

**MAT 137Y: Calculus with proofs**  
**Test 5 - Part B**  
**Comments and common errors**

**Q1**

- $0^0$  is undefined. It is neither 0, nor 1. However, when we write  $x^0$  in a power series, we are using a convention. The infinite sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$$

represents the infinite sum

$$c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + \dots$$

This is the convention we have used pretty much *every time* we write a power series, so you have definitely encountered it before. For example, when we write

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

we actually mean

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$$

- The equation of the tangent line is

$$y = \frac{1}{2}x + 1.$$

It is *not* any of the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}x + 1 \\ L(x) &= \frac{1}{2}x + 1 \\ P_1(x) &= \frac{1}{2}x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Q2**

- $n$  is a dummy index. You cannot “take it out of the sum”. You can also not use  $n$  for something both outside the sum and as the summation index. And you cannot cancel the  $n$  in the sum with an  $n$  “outside of the sum”.
- If you just estimate the series, then you have not computed it.
- If you leave your final answer as a series, you probably have not done anything.

### Q3

- $n$  is a dummy index. You cannot “take it out of the sum”. You can also not use  $n$  for something both outside the sum and as the summation index. And you cannot cancel the  $n$  in the sum with an  $n$  “outside of the sum”.
- You need to explain why  $n$  is the largest value for which the limit exists. In other words, why the limit does not exist for values of  $n > 4$ .
- You cannot conclude the limit is  $\pm\infty$  for values of  $n > 4$ , but you can conclude that each side limit (on the left and on the right) is  $\pm\infty$ . And then you can conclude the limit does not exist.
- If you use L'Hôpital's Rule, you need to explain you are doing. You will need a particular justification for why the limit does not exist for  $n > 4$ , and overall the explanation is likely to be too convoluted.
- You may not replace  $\cos x$  with 1 when computing the limit.