

# Martingale Transform

$M_n$  martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$   $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$

$(\sigma \cdot M)_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i (M_{i+1} - M_i)$  is a Martingale

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(\sigma \cdot M)_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i (M_{i+1} - M_i) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sigma_i (M_{i+1} - M_i) + \sigma_{n-1} E[M_n - M_{n-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= (\sigma \cdot M)_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Called **Martingale Transform** “Fortune at time  $n$  using strategy  $\sigma$ ”

Stochastic Integral  $\int_0^t \sigma dB$  is continuous time version based on martingale  $B(t)$ .

Note one can also define stochastic integrals with respect to continuous martingales  $M(t)$  other than Brownian motion. The only thing that changes is that we have the quadratic variation  $\langle M, M \rangle_t = \lim \sum (M(t_{i+1}) - M(t_i))^2$ .

## Itô's Lemma

Let  $f(x)$  be twice continuously differentiable. Then

$$df(B) = f'(B)dB + \frac{1}{2}f''(B)dt$$

## Proof

First of all we can assume without loss of generality that  $f$ ,  $f'$  and  $f''$  are all uniformly bounded, for if we can establish the lemma in the uniformly bounded case, we can approximate  $f$  by  $f_n$  so that all the corresponding derivatives are bounded and converge to those of  $f$  uniformly on compact sets.

Let  $s = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = t$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B(t)) - f(B(s)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [f(B(t_{j+1})) - f(B(t_j))] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f'(B(t_j))(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} f''(B(\xi_j))(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2, \end{aligned}$$

$\xi_j \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$

Let the width of the partition go to zero. By definition of the stochastic integral

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f'(B(t_j))(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j)) \rightarrow \int_s^t f' dB.$$

Finally we want to show that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f''(B(\xi_j))(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 \rightarrow \int_s^t f''(B(u))du$$

It's a lot like the computation of the quadratic variation.

Suppose we had  $f''(B(t_j))$  inside instead of  $f''(B(\xi_j))$

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[ \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f''(B(t_j)) \left[ (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 - (t_{j+1} - t_j) \right] \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} E \left[ f''(B(t_i)) X_i f''(B(t_j)) X_j \right] \quad X_j = (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 - (t_{j+1} - t_j) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $i < j$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ f''(B(t_i)) X_i f''(B(t_j)) X_j \right] &= E \left[ E \left[ f''(B(t_i)) X_i f''(B(t_j)) X_j \mid \mathcal{F}(t_j) \right] \right] \\ &= E \left[ f''(B(t_i)) X_i f''(B(t_j)) E \left[ X_j \mid \mathcal{F}(t_j) \right] \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[ \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f''(B(t_j)) \left[ (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 - (t_{j+1} - t_j) \right] \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E \left[ (f''(B(t_j)))^2 \left[ (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 - (t_{j+1} - t_j) \right]^2 \right] \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f''(B(t_j)) (B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 \xrightarrow{L^2} \int_s^t f''(B(u)) du$$

We still have to show  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f''(B(\xi_j)) - f''(B(t_j))|(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2 \rightarrow 0$   
Taking expectation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E[|f''(B(\xi_j)) - f''(B(t_j))|(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^2] \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{E[(f''(B(\xi_j)) - f''(B(t_j)))^2]} \sqrt{E[(B(t_{j+1}) - B(t_j))^4]} \\ & = C \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{E[(f''(B(\xi_j)) - f''(B(t_j)))^2]} (t_{j+1} - t_j) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by continuity

So we have proved that

$$f(B(t)) - f(B(s)) = \int_s^t f'(B(u))dB(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t f''(B(u))du$$

which is Itô's formula.

- 1 In differential notation Itô's formula reads

$$df(B) = f'(B)dB + \frac{1}{2}f''(B)dt.$$

The Taylor series is  $df(B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(B)(dB)^n$ . In normal calculus we would have  $(dB)^n = 0$  if  $n \geq 2$ , but because of the finite quadratic variation of Brownian paths we have  $(dB)^2 = dt$ , while still  $(dB)^n = 0$  if  $n \geq 3$ .

