$,

Z. Yun (2013)

Play parallel roles on 2 sides of trace formulas.

Problem: Unlike $L(2, \pi)$, local factors of

$L_p(2, R)$ of $L(2, R)$ are not explicit (except for $GL(2)$).

③

They are closely related to p -adic orb. integrals

$$O(\gamma, f_p) = \int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_p(x_p^{-1} \gamma x_p) dx_p,$$

$$f_p = 1_p, \text{ char. f.}^\# \text{ of } G(\mathbb{O}_p).$$

==

Our goal: For $G = GL(3)$, describe explicit

formulas for $O(\gamma, f_p)$ + related local factors

$$L_p(\pi, R)$$

Our conclusion: The orbital integrals $O_{\text{orb}}(\gamma, f_p)$

have unexpected hidden structure, for $G = GL(3)$,

+ perhaps $G = GL(n+1)$, and possibly for

any (quasisplit) group G .

(4)

II. TRACE FORMULA (approximation)

Test f^n : $f \in C_c^\omega(Z_+ \setminus G(A))$, $Z_+ = \{ \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} : r > 0 \} \subset G(\mathbb{R}) \subset G(A)$

$I_{\text{geom}}(f)$ (geom $\exp^n$) $\stackrel{||}{=} I_{\text{spec}}(f)$ (spectral $\exp^n$)

$\stackrel{||}{=} I_{\text{ell,reg}}(f) + \text{suppl. geom terms}$

$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell,reg}}(G)} \text{vol}(\mathcal{O}) \mathcal{O}(\gamma, f)$

$\uparrow$

ell. reg. cong classes in $G(\mathbb{Q})$

$\uparrow$

$\text{vol } Z_+ G_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_\gamma(A)$

$\int_{G_\gamma(A) \backslash G(A)} f(\gamma^{-1} x \gamma) dx$

$\stackrel{||}{=} I_{\text{cusp}}(f) + \text{suppl. spectral terms}$

$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\text{cusp}}(G)} \text{mult}(\pi) \cdot \text{tr}(\pi(f))$

$\uparrow$

$\text{multiplicity in } L^2_{\text{disc}}(\cdot)$

$\uparrow$

$\pi_{\text{ired}}, \subset L^2_{\text{disc}}(Z_+ G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(A))$

cuspidal

ired characters

We write

$I_{\text{ell,reg}}(f) \sim I_{\text{cusp}}(f)$ - "pretend primary geometric + spectral terms are equal"

(5)

III. BEYOND ENDOSCOPY (Langlands; dream/strategy)

Establish Principle of Functoriality by
combining trace formula with general aut-
morphic L-functions $L(s, \pi, r)$,

$$r: \hat{G} = GL(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow GL(N, \mathbb{C}), \text{ finite dim rep.}$$

Langlands' proposed refinement of trace formula

Given finite dim. rep r , replace spectral side by

$$I_{\text{cusp}}^r(f) = \sum_{\pi} m_{\pi}(r) \cdot \text{mult}(\pi) \cdot \text{tr}(\pi(f)),$$

where

$$m_{\pi}(r) = -\text{res}_{s=1} \frac{d}{ds} (\log L(s, \pi, r)).$$

Would include subtle information about π as a "functorial image".

Fundamental question: Is there a geom. expⁿ $I_{\text{geom}}^r(f)$

$$I_{\text{geom}}^r(f) = I_{\text{spec}}^r(f)? \quad \sim r\text{-trace formula}$$

Many hard things would have to be solved first.

