

ON PROJECTIVE COMPLETIONS OF AFFINE VARIETIES DETERMINED BY DEGREE-LIKE FUNCTIONS

PINAKI MONDAL

ABSTRACT. We study projective completions of affine algebraic varieties which are given by filtrations, or equivalently, ‘degree like functions’ on their rings of regular functions. For a quasifinite polynomial map P (i.e. with all fibers finite) of affine varieties, we prove that there are completions of the source that do not add points at infinity for P (i.e. in the intersection of completions of the hypersurfaces corresponding to a generic fiber and determined by the component functions of P). Moreover we show that there are ‘finite type’ completions with the latter property, determined by the maximum of a finite number of ‘semidegrees’, i.e. maps of the ring of regular functions excluding zero, into integers, which send products into sums and sums into maximas (with a possible exception when the summands have the same semidegree). We characterize the latter type completions as the ones for which the ideal of the ‘hypersurface at infinity’ is radical. Moreover, we establish a one-to-one correspondence between the collection of minimal associated primes of the latter ideal and the unique minimal collection of semidegrees needed to define the corresponding degree like function. We also prove an ‘affine Bezout type’ theorem for quasifinite polynomial maps P which admit semidegrees such that corresponding completions do not add points at infinity for P .

INTRODUCTION

Let X be an affine algebraic variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . A projective completion of X is an open immersion given by an algebraic morphism $\psi : X \hookrightarrow Z$ of X onto a dense open subset of an algebraic subvariety Z of some projective space \mathbb{P}^N . The point of departure for the study in this article is the (well known) observation that *filtrations* on the coordinate ring $\mathbb{K}[X]$ of X correspond to projective completions of X . Giving a filtration \mathcal{F} on $\mathbb{K}[X]$, on the other hand, is equivalent to defining a *degree like function* $\delta : \mathbb{K}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\delta(f + g) \leq \max\{\delta(f), \delta(g)\}$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[X]$, with $<$ in the preceding equation implying $\delta(f) = \delta(g)$.
- (2) $\delta(fg) \leq \delta(f) + \delta(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[X]$.

The notion of a degree like function generalizes the usual and weighted degrees on the polynomial ring (which correspond respectively to the usual and weighted projective completions of the affine space). But observe that both the usual and weighted degrees satisfy property (2) with exact *equality* instead of the inequality,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14A10.

Key words and phrases. Completion, Projective completion, Degree like function, semidegree, quasidegree, affine Bezout type, Degree of completion.

The author expresses his gratitude to his advisor Professor Pierre Milman for posing the questions and guidance throughout his work.

and therefore are examples of a special class of degree like functions which we call *semidegrees*.

Given a projective completion Z of X determined by a degree like function δ , we define a ‘normalized’ degree like function $\bar{\delta}$ which is a maximum of finitely many semidegrees. When X is normal, the corresponding completion \bar{Z} is isomorphic to the normalization of Z . The construction of $\bar{\delta}$ from δ generalizes the construction of the normal *toric completion* $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ determined by a convex integral polytope \mathcal{P} from the toric variety determined by an arbitrary finite subset S of integral points in \mathcal{P} whose convex hull is \mathcal{P} . The irreducible components of $X_{\mathcal{P}} \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ correspond to facets of \mathcal{P} . Similarly, we establish a one-to-one correspondence between the components of the $\bar{Z} \setminus X$ and the unique minimal collection of semidegrees needed to define $\bar{\delta}$.

This work started as a project to understand ‘affine Bezout type theorems’ which provide formulae for the number of solutions of systems of equations on affine varieties, the systems being generic in some suitable sense. Curiously, constructions in [Kus76], [Ber75], [Kho78], [Roj94], [Roj99], [RW96] have one property in common - they involve completions $\psi : X \rightarrow Z$ of the affine variety X which satisfy the following property with respect to some polynomial map $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ with finite fibers:

- (*) for generic $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\bar{H}_1(a) \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_n(a) \cap (Z \setminus X) = \emptyset$,
 where $H_i(a) := \{x \in X : f_i(x) = a_i\}$ and $\bar{H}_i(a)$ is the closure of $H_i(a)$
 in Z for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

This observation suggests the following definitions: for finitely many closed subvarieties V_1, \dots, V_k of X , a completion $\psi : X \hookrightarrow Z$ *preserves the intersection of V_1, \dots, V_k at ∞* if $\bar{V}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{V}_k \cap X_{\infty} = \emptyset$, where $X_{\infty} := Z \setminus X$ is the set of ‘points at infinity’ and \bar{V}_j is the closure of V_j in Z for every j . Given a polynomial map $f = (f_1, \dots, f_q) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^q(\mathbb{K})$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_q) \in f(X)$ and a completion ψ , we say that ψ *preserves the fiber $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞* if ψ preserves the intersection of the hypersurfaces $H_i(a) := \{x \in X : f_i(x) = a_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, q$. Finally, when f is quasifinite, i.e. has only finite fibers, we say that completion ψ *preserves f at ∞* provided it satisfies (*), i.e. for all a in a non-empty Zariski open subset of $f(X)$, ψ preserves $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞ . We prove in this article that given any polynomial map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ with finite fibers, there exists a degree like function δ on $\mathbb{K}[X]$ such that δ is the maximum of finitely many semidegrees and the corresponding completion of X satisfies (*). When δ is itself a semidegree, we derive an affine Bezout type formula for the size of generic fibers of f in terms of degree D of a d -uple completion of the resulting variety. Using [KK08], we provide a description of D in terms of the volume of a convex body determined by δ . We also describe an iterative procedure (starting with a weighted degree) of a construction of a semidegree and, for $X = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$, provide a simple algebraic formula for D .

Our article is organized as follows. In section 1.1 we define the notion of a filtration and give a characterization of the completions coming from filtrations. After several basic examples of filtrations and corresponding completions in section 1.2, we give an example of a projective completion of an affine variety $X \neq \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ which does not come from a filtration. On the other hand, we ask the following

Question. Does $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ admit a projective completion not induced by a filtration?

Section 1.3 is where we consider the question: “given a collection of subvarieties of X with finite intersections, when does a completion of X preserve their intersection at ∞ ?” We prove in this section that given a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ with finite fibers, there is a filtration on $\mathbb{K}[X]$ such that the corresponding completion satisfy (*). We introduce degree like functions corresponding to filtrations in section 2.1 and present examples of completions determined by semidegrees and *quasidegrees*, which are the maxima of finitely many semidegrees. Here we also describe an ‘iterated’ recipe of producing new semidegrees from an old one. In section 2.2 we prove our main results on projective completions determined by quasidegrees. Our first theorem classifies the filtrations determined by semi- and quasidegrees. As a corollary we deduce that for a completion $\psi : X \hookrightarrow Z$ given by a quasidegree δ , the irreducible components of $X_\infty := Z \setminus X$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the unique minimal collection of semidegrees defining δ . Given an arbitrary completion which comes from a filtration and preserves the intersection at ∞ of a collection of subvarieties, we show in our (main) existence theorem that there is a completion determined by a quasidegree which preserves the intersection at ∞ of the subvarieties in the collection. We make use of the latter to conclude the existence of completions determined by quasidegrees which preserve a given quasifinite polynomial map P at ∞ . Not all quasifinite maps admit completions of the latter type determined by a quasidegree which is also a semidegree, as our example of section 2.3 shows.

In section 3 we prove the Bezout theorem for a semidegree δ in terms of the degree D of the resulting projective variety in an appropriate ambient space. When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, we give a description of D in terms of the volume of a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n using [KK08]. For an ‘iterated’ semidegree we present a simple formula for D . Finally, in the appendix we provide a proof that Bernstein’s formula [Ber75] for counting zeros of a Laurent polynomial system holds with an equality if and only if the completion $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \hookrightarrow X_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies (*), where \mathcal{P} is the Minkowski sum of the supporting polytopes of components of f . The results of this article have been announced in [Mon08].

1. FILTRATIONS

1.1. Definitions and Preliminaries. Throughout this article A will be a finitely generated algebra over \mathbb{K} .

Definition. A filtration \mathcal{F} on A is a family $\{F_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of \mathbb{K} -vector subspaces of A such that

- (1) $F_i \subseteq F_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- (2) $1 \in F_0$,
- (3) $A = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$, and
- (4) $F_i F_j \subseteq F_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. We could replace property (1) by

$$1'. \quad 1 \in F_1,$$

since (1') and (4) imply (1).

Filtration \mathcal{F} is called *non-negative* if $F_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$. Associated to each filtration \mathcal{F} there are two graded rings:

$$A^{\mathcal{F}} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (F_i / F_{i-1}).$$

We denote a copy of $f \in F_d$ in the d -th graded component of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ by $(f)_d$. $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is given the structure of a graded \mathbb{K} -algebra with multiplication defined by:

$$\left(\sum_d (f_d)_d \right) \left(\sum_e (g_e)_e \right) := \sum_k \sum_{d+e=k} (f_d g_e)_k.$$

\mathcal{F} is called a *finitely generated* filtration if $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -algebra.

Let t be an indeterminate over A . Then there is an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad A^{\mathcal{F}} \cong \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i t^i \subseteq A[t, t^{-1}]$$

which maps $(1)_1 \rightarrow t$. The following property of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a straightforward corollary of this isomorphism.

