

Roots and coefficients of polynomials over finite fields[☆]

Swastik Kopparty^a, Qiang Wang^{b,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics & Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University.*

^b*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada*

Abstract

In this note, we give a short proof of a result of Muratović-Ribić and Wang on the relation between the coefficients of a polynomial over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and the number of fixed points of the mapping on \mathbb{F}_q induced by that polynomial.

Keywords: finite fields, polynomials, coefficients

MSC: 11T06

Our main theorem relates the roots of a univariate polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q and zero-nonzero pattern of its coefficients. We give a short proof of this theorem using an idea from [1] (see Lemma 3.10 there, which talks about the zero-nonzero patterns of the coefficients of subspace polynomials). The main theorem then easily implies Theorem 1 of [2].

Theorem 1. *Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ be a nonzero polynomial with $\deg(P) < q - 1$. Suppose $P(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} b_i X^i$. Let m be the number of $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $P(x) \neq 0$. Then there does not exist any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1-m\}$ where all the m coefficients $b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+m-1}$ are zero.*

Proof. Suppose that for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1-m\}$ we have

$$b_k = b_{k+1} = \dots = b_{k+m-1} = 0.$$

Consider the polynomial

$$Q(X) = X^{q-1-(m+k)} \cdot P(X) \pmod{(X^{q-1} - 1)},$$

Observe that the number of roots of $Q(X)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^* equals the number of roots of $P(X)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^* , which equals $q - 1 - m$.

[☆]Swastik Kopparty's research is partially supported by a Sloan Fellowship and NSF CCF-1253886. Qiang Wang's research is partially supported by NSERC of Canada. We would like to thank RICAM, in particular, Arne Winterhof and Annette Weihs, for the warm hospitality and help.

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: swastik.kopparty@rutgers.edu (Swastik Kopparty), wang@math.carleton.ca (Qiang Wang)

On the other hand, observe that the coefficient vector of Q is obtained by a cyclic rotation of the coefficient vector of P . In fact, this cyclic rotation moves the interval of zero coefficients of P to the highest degree monomials: $X^{q-1-m}, X^{q-m}, \dots, X^{q-1}$. Therefore $Q(X)$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most $q-2-m$.

But $Q(X)$ has exactly $q-1-m$ roots in \mathbb{F}_q^* . This is a contradiction, and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 1 ([2]). *Let $F(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i X^i$ be a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q of degree $\leq q-1$. Let $T = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid F(x) \neq x\}$ be the set of **nonzero moved** elements. Suppose $|T| = m$. Then for every k , $1 \leq k \leq q-2-m$, at least one of the m consecutive coefficients $a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+m}$ is nonzero.*

Moreover, if $F(0) = 0$ then it is also true for $k=0$ and $k=q-1-m$.

Proof. If $F(X) = X \pmod{(X^{q-1}-1)}$, then the result is trivial, and so we assume that this is not the case.

Let $P(X) = (F(X) - X) \pmod{(X^{q-1}-1)}$ (thus $P(X)$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree $< q-1$). Note that for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we have $P(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $F(x) \neq x$. Thus $T = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid P(x) \neq 0\}$.

If we write $P(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} b_i X^i$, then we have $b_0 = a_{q-1} + a_0$, $b_1 = a_1 - 1$, and $b_i = a_i$ for each $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, q-2\}$.

By Theorem 1, for every k with $1 \leq k \leq q-1-m$, we have that one of the coefficients $b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+m-1}$ is nonzero. This implies that for every k , $1 \leq k \leq q-2-m$, at least one of the m consecutive coefficients $a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+m}$ is nonzero.

Moreover, If $F(0) = 0$ then $F(X) = XF'(X)$ where $F'(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} a_i X^{i-1}$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most $q-2$. Let $P'(X) = F'(X) - 1$. Then the number of nonzero $X \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $P'(X) \neq 0$ is equal to $m = |T|$. By Theorem 1, for every $k \in \{1, \dots, q-m\}$, one of the m coefficients a_k, \dots, a_{k+m-1} is nonzero. In particular, at least one of m coefficients a_1, \dots, a_m is nonzero, as well as one of m coefficients $a_{q-m}, a_{q-m+1}, \dots, a_{q-1}$.