(6)

IV. EARLY PROGRESS

Frenkel, Langlands, Ngo (2010): "Replace $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(G)$

by its char. polynomial.

$$P_\gamma(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - \gamma) = \lambda^{m+1} - a_1 \lambda^m + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} a_{m+1} = P_a(\lambda)$$

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}) = (b, a_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^m \times \mathbb{Q}^*$$

Thus

$$\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(G) \iff a \in \mathbb{Q}^m \times \mathbb{Q}^* \cdot \exists \cdot P_a(\lambda) \text{ irred} / \mathbb{Q}.$$

Simplification: Langlands (2004), Ali Altug (2005).

$$\text{Set } f = f^\infty f_\infty, \quad f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus G(\mathbb{R})), \quad f^\infty = \mathbb{1}^\infty = \prod_p \mathbb{1}_p,$$

+ considers only those $\gamma \cdot \exists$.

unit f^n on $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$

$$O(\gamma, f) = O(\gamma, f^\infty) = \prod_p O(\gamma, \mathbb{1}_p) \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\gamma \longleftrightarrow a = (b, \varepsilon), \quad b \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \quad \varepsilon = \det(\gamma) = \pm 1,$$

so terms in $\Gamma_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(f)$ then corresp. to irred

monic poly^s with integral coeff^s + $a_{m+1} = \varepsilon = \pm 1$.

⑦ This is a fundamental change of outlook

Philosophically: 2 sides of the same formula are indexed by 2 classifications of Galois extensions $K/\mathbb{Q}$ (like abelian class field theory)

{splitting fields of irred polynomials} - geometric $\longleftrightarrow$ {irred rep^s of their Galois groups} - spectral.

Suppose $\chi \rightarrow a = (b, \varepsilon)$, + $E = E_a = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]/(P_a(\lambda))$. In

$$I_{\text{ell, reg}}(f) = \sum_{\chi} \text{vol}(\chi) \cdot O(\chi, f^{\infty}) \cdot O(\chi, f_0),$$

We can then write

$$\Theta^E(b, f_0) = O(\chi, f_0) |D(\chi)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = O(\chi, f_0) |D_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\prod_P P^{s_P} \right),$$

(weyl discrimin)
(disc $E/\mathbb{Q}$)

and

$$\text{vol}(\chi) = |D_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (S_E(s) / S_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)) = |D_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} (S_E / S_{\mathbb{Q}})(1).$$

regulator of $E/\mathbb{Q}$
(class number formula for $E/\mathbb{Q}$)

We get

$$I_{\text{ell, reg}}(f) = \sum_{E=\{\pm 1\}} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^m}' \underbrace{(S_E / S_{\mathbb{Q}})(1) \cdot \prod_P (O(\chi, f_P) P^{-s_P})}_{\text{global coeff}^2} \cdot \underbrace{\Theta^E(b, f_0)}_{\text{local test fm on } \mathbb{R}^n}$$

where $\sum'$ means sum only over those $b \rightarrow$.

$P_a(\lambda) = P_{b, \varepsilon}(\lambda)$ is used.

⑧ V. ON POISSON SUMMATION

Question (FLN): Can we modify this so we can apply Poisson summation formula to the lattice

$$\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \subset \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n\} ?$$

Answer for $GL(2)$: (Altmann). Yes!

(Uses remarkable techniques, using explicit orbital L - f^n for $GL(2)$ of Zagier (1976))

Obstructions to Poisson summation: (Solved by Altmann for $GL(2)$, open in general)

(i) Function: $\Theta^\varepsilon(b, f)$: Does not extend to smooth f^n of $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ - singular hyperplanes

Solⁿ: Multiplies it by small power $|D(\gamma)|^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$

(ii) Coeff^s: $\Theta^\varepsilon(b) = (S_E / S_Q)(1) \cdot \prod_P (O_{nb}(\gamma, \mathbb{I}_P) P^{-S_P})$

$\gamma \leftrightarrow a = (b, \varepsilon)$. Could use approx. $f^n \approx q^{\pm n}$ for Dirichlet

$$L\text{-}f^n : L(s, E) = S_E(s) / S_Q(s)$$

to express value at $s=1$ as resⁿ to $\{b\}$ of a

smooth f^n of $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. ~~at~~ $\mathbb{B}$

But what about p -adic orb. integrals?