- 2 If the function  $f$  depends on  $t$  as well as  $B(t)$ , the formula is

$$df(t, B(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, B(t))dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, B(t))dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, B(t))dt.$$

The proof is about the same as the special case above.

# Exponential Martingales

$$M_t = e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t}$$

Ito's formula

$$df(t, B(t)) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right)(t, B(t))dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, B(t))dB(t)$$

$$f(t, x) = e^{\lambda x - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \lambda f$$

$$M_t = \int_0^t \lambda e^{\lambda B_s - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} dB_s$$

# Geometric Brownian motion

$$S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t + \sigma B_t}$$

is called Geometric (or Exponential) Brownian Motion

$S_t \geq 0$  so it is (Samuelson) a better model of stock prices than  $B_t$  (Bachelier)

$\mu$  = drift    $\sigma$  = volatility

Ito's formula

$$df(t, B(t)) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) (t, B(t)) dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (t, B(t)) dB(t)$$

$$f(t, x) = e^{(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t + \sigma x} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \mu f \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \sigma f$$

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t$$

Sometimes people write  $\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t$  but note that  $\frac{dS_t}{S_t} \neq d \log S_t$

## Local time

$f$  continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$

$$L_t(x) = \int_0^t \delta_x(f(s)) ds = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (2\epsilon)^{-1} |\{0 \leq s \leq t : |f(s) - x| \leq \epsilon\}|$$

$$\int_0^t 1_A(f(s)) ds = \int_A L_t(x) dx$$

$f \in C^1$   $L_t(x) = \sum_{s_j \in [0, t]: f(s_j) = x} |f'(s_j)|^{-1}$  discontinuous in  $t$   
Itô's lemma applied to  $|B_t - x|$  gives

### Tanaka's formula for Brownian Local Time

$$L_t(x) = |B_t - x| - |B_0 - x| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s - x) dB_s$$

In particular,  $L_t(x)$  continuous in  $t$  a.s.

But  $|x|$  not bounded, so no fair!!!

Proof.

$$f'_\epsilon(x) = (2\epsilon)^{-1} 1_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]}$$

Itô

$$(2\epsilon)^{-1} |\{0 \leq s \leq t : |B_s| \leq \epsilon\}| = f_\epsilon(B_t) - f_\epsilon(B_0) - \int_0^t f'_\epsilon(B_s) dB_s$$

$$\epsilon \downarrow 0$$

$$L_t(x) = |B_t - x| - |B_0 - x| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s - x) dB_s$$



## Feynman-Kac formula

$V$  a nice function (say bounded).  $u \in C^{1,2}$  solves

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + Vu, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

$\int u(x) \exp\{-x^2/2t\} dx < \infty$ . Then

$$u(t, x) = E_x \left[ e^{\int_0^t V(B(s)) ds} u_0(B(t)) \right]$$

### Proof.

For  $0 \leq s \leq t$  let  $Z(s) = u(t-s, B(s)) e^{\int_0^s V(B(u)) du}$ . By Itô's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) - Z(0) &= \int_0^t \left\{ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + Vu \right\} e^{\int_0^s V(B(u)) du} ds = 0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (t-s, B(s)) e^{\int_0^s V(B(u)) du} ds = \text{martingale} \end{aligned}$$

so  $E_x[Z(t)] = E_x[Z(0)]$

□

- 1 If  $V = V(t, x)$

$$u(t, x) = E_x \left[ e^{\int_0^t V(t-s, B(s)) ds} u_0(B(t)) \right]$$

- 2 Historical remark. Feynman's thesis was that solution  $u$  of it Schrödinger equation  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = i \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + Vu \right]$  should have representation

$$u(x, t) = \int e^{i \int_0^t V(f_s) ds - \frac{i}{2} \int_0^t |f'|^2 ds} u_0(f_t)$$

where  $\int$  is supposed to be average over functions starting at  $x$ . Kac pointed out that it is rigorous if  $i \mapsto 1$