Lemma 1.1.1. *$A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is an integral domain if and only if A is an integral domain.* \square

Fact. Let $S = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_i$ be a graded ring such that $S_0 = \mathbb{K}$ and also S be an integral domain which is finitely generated as an algebra over \mathbb{K} . Then $\text{Proj } S$ is a projective algebraic variety over \mathbb{K} . \square

It follows via the preceding fact that $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a projective, and hence complete, variety if \mathcal{F} is non-negative, finitely generated and $F_0 = \mathbb{K}$. In view of this fact we make the following

Definition. A filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{F_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on A is called *complete* if it is non-negative, finitely generated and $F_0 = \mathbb{K}$.

The following proposition connects filtrations on A with projective completions of $\text{Spec } A$.

Proposition 1.1.2. *If A is a \mathbb{K} -algebra and \mathcal{F} is a non-negative filtration on A , then there is an open immersion $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\text{Spec } A$ onto an open dense subscheme of $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. The complement of $\text{Spec } A$ in $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a hypersurface. Conversely, given any graded ring $S = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_i$ and an open immersion $\phi : \text{Spec } A \hookrightarrow \text{Proj } S$ such that $\text{Spec } A$ is dense in $\text{Proj } S$ and $\text{Proj } S \setminus \text{Spec } A$ is a hypersurface, there is a non-negative filtration \mathcal{F} on A and a commutative diagram as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Spec } A \\ \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}} & & \downarrow \phi \\ \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Proj } S \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is the identity and the bottom horizontal map is a closed immersion induced by an isomorphism of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ with S/I for some ideal I of S contained in the nilradical of S .

Remark.

- By a *hypersurface* in $\text{Proj } S$ for an arbitrary graded ring S we just mean a closed subscheme given by $V(f)$ for some $f \in S$.
- The first part of the theorem is well known.

Proof. Let us write X for $\text{Spec } A$ and Y for $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Recall that basic open sets in Y are given by $Y_G = \{Q \in Y \mid G \notin Q\} = \text{Spec } A_{(G)}^{\mathcal{F}}$, where G ranges over the homogenous elements in $A^{\mathcal{F}}$, and $A_{(G)}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the subring of the local ring $A_G^{\mathcal{F}}$ consisting of degree zero homogenous elements. Identify $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ with $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i t^i$ via (1). It follows that $A_{(t)}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{gt^d/t^d : g \in F_d \subseteq A, d \geq 0\} \cong \{g : g \in F_d \subseteq A\} = A$ as a subring of $A[t, t^{-1}]$; hence $X \cong Y_t$ and $Y \setminus X = V(t)$.

Now we prove that Y_t is dense in Y . Let $G = (g)_d \in A^{\mathcal{F}}$ be a homogenous element such that $Y_t \cap Y_G = \emptyset$, or equivalently, $V(t) \cup V(G) = \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Then for all homogenous prime ideals Q of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$, either $t \in Q$, or $G \in Q$, so that

$$Gt \in \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}} Q = \eta(A^{\mathcal{F}}),$$

where $\eta(A^{\mathcal{F}})$ is the nilradical of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$. (The last equality holds because the nilradical of a graded ring is a homogenous ideal and hence lies in the ideal Q^h generated by homogenous elements of every prime ideal Q . But it is straightforward to see that if Q is prime, then Q^h is also prime.) Pick k such that $(Gt)^k = (g^k)_{dk+k} = 0$. Then $g^k = 0 \in A$, and hence $G^k = (g^k)_{dk} = 0 \in A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore G is nilpotent in $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $Y_G = \emptyset$. Thus Y_t is dense in Y , and the first assertion is proved.

We come to the last assertion of the theorem now. Let $S = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_i$ be a graded ring, let $Z = \text{Proj } S$. Let $\phi : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow Z$ be an open immersion of $\text{Spec } A$ onto a dense open subscheme of Z of the form Z_f for some homogenous $f \in S$. Let the degree of f be d . If we consider the d -th truncated ring $S^{[d]} \subseteq S$ defined by

$$S^{[d]} := \bigoplus_{d|k} S_k = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S_{kd},$$

then $\text{Proj } S \cong \text{Proj } S^{[d]}$, hence we can safely replace S with $S^{[d]}$, and assume that degree of f is 1. Now, since $Z_f \cong \text{Spec } S_{(f)}$, and since morphisms of affine schemes are uniquely determined by the corresponding homomorphisms of rings ([Mum88], theorem II.2.1), the above isomorphism of schemes induces an isomorphism of rings $\phi^* : S_{(f)} \cong A$. Now, for each $g \in A$, $(\phi^*)^{-1}(g) = a/f^k$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $a \in S_k$. Define

$$F_k := \phi^*(S_k/f^k) = \{g \in R \mid (\phi^*)^{-1}(g) \in S_k/f^k\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is a filtration on A . By means of this filtration we construct as usual the ring $A^{\mathcal{F}} := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} F_d$. Now $\phi^* : S_{(f)} \rightarrow A$ induces a mapping $\Phi^* : S \rightarrow A^{\mathcal{F}}$. This map is defined componentwise, and it takes a $g \in S_d$ to $(\phi^*(g/f^d))_d$, i.e. to the copy of $\phi^*(g/f^d)$ in $A_d^{\mathcal{F}}$. It is easily seen that Φ^* is a surjective homomorphism of graded rings, so that the induced map $\Phi : \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{Proj } S$ is a closed immersion.

Claim. $\ker \Phi^* \subseteq \eta(S)$, where $\eta(S)$ is the nilradical of S .

Proof. Pick $g \in S_d$ such that $\Phi^*(g) = (\phi^*(g/f^d))_d = 0 \in A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $\phi^*(g/f^d) = 0 \in A$. Since $\phi^* : S_{(f)} \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $g/f^d = 0 \in S_{(f)}$ so that there is some $k \geq 0$ such that $f^k g = 0 \in S$. But then every prime ideal in S has to contain f or g , ie $Z_f \cap Z_g = \emptyset$. Since $Z_f (\cong \text{Spec } A)$ is dense in Z , it follows that $Z_g = \emptyset$, so that $g \in \eta(S)$. \square

By the above claim, $\bar{S} := S/\ker \Phi^* \cong A^{\mathcal{F}}$, and hence $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \cong \text{Proj } \bar{S}$. To see that this isomorphism is the identity map when restricted to $\text{Spec } A$, note that the maps $\phi : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Proj } S$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ are completely determined by the corresponding maps $\phi^* : S_{(f)} \rightarrow A$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}^* : A_{(t)}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow A$, where $t = (1)_1 \in A^{\mathcal{F}}$ as before. But the latter two maps of the rings give rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{1_A} & A \\ \uparrow \phi^* & & \uparrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}}^* \\ S_{(f)} & \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} & A_{(t)}^{\mathcal{F}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \phi^*(g/f^d) & \xrightarrow{1_A} & \phi^*(g/f^d) \\ \uparrow \phi^* & & \uparrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}}^* \\ g/f^d & \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} & \frac{(\phi^*(g/f^d))_d}{t^d} \end{array}$$

Since the the top horizontal map and both of the vertical maps are isomorphisms, it follows that the bottom horizontal map must be an isomorphism too, and thus we get the desired commutative diagram. \square

Before we proceed further, let us examine the structure of the complement of $\text{Spec } A$ in $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ more closely. From the proof of proposition (1.1.2), we see that $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \text{Spec } A$ is the closed subspace $V(t) := \{P \in \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \mid t \in P\}$. Now consider the natural projection $\pi : A^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Homomorphism π of graded rings is surjective and therefore it defines a map $\pi^* : \text{Proj } (\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$, given by $p \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(p)$, which is a closed immersion [Har77, Exercise II.3.12(a)].

Claim. $\ker \pi = \langle t \rangle$.

Proof. Since $t := (1)_1$ and $1 \in F_0$, it follows that $t \in \ker \pi$, so that $\ker \pi \supseteq \langle t \rangle$. Also, π a homomorphism of graded ring. Hence $\ker \pi$ is a homogenous ideal, i.e. $\ker \pi$ is generated by homogenous elements from $A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Pick a homogenous G in the d -th graded component of $\ker \pi$. Then $G = (g)_d$ for some $g \in F_{d-1}$. But then

$$G = (g)_d = (g)_{d-1}(1)_1 = (g)_{d-1}t,$$

so that $G \in \langle t \rangle$. Therefore, $\ker \pi \subseteq \langle t \rangle$. \square

It follows that $\pi^*(\text{Proj } (\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}})) = V(t)$ [Har77, Exercise II.3.12(b)]. Thus we have proven the following

Proposition 1.1.3. $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \text{Spec } A = \text{Proj } (\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}})$. \square

Combining the results of propositions (1.1.1), (1.1.2) and (1.1.3), we deduce

Theorem 1.1.4. *Let A be a \mathbb{K} -algebra which is an integral domain and \mathcal{F} be a filtration of A . If \mathcal{F} is complete, then $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a projective variety over \mathbb{K} and the map*

$$\psi_{\mathcal{F}} : p \rightarrow \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} p \cap F_d$$

gives an open immersion of $\text{Spec } A$ onto a dense open subscheme of $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. The complement of $\text{Spec } A$ in $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the hypersurface $V((1)_1)$, which is also the image of the closed immersion $\pi^* : \text{Proj}(gr A^{\mathcal{F}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Proj}(A^{\mathcal{F}})$ induced by the natural projection $\pi : A^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow gr A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Conversely, given any graded ring S and an open immersion $\phi : \text{Spec } A \hookrightarrow \text{Proj } S$ such that $\text{Spec } A$ is dense in $\text{Proj } S$ and $\text{Proj } S \setminus \text{Spec } A$ is a hypersurface, there is a filtration \mathcal{F} on A and a commutative diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Spec } A \\ \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}} & & \downarrow \phi \\ \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Proj } S \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is the identity and the bottom horizontal map is a closed immersion which induces an isomorphism $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \cong \text{Proj}(S/\eta(S))$ where $\eta(S)$ is the nilradical of S . \square

Recall that the *homogenous coordinate ring* of a closed subvariety of a n -dimensional weighted projective space is the factor of the polynomial ring of $n+1$ variables by the ideal of all weighted homogeneous polynomials vanishing on this variety. Choosing a set of generators of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ gives an embedding of $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ into a weighted projective space such that the homogenous coordinate of the image is $A^{\mathcal{F}}$. The following corollary is a rewording of theorem (1.1.4) in terms of these coordinates.