\square

We remark that Corollary 1 is stated in terms of the number of nonzero moved elements, which is equivalent to Theorem 1 in [2] that was first stated in terms of number of moved elements.

We now point out a variation of the argument of Theorem 1 which shows that the zero-nonzero pattern of the coefficients of splitting polynomials is sensitive to the presence of multiplicative subgroups in \mathbb{F}_q^* . This is analogous to Lemma 3.10 of [1], which shows that the zero-nonzero pattern of the coefficients of subspace polynomials is sensitive to the presence of subfields of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

Theorem 1 states that polynomials with $q-1-m$ roots in \mathbb{F}_q^* cannot have m consecutive 0 coefficients. Theorem 2 states that a polynomial of degree $q-1-m$ with $q-1-m$ roots in \mathbb{F}_q^* cannot have $m-1$ consecutive 0 coefficients unless the set of roots has a special property (it should contain the complement of some coset of a multiplicative subgroup).

Theorem 2. *Let S be a subset of \mathbb{F}_q^* with size $q - 1 - m$ with $m \geq 2$ and*

$$P(X) = \prod_{a \in S} (X - a) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1-m} b_i X^i.$$

Then $P(X)$ has an interval of at least¹ $m - 1$ consecutive zero coefficients (i.e., exists $1 \leq k \leq q - 2m$ such that $b_k = \dots = b_{k+m-2} = 0$) if and only if $\mathbb{F}_q^ \setminus S$ is contained in γH , for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and some proper multiplicative subgroup H of \mathbb{F}_q^* .*

Proof. Suppose there exists an interval of $m - 1$ successive zero coefficients $b_k = \dots = b_{k+m-2} = 0$. Define $Q(X) = X^{q-k-m} \cdot P(X) \pmod{(X^{q-1} - 1)}$. Using our hypothesis, it is easy to see that $Q(X)$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most $q - 1 - m$.

Observe that $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid Q(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid P(x) = 0\} = S$, which has size $q - 1 - m$. Thus the degree of $Q(X)$ must exactly equal $q - 1 - m$, and so $Q(X) = \alpha \cdot \prod_{a \in S} (X - a) = \alpha \cdot P(X)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

This implies that $\alpha \cdot P(X) = Q(X)$. Going back to the definitions, this means that $P(X) \cdot (X^{q-k-m} - \alpha) = 0 \pmod{(X^{q-1} - 1)}$.

We know that $P(X)$ vanishes only on the set S ; thus every element of $\mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus S$ is a root of $(X^{q-k-m} - \alpha)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus S$. Let H equal the subgroup $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid x^{q-k-m} = 1\}$, and note that it is a proper subset of \mathbb{F}_q^* (since $q - k - m < q - 1$). Then $\mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus S$ is contained in γH , as required.

For the reverse direction, suppose $|H| = d$. Then $d \mid (q - 1)$ and $\gamma H = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid x^d = \gamma^d\}$.

We first consider the case $S = \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \gamma H$. Then we have $\prod_{a \in S} (X - a) = \frac{X^{q-1} - 1}{X^d - \gamma^d}$, which is of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{(q-1)/d} b_j X^{(q-1)-jd}$. This proves the result for $S = \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \gamma H$.

For general $S \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \gamma H$, write $S = (\mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \gamma H) \sqcup T$. In this case, $d = m + |T|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{a \in S} (X - a) &= \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus S} (X - a) \cdot \prod_{a \in T} (X - a) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(q-1)/d} b_j X^{(q-1)-jd} \right) \cdot U(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $U(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $|T|$. This implies the result for general $S \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \gamma H$. \square

We note that the nonzero coefficients of $P(x)$ satisfying Theorem 2 must meet the condition $b_{i+q-k-m \pmod{q-1}} = \alpha b_i$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

¹Note that by Theorem 1, $P(X)$ has an interval of at least $m - 1$ consecutive zero coefficients if and only if it has an interval of exactly $m - 1$ consecutive zero coefficients.

References

- [1] E. Ben-Sasson and S. Kopparty, Affine dispersers from subspace polynomials, *SIAM J. Comput.* 41 (2012), no. 4, 880-914.
- [2] A. Muratović-Ribić and Q. Wang, On coefficients of polynomials over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* 17 (2011), no. 6, 575-599.