## Arcsin law

$\xi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(B(s)) ds$  = the fraction of time that Brownian motion is positive up to time  $t$

$$P(\xi(t) \leq a) = \begin{cases} 0 & a < 0; \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{a} & 0 \leq a \leq 1; \\ 1 & a > 1. \end{cases}$$

Simple explanation why distribution of  $\xi(t)$  indep of  $t$

$$\xi(t) = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(B(ts)) ds = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} B(ts)\right) ds = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\tilde{B}(s)) ds$$

$$\xi(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \xi(1)$$

## Proof

By Feynman-Kac if we can find a nice solution of

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - u \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)} \quad u(0, x) = 1$$

Then

$$u(t, x) = E_x \left[ e^{-\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(B(s)) ds} \right]$$

and

$$u(t, 0) = \int_0^1 e^{-at} dP(\xi \leq a)$$

$$\alpha > 0, \phi_\alpha(x) = \alpha \int_0^\infty u(t, x) e^{-\alpha t} dt \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \phi_\alpha'' + (\alpha + \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}) \phi_\alpha = \alpha$$

$$\phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} + A e^{x\sqrt{2(\alpha+1)}} + B e^{-x\sqrt{2(\alpha+1)}}, & x \geq 0, \\ 1 + C e^{x\sqrt{2\alpha}} + D e^{-x\sqrt{2\alpha}}, & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$u \leq 1 \Rightarrow \phi_\alpha \leq 1 \Rightarrow A = D = 0$$

## Proof.

$$\phi_\alpha(0_-) = \phi_\alpha(0_+), \phi'_\alpha(0_-) = \phi'_\alpha(0_+)$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{\alpha^{1/2}}{(1+\alpha)(\sqrt{\alpha}+\sqrt{\alpha+1})}, C = \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^{1/2}(\sqrt{\alpha}+\sqrt{\alpha+1})^{1/2}}$$

$$\phi_\alpha(0) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} = \int_0^\infty E[e^{-t\xi} \alpha e^{-\alpha t}] dt$$

By Fubini's theorem this reads  $E\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\xi}\right] = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$  or

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+\gamma a} dP(\xi \leq a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}}$$

Looking up a table of transforms we find

$$dP(\xi \leq a) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a(1-a)}} da \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1$$

which is the density of the arcsin distribution □

# Stochastic differential equations

$\sigma(x, t)$ ,  $b(x, t)$  mble

## Definition

A stochastic process  $X_t$  is a solution of a stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(X_t, t)dB_t, \quad X_0 = x_0$$

on  $[0, T]$  if  $X_t$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ,  $\int_0^T |b(X_t, t)|dt < \infty$ ,  $\int_0^T |\sigma(X_t, t)|^2 dt < \infty$  a.s. and

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s, s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s)dB_s \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

The main point is that  $\sigma(\omega, t) = \sigma(X_t, t)$ ,  $b(\omega, t) = b(X_t, t)$

If  $B(t)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion and  $f(t, x)$  is a function on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d$  which has one continuous derivative in  $t$  and two continuous derivatives in  $x$ , then Ito's formula reads

$$df(t, B(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, B(t))dt + \nabla f(t, B(t)) \cdot dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(t, B(t))dt.$$

## Bessel process ( $d = 2$ )

Let  $B_t = (B_t^1, B_t^2)$  be 2d Brownian motion starting at 0,

$$r_t = |B_t| = \sqrt{(B_t^1)^2 + (B_t^2)^2}.$$

By Ito's lemma,

$$dr_t = \frac{B_t^1}{|B_t|} dB_t^1 + \frac{B_t^2}{|B_t|} dB_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|B_t|} dt.$$

This is *not* a stochastic differential equation.