Corollary 1.1.5. *Let X be an affine variety over \mathbb{K} and A be its coordinate ring. Let \mathcal{F} be a complete filtration on A such that $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is generated over \mathbb{K} by $(f_1)_{d_1}, \dots, (f_N)_{d_N}$. Then the map $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^N(\mathbb{K})$ given by $\phi(x) := (f_1(x), \dots, f_N(x))$ is a closed immersion, and there exists a commutative diagram as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{A}^N(\mathbb{K}) \\ \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}} & & \downarrow i \\ \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{K}; 1, d_1, \dots, d_N) \end{array}$$

where i is the natural injection of $\mathbb{A}^N(\mathbb{K})$ into the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{K}; 1, d_1, \dots, d_N)$, and Φ is a closed immersion that maps $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ isomorphically onto \bar{X} , the closure of X in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{K}; 1, d_1, \dots, d_N)$. Moreover, Φ induces an isomorphism between $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ and the homogenous coordinate ring of \bar{X} . Conversely, given any closed immersion $\phi : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^N(\mathbb{K})$, and any N positive integers d_1, \dots, d_N , there exists a complete filtration \mathcal{F} on A and a closed immersion $\Phi : \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{K}; 1, d_1, \dots, d_N)$ such that the above diagram is commutative. Also, Φ induces a graded ring isomorphism between the graded ring of $\bar{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{K}; 1, d_1, \dots, d_N)$ and $A^{\mathcal{F}}$, and hence an isomorphism of $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ and \bar{X} . \square

1.2. Examples. Now we look at some examples of complete filtrations on the coordinate rings of affine varieties, and find the corresponding completions. Throughout this section X will denote an affine variety, A will denote its coordinate ring, $\mathcal{F} = \{F_d : d \geq 0\}$ will be a filtration on A with the corresponding completion $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ of X , and $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ will denote the homogenous coordinate ring of $X^{\mathcal{F}}$. For the first four examples we set $X = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ and $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Example 1.2.1. Define \mathcal{F} on A by letting F_d be the set of polynomials of degree less than or equal to d . Identification of F_d with the set of *homogenous* polynomials in x_0, \dots, x_n of degree d gives an isomorphism $A^{\mathcal{F}} \cong \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Hence $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the usual projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$.

Example 1.2.2. Let d_1, \dots, d_n be any n positive integers. Let F_d be the \mathbb{K} -linear span of all the monomials $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ such that $\sum \alpha_i d_i \leq d$. Set $d_0 := 1$. Then F_d can be identified with the set of *weighted homogenous* polynomials in x_0, \dots, x_n of weighted degree d and with weights of x_i being d_i . Thus $A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is again isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, but the grading in this case is induced by the weighted degree (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n) , and $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K}; d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)$.

Example 1.2.3. Let F_i be the set of polynomials of degree less than or equal to di , where d is a fixed positive integer. Then $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the d -uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$ in $\mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{K})$, where $m = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$. In particular, for $n = 1$, $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the rational canonical curve of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{K})$.

Example 1.2.4. X is again $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ as above. Let F_1 be the \mathbb{K} -linear span of all monomials of degree less than or equal to two except for x_n^2 . Let $F_d = (F_1)^d$ for $d \geq 1$. Then $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the variety resulting from a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$ at the point $O := [0 : \cdots : 0 : 1]$. To see this, let Y be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$ at O . Then Y is the closure in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$ of the image of $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ under the map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto ([1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_n], [1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_{n-1}])$. Embedding $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$ into $\mathbb{P}^{n(n+1)-1}(\mathbb{K})$ via the Segre map, we see that Y is isomorphic to the closure in $\mathbb{P}^{n(n+1)-1}(\mathbb{K})$ of the image of X under the map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_{n-1} : x_1 : x_1^2 : \cdots : x_1 x_{n-1} : \cdots : x_n : x_n x_1 : \cdots : x_n x_{n-1}]$. Composing with an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{n(n+1)-1}(\mathbb{K})$, we may assume the map is $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_{n-1} : x_1^2 : x_1 x_2 : \cdots : x_1 x_{n-1} : (x_2)^2 : x_2 x_3 : \cdots : x_2 x_{n-1} : \cdots : x_{n-1}^2 : x_{n-1} x_n : 0 : \cdots : 0]$. Projecting onto the first $N := n + (n-1) + \cdots + 2$ coordinates, we see that Y is isomorphic to the closure in $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{K})$ of the map of \mathbb{C}^n given by all monomials of degree less than or equal to two except for x_n^2 . But this is precisely $X^{\mathcal{F}}$!

Example 1.2.5. Let X be a normal affine variety with trivial divisor class group $\text{Cl} X$ (e.g. any X whose coordinate ring is a unique factorization domain). Let $\bar{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^L(\mathbb{K})$ be any normal projective completion of X . If $X_\infty := \bar{X} \setminus X$ is irreducible, then the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ arises from a filtration. To see this, let D be the Cartier divisor on \bar{X} such that $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(D)$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(1)$. Since $\text{Cl} X = 0$, there is $f \in \mathbb{K}(X)$ such that $(f) = D|_X$. Let $D' = D - (f)$, so that $\text{Supp}(D') \cap X = \emptyset$. It follows that $D' = kX_\infty$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $k = \deg D' = \deg D = \deg \bar{X} > 0$ [Har77, Exercise II.6.2], and hence $1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(D'), \bar{X})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that \bar{X} does not lie in any proper hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^L(\mathbb{K})$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(D'), \bar{X}) = L + 1$. Let $g_1, \dots, g_L \in \mathbb{K}(X)$ such that $1, g_1, \dots, g_L$ is a vector space basis of $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(D'), \bar{X})$. Since $(g_i) + D' \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, L$, it follows that none of the g_i 's has any pole on X , and hence each $g_i \in A$. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^L(\mathbb{K})$ be the map which takes $x \in X$ to $(g_1(x), \dots, g_L(x))$. Then \bar{X} is precisely the closure of $\phi(X)$ in $\mathbb{P}^L(\mathbb{K})$. By theorem (1.1.4) it follows that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^L(\mathbb{K})$ arises from a filtration on A .

Example 1.2.6. Not all projective completions of affine varieties are determined by a filtration. Our example below is a variation of an example by Mike Roth and

Ravi Vakil considered in [RV04]. Let X' be a nonsingular cubic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 . Let O be one of its 9 inflection points. Consider the group structure on X' with O as the origin. Pick any point P of X' which is not a torsion point in this group. Then $X := X' \setminus \{P\}$ is an affine variety ([Goo69, Proposition 5]). We claim that there is no homogenous polynomial f in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ such that $V(f) \cap X' = \{P\}$. Indeed, assume to the contrary that there is a homogenous polynomial f of degree $d > 0$ such that $V(f) \cap X' = \{P\}$. Then $(f) = 3dP$, where (f) is the divisor on X' associated to f . Let l be the equation of the tangent line of X' at O . Since O is an inflection point, it follows that $V(l) \cap X' = \{O\}$ and thus the divisor of l on X' is $(l) = 3O$. Then f/l^d is a rational function on X' , and its corresponding divisor on X' is $(f/l^d) = 3dP - 3dO$. Since (f/l^d) is a principal divisor, it follows that $(f/l^d) \equiv 0$ in the group structure of X' . But then $3dP \equiv 0$ and hence P is a torsion point, which is a contradiction. Thus the claim is true and there is no homogenous polynomial f such that $V(f) \cap X' = \{P\}$. But due to proposition (1.1.2), there is no integer $d > 0$ such that the embedding of X into the image of the d -uple embedding of X' comes from a filtration!

1.3. Two Existence Theorems. Recall that for finitely many closed subvarieties V_1, \dots, V_m of X , a completion $\psi : X \hookrightarrow Z$ is said to *preserve the intersection of V_1, \dots, V_m at ∞* if $\bar{V}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{V}_m \cap X_\infty = \emptyset$, where $X_\infty := Z \setminus X$ is the set of ‘points at infinity’ and \bar{V}_j is the closure of V_j in Z for every j .

Theorem 1.3.1. *Let V_1, \dots, V_m be Zariski closed subsets in an affine variety X such that $\cap_{i=1}^m V_i$ is a finite set. Then there is a complete filtration \mathcal{F} on A such that $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves the intersection of the V_i ’s at ∞ .*

Proof. Let $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/p$, where p is the prime ideal defining $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$. Let $V_i = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/q_i)$ with $q_i \supseteq p$ for each i .

Claim. *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is an integer $d_i \geq 1$ such that*

$$(2) \quad x_i^{d_i} = f_{i,1} + \dots + f_{i,m} + g_i$$

for some $f_{i,j} \in q_j$ and a polynomial $g_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_i]$ of degree less than d_i .