(9)

Remarkable fact: $c^\varepsilon(b) = c(a)$ equals the value at $z=1$ of the orbital L-function

$$L(z, R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\zeta_R(z)}{\zeta_Q(z)}.$$

$\uparrow$
(orbital L-fn)
 $\uparrow$
(Dirichlet L-fn)

Since it has analytic cont. $+ f^m \log^{+m}$, it also has an approx. fcnal $\log^{+m}$: we get $c^\varepsilon(b)$ as the rest^m to b of a smooth, tempered f^m of $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall: $\gamma \leftrightarrow \text{~~the~~ } a = (b, \varepsilon)$

$$E = E_a = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda] / (P_a(\lambda)) - \text{ext}^m \text{ with } \deg(E/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv (n+1)$$

$$R = R_a = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] / (P_a(\lambda)) - \text{an order in } \mathcal{O}_E$$

At long required further analysis of resulting $\exp^m$ for $GL(2)$, but eventually he obtains Poisson summation over b . ~~in ext~~

He then showed that the Fourier transform term with

$\xi=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ gives the contribution of the nontempered (the difference)

1-dim. rep. of $G(A)$ to $I_{\text{ell}, \gamma}(f)$, with strong estimate for ~~the~~

Original Answer in FLN: Poisson summation for any $G(!)$,

and proof that term with $\xi=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ gives contrib. of 1-dim. rep^s to $I_{\text{ell}, \gamma}(f)$. But the abstract techniques give only weak control over the remainder term.

(10) VI. ON $GL(3)$: $G = G(2) = GL(3)$, over nonarch. local field F of char 0, res. char. q ; $f = 1_F = CF(G(O_F))$.

Local orbital integrals

Theorem 1: Suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell, reg}}(G)$ is unram., so that

$$|D(\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = q^{\delta}, \quad \delta = 3m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $O(\gamma, f)$ equals

(contrib. of reg. Shalika germ)

$$\underbrace{L(1, E)^{-1}}_{\text{contrib. of subreg. Shalika germ}} \left[1 + (1 + q^{-1} + q^{-2}) \left[(q^{3m} + 2q^{3m-1} + 3q^{3m-2} + \dots + (3m-1)q^2) - 3(q+1)(q^{2m-2} + 2q^{2m-4} + 3q^{2m-6} + \dots + (m-1)q^2) \right] \right]$$

Remarks: (i) Solution of a difference eqⁿ for $m_0 = X^{\gamma}$ in Kottwitz (Duke Math J, 48, 1981, p. 660) (function)

(ii) Very similar formula if $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell, reg}}(G)$ is ramified. (Ibid, for $m_0 = X^{\gamma}$ on p. 661).

(iii) If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(G)$ is not elliptic, the problems reduce to simpler formulas for proper Levi sub $\mathbb{R}^{\pm} M \subset G$

Example: $m=3, \delta=9$. It then follows easily from th^m that

$$\begin{aligned} O(\gamma, f) &= 1 + (1 + q^{-1} + q^{-2}) (q^9 + 2q^8 + 3q^7 + 4q^6 + 2q^5 + 3q^4 + 1q^3 + 2q^2) \\ &= q^9 + 3(q^8 + 2q^7 + 3q^6 + 3q^5 + 3q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 4). \end{aligned}$$

This pattern is clear. It is exactly the same for any m .

(11)

Local orbital L-functions: Use Th^m 1 and the general analogue of the example above to write

$$O(\chi, f) = 1 + L_q(1, E)^{-1} (q^{3m} + c_1 q^{3m-1} + c_2 q^{3m-2} + \dots + c_{m-2} q^3 + c_{m-1} q^2)$$

for explicit pos. integers $c_1, \dots, c_{m-2}$. Then inflate this expression to a fⁿ of $\mathbb{Q}$ by 4 operations:

- (i) (Translation) Multiply the expⁿ by $q^{\delta(1-\alpha)}$.
- (ii) (Dirichlet L-fⁿ) Replace $L_q(1, E)^{-1}$ by $L_q(\alpha, E)^{-1}$.
- (iii) (Scaling) Inflate each monomial q^k to $q^{k(\alpha-1)}$.
- (iv) (Desingularization). Replace each coefficient $c_h \in \mathbb{N}$ by $\sum_{i=0}^{c_h-1} q^{(1-\alpha)i}$.

