



# Tangles in a Pole Dance Studio: A Reading of Massuyeau, Alekseev, and Naef

**Preliminary Definitions.** Fix  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $D_p := D^2 \setminus (p \text{ pts})$ , and let the **Pole Dance Studio** be  $PDS_p := D_p \times I$ .



**Abstract.** I will report on joint work with Zsuzsanna Dancso, Tamara Hogan, Jessica Liu, and Nancy Scherich. Little of what we do is original, and much of it is simply a reading of Massuyeau [Ma] and Alekseev and Naef [AN1].



We study the pole-strand and strand-strand double filtration on the space of tangles in a pole dance studio (a punctured disk cross an interval), the corresponding homomorphic expansions, and a strand-only HOMFLY-PT relation. When the strands are transparent or nearly transparent to each other we recover and perhaps simplify substantial parts of the work of the aforementioned authors on expansions for the Goldman-Turaev Lie bi-algebra.



Jessica, Nancy, Tamara, Zsuzsi, & Dror in PDS<sub>3</sub>

**Definitions.** Let  $\pi := FG\langle X_1, \dots, X_p \rangle$  be the free group (of deformation classes of based curves in  $D_p$ ),  $\bar{\pi}$  be the framed free group (deformation classes of based immersed curves),  $|\pi|$  and  $|\bar{\pi}|$  denote  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combinations of cyclic words ( $|x_i w| = |w x_i|$ , unbased curves),  $A := FA\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  be the free associative algebra, and let  $|A| := A/(x_i w = w x_i)$  denote cyclic algebra words.



**Theorem 1** (Goldman, Turaev, Massuyeau, Alekseev, Kawazumi, Kuno, Naef).  $|\bar{\pi}|$  and  $|A|$  are Lie bialgebras, and there is a “homomorphic expansion”  $W: |\bar{\pi}| \rightarrow |A|$ : a morphism of Lie bialgebras with  $W(|X_i|) = 1 + |x_i| + \dots$

**Further Definitions.** •  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{K}_0^0 = \mathcal{K}(S) := \mathbb{F}\langle \text{framed tangles in } PDS_p \rangle$ .  
•  $\mathcal{K}_i^s := (\text{the image via } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{Z} \text{ of tangles in } PDS_p \text{ that have } t \text{ double points, of which } s \text{ are strand-strand}).$



E.g.,  $\mathcal{K}_5^2(\bigcirc) = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with 5 crossings and 2 strand-strand double points} \end{array} \right\rangle / \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{Z}$   
•  $\mathcal{K}^s := \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{K}^s$ . Most important,  $\mathcal{K}^1(\bigcirc) = |\bar{\pi}|$ , and there is  $P: \mathcal{K}(\bigcirc) \rightarrow |\bar{\pi}|$ .  
•  $\mathcal{A} := \prod \mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{K}_{i+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^s := \prod \mathcal{K}_i^s/\mathcal{K}_{i+1}^s \subset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^s := \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^s$ .

**Fact 1.** The Kontsevich Integral is an “expansion”  $Z: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , compatible with several noteworthy structures.

**Fact 2** (Le-Murakami, [LM1]).  $Z$  satisfies the strand-strand HOMFLY-PT relations: It descends to  $Z_H: \mathcal{K}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_H$ , where

$$\mathcal{K}_H := \mathcal{K} / \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} = (e^{\hbar/2} - e^{-\hbar/2}) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right)$$
$$\mathcal{A}_H := \mathcal{A} / \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} = \hbar \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} = \hbar \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right)$$

and  $\deg \hbar = (1, 1)$ .

**Proof of Fact 2.**  $Z(\mathcal{X}) - Z(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{X} \cdot (e^{\hbar/2} - e^{-\hbar/2})$   
 $= \mathcal{X} \cdot (e^{\hbar \times/2} - e^{-\hbar \times/2}) = (e^{\hbar/2} - e^{-\hbar/2}) \mathcal{Y} \cdot \square$



Le, Murakami

**Other Passions.** With Roland van der Veen, I use “solvable approximation” and “Perturbed Gaussian Differential Operators” to unveil simple, strong, fast to compute, and topologically meaningful knot invariants near the Alexander polynomial. ( $\subset$  polymath!)



van der Veen

**Key 1.**  $W: |\bar{\pi}| \rightarrow |A|$  is  $Z_H^1: \mathcal{K}_H^1(\bigcirc) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_H^1(\bigcirc)$ .  
**Key 2** (Schematic). Suppose  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1: |\bar{\pi}| \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\bigcirc)$  are two ways of lifting plane curves into knots in  $PDS_p$  (namely,  $P \circ \lambda_i = I$ ). Then for  $\gamma \in |\bar{\pi}|$ ,  
**Lemma 1.** “Division by  $\hbar$ ” is well-defined.

$$\eta(\gamma) := (\lambda_0(\gamma) - \lambda_1(\gamma))/\hbar \in \mathcal{K}_H^1(\bigcirc) = |\bar{\pi}| \otimes |\bar{\pi}|$$

and we get an operation  $\eta$  on plane curves. If Kontsevich likes  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  (namely if there are  $\lambda_i^q$  with  $Z^2(\lambda_i(\gamma)) = \lambda_i^q(W(\gamma))$ ), then  $\eta$  will have a compatible algebraic companion  $\eta^q$ :

$$\eta^q(\alpha) := (\lambda_0^q(\alpha) - \lambda_1^q(\alpha))/\hbar \in \mathcal{A}_H^1(\bigcirc) = |A| \otimes |A|.$$

For indeed, in  $\mathcal{A}_H^2$  we have  $\hbar W(\eta(\gamma)) = \hbar Z(\eta(\gamma)) = Z(\lambda_0(\gamma)) - Z(\lambda_1(\gamma)) = \lambda_0^q(W(\gamma)) - \lambda_1^q(W(\gamma)) = \hbar \eta^q(W(\gamma))$ .

**Example 1.** With  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in |\bar{\pi}|$  (or  $|\bar{\pi}|$ ) set  $\lambda_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_2$  and  $\lambda_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \tilde{\gamma}_2 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_1$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  are arbitrary lifts of  $\gamma_i$ . Then  $\eta_1$  is the Goldman bracket! Note that here  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  are not well-defined, yet  $\eta_1$  is.

**Example 2.** With  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \pi$  (or  $\bar{\pi}$ ) and with  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1$  as on the right, we get the “double bracket”  $\eta_2: \pi \otimes \pi \rightarrow \pi \otimes \pi$  (or  $\bar{\pi} \otimes \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \otimes \bar{\pi}$ ).

**Example 3.** With  $\gamma \in \bar{\pi}$  and  $\lambda_0(\gamma)$  its ascending realization as a bottom tangle and  $\lambda_1(\gamma)$  its descending realization as a bottom tangle, we get  $\eta_3: \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \otimes |\bar{\pi}|$ . Closing the first component and anti-symmetrizing, this is the Turaev cobracket.

**Example 4** [Ma]. With  $\gamma \in \bar{\pi}$  and  $\lambda_0(\gamma)$  its ascending outer double and  $\lambda_1(\gamma)$  its ascending inner double we get  $\eta_4: \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \otimes \bar{\pi}$ . After some massaging, it too becomes the Turaev cobracket.

The rest is essentially **Exercises**: 1. Lemma 1? 2.  $\mathcal{A}^?$  3. Fact 2? 4.  $\mathcal{A}^1$ ? Especially,  $\mathcal{A}^1(\bigcirc) \cong |A|!$  5. Explain why Kontsevich likes our  $\lambda$ 's. 6. Figure out  $\eta_i^q, i = 1, \dots, 4$ .