Proof. If $V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m = \emptyset$, then by Nullstellensatz $\langle q_1, \dots, q_m \rangle$ is the unit ideal in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and the claim is obvious. So assume

$$V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K}),$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Let $P_i = (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n}) \in \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$h_i := (x_i - a_{1,i})(x_i - a_{2,i}) \cdots (x_i - a_{k,i}).$$

By Nullstellensatz, for some $d'_i \geq 1$, $h_i^{d'_i} \in \langle q_1, \dots, q_m \rangle$, ie $h_i^{d'_i} = f_{i,1} + \dots + f_{i,m}$ for some $f_{i,j} \in q_j$. Substituting $h_i = \prod_j (x_i - a_{j,i})$ in the preceding equation we see that the claim holds with $d_i := kd'_i$. \square

Below for $S \subseteq \mathbb{K}[X]$ we denote by $\mathbb{K}\langle S \rangle$ the \mathbb{K} -linear span of S .

Fix a set of $f_{i,j}$'s satisfying the conclusion of the previous claim. Then define a filtration \mathcal{F} on A as follows: let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &:= \mathbb{K}, \\ F_1 &:= \mathbb{K}\langle 1, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{f}_{1,1}, \dots, \bar{f}_{n,m} \rangle, \\ F_k &:= F_1^k \text{ for } k > 1, \\ \mathcal{F} &:= \{F_i \mid i \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly \mathcal{F} is a complete filtration. We now show that this \mathcal{F} satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. Let $\psi_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{Spec } A \hookrightarrow \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ be the embedding. Recall that for $\bar{q} \in \text{Spec } A$,

$$\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bar{q} \cap F_i.$$

Since $V_i = V(\bar{q}_i) \subseteq \text{Spec } A$, it follows that the closure of V_i in $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$ is $\bar{V}_i = V(\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}_i)) \subseteq \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $\text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \text{Spec } A = V((1)_1)$, we have to show that

$$V(\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}_m), (1)_1) = \emptyset \in \text{Proj } A^{\mathcal{F}}.$$

But this is equivalent to showing that $\sqrt{I} = A_+^{\mathcal{F}}$, where I is the ideal generated by $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}_m)$ and $(1)_1$ in $A^{\mathcal{F}}$, and where $A_+^{\mathcal{F}} := \bigoplus_{i > 0} F_i$ is the so called *irrelevant* ideal of $A^{\mathcal{F}}$.

From the construction of \mathcal{F} it follows that $A_+^{\mathcal{F}}$ is generated by the elements $(1)_1, (\bar{x}_1)_1, \dots, (\bar{x}_n)_1, (\bar{f}_{1,1})_1, \dots, (\bar{f}_{n,m})_1$. Note that $\bar{f}_{i,j} \in \bar{q}_j$ for each i, j , so that $(\bar{f}_{i,j})_1 \in \psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{q}_j) \subseteq I$. Moreover, $(1)_1 \in I$. So, all we really need to show is that $(\bar{x}_i)_1 \in \sqrt{I}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Consider equation (2) and its reduction mod p , i.e.

$$(\bar{x}_i)^{d_i} = \bar{f}_{i,1} + \dots + \bar{f}_{i,m} + \bar{g}_i \in A$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $g_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} a_{i,j}(x_i)^j$. Then in $A^{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$((\bar{x}_i)_1)^{d_i} = ((1)_1)^{d_i-1}((\bar{f}_{i,1})_1 + \dots + (\bar{f}_{i,m})_1) + \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} a_{i,j}((\bar{x}_i)_1)^j((1)_1)^{d_i-j}.$$

All of the summands in the right hand side lie inside I , hence $((\bar{x}_i)_1)^{d_i} \in I$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, as required. \square

Recall that given a polynomial map $f = (f_1, \dots, f_q) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_q) \in f(X)$ and a completion ψ of X , ψ preserves a fiber $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞ if ψ preserves the intersection of the hypersurfaces $H_i(a) := \{x \in X : f_i(x) = a_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, q$.

Example 1.3.2. Consider $f : \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ given by $f(x, y) = (x, y + x^3)$. For $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{K}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(a) &= \{(a_1, y) : y \in \mathbb{K}\}, \\ H_2(a) &= \{(x, a_2 - x^3) : x \in \mathbb{K}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the usual completion $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{K})$ of \mathbb{K}^2 , the closures of $H_1(a)$ and $H_2(a)$ intersect at the point $(0 : 0 : 1)$ at infinity for each $a \in \mathbb{K}^2$, and hence $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{K})$ does not preserve any fiber of f at ∞ .

We now produce in the same way as suggested by theorem (1.3.1) a completion of $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ which preserves $f^{-1}(0)$ at infinity. In the notation of theorem (1.3.1), $q_1 = \langle x \rangle$ and $q_2 = \langle y + x^3 \rangle$. Observe that $x \in q_1$, and y satisfies

$$y = -x^3 + (y + x^3),$$

with $x^3 \in q_1$ and $y + x^3 \in q_2$. Then as in theorem (1.3.1), we define the filtration $\mathcal{F} := \{F_i \mid i \geq 0\}$ on $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ by: $F_0 := \mathbb{K}$, $F_1 := \mathbb{K}\langle 1, x, y, x^3 \rangle$, and $F_k := (F_1)^k$ for $k > 1$. By theorem (1.3.1), it follows that $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves $f^{-1}(0)$ at ∞ . In fact, the corresponding completion $X^{\mathcal{F}} = V(w^2z - x^3) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{K})$, where the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{K})$ are $[w : x : y : z]$, and it is easy to show that $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves *every* fiber of f at ∞ .

Example 1.3.3. Let $f(x, y) = (x, y)$, i.e. f is the identity mapping of \mathbb{K}^2 . Then for $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{K}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(a) &= \{(a_1, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{K}\}, \\ H_2(a) &= \{(x, a_2) \mid x \in \mathbb{K}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the filtration \mathcal{F} on $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ defined by: $F_0 := \mathbb{K}$, $F_1 := \mathbb{K}\langle 1, x, y, xy, x^2y^2 \rangle$, and $F_k := (F_1)^k$ for $k \geq 2$. By corollary (1.1.5), $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the closure of the image of $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ under the map $\psi : \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{K})$ defined by:

$$\psi(x, y) = (1 : x : y : xy : x^2y^2).$$

But then $\psi(H_1(a)) = \{(1 : a_1 : y : a_1y : a_1^2y^2) \mid y \in \mathbb{K}\}$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $\overline{\psi(H_1(a))} = \{(1 : 0 : y : 0 : 0) \mid y \in \mathbb{K}\}$, and hence the only point at infinity in $\overline{\psi(H_1(a))}$ is $(0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0)$. But if $a_1 \neq 0$, the point at infinity in $\overline{\psi(H_1(a))}$ is $(0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$. Similarly, $\psi(H_2(a)) = \{(1 : x : a_2 : y : a_2x : a_2^2x^2) \mid x \in \mathbb{K}\}$ and the only point at infinity in $\overline{\psi(H_2(a))}$ is $(0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ if $a_2 = 0$, and $(0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$ if $a_2 \neq 0$. Therefore ψ preserves $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞ iff a belongs to the union of the coordinate axes.

Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r) : X \rightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{K}^r$ be a dominating map of affine varieties of dimension n . Given any $y \in Y$ such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite, theorem (1.3.1) guarantees the existence of a projective completion of X that preserves $f^{-1}(y)$ at ∞ . But as the preceding example shows, it might be the case that the completion fails to preserve at ∞ ‘most of the’ fibers of f . This suggests that we should look for a completion ψ which preserves $f^{-1}(y)$ at ∞ for *generic* $y \in Y$, in which case, recall that we say ψ *preserves* f at ∞ . The following theorem says that there exist filtrations on $\mathbb{K}[X]$ which give rise to such completions.

Theorem 1.3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominating morphism of affine varieties of dimension n . Then there is a complete filtration \mathcal{F} on the coordinate ring of X such that $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves f at ∞ .*

Proof. By Noether normalization, there is a finite map $f' : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$. Composing f with f' if necessary, we can assume $Y = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$. Let $\mathbb{K}[X] = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/p$, where p is the prime ideal defining $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(\mathbb{K})$. Let the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ be y_1, \dots, y_n . Since f is dominating, it follows that $f^* : \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]$ is an injection. Since dimension of X is n , it then implies that $\mathbb{K}[X]$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$.

In order to simplify notations in the proof below we identify x_i 's with their classes mod p (and do not put the 'bar' over the x_i 's). We also use y_j 's and f_j 's interchangeably, since they define the same element in $\mathbb{K}[X]$.

Since $\mathbb{K}[X]$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$, it follows that for all $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k_i} g_{i,j}(y)(x_i)^{k_i-j} = 0$$

for some $k_i \geq 1$ and $g_{i,j}(y) \in \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ such that $g_{i,0} \neq 0$. Let $g_{i,j}(y) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{i,j,\alpha} y^{\alpha}$. Consider the partial ordering \prec on \mathbb{N}^n given by $\alpha \prec \beta$ iff $\beta - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Define a filtration $\mathcal{F} := \{F_i \mid i \geq 0\}$ on $\mathbb{K}[X]$ as follows: let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &:= \mathbb{K}, \\ F_1 &:= \mathbb{K}\langle 1, x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \mathbb{K}\langle y^{\beta} \mid \beta \prec \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \text{ such that } c_{i,k_i,\alpha} \neq 0 \rangle \\ &\quad + \mathbb{K}\langle x_i y^{\beta} \mid \beta \prec \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \text{ such that } c_{i,k_i-1,\alpha} \neq 0 \rangle, \\ F_k &:= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} F_j F_{k-j} + \mathbb{K}\langle (x_i)^k y^{\beta} \mid \beta \prec \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \text{ such that } c_{i,k_i-k,\alpha} \neq 0 \rangle \\ \text{for } 1 < k \leq \max\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}, \text{ and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} F_j F_{k-j} \quad \text{if } k > k_i \forall i. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Claim. $\tilde{X} := \text{Proj } \mathbb{K}[X]^{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies the required property.