Write $\widehat{L}(\alpha, R)$ for the resulting function of α , where $R = R_a$ (local order), $E = E_a$ (local field extⁿ)

Theorem 2: (i) $\widehat{L}(1, R) = \widehat{L}(0, R) = O(\chi, f)$

(ii) (Functional Eq^{±n}) $\widehat{L}(\alpha, R) = \widehat{L}(1-\alpha, R)$

(12)

(i) is trivial, by construction and (ii)

(ii) uses elementary but tricky combinatorics. To

simplify notation one writes $x = q^{1/2}$, $y = q^2$,

so that $q^{2s-1} = yx^s$. Must then (show) resulting expⁿ

is symmetric under $x \leftrightarrow y$.

Example: $m=3, s=9$. One sees that $\hat{L}(z, R)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & y^9 + y^8(x^2 + x + 1) + y^7(x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1) \\ & + y^6(x^6 + x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x) + y^5(x^6 + 2x^5 + 3x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2) \\ & + y^4(x^7 + 2x^6 + 3x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3) + y^3(x^7 + 2x^6 + 2x^5 + x^4) \\ & + y^2(x^8 + 2x^7 + 2x^6 + x^5) + y^1(x^8 + x^7 + x^6) + y^0(x^8 + x^7) + 1 \cdot x^9, \end{aligned}$$

and then verifies symmetry under $x \leftrightarrow y$.

• Same constructions, ~~the~~ theorem + proof if $\gamma \in T_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(G)$ is ramified

• If $\gamma \in T_{\text{reg}}(G)$ is not elliptic, the results reduce to proper Levi subgroups $M \subset G$

For each local case for $GL(3)$, define

$$L(z, R) = L(z, E) \hat{L}(z, R) q^{-s_2} \quad \text{— local orbital } L\text{-fct}$$

(with E a product of fields if γ is not elliptic).

(13)

Global orbital L-functions. Now suppose (for $G(3)$)

that F is global, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell, reg}}(G)$, $\bar{E} = \bar{E}_a$, $R = R_a$, $\gamma \longleftrightarrow a$.

Define

$$L(s, R) = L_\infty(s, R) \cdot \prod_p L_p(s, R) - \text{global orbital } L\text{-function}$$

Carallary 3: $L(s, R) = L(1-s, R)$

Follows from Theorem 2(ii) + f^m equation

for $L(s, E)$.

(14) VII. ON THE FUTURE

- Expect to use Theorem 2 to prove Poisson summation (à la Atiyah) for $G = GL(3)$.
- Problem: Prove that $\mathcal{J}_R(s) = \mathcal{J}_Q(s) L(s, R)$ equals Yun's local zeta function $\mathcal{J}_R(s)$ for $GL(3)$.
(Done for $GL(2)$ by M. Espinoza Lara)
- Problem: Extend Atiyah's global results to $GL(3)/F$, for any number field $F/\mathbb{Q}$.
(Done for $GL(2)/F$ by Espinoza-Lara, Emery, Kundak, Tian)
- The local formulas of Theorem 1 and the general version of the ^{three} examples were not hard to prove, but they turned out to be simpler than ^(I) expected. To me, they suggest possibility of manageable formulas for $GL(n+1)$.
(See Rogawski, Contemp. Math. 53, 1986, for making guesses, and the two papers of Waldspurger on germs for $GL(n+1)$ to try to prove them.)

(15) VIII. CONJECTURE / SPECULATION: G arb. quasi-split gp

- Perhaps we can hope for manageable local formulas extending Theorem 1 + the example that for any G , despite Hales ("Why p-adic harmonic analysis is not elementary")
- It is likely there is a rich, hidden structure on $I_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(f)$, given by duality between local Shalika germs and the ^{global} parametrization of nontempered rep^s in the automorphic discrete spectrum

geometric

{ stable unip class in G ,
{ base of S.H. fibration }

spectral

{ unip classes in $\hat{G}$,
Dynkin class^{ns} + diagram,
Bala Carter class^{ns} }



duality of unip classes,
Poisson summation,
 $G \leftrightarrow \hat{G}$ etc.