
Balloons and Hoops and their Universal Finite—Type Invariant, 2

The Meta-Cocycle J. Set $J_u(\lambda) := J(1)$ where

$$J(0) = 0, \qquad \lambda_s = \lambda /\!\!/ CC_u^{s\lambda},$$

$$\frac{dJ(s)}{ds} = (J(s) /\!\!/ \operatorname{der}(u \mapsto [\lambda_s, u])) + \operatorname{div}_u \lambda_s,$$

and where $\operatorname{div}_u \lambda := \operatorname{tr}(u\sigma_u(\lambda)), \ \sigma_u(v) := \delta_{uv}, \ \sigma_u([\lambda_1, \lambda_2]) :=$ $\iota(\lambda_1)\sigma_u(\lambda_2) - \iota(\lambda_2)\sigma_u(\lambda_1)$ and ι is the inclusion $FL \hookrightarrow FA$:



Claim. $CC_u^{\operatorname{bch}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} = CC_u^{\lambda_1} / CC_u^{\lambda_2//CC_u^{\lambda_1}}$ and

 $J_u(\operatorname{bch}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = J_u(\lambda_1) \ /\!\!/ \ CC_u^{\lambda_2 /\!\!/ CC_u^{\lambda_1}} + J_u(\lambda_2 \ /\!\!/ \ CC_u^{\lambda_1})$ and hence tm, hm, and tha form a meta-group-action.

Why ODEs? Q. Find f s.t. f(x+y) = f(x)f(y). **A.** $\frac{df(s)}{ds} = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}f(s+\epsilon) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}f(s)f(\epsilon) = f(s)C$. Now solve this ODE using Picard's theorem or power series.



The Invariant ζ . Set $\zeta(\rho^{\pm}) = (\pm u_x; 0)$. This at least defines β Calculus, Let $\beta(H,T)$ be an invariant of u/v/w-tangles, and if the topologists will deliver a "Reidemeister" theorem, it is well defined on \mathcal{K}^{bh} .

$$\zeta: \quad u \longrightarrow \left(x:+\Big|^u;0\right) \quad u \longrightarrow \left(x:-\Big|^u;0\right)$$

Theorem. ζ is (the log of) a universal finite type invariant (a homomorphic expansion) of w-tangles.

Tensorial Interpretation. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra (any!). Then there's $\tau: FL(T) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\bigoplus_T \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g})$ and $\tau: CW(T) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\bigoplus_T \mathfrak{g})$. Together, $\tau: M(T,H) \to$ $\operatorname{Fun}(\oplus_T \mathfrak{g} \to \oplus_H \mathfrak{g})$, and hence

$$e^{\tau}: M(T,H) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\oplus_T \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{U}^{\otimes H}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

 ζ and BF Theory. Let A denote a \mathfrak{g} -connection on S^4 with curvature F_A , and B a \mathfrak{g}^* -valued 2form on S^4 . For a hoop γ_x , let $\mathrm{hol}_{\gamma_x}(A) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the holonomy of A along γ_x . For a ball γ_u , let $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma_n}(B) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the integral of B (transported via A to ∞) on γ_u .



Loose Conjecture. For $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}(T, H)$,

$$\int \mathcal{D}A\mathcal{D}Be^{\int B\wedge F_A} \prod_{\alpha} e^{\mathcal{O}_{\gamma_u}(B)} \bigotimes_{\alpha} \operatorname{hol}_{\gamma_x}(A) = e^{\tau}(\zeta(\gamma)).$$

That is, ζ is a complete evaluation of the BF TQFT.

Issues. How exactly is B transported via A to ∞ ? How does invariant: Manifestly polynomial (time and the ribbon condition arise? Or if it doesn't, could it be that size) extension of the (multivariable) Alexan- ζ can be generalized??

Lie algebra.

 Arises when reducing by relations satisfied by the weight system of the Alexander polynomial.



"God created the knots, all else in topology is the work of mortals. Leopold Kronecker (modified)

www.katlas.org

Paper in progress: $\omega \epsilon \beta / kbh$

The β quotient, 2. Let $R = \mathbb{Q}[\![\{c_u\}_{u \in T}]\!]$ and $L_{\beta} := R \otimes T$ with central R and with $[u, v] = c_u v - c_v u$ for $u, v \in T$. Then $FL \to L_{\beta}$ and $CW \to R$. Under this,

$$\mu \to (\bar{\lambda}; \omega) \text{ with } \bar{\lambda} = \sum_{x \in H, u \in T} \lambda_{ux} ux, \quad \lambda_{ux}, \omega \in R,$$

$$bch(u,v) \to \frac{c_u + c_v}{e^{c_u + c_v} - 1} \left(\frac{e^{c_u} - 1}{c_u} u + e^{c_u} \frac{e^{c_v} - 1}{c_v} v \right),$$

if $\lambda = \sum \lambda_v v$ then with $c_{\lambda} := \sum \lambda_v c_v$,

$$u /\!\!/ CC_u^{\lambda} = \left(1 + c_u \lambda_u \frac{e^{c_{\lambda}} - 1}{c_{\lambda}}\right)^{-1} \left(e^{c_{\lambda}} u - c_u \frac{e^{c_{\lambda}} - 1}{c_{\lambda}} \sum_{v \neq u} \lambda_v v\right)$$

 $\operatorname{div}_u \lambda = c_u \lambda_u$, and the ODE for J integrates to

$$J_u(\lambda) = \log\left(1 + \frac{e^{c_{\lambda}} - 1}{c_{\lambda}}c_u\lambda_u\right),$$

so ζ is formula-computable to all orders! Can we simplify?

Repackaging. Given $((x : \lambda_{ux}); \omega)$, set $c_x := \sum_v c_v \lambda_{vx}$, replace $\lambda_{ux} \to \alpha_{ux} := c_u \lambda_{ux} \frac{e^{c_x} - 1}{c_x}$ and $\omega \to \log \omega$, use $t_u = e^{c_u}$, and write α_{ux} as a matrix. Get " β calculus".

_	valeurus. Let $\rho(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{I})$ be					
1	ω	\boldsymbol{x}	y		ω and the α_{ux} 's are	
ı	u	α_{ux}	α_{uy}		rational functions in	
١	v	α_{vx}	α_{vy}		variables t_u , one for	
	:				each $u \in T$.	



where $\epsilon := 1 + \alpha$, $\langle \alpha \rangle := \sum_{v} \alpha_{v}$, and $\langle \gamma \rangle := \sum_{v \neq u} \gamma_{v}$, and let

$$R_{ux}^+ := \frac{1 \mid x}{u \mid t_u - 1}$$
 $R_{ux}^- := \frac{1 \mid x}{u \mid t_u^{-1} - 1}$.

On long knots, ω is the Alexander polynomial!

Why bother? (1) An ultimate Alexander der polynomial to tangles. Every step of the The β quotient, 1. • Arises when $\mathfrak g$ is the 2D non-Abelian computation is the computation of the invariant of some topological thing (no fishy



Gaussian elimination!). If there should be an Alexander in variant to have an algebraic categorification, it is this one. See also $\omega \epsilon \beta / \text{regina}$, $\omega \epsilon \beta / \text{gwu}$.

Why bother? (2) Related to A-T, K-V, and E-K, should have vast generalization beyond w-knots and the Alexander polynomial. See also $\omega \epsilon \beta$ /wko, $\omega \epsilon \beta$ /caen, $\omega \epsilon \beta$ /swiss.