Proof. Writing $y = (y - b) + b$ in equation (3), expanding the polynomials, and then separating the terms that do not depend on any $y_j - b_j$, we derive

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k_i} g_{i,j}(b)(x_i)^{k_i-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k_i} \tilde{h}_{i,j}(b) \tilde{g}_{i,j}(y-b)(x_i)^{k_i-j} = 0,$$

where $g_{i,0} \neq 0$ and each monomial in each $\tilde{g}_{i,j}$ has degree greater than or equal to one. Let

$$g := \prod_{i=1}^m g_{i,0}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A.$$

Let $V := \{a \in Y : g(a) \neq 0\} \cap f(X)$. Since f is dominating, V is a non-empty Zariski open set of $f(X)$. Let $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V$. It suffices to prove that $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves $f^{-1}(a)$ at infinity. Let $H_j := \{x \in X : f_j(x) = a_j\}$ and let q_j be the ideal of H_j , i.e. the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X]$ generated by $y_j - a_j$. Recall that the corresponding ideal $q_j^{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathbb{K}[X]^{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by: $q_j^{\mathcal{F}} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (q_j \cap F_i)$. Let \tilde{q} be the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X]^{\mathcal{F}}$ generated by $q_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, q_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $(1)_1$. Then we have to show that

$$\sqrt{\tilde{q}} = \mathbb{K}[X]_{+}^{\mathcal{F}},$$

where $\mathbb{K}[X]_{+}^{\mathcal{F}} = \bigoplus_{i > 0} F_i$ is the maximal homogenous ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X]^{\mathcal{F}}$.

By construction of \mathcal{F} , it follows that $\mathbb{K}[X]_{+}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is generated by elements $(1)_1, (x_1)_1, \dots, (x_m)_1, (y_1)_1, \dots, (y_n)_1$, the $(y^{\beta})_1$'s given in the definition of F_1 , and all those $((x_i)^k y^{\beta})_k$'s that we inserted in the definition of all F_k 's. We will show that some power of each of these generators lie in \tilde{q} .

At first observe that for each j , $(y_j - a_j)_1 \in q_j^{\mathcal{F}}$. But

$$(y_j)_1 = (y_j - a_j)_1 + a_j(1)_1,$$

so that each $(y_j)_1 \in \tilde{q}$. Similarly each $(y^\beta)_1$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of $((y-a)^\gamma)_1$'s for $\gamma \prec \beta$. Since each of the latter terms lie in \tilde{q} , each such $(y^\beta)_1$ is also in \tilde{q} . Finally, let $k \geq 1$, and consider $(x_i)^k y^\alpha \in F_k$. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $0 \neq \beta \prec \alpha$, $(x_i)^k (y-a)^\beta$ is also in F_k , since $(x_i)^k (y-a)^\beta$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of $(x_i)^k y^\gamma$ for $\gamma \prec \beta$, and all these $(x_i)^k y^\gamma$'s already lie in F_k . Pick any j such that $\beta_j > 0$. Then $(x_i)^k (y-a)^\beta$ lies in q_j , and therefore $((x_i)^k (y-a)^\beta)_k \in q_j^{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \tilde{q}$. But $(x_i)^k y^\alpha$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of such $(x_i)^k (y-a)^\beta$'s and $(x_i)^k$. It follows that $((x_i)^k y^\alpha)_k$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of such $((x_i)^k (y-a)^\beta)_k$'s and $((x_i)^k)_k = ((x_i)_1)^k$. Therefore, to show that $((x_i)^k y^\alpha)_k \in \sqrt{\tilde{q}}$, we only have to show that each $(x_i)_1 \in \sqrt{\tilde{q}}$.

Consider equation (4). At first note that by the same argument as in the preceding paragraph, for each $b \in \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$, $\tilde{g}_{i,j}(y-b)(x_i)^{k_i-j} \in F_{k_i-j} \subseteq F_{k_i}$ for each i, j . Regarding each summand as an element in F_{k_i} , equation (4) evaluated at $b = a$ gives, in $\mathbb{K}[X]^{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$g_{i,0}(a)((x_i)_1)^{k_i} = - \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} g_{i,j}(a)((x_i)_1)^{k_i-j} ((1)_1)^j - \sum_{j=0}^{k_i} \tilde{h}_{i,j}(a)(\tilde{g}_{i,j}(y-a)(x_i)^{k_i-j})_{k_i}.$$

For each $j \geq 1$, $((x_i)_1)^{k_i-j} ((1)_1)^j \in \langle (1)_1 \rangle \subseteq \tilde{q}$. Pick any nonzero term $a_\alpha (y-a)^\alpha$ of any $\tilde{g}_{i,j}(y-a)$ and pick any j such that $\alpha_j > 0$. Then $a_\alpha (y-a)^\alpha (x_i)^{k_i-j} \in q_j$, and hence $(a_\alpha (y-a)^\alpha (x_i)^{k_i-j})_{k_i} \in q_j^{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \tilde{q}$. Thus each term of the right hand side of the above equation lies in \tilde{q} . Since $a \in V$, $g_{i,0}(a) \neq 0$. It follows that $((x_i)_1)^{k_i} \in \tilde{q}$ for each i , as required. \square

Remark 1.3.5. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$. Trivial filtrations like the one induced by the projective closure of the graph in \mathbb{C}^{m+n} of map f do not necessarily preserve P at ∞ , as the following example shows. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C})$ and $P : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasifinite map defined by $f_1 := x_1^3 + x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 - x_2$, and $f_2 := x_1^3 + 2x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 - x_2$. Let the coordinates of \mathbb{P}^2 be $[Z : X_1 : X_2]$ where $x_i = X_i/Z$ for $i = 1, 2$. Choose local coordinates $\xi_1 := X_1/X_2, \xi_2 := Z/X_2$ near $P := [0 : 0 : 1]$. Let $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Equations of $f_{i,a} := f_i(x) - a_i$ in (ξ_1, ξ_2) coordinates are:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,a} &= \xi_1^3 + \xi_1^2 + \xi_1 - \xi_2^2 - a_1 \xi_2^3 \\ f_{2,a} &= \xi_1^3 + 2\xi_1^2 + \xi_1 - \xi_2^2 - a_1 \xi_2^3 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each i , $f_{i,a} = 0$ has a branch near P with parametrization:

$$\gamma_{i,a}(t) := [t : t^2 + \text{h.o.t} : 1],$$

where *h.o.t.* denotes higher order terms in t . In (x_1, x_2) coordinates the parametrization of $\gamma_{i,a}$ becomes: $\gamma_{i,a}(t) := (t + \text{h.o.t}, 1/t)$.

Let Γ be the graph of P in \mathbb{C}^4 . Choose coordinates (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) of \mathbb{C}^4 such that map $\phi : X \rightarrow \Gamma$ is given by $\phi(x) = (x_1, x_2, f_1(x), f_2(x))$. Let X' be the closure of Γ in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ and let the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ be $[Z : X_1 : X_2 : Y_1 : Y_2]$ where $x_i = X_i/Z$ and $y_i = Y_i/Z$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $a := (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then

$f_2(\gamma_{1,a}(t)) = (t + \text{h.o.t})^3 + \frac{2}{t}(t + \text{h.o.t})^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}(t + \text{h.o.t}) - \frac{1}{t} = c_1 + \text{h.o.t}$, for some constant $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. But then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\gamma_{1,a}(t)) &= [1 : t + \text{h.o.t} : 1/t : a_1 : c_1 + \text{h.o.t}] \\ &= [t : t^2 + \text{h.o.t} : 1 : a_1 t : c_1 t + \text{h.o.t}]\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(\gamma_{1,a}(t)) = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$. It can be shown exactly in the same way that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(\gamma_{2,a}(t))$ is also $[0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$. Therefore, point $[0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ is in the intersection of the closure in X' of the curves $H_i(a) := \{x \in X : f_i(x) = a_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We conclude that completion X' of X does not preserve *any* fiber of f at ∞ .

Remark 1.3.6. In the case that $n = 2$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(\mathbb{K})$ and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ is a quasifinite map, we give an explicit construction of a projective completion of X which preserves f at ∞ . It is the closure X' of the graph Γ of f in $\mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K})$.

To see this, identify X with Γ via $\phi : x \rightarrow (x, f(x))$. Let $a \in \mathbb{K}$ be such that $H_{1,a} := \{x \in X : f_1(x) = a\} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\dim H_{1,a} = 1$. Let C_1, \dots, C_k be the irreducible components of $H_{1,a}$, \bar{C}_i be the closure of C_i in X' , and $\eta_i : \tilde{C}_i \rightarrow \bar{C}_i$ be the normalization map for each i . Since $f_2 : C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a non-constant map, it follows that $f_2 \circ \eta_i$ extends to a *finite* map from $\tilde{C}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K})$. Let $B_i(a) := (f_2 \circ \eta_i)(\tilde{C}_i \setminus \eta_i^{-1}(C_i))$ and let $\pi_3 : X' \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K})$ be the projection onto the 3-rd coordinate. Pick an $x' \in \bar{H}_{1,a} \cap X_\infty$, where $\bar{H}_{1,a}$ is the closure of $H_{1,a}$ in X' . Then $x' \in \bar{C}_i \setminus C_i$ for some i . Pick a sequence of points $\{x_n\} \in C_i$ converging to x' . Also, pick $\tilde{x} \in \eta_i^{-1}(x')$ and $\tilde{x}_n \in \eta_i^{-1}(x_n)$ such that $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ converge to \tilde{x} . Then $\pi_3(x') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_3(\phi(x_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_2(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_2 \circ \eta_i)(\tilde{x}_n) = (f_2 \circ \eta_i)(\tilde{x}) \in B_i(a)$. Let $b \in \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{K})$. Then for every x in $H_{2,b} := \{x \in X : f_2(x) = b\}$, $\pi_3(x) = b$. This implies that $\pi_3(\bar{H}_{2,b}) = b$ and hence, if $b \notin \cup_i B_i(a)$, then $\bar{H}_{1,a} \cap \bar{H}_{2,b} \cap X_\infty = \emptyset$. Since $B_i(a)$ is finite for each a , it follows that X' preserves generic fibers of f at ∞ , as required.

