

Martingales: Discrete time

Definition.

An non-decreasing family of sub- σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is called a *filtration*

Definition

M_n a sequence of random variables in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. If

$$E[M_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n] = M_n$$

then M_n is a *martingale* with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}_n

submartingale: $E[M_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n] \geq M_n$

supermartingale: $E[M_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n] \leq M_n$

Example. $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, X_i iid

$E[X_i] = 0 \Rightarrow S_n$ martingale. $E[X_i] \geq 0 \Rightarrow S_n$ submartingale.

$E[X_i] \leq 0 \Rightarrow S_n$ supermartingale

Doob's inequality (Discrete time)

Let X_n be a submartingale with respect to \mathcal{F}_n . Then for any $\lambda > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} X_k \geq \lambda\right) \leq \frac{E[X_n^+]}{\lambda}.$$

Proof.

$A_i = \{X_i \geq \lambda, \max_{0 \leq k \leq i-1} X_k < \lambda\}$ disjoint $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i = \{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \lambda\}$

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \lambda\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{A_i} X_i dP && \text{Tchebyshev} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{A_i} E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_i] dP = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{A_i} X_n dP \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \lambda\}} X_n dP \end{aligned}$$

Definition

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\mathcal{F}_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ a filtration. A random variable τ taking values in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is called a *stopping time* if for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Example

Let X_n be a random walk starting at 0. Let $\tau = \min\{n \geq 0 : X_n \geq a\}$ be the *first passage time* of level a . τ is a stopping time.

Let $\sigma = \max\{n \geq 0 : X_n \leq a\}$, the *last passage time*. σ is not a stopping time.

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap \{\tau \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$$

is a σ -field representing the information up to the stopping time τ

Optional stopping

M_n martingale wrt filtration \mathcal{F}_n . $\tau \geq \sigma$ **bounded** stopping times

$$E[M_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] = M_\sigma$$

bounded means $\tau \leq B$ Otherwise it is **FALSE**

Proof.

Need: $\int_A M_{X_\tau} dP = \int_A M_\sigma dP, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$

$\int_{A \cap \{\sigma = \ell\}} M_{X_\sigma} dP = \int_{A \cap \{\sigma = \ell\}} M_B dP$ since $A \cap \{\sigma = \ell\} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$

so $\int_A M_\sigma dP = \int_A M_B dP$ same for M_τ since $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$



Example

X_1, X_2, \dots iid $P(X_i = 1) = P(X_i = -1) = 1/2$

$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ Random walk

$\tau_{\pm a} = \min\{n : |S_n| = a\}$ $E[\tau_{\pm a}] = ?$

$S_n^2 - n$ martingale

$\tau_{\pm a}^B = \min\{\tau_{\pm a}, B\}$ bounded stopping time

Optional stopping: $E[S_{\tau_{\pm a}^B}^2 - \tau_{\pm a}^B] = 0$

$\lim_{B \uparrow \infty} E[\tau_{\pm a}^B] = E[\tau_{\pm a}]$ by monotone convergence theorem

$\lim_{B \uparrow \infty} E[S_{\tau_{\pm a}^B}^2] = a^2$ by bounded convergence theorem

$E[\tau_{\pm a}] = a^2$

Counterexample

Try same for $\tau_a = \min\{n : S_n = a\}$

$\lim_{B \uparrow \infty} E[S_{\tau_a^B}^2] = E[\tau_a]$

but $\lim_{B \uparrow \infty} E[S_{\tau_a^B}^2] = \infty \neq E[S_{\tau_a}^2] = a^2$

Counterexample

$$\tau_a = \min\{n : S_n = a\}$$

$$M_n = e^{\lambda S_n - n\psi(\lambda)} \quad \psi(\lambda) = \log \frac{e^\lambda + e^{-\lambda}}{2}$$

$$E[e^{\lambda S_{\tau_a^B} - \tau_a^B \psi(\lambda)}] = 1$$

$\lambda > 0$ can take limit inside expectation by BCT

$$E[e^{\lambda S_{\tau_a} - \tau_a \psi(\lambda)}] = 1$$

$$E[e^{-\tau_a \psi(\lambda)}] = e^{-\lambda a}$$

$$E[\tau_a] = \frac{1}{\psi'(0)} = \infty$$

Martingales: Continuous time

Definition

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space

$\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0$ a *filtration* (= non-decreasing family of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{F})

