

Lecture 9: Quantitative thinking

Combinatorial Methods (Winter 2023)

University of Toronto

Swastik Kopparty

Scribes: Mingxuan Teng, Elnaz Hessami Pilehrood

1 Counting distinct numbers in a multiplication table

1.1 Summary

By saying quantitative thinking, we want to gain a sense of counting. However, in many cases, it would be so difficult to count the precise number of objects. In those cases, we want to get a well estimation of the number of objects. So in the first half of the lecture, we attacked a famous problem, namely Erdős multiplication table problem, to try to "count" the number of distinct integers in a multiplication table.

Note that a multiplication table is simply that:

*	1	2	3	...
1	1	2	3	...
2	2	4	6	...
3	3	6	9	...

But before that, we first look at the prime number theorem

Theorem 1. *The prime number theorem states that $\pi(N) \sim \frac{N}{\log(N)}$*

Definition 2. *$A(n)$ represents the number of distinct integers less than or equal to n^2 .*

Definition 3. *$\omega(n)$ represents the number of distinct primes less than or equal to n .*

Since $\omega(n)$ is very hard to estimate, instead we try to estimate the average of it.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_n^N \omega(n) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_P^N \lfloor \frac{N}{P} \rfloor \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_P^N \frac{N}{P} + O(1) \\ &= \sum_P^N \frac{1}{P} + O\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_P^N 1\right) \\ &\approx \log(\log(N)) + O\left(\frac{\pi(N)}{N}\right) \approx \log(\log(N)) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that P represents for prime numbers.

Fact 4. For almost all $n \leq N^2$, $\omega(n) = \log(\log(N))$

We used this fact to estimate $A(n)$, we want to show $A(n) = O(n^2)$. However, before that, if we consider the following problem:

Problem 1. Consider $\omega(ab)$, $ab \leq N^2$, $a \in [\sqrt{N}, N]$, $b \in [\sqrt{N}, N]$

$$\omega(ab) = \omega(a) + \omega(b) = \log(\log(N)) + \log(\log(N)) = 2\log(\log(N)) \quad (1)$$

Then this seems a contradiction to the above fact that for almost all $n \leq N^2$, $\omega(n) = \log(\log(N))$, why? Because $\omega(n)$ is additive but not complete additive, so we can't simply add $\omega(a)$ and $\omega(b)$ to get $\omega(ab)$, as that would cause repeated counting if a is not relatively prime to b . Hence we want to look at a simpler problem.

Definition 5. $A^*(n)$: for $n \leq N$, $n = a * b$, $s.t(a, b) = 1$

Fact 6.

$$A^*(n) = O(N^2)$$

Theorem 7.

$$A^*(n) = O(N^2) \implies A(n) = O(N^2)$$

Proof. Step1: for all $n \in A(N)$, $n = ab$, we have $n^* = \frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)} * \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}$. Then we want to look at $A^*(\frac{N}{\gcd(a,b)^2})$, we have $A(n) \leq \sum_d^N A^*(\frac{N}{d})$, where d is the common factor of a, b .

Step2: By the fact above, we know that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \beta > 0, s.t A^*(M) \leq \epsilon M^2$. Fix ϵ, β , we have $A^*(\frac{N}{d}) = \sum_d^{\frac{N}{\beta}} A^*(\frac{N}{d}) + \sum_{d \geq \frac{N}{\beta}}^N A^*(\frac{N}{d})$. Then we have $A^*(\frac{N}{d}) \leq \sum_d^{\frac{N}{\beta}} \frac{N^2}{d^2} + \sum_{d \geq \frac{N}{\beta}}^N \frac{N^2}{d^2}$. Then we have $A^*(\frac{N}{d}) \leq \epsilon N^2 \sum_d^{\frac{N}{\beta}} \frac{1}{d^2} + N^2 \sum_{d \geq \frac{N}{\beta}}^N \frac{1}{d^2}$, where $\epsilon N^2 \sum_d^{\frac{N}{\beta}} \frac{1}{d^2}$ is a constant which equals to $\epsilon N^2 * \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and $N^2 \sum_{d \geq \frac{N}{\beta}}^N \frac{1}{d^2} \leq N^2 * N * \frac{\beta}{N^2} = N^2 * \frac{\beta}{N}$. Hence the whole equation is $O(N^2)$. \square

2 Counting distinct numbers in an addition table

2.1 Summary

Now what if instead of the multiplication table we looked at the addition table:

+	1	2	3	...
1	2	3	4	...
2	3	4	5	...
3	4	5	6	...

How many different numbers are in this table? We have $|[N] + [N]| = 2N - 1$, counting the numbers from 2 to $2N$.

What about a subtraction table? We have $|[N] - [N]| = 2N - 1$, counting the numbers from $1 - N$ to $N - 1$.

Let G be a finite abelian group with $+$, and a subset $H \subseteq G$. If $H \leq G$, then we have that $|H + H| = |H|$. Can we think of another subset H' of G such that $|H' + H'| = |H'|$?