Example 1.3.7. Consider $f : \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ given by $f(x, y) = (xy, x^2y - x + y)$. Then f is quasi-finite, and x and y satisfy the following equations over $\mathbb{K}[f_1, f_2]$:

$$\begin{aligned}x^2(f_1 - 1) - xf_2 + f_1 &= 0, \\ y^2 - yf_2 + f_1^2 - f_1 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

In the notation of the proof of theorem (1.3.4) then

$$g = f_1 - 1$$

and the filtration \mathcal{F} on $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ is given by $F_0 := \mathbb{K}$, $F_1 := \mathbb{K}\langle 1, x, y, f_1, f_2, f_1^2, xf_2, yf_2 \rangle$, $F_2 := F_1^2 + \mathbb{K}\langle x^2f_1 \rangle$, and $F_k := \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} F_j F_{k-j}$ for $k > 2$. By theorem (1.3.4), for all $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ such that $a_1 \neq 1$, $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞ .

2. SEMIDEGREE AND QUASIDEGREE

2.1. Definitions and Examples. Below we relax the definition of degree like functions to include those having value $-\infty$. We will return to integer valued degree like functions after theorem (2.2.7).

Definition. A *degree like function* on A is a map $\delta : A \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that:

- (1) $\delta(\mathbb{K}) \leq 0$,
- (2) $\delta(f+g) \leq \max\{\delta(f), \delta(g)\}$ for all $f, g \in A$, with $<$ in the preceding equation implying $\delta(f) = \delta(g)$.
- (3) $\delta(fg) \leq \delta(f) + \delta(g)$ for all $f, g \in A$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between filtrations and degree like functions on A :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Filtrations} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Degree like functions} \\ \mathcal{F} = \{F_d\} & \longrightarrow & \delta_{\mathcal{F}} : f \in A \rightarrow \inf\{d : f \in F_d\} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\delta} := \{F_d := \{f \in A : \delta(f) \leq d\}\} & \longleftarrow & \delta \end{array}$$

Therefore, from now on we relate the degree like functions and filtrations by means of the natural one-to-one correspondence described above. In particular, we call a degree like function δ *complete* (resp. *finitely generated*) iff the corresponding filtration \mathcal{F}_{δ} is complete (resp. finitely generated). Moreover, A^{δ} and $\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}_{\delta}}$ will denote the rings $A^{\mathcal{F}_{\delta}}$ and $\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}_{\delta}}$ respectively, and ψ_{δ} will denote the natural mapping $\text{Spec } A \hookrightarrow X^{\delta} := \text{Proj } A^{\delta}$.

We now introduce two classes of degree like functions which satisfy stronger versions of the multiplicative property (i.e. property (3) above).

Definition.

- A degree like function δ on A is a *semidegree* iff $\delta(fg) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$ for all $f, g \in A$.
- We say that δ is a *quasidegree* if there are semidegrees $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N$ such that

$$(5) \quad \delta(f) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in A.$$

Given a quasidegree δ as in (5), getting rid of some δ_i 's if necessary, we may assume that each δ_i that appears in (5) is *not redundant*, i.e. for every i , there is an $f \in A$ such that $\delta_i(f) > \delta_j(f)$ for all $j \neq i$ (because, if there is i such that for all $f \in A$, $\delta_i(f) \leq \delta_j(f)$ for some $j \neq i$, then $\delta(f) = \max_{j \neq i} \delta_j(f)$ for all $f \in A$). In the latter case we will say that (5) is a *minimal presentation* of δ .

Example 2.1.1 (Weighted Degree). Weighted degrees on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are semidegrees. When the weight d_i of x_i is positive for each i , the weighted degree is complete and the associated completion is the corresponding weighted projective space.

Example 2.1.2 (Iterated Semidegree). Let δ be a degree like function on A . Pick $f \in A$ and an integer w with $w < \delta(f)$. Consider $R := A[s]$, where s is an indeterminate. Extend δ to a degree like function δ_e on R by defining $\delta_e(\sum a_i s^i) := \max_{a_i \neq 0} (\delta(a_i) + iw)$.

Claim. *If δ is a semidegree then δ_e is also a semidegree.*

Proof. Let $G = \sum g_i s^i, H = \sum h_j s^j, d := \delta_e(G), e := \delta_e(H)$. For each $k, l \geq 0$, write $G_k := \sum_{\delta(g_i) + iw = k} g_i s^i$ and $H_k := \sum_{\delta(h_j) + iw = k} h_j s^j$. It suffices to show $\delta_e(G_d H_e) \geq d + e$. Thus we may assume $G = G_d$ and $H = H_e$. Now let i_0 (resp. j_0) be the largest integer such that $g_{i_0} \neq 0$ (resp. $h_{j_0} \neq 0$). Then $GH = g_{i_0} h_{j_0} s^{i_0 + j_0} + \sum_{m < i_0 + j_0} a_m s^m$. Thus $\delta_e(GH) \geq \delta_e(g_{i_0} h_{j_0} s^{i_0 + j_0}) = \delta(g_{i_0} h_{j_0}) + (i_0 + j_0)w = \delta(g_{i_0}) + i_0 w + \delta(h_{j_0}) + j_0 w = d + e. \quad \square$

Let J denote the ideal generated by $s - f$ in R . Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the degree like function on $A = R/J$ induced by δ_e , i.e. $\tilde{\delta}(h) := \min\{\delta_e(H) : H - h \in J\}$. Let $\text{gr } \tilde{I}$ be the ideal generated by the class of $(f)_{\delta(f)}$ in $\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}}$, and let \tilde{I} be the inverse image of $\text{gr } \tilde{I}$ under the natural projection $A^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}}$. Since δ is a semidegree, it follows that $\tilde{I} = \langle (f)_{\delta(f)} \rangle$ and $\text{gr } \tilde{I} = (\tilde{I} + \langle (1)_1 \rangle) / \langle (1)_1 \rangle = \langle (f)_{\delta(f)}, (1)_1 \rangle / \langle (1)_1 \rangle$.

Theorem 2.1.3. *If δ is a semidegree, then $\tilde{\delta}$ is a semidegree if and only if $\text{gr } \tilde{I}$ is a prime ideal of $\text{gr } A^{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Proof. Consider maps $\phi : R \rightarrow A$ and $\Phi : R^{\delta_e} \rightarrow A^{\tilde{\delta}}$ such that $\phi(\sum a_i s^i) := \sum a_i f^i$, and $\Phi((H)_d) := (\phi(H))_d$. Then Φ is a surjective map of graded rings with $\ker \Phi = J^{\delta_e} := \psi_{\delta_e}(J) \subseteq R^{\delta_e}$, so that $A^{\tilde{\delta}} = R^{\delta_e} / J^{\delta_e}$. It follows that $\text{gr } A^{\tilde{\delta}} = A^{\tilde{\delta}} / \langle (1)_1 \rangle = R^{\delta_e} / (J^{\delta_e} + \langle (1)_1 \rangle)$. Now $R^{\delta_e} \cong A^{\delta}[s]$ via the map $(\sum f_i s^i)_d \rightarrow \sum (f_i)_{d-iw} s^i$. Moreover, since δ is a semidegree on A , it follows that δ_e is a semidegree on R . Therefore $J^{\delta_e} = \langle (s-f)_{\delta(f)} \rangle$, and hence $J^{\delta_e} + \langle (1)_1 \rangle = \langle (f)_{\delta(f)}, (1)_1 \rangle$. Thus $\text{gr } A^{\tilde{\delta}} = A^{\delta}[s] / \langle (f)_{\delta(f)}, (1)_1 \rangle = (A^{\delta}[s] / \langle (1)_1 \rangle) / \langle \langle (f)_{\delta(f)}, (1)_1 \rangle / \langle (1)_1 \rangle \rangle = (\text{gr } A^{\delta} / \text{gr } \tilde{I})[s]$. It follows that $\text{gr } A^{\tilde{\delta}}$ is an integral domain iff $\text{gr } \tilde{I}$ is a prime ideal of $\text{gr } A^{\delta}$. \square

In view of theorem (2.1.3), we make the following definitions:

Definition. Let δ be a semidegree on A .

- The *leading term* $\mathfrak{L}(f)$ of an element f of A is the class of $(f)_{\delta(f)}$ in $\text{gr } A^{\delta}$.
- f is *prime* with respect to δ if the ideal $\langle \mathfrak{L}(f) \rangle$ is prime in $\text{gr } A^{\delta}$.