$M_t, t \geq 0 \in L^1$ is a *martingale* with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0$ if whenever $s \leq t$,

$$E[M_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s.$$

submartingale if \geq *supermartingale* if \leq

Examples

- B_t is a martingale wrt $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(B_s, s \leq t)$
- B_t^2 is a submartingale
- $B_t^2 - t$ is a martingale
- $e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t}$ is a martingale for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

Martingale characterization of Brownian motion

If $e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t}$ is a martingale wrt $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(B_s, s \leq t)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ then $B_t, t \geq 0$ is Brownian motion

Proof.

$$E[e^{\lambda(B_t - B_s)} | \mathcal{F}_s] = e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t-s)}$$

so $B_t - B_s$ independent of \mathcal{F}_s and $\mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$



Doob's inequality

If X_t is a submartingale with respect to \mathcal{F}_t and the paths of X_t are right continuous with probability one, then

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t \geq \lambda\right) \leq \frac{E[X_T^+]}{\lambda}$$

Proof.

Let $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots$ $\tilde{X}_n = X_{t_n}$ is a martingale wrt $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \mathcal{F}_{t_n}$.

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t_i \leq T} X_{t_i} \geq \lambda\right) \leq \frac{E[X_T^+]}{\lambda}$$

By right continuity lhs $\uparrow P(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t \geq \lambda)$ as mesh $\downarrow 0$ □

Optional stopping

$X_t, t \geq 0$ be a right continuous martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0$ and $\sigma \leq \tau$ bounded stopping times

$$E[X_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] = X_\sigma$$

Proof.

$$\sigma_n = 2^{-n}(\lfloor 2^n \sigma \rfloor + 1)$$

$$\tau_n = 2^{-n}(\lfloor 2^n \tau \rfloor + 1)$$

$$\sigma_n \leq \tau_n \leq B$$

$E[X_{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_n}] = X_{\sigma_n}$ ie $\int_A X_{\tau_n} dP = \int_A X_{\sigma_n} dP, A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$, since $\sigma \leq \sigma_n$

By right continuity $X_{\tau_n} \rightarrow X_\tau$ and $X_{\sigma_n} \rightarrow X_\sigma$

Recall $\{X_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{M \uparrow \infty} \sup_n \int_{|X_n| \geq M} |X_n| dP = 0$$

and if $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$ then $\{X_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ uniformly integrable $\Leftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{L^1} X$

X_{σ_n} , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and X_{τ_n} , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are backwards martingales with respect to \mathcal{F}_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, i.e. $E[X_{\sigma_{n-1}} | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_n}] = X_{\sigma_n}$

Lemma

A backwards martingale is uniformly integrable

Proof.

$E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$ whenever $m \leq n$ so $|X_n| \leq E[|X_0| | \mathcal{F}_n]$

so

$$\int_{\{|X_n| > \ell\}} |X_n| dP \leq \int_{\{|X_n| > \ell\}} |X_0| dP = \int \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n| > \ell\}} |X_0| dP$$

$$P(|X_n| > \ell) \leq \frac{E[|X_n|]}{\ell} \leq \frac{E[|X_0|]}{\ell}$$

so $\mathbf{1}_{\{|X_n| > \ell\}} |X_0| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$

$\int_{\{|X_n| > \ell\}} |X_0| dP \rightarrow 0$ by dominated convergence theorem □

Strong Markov property of Brownian motion

$B_t, t \geq 0$ be a Brownian motion with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0$

τ a bounded stopping time.

$$\tilde{B}_t = B_{t+\tau} - B_\tau.$$

Then \tilde{B}_t is a Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F}_τ .

In other words, Brownian motion starts afresh at every stopping time.