$$Y(t) = \int_0^t \frac{B^1}{|B|} dB^1 + \int_0^t \frac{B^2}{|B|} dB^2$$

Let  $f(t, y)$  be a smooth function Use Itô's lemma. Intuitively

$$df(t, Y_t) = \partial_t f dt + \partial_y f dY + \frac{1}{2} \partial_y^2 f (dY)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} (dY)^2 &= \left( \frac{B^1}{|B|} dB^1 + \frac{B^2}{|B|} dB^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{B^1}{|B|} \right)^2 (dB^1)^2 + 2 \frac{B^1 B^2}{|B|^2} dB^1 dB^2 + \left( \frac{B^2}{|B|} \right)^2 (dB^2)^2 \\ &= dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, Y_t) &= f(0, Y_0) + \int_0^t (\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} \partial_y^2 f)(s, Y_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \partial_y f \frac{B^1}{|B|} dB^1 + \int_0^t \partial_y f \frac{B^2}{|B|} dB^2 \end{aligned}$$

## Itô's lemma

$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t + b(t, X_t)dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, X_t) &= f(0, X_0) + \int_0^t \left\{ \partial_s f(s, X_s) + \mathcal{L}f(s, X_s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sigma_{ij}(s, X_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} f(s, X_s) dB_s^j \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}f(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_i \partial X_j}(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(t, x)$$

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^d \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \quad a = \sigma \sigma^T$$

$$dr_t = \frac{B^1}{|B|} dB^1 + \frac{B^2}{|B|} dB^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|B|} dt = dY_t + \frac{1}{2} r_t^{-1} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, Y_t) &= f(0, Y_0) + \int_0^t (\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} \partial_y^2 f)(s, Y_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \partial_y f \frac{B^1}{|B|} dB^1 + \int_0^t \partial_y f \frac{B^2}{|B|} dB^2 \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $e^{\lambda Y_t - \lambda^2 t/2}$  is a martingale

So  $Y_t$  is a Brownian motion.

Therefore

$$dr_t = dY_t + \frac{1}{2} r_t^{-1} dt$$

*is* a stochastic differential equation for the new Brownian motion  $Y_t$

## Itô's lemma

$$f(t, X_t) - f(0, X_0) = \int_0^t \left\{ \partial_s + \mathcal{L} \right\} f(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \nabla f(s, X_s) \cdot \sigma dB_s$$

## Proof

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i f(t_{i+1}, X_{t_{i+1}}) - f(t_i, X_{t_i}) \\ &= \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, X_{t_i})(t_{i+1} - t_i) + \nabla f(t_i, X_{t_i}) \cdot (X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_j \partial X_k}(t_i, X_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}}^j - X_{t_i}^j)(X_{t_{i+1}}^k - X_{t_i}^k) \\ &\quad + \text{higher order terms} \end{aligned}$$

## Proof continued

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_i, X_{t_i})(t_{i+1} - t_i) \rightarrow \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, X_s) ds$$

$$\sum_i \nabla f(t_i, X_{t_i}) \cdot (X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i})$$

$$= \sum_i \nabla f(t_i, X_{t_i}) \cdot \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s \right) \rightarrow \int_0^t \nabla f \cdot \sigma dB$$

$$+ \sum_i \nabla f(t_i, X_{t_i}) \cdot \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} b(s, X_s) ds \right) \rightarrow \int_0^t \nabla f \cdot b ds$$

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(t_i, X_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}}^j - X_{t_i}^j)(X_{t_{i+1}}^k - X_{t_i}^k) \rightarrow \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(s, X_s) a_{jk}(s, X_s) ds$$

## Proof continued

To show the last convergence, ie

$$\sum_i g(t_i, X_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}}^j - X_{t_i}^j)(X_{t_{i+1}}^k - X_{t_i}^k) \rightarrow \int_0^t g(s, X_s) a_{jk}(s, X_s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t_i, t_{i+1}) &= \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sum_l \sigma_{jl}(s, X_s) dB_s^l \right) \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sum_m \sigma_{km}(s, X_s) dB_s^m \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sum_l \sigma_{jl} \sigma_{kl}(s, X_s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$E[|Z(t_i, t_{i+1})|^2] = \mathcal{O}((t_{i+1} - t_i)^2)$$