Claim 1: Suppose $|H + H| = |H|$, then H is a coset.

Proof: If $0 \in H$, then $H \subseteq H + H$, so $H + H = H$. If $0 \notin H$, then we can shift to get

$$|(H - h) + (H - h)| = |H + H| = |H| = |H - h|.$$

Claim 2: Suppose $|H - H| < \frac{3}{2}|H|$. Then, $H - H$ is a subgroup.

Proof: First we will prove that $\forall x \in H - H, |H \cap (H + x)| > \frac{1}{2}|H|$. We will prove this by contradiction: suppose there exists $x \in H - H$ such that $|H \cap H + x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|H|$. If $y \notin H \cap (H + x)$, then $y \in H$ and $y \notin H + x$. This means $\forall z \in H, y \neq z + x$. Then $y \neq z + a - b$ and $y - a \neq z - b$ for some $a, b \in H$ and all $z \in H$. We have $|H|$ choices for z and $\geq \frac{1}{2}|H|$ choices for y , which is a contradiction.

Now to prove the claim, note that $\forall x, y \in H - H, (H + x) \cap (H + y) \neq \emptyset$. Consider z such that $z \in H + x$ and $z \in H + y$. This means $z = k + x = k' + y$, where $k, k' \in H$. So, $x - y = k' - k \in H - H$.

Freiman-Ruzsa: Suppose we have a finite $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $|A + A| = O(|A|)$, then A is a generalized arithmetic progression.

Consider $2^{[N]} = \{2^0, 2^1, 2^2, \dots, 2^{N-1}\}$; we have $|2^{[N]} \cdot 2^{[N]}| = 2N - 1$. For most sets, $|A + A| \approx |A|^2$ and $|A \cdot A| \approx |A|^2$.

Erdos-Szemerédi Theorem: For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\max\{|A + A|, |A \cdot A|\} \gg |A|^{2-o(1)}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|A| \rightarrow \infty$.

Solymosi (2009): Given two finite sets of positive real numbers A and B , we have

$$|A \cdot B| \cdot |A + A| \cdot |B + B| \gg \frac{|A|^2 |B|^2}{\log(|A| \cdot |B|)}$$

Let $r_{A*B}(x) = |\{(a, b) \in A \times B : a * b = x\}|$. For example,

$$\sum_{x \in A*B} r_{A*B}(x) = |A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|.$$

$$r_{A*B}(x)^2 = | \{ (a, a', b, b') \in A^2 \times B^2 : a * b = a' * b' = x \} |,$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in A*B} r_{A*B}(x)^2 = | \{ (a, a', b, b') \in A^2 \times B^2 : a * b = a' * b' \} |.$$

Let's look at

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{x \in A \cdot B} r_{A \cdot B}(x) \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{x \in A \cdot B} r_{A \cdot B}(x)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{x \in A \cdot B} 1^2 \right), \\ |A|^2 |B|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{x \in A \cdot B} r_{A \cdot B}(x)^2 \right) |A| \cdot |B|. \end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to show that $\sum_{x \in A \cdot B} r_{A \cdot B}(x)^2 \ll |A + A| \cdot |B + B| \cdot \log(|A| \cdot |B|)$.

Let $S = \sum_{x \in A \cdot B} r_{A \cdot B}(x)^2 = \sum_{x \in B \dot{\div} A} r_{B \dot{\div} A}(x)^2$; the left side is counting (a, a', b, b') such that $ab = a'b'$, and the right side is counting (a, a', b, b') such that $\frac{b}{a} = \frac{b'}{a'}$. We have $\max_{x \in B \dot{\div} A} r_{B \dot{\div} A}(x) \leq \min\{|A|, |B|\}$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_j \sum_{\substack{2^{j-1} < r(m) \leq 2^j \\ m \in B \dot{\div} A}} r_{B \dot{\div} A}(x)^2 \leq \log |c| \sum_{\substack{2^{j-1} < r(m) \leq 2^j \\ m \in B \dot{\div} A}} r_{B \dot{\div} A}(x)^2 \\ j &\leq \log \min\{|A|, |B|\} = \log |c| \end{aligned}$$

it's enough to show that $S \leq |A + A| \cdot |B + B|$.

Let $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l\}$, $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l$,

$$S' = \sum_{i=1}^l r_{m_i}(x)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^l r_{m_i}(x) \cdot r_{m_{i+1}}(x) =$$

let L_{m_i} = lattice points on line slope m_i ; if $p \in L_m + L_{m'}$, where $m \neq m'$, $r_{L_m + L_{m'}}(p) = 1$ and $|L_m + L_{m'}| = |L_m| \cdot |L_{m'}|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^l |L_{m_i}| \cdot |L_{m_{i+1}}| = \sum_{i=1}^l |L_{m_i} + L_{m_{i+1}}| \leq \\ &\leq |A \times B + A \times B| = |A + A| \cdot |B + B|. \end{aligned}$$