Example 2.1.4. Let $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$ and δ be the weighted degree on A that assigns weight 3 to x_1 and 2 to x_2 . Apply the procedure of example (2.1.2) to $f := x_1^2 - x_2^3 \in A$ and $w := 1 < \delta(f) = 6$ to define a new degree like function $\tilde{\delta}$ on A . Note that $\text{gr } A^{\tilde{\delta}} \cong \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$ via the map that sends $\mathfrak{L}(h)$ to the leading weighted homogenous component of h . Since f is weighted homogenous, $\mathfrak{L}(f)$ corresponds to f via the above identification. Since f is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$, it follows that f is prime with respect to δ , and by theorem (2.1.3), $\tilde{\delta}$ is a semidegree. It is easy to see that $A^{\delta} = \mathbb{K}[(1)_1, (x_1)_3, (x_2)_2, (f)_1]$, and $X^{\delta} \cong V(ZW^5 - X^2 + Y^3) \subseteq \mathbf{WP}$, where \mathbf{WP} is the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{K}; 1, 3, 2, 1)$ with (weighted homogenous) coordinates $[W : X : Y : Z]$.

Example 2.1.5 (Quasidegrees determined by integral Polytopes). Let X be the n -torus $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ and $A := \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ be its coordinate ring. Let \mathcal{P} be a convex rational polytope (i.e. a convex polytope in \mathbb{R}^n with vertices in \mathbb{Q}^n) of dimension n containing origin in the interior. Define $\delta' : A \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ as follows:

$$\delta'(x^\alpha) := \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ : \alpha \in r\mathcal{P}\},$$

$$\delta'(\sum a_\alpha x^\alpha) := \max_{a_\alpha \neq 0} \delta'(x^\alpha)$$

Claim. *There is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k\delta'$ is a complete quasidegree.*

Proof. For each face \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{P} , let $\eta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be the smallest ‘outward pointing’ integral vector normal to \mathcal{Q} and let $c_{\mathcal{Q}} = \langle \eta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \alpha \rangle$, where α is any element of the hyperplane that contains \mathcal{Q} . Since \mathcal{P} is rational, there is an α in each \mathcal{Q} with rational coordinates, and hence each $c_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a positive rational number. Let $\delta'_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -valued weighted degree on A given by:

$$\delta'_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sum a_\alpha x^\alpha) = \max_{a_\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\langle \eta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \alpha \rangle}{c_{\mathcal{Q}}}.$$

For each $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $r\mathcal{P} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \eta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \beta \rangle \leq rc_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for every face } \mathcal{Q} \text{ of } \mathcal{P}\}$. It follows that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'(x^\alpha) &:= \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ : \alpha \in r\mathcal{P}\}, \\ &= \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ : \langle \eta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \alpha \rangle \leq rc_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for every face } \mathcal{Q} \text{ of } \mathcal{P}\} \\ &= \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ : \delta'_{\mathcal{Q}}(x^\alpha) \leq r \text{ for every face } \mathcal{Q} \text{ of } \mathcal{P}\} \\ &= \max\{\delta'_{\mathcal{Q}}(x^\alpha) : \mathcal{Q} \text{ is a face of } \mathcal{P}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k/c_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is an integer for each \mathcal{Q} . Then $k\delta'_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is an integer valued semidegree for each \mathcal{Q} and hence $k\delta'$ is a quasidegree.

We claim that $A^{k\delta'}$ is finitely generated. Indeed, since \mathcal{P} is rational, there is an $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l\mathcal{P}$ is *integral*. Identify \mathbb{R}^n with the hyperplane $x_{n+1} = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let \mathcal{C} be the cone in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} over $l\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ (vertex of \mathcal{C} being the origin). Then, with $d = kl$, the d -th truncated ring of $A^{k\delta'}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]} &:= \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \{f \in A : k\delta'(f) \leq klm\} \\ &= \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \{f \in A : \delta'(f) \leq lm\} \\ &= \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{K}\text{-span}\{x^\alpha : \alpha \in lm\mathcal{P}\} \\ &= \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{K}\text{-span}\{x^\alpha : (\alpha, m) \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Gordan's lemma [Ful93, Proposition 1, Section 1.2] the semigroup $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is finitely generated. It follows that $(A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -algebra. But $A^{k\delta'}$ is integral over $(A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$, since for every $(f)_d \in A^{k\delta'}$, $((f)_d)^{kl} \in (A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$. Therefore $A^{k\delta'}$ is a $(A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$ -submodule of the integral closure of $(A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$. Since the latter is a finite $(A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$ -module, it follows that $A^{k\delta'}$ is also a finite $(A^{k\delta'})^{[kl]}$ -module and hence is a finitely generated algebra over \mathbb{K} . \square

Let $\delta := k\delta'$, where k is an integer as in the above claim. Assume that d is a positive integer such that $(A^\delta)^{[d]}$ is generated as a \mathbb{K} -algebra by elements in the d -th graded component of A^δ . These elements are precisely the \mathbb{K} -span of monomials x^α such that $\alpha \in \frac{d}{k}\mathcal{P}$. The image of the d -uple embedding of X^δ is thus the closure (in the appropriate dimensional projective space) of the image of $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ under the map induced by all the monomials with exponents in $\frac{d}{k}\mathcal{P}$. Hence X^δ is isomorphic via d -uple embedding to the classical *toric completion* $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ of $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ determined by \mathcal{P} [Ful93, section 3.4].

2.2. Properties of Quasidegree.

Theorem 2.2.1. *Let δ be a degree like function on A and let I be the ideal of A^δ generated by $(1)_1$. Then*

- (1) δ is a semidegree if and only if I is a prime ideal, and
- (2) δ is a quasidegree if and only if I is a decomposable radical ideal. In particular, if A^δ is noetherian, then δ is a quasidegree if and only if I is a radical ideal.

Proof. δ is a semidegree iff for every $d, e \geq 1$, for every $f, g \in A$ with $\delta(f) = d$ and $\delta(g) = e$, $\delta(fg) = d + e$, i.e. iff for every $(f)_d, (g)_e \notin I$, we have $(fg)_{d+e} \notin I$, hence iff I is a prime ideal. Therefore (1) is proved.

Now assume δ is a quasidegree. We will show that I is a decomposable radical ideal. Let $\delta = \max_{i=1}^N \delta_i$ be a minimal presentation for δ . Then for each i with $0 \leq i \leq N$, there is an f_i such that $\delta_i(f_i) > \delta_j(f_i)$ for all $j \neq i$. In particular $\delta_i(f_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $d_i := \delta(f_i) = \delta_i(f_i)$. Recall the notation that $(I : \tilde{f})$, where \tilde{f} is an element of A^δ , denotes the ideal of A^δ defined by: $(I : \tilde{f}) := \{\tilde{g} \in A^\delta : \tilde{f}\tilde{g} \in I\}$.

Lemma 2.2.1.1.

- (1) For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a homogenous ideal, and the homogenous elements of $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ are precisely the elements of the set $L_i := \{(f)_d : f \in A, d > \delta_i(f)\}$.
- (2) For each i , $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a distinct minimal prime ideal of A^δ containing I . Moreover,

$$(6) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^N (I : (f_i)_{d_i}) = I.$$

Proof. Fix an i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. Since $(f_i)_{d_i}$ is a homogenous element in A^δ and I is a homogenous ideal of A^δ , it follows that $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is also a homogenous ideal of A^δ . Let $(f)_d$ be an arbitrary homogenous element of $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. If $\delta(f) < d$, then $\delta_i(f) \leq \delta(f) < d$ and $(f)_d \in L_i$. So assume $\delta(f) = d$. Since $(f)_d(f_i)_{d_i} = (ff_i)_{d+d_i} \in I$, it follows that $\delta(ff_i) < d + d_i$. But then $\delta_i(ff_i) = \delta_i(f) + \delta_i(f_i) < d + d_i$, which implies that $\delta_i(f) < d = \delta(f)$, and thus $(f)_d \in L_i$. Therefore all homogenous elements of $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ belong to L_i . Now let $(f)_d$ be an arbitrary element of L_i . If $d > \delta(f)$, then $(f)_d \in I \subseteq (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. So assume $d = \delta(f)$. Then $\delta_i(f) < d = \delta(f)$, and thus $\delta_i(ff_i) = \delta_i(f) + \delta_i(f_i) < d + d_i$. Also, for each $j \neq i$, $\delta_j(f_i) < d_i$, so that $\delta_j(ff_i) = \delta_j(f) + \delta_j(f_i) < d + d_i$. Therefore $\delta(ff_i) < d + d_i$ and $(f)_d(f_i)_{d_i} = (ff_i)_{d+d_i} \in I$. Thus $(f)_d \in (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$, which proves that $L_i \subseteq (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$, and hence part (1) of the lemma is proved.

By definition of f_i and L_i 's, we see that for each i , $(f_i)_{d_i} \in (\bigcap_{j \neq i} L_j) \setminus L_i$, which implies, by part (1) of the lemma, that $(f_i)_{d_i} \in (\bigcap_{j \neq i} (I : (f_j)_{d_j})) \setminus (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Therefore $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a distinct ideal for each i .

Since $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a homogenous ideal, to prove that $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is prime, all we need to show is that for all homogenous $(g_1)_{e_1}, (g_2)_{e_2} \notin I$ such that $(g_1)_{e_1}(g_2)_{e_2} \in (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$, one of the $(g_j)_{e_j}$'s also should lie in $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. So pick such $(g_1)_{e_1}, (g_2)_{e_2}$. Then $(g_1)_{e_1}(g_2)_{e_2} = (g_1g_2)_{e_1+e_2} \in (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$, which implies, by part (1) of the lemma, that $\delta_i(g_1g_2) < e_1 + e_2$. Now, by our assumption, for each j , $(g_j)_{e_j} \notin I$, so that $\delta(g_j) = e_j$, and hence $\delta_i(g_j) \leq e_j$. Taken together, the previous two statements imply that there is a j such that $\delta_i(g_j) < e_j$. But then, by the part (1) of the lemma again, $(g_j)_{e_j} \in (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. It follows that $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is prime.