## Proof continued

$$\sum_i g(t_i, X_{t_i}) E\left[\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} a_{ij}(s, X_s) ds\right] \rightarrow \int_0^t g(s, X_s) a_{ij}(s, X_s) ds$$

$$E\left[\left(\sum_i g(t_i, X_{t_i}) Z(t_i, t_{i+1})\right)^2\right] = \sum_{i,j} E[g(t_i, X_{t_i}) Z(t_i, t_{i+1}) g(t_j, X_{t_j}) Z(t_j, t_{j+1})]$$

$$i < j \quad E[E[g(t_i, X_{t_i}) Z(t_i, t_{i+1}) g(t_j, X_{t_j}) Z(t_j, t_{j+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_j}]] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} i = j \quad & E[E[g^2(t_i, X_{t_i}) Z^2(t_i, t_{i+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\ & = E[g^2(t_i, X_{t_i}) E[Z^2(t_i, t_{i+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\ & = \mathcal{O}((t_{i+1} - t_i)^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$f(t, X_t) - f(0, X_0) - \int_0^t \left\{ \partial_s + \mathcal{L} \right\} f(s, X_s) ds = \int_0^t \nabla f(s, X_s) \cdot \sigma dB_s$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \text{generator}$$

$M_t = f(t, X_t) - \int_0^t \left\{ \partial_s + \mathcal{L} \right\} f(s, X_s) ds$  is a martingale

$$0 = E[f(t, X_t) - f(s, X_s) - \int_s^t \left\{ \partial_u + \mathcal{L} \right\} f(u, X_u) du \mid \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= \int f(t, y) p(s, x, t, y) dy - f(s, x)$$

$$- \int_s^t \int \left\{ \partial_u + \mathcal{L} \right\} f(u, y) p(s, x, u, y) dy du, \quad X_s = x$$

For any  $f$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int f(t, y) p(s, x, t, y) dy - f(s, x) \\ &\quad - \int_s^t \int \left\{ \partial_u + \mathcal{L} \right\} f(u, y) p(s, x, u, y) dy du \end{aligned}$$

## Fokker-Planck (Forward) Equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(s, x, t, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} (a_{i,j}(t, y) p(s, x, t, y)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (b_i(t, y) p(s, x, t, y)) \\ &= L_y^* p(s, x, t, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t \downarrow s} p(s, x, t, y) = \delta(y - x).$$

## Kolmogorov (Backward) Equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} p(s, x, t, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(s, x) \frac{\partial^2 p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(s, x) \frac{\partial p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= L_x p(s, x, t, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{s \uparrow t} p(s, x, t, y) = \delta(y - x).$$

## Proof.

$f(x)$  smooth

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial s}u = L_s u \quad 0 \leq s < t \quad u(t, x) = f(x)$$

Ito's formula:  $u(s, X(s))$  martingale up to time  $t$

$$u(s, x) = E_{s,x}[u(s, X(s))] = E_{s,x}[u(t, X(t))] = \int f(z)p(s, x, t, z)dz$$

Let  $f_n(z)$  smooth functions tending to  $\delta(y - z)$ . We get in the limit that  $p$  satisfy the backward equations. □

## Example. Brownian motion $d = 1$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\text{Forward} \quad \frac{\partial p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial y^2}, \quad t > s$$

$$p(s, x, s, y) = \delta(y - x)$$

$$\text{Backward} \quad - \frac{\partial p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial x^2}, \quad s < t,$$

$$p(t, x, t, y) = \delta(y - x)$$

## Example. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \alpha x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$\text{Forward} \quad \frac{\partial p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\alpha y p(s, x, t, y)), \quad t > s,$$

$$p(s, x, s, y) = \delta(y - x)$$

$$\text{Backward} \quad -\frac{\partial p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial x^2} - \alpha x \frac{\partial p(s, x, t, y)}{\partial x}, \quad s < t,$$

$$p(t, x, t, y) = \delta(y - x)$$