Welcome to MAT137!

(Section L5201, Thursdays 6-9pm in MS3153)

Instructor	Ivan Khatchatourian		
Email	ivan@math.toronto.edu		
Office hours	Thursdays 1-3, location TBA		

- Course website: http://uoft.me/MAT137
- Make sure you have read the syllabus/course outline.
- Make sure you check your mail.utoronto.ca email regularly for announcements.
- Join Piazza, our online help forum.
- Precalculus review: http://uoft.me/precalc
- Homework before next week's class: Watch all of the remaining videos.

MAT137 results from 2015 - 2016:

(among students who wrote the final exam)

# of submitted problem sets	A	A or B	F
10	35%	58%	4%
9	19%	41%	9%
8	5%	22%	22%
5 to 7	1%	9%	45%
Fewer than 5	2%	2%	79%

Proofs are important

- Pick 4 points at random on a circle (not necessarily evenly spaced).
- Join every pair of points.
- Into how many regions is the circle divided?



Proofs are important



Proofs are important



Actual formula: $\frac{1}{24}(n^4 - 6n^3 + 23n^2 - 18n + 24)$. (Proving this is hard.)

Consider the function

 $\pi(x) = \#$ of prime numbers less than or equal to x.

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(2) &= 1 & \pi(10) = 4 \\ \pi(3) &= 2 & \pi(11) = 5 \\ \pi(4) &= 2 & \pi(100) = 25 \end{aligned}$$

This function is *extremely important* to number theorists, but it is not very well understood. A much simpler function, called li(x) was proved to approximate $\pi(x)$ quite well in 1896.

For all integers n that anyone has ever checked (even to this day), we have found that

 $\pi(n)-\mathsf{li}(n)<0.$

In other words, li(n) always seems to *overestimate* $\pi(n)$.

There is literally no numerical evidence that li(n) ever underestimates $\pi(n)$, even for a single value of n.

However, Littlewood proved in 1914 that $\pi(n) - \text{li}(n)$ switches sign *infinitely many times* as *n* increases!

The earliest estimate (made in 1955) for the first place the sign changes was on the order of $10^{10^{10^{964}}}$. We've since improved this to about 1.4×10^{316} .

Describe the following sets in the simplest terms you can.

 $[2,4] \cup (3,10)$ $[2,4] \cap (3,10)$ 3 $(\pi,3)$ 4 [7,7]5 (7,7) $A = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 7 \}$ $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^2 < 7 \}$ $C = \{ x \in \mathbb{N} : x^2 < 7 \}$ Given two sets A and B, we define:

•
$$A \setminus B = \{ x \in A : x \notin B \}.$$

We usually read this as "A without B" or similar. It's the set consisting of all things in A that are not in B.

• $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A).$

We usually read this as "the symmetric difference between A and B". It's the set of all things in A or B but not both.

To check your understanding of notation, convince yourself that

$$A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B).$$

Problem 1. Define the following two sets:

- $A = \{ male students in this class \}$
- *B* = {students sitting in the first two rows}

What are the sets $A \setminus B$, $B \setminus A$, and $A \triangle B$?

Problem 2. A real number that is not rational is called *irrational*.

Let A be the set of all negative, rational numbers and positive, irrational numbers.

Write a definition of A using only mathematical notation. (There is more than one way to do this.)

Problem 1. Describe the following sets in the simplest terms you can.

•
$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \forall y \in [5,7], x < y \}.$$

2 $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists y \in [5, 7] \text{ such that } x < y \}$

3
$$C = \{ x \in [5,7] : \forall y \in [5,7], x < y \}.$$

•
$$D = \{ x \in [5,7] : \exists y \in [5,7] \text{ such that } x < y \}$$

- **●** $E = \{ x \in [5,7] : \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } x < y \}$
- **6** $F = \{ x \in [5,7] : y \in \mathbb{R}, x < y \}$

Are the following statements true or false?

- There is a purple giraffe in this room.
- All giraffes in this room are purple.