Proof.

optional stopping + martingale characterization of Brownian motion □

Reflection Principle

For all $x > 0$, $t \geq 0$,

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s \geq x\right) = 2P(B_t \geq x)$$

In particular $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s \stackrel{d}{=} |B_t|$

Proof.

$$\tau_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \geq x\}$$

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s \geq x\right) = P(B_t \geq x) + P\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s \geq x, B_t < x\right) = P(\tau_x \leq t)$$

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s \geq x, B_t < x\right) = P(\tau_x \leq t, B_{\tau_x+(t-\tau_x)} - B_{\tau_x} < 0) = \frac{1}{2}P(\tau_x \leq t)$$



$$P(\tau_X \leq t) = 2 \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2t}} dy$$

Differentiate in t to see that τ_X has density

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(-\frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \int_x^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2t}} dy + \frac{1}{t^{5/2}} \int_x^\infty y^2 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2t}} dy \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} \end{aligned}$$

In particular $E[\tau_X] = \infty$

$$\tau_X = \inf\{t \geq 0 : x^{-1} B_t = 1\} = \inf\{x^2 t : \tilde{B}_t = x^{-1} B_{x^2 t} = 1\} = x^2 \tilde{\tau}_1$$

Note τ_X has stationary, independent increments, i.e. it is a **Lévy process**

Another way to compute the distribution of τ_x , $x \geq 0$

$M_t = e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t}$ is a martingale

Optional stopping $\Rightarrow E[e^{\lambda B_{\min(\tau_x, B)} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \min(\tau_x, B)}] = 1$

BCT $\Rightarrow E[e^{\lambda B_{\tau_x} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \tau_x}] = 1, \quad \lambda \geq 0$

so $E[e^{-\lambda \tau_x}] = e^{-\sqrt{2\lambda}x}, \quad \lambda \geq 0$

In particular if τ_x and $\tilde{\tau}_y$ are independent then $\tau_x + \tilde{\tau}_y \stackrel{\text{dist}}{=} \tau_{x+y}$

Stable laws

X has stable distribution if for each n there is $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and μ_n such that if X_1, X_2, \dots are iid with $X_i \stackrel{\text{dist}}{=} X$ then

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - \mu_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \stackrel{\text{dist}}{=} X$$

Examples: Gaussian $\alpha = 2$; Cauchy $\alpha = 1$; τ_1 $\alpha = 1/2$

Subordinator means X_t non-decreasing (so it can be used as a **time**).

τ_x , $x \geq 0$ is a **stable subordinator** eg. $B_{\tau_x} = x$

$\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \text{ hits } b + mt\}$, $b, m > 0$. What is $P(\tau < \infty)$?

$$E[e^{\lambda B_{\min(\tau, N)} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \min(\tau, N)}] = 1$$

$B_{\min(\tau, N)} \leq b + m \min(\tau, N)$ so if $\lambda m \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2$ we can let $N \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$E[e^{\lambda(b+m\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau < \infty}] + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E[e^{\lambda B_{\min(\tau, N)} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \min(\tau, N)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau = \infty}] = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E[e^{\lambda B_{\min(\tau, N)} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \min(\tau, N)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau = \infty}] \\ & \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E[e^{\lambda(b+m \min(\tau, N)) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \min(\tau, N)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau = \infty}] \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$E[e^{(\lambda m - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2)\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\tau < \infty}] = e^{-\lambda b}$$

Let $\lambda \downarrow 2m$ to get

$$P(\tau < \infty) = e^{-2mb}$$

Brownian motion starting at x : $B_t + x$, $B_0 = 0$

$$P_x(A) = P(A \mid B_0 = x)$$

$a < x < b$ What is $P_x(\tau_a < \tau_b)$?

If $B_0 = x$ then B_t is still a martingale

$$E_x[B_{\min(\tau_a, \tau_b, N)}] = x$$

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \text{ BCT} \Rightarrow E_x[B_{\min(\tau_a, \tau_b)}] = x = E_x[B_{\tau_a} 1_{\tau_a < \tau_b}] + E_x[B_{\tau_b} 1_{\tau_a > \tau_b}] \\ = aP_x(\tau_a < \tau_b) + bP_x(\tau_a > \tau_b)$$

$$f(x) = P_x(\tau_a < \tau_b) \quad af(x) + b(1 - f(x)) = x$$

$$f(x) = P_x(\tau_a < \tau_b) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}$$

Law of the iterated logarithm (Khinchine)

B_t , $t \geq 0$ Brownian motion

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t^{-1}}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$