If $I \subseteq p \subseteq (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ for a prime ideal p of A^δ , and some $i \leq N$, then $(f_i)_{d_i} \notin p$ (since $(f_i)_{d_i} \notin (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ by part (1)). But then for each $(g)_e \in A^\delta$ such that $(g)_e(f_i)_{d_i} \in I \subseteq p$, $(g)_e \in p$, so that $(I : (f_i)_{d_i}) \subseteq p$, and hence $(I : (f_i)_{d_i}) = p$.

Thus for each i , $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a minimal prime ideal containing I .

For the last part of the lemma, pick any homogenous $(g)_e \in \bigcap (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Then, by part (1), for each i , $\delta_i(g) < e$. Therefore $\delta(g) = \max_i \delta_i(g) < e$, and hence $(g)_e \in I$. Since $\bigcap (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a homogenous ideal containing I , and $(g)_e$ was an arbitrary homogenous element in that ideal, it follows that $\bigcap (I : (f_i)_{d_i}) = I$. \square

The second statement of the above lemma tells, in the language of [AM69, Chapter 4] that I is a *decomposable ideal* in A^δ , (6) is the *unique* minimal primary decomposition of I , and $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ are the *minimal* prime ideals belonging to I . Also, since I is a finite intersection of prime ideals, it follows that I is radical. Hence we have proved one half of the second statement of the proposition.

Now assume I is a decomposable radical ideal of A^δ . We will have to show that δ is a quasidegree. By the theory of minimal decomposition of ideals [AM69, Chapter 4] there exist $(f_1)_{d_1}, \dots, (f_N)_{d_N} \in A^\delta \setminus I$ such that $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a minimal prime ideal containing I for each i , and the minimal primary decomposition of I is given by:

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^N (I : (f_i)_{d_i}),$$

Since $(f_i)_{d_i} \notin I$, it follows that $\delta(f_i) = d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each i . For each $i = 1, \dots, N$ define $\delta_i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ as follows:

$$(7) \quad \delta_i(f) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta((f_i)^k f) - \delta((f_i)^k).$$

Note that the above definition is bounded from above. Indeed, for each i , $(f_i)_{d_i} \notin I$. But I is a radical ideal, so that for each $k \geq 1$, $((f_i)_{d_i})^k = ((f_i)^k)_{kd_i} \notin I$; which implies that $\delta((f_i)^k) = kd_i$. Thus for each $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((f_i)^{k+1} f) - \delta((f_i)^{k+1}) &\leq \delta((f_i)^k f) + \delta(f_i) - \delta((f_i)^{k+1}) \\ &= \delta((f_i)^k f) + d_i - (k+1)d_i \\ &= \delta((f_i)^k f) - kd_i \\ &= \delta((f_i)^k f) - \delta((f_i)^k), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\delta_i(f)$ is a well defined element in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$. Now we prove that δ_i is multiplicative.

At first note that $\delta_i(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$ iff there is a $k_f \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_f$, $\delta((f_i)^k f) = \delta((f_i)^k) + \delta_i(f) = kd_i + \delta_i(f)$, which is true iff for all $k \geq k_f$, $((f_i)^k f)_{kd_i + \delta_i(f)} \notin I$, i.e. iff for all $k \geq k_f$, $((f_i)^k f)_{kd_i + \delta_i(f)} \notin (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Therefore, if both $\delta_i(f)$ and $\delta_i(g)$ are integers, then there is a $k' := \max\{k_f, k_g\} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k'$, $((f_i)^k f)_{kd_i + \delta_i(f)}$ and $((f_i)^k g)_{kd_i + \delta_i(g)}$ do not lie in $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Since $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a prime ideal, it follows that for all $k \geq k'$, $((f_i)^k f)_{kd_i + \delta_i(f)} ((f_i)^k g)_{kd_i + \delta_i(g)} = ((f_i)^{2k} fg)_{2kd_i + \delta_i(f) + \delta_i(g)} \notin (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. It follows by the observation in the first sentence of this paragraph that $\delta_i(fg) = \delta_i(f) + \delta_i(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, $\delta_i(f) = -\infty$ if and only if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k'$, $\delta((f_i)^k f) - \delta((f_i)^k) < n$. Pick $f, g \in A$ such that $\delta_i(f) = -\infty$. If $\delta(g)$ is $-\infty$, then for all $k \geq 0$, $\delta((f_i)^k f g) = -\infty$, which implies that $\delta_i(f g) = -\infty = \delta_i(f) + \delta_i(g)$. So assume $\delta(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Pick any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k'$, $\delta((f_i)^k f) - \delta((f_i)^k) < n - \delta(g)$. Then for all $k \geq k'$, $\delta((f_i)^k f g) - \delta((f_i)^k) \leq \delta((f_i)^k f) + \delta(g) - \delta((f_i)^k) < n$. It follows that $\delta(f g) = -\infty = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$. Thus δ_i is multiplicative for each i . Similarly it can be shown that each δ_i satisfies the additive property of degree like functions. Therefore each δ_i is a semidegree. We now show that $\delta = \max_{i=1}^N(\delta_i)$.

First of all note that for all $i, f \in A$, and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequality holds: $\delta((f_i)^k f) - \delta((f_i)^k) \leq \delta(f)$, which implies that $\delta_i(f) \leq \delta(f)$. Thus $\delta \geq \max_{i=1}^N(\delta_i)$. Now pick any $f \in A$. If $\delta(f) = -\infty$, then for all i and for all $k \geq 0$, $\delta((f_i)^k f) = -\infty$, and hence $\delta_i(f) = -\infty = \delta(f)$. So assume $\delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(f)_{\delta(f)} \notin I$, it follows that there is an i such that $(f)_{\delta(f)} \notin (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Now, note that $(f_i)_{d_i} \notin (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$, for that would imply $((f_i)_{d_i})^2 \in I$, which would in turn imply $(f_i)_{d_i} \in I$ (since I is a radical ideal) contradicting our choice of $(f_i)_{d_i}$. Thus neither of $(f)_{\delta(f)}$ and $(f_i)_{d_i}$ is an element of $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Since $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ is a prime ideal, it follows that for all $k \geq 0$, $((f_i)_{d_i})^k (f)_{\delta(f)} = ((f_i)^k f)_{k d_i + \delta(f)} \notin (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. But then $\delta_i(f) = \delta(f)$. Thus $\delta = \max_{i=1}^N(\delta_i)$, and hence δ is indeed a quasidegree. \square

Corollary 2.2.2. *Let δ be a quasidegree on A , then there exist unique semidegrees $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N$ such that $\delta = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i$ is a minimal presentation of δ .*

Proof. Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N, \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N'}$ be semidegrees such that $\delta = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N'} \delta'_i$ are two minimal presentations of δ . As in the proof of theorem (2.2.1), there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n, f'_1, \dots, f'_{N'} \in A$ such that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_i(f_i) &> \delta_j(f_j) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \neq i \leq N, \text{ and} \\ \delta'_i(f'_i) &> \delta'_j(f'_j) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \neq i \leq N'. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d_i := \delta_i(f_i)$ and $d'_i := \delta'_i(f'_i)$ are integers, and by lemma (2.2.1.1),

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^N (I : (f_i)_{d_i}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N'} (I : (f'_i)_{d'_i})$$

are *unique* minimal primary decompositions of I , where I is the ideal in A^δ generated by $(1)_1$. But then $N = N'$, and after a re-indexing of δ'_i 's if necessary, the ideals $(I : (f'_i)_{d'_i})$ and $(I : (f_i)_{d_i})$ coincide for each $i \leq N$.

Fix an $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$. By the proof of lemma (2.2.1.1), $(f'_i)_{d'_i} \in (\bigcap_{j \neq i} (I : (f'_j)_{d'_j})) \setminus (I : (f'_i)_{d'_i}) = (\bigcap_{j \neq i} (I : (f_j)_{d_j})) \setminus (I : (f_i)_{d_i})$. Thus, by part (1) of lemma (2.2.1.1), $(f'_i)_{d'_i} \in (\bigcap_{j \neq i} L_j) \setminus L_i$, where L_j 's are defined as in lemma (2.2.1.1). But then, by definition of L_j 's, $\delta_i(f'_i) = \delta(f'_i) = \delta'_i(f'_i)$, and $\delta_j(f'_i) < \delta_i(f'_i)$ for all $j \neq i$. Since this is true for each i , it follows that the property (8) holds even if we substitute each f_i by f'_i . Since f_i 's were assumed to be arbitrary elements in A such that (8) is true, we can without any trouble assume that $f_i = f'_i$ for each i . Finally, note

that by the proof of theorem (2.2.1), the following holds for all $f \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_i(f) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta((f_i)^k f) - \delta((f_i)^k), \text{ and} \\ \delta'_i(f) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta((f'_i)^k f) - \delta((f'_i)^k)\end{aligned}$$

Since $f'_i = f_i$, it follows that $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for each i . \square

Corollary 2.2.3. *Let δ be a quasidegree on A with minimal presentation $\delta = \max_{i=1}^N \delta_i$. Then $X_\infty := \text{Proj } A^\delta \setminus \text{Spec } A$ has N irreducible components.*

Proof. By theorem (1.1.4), $X_\infty \cong \text{Proj gr } A^\delta$, where $\text{gr } A^\delta := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (F_i/F_{i-1}) \cong A^\delta/I$, where I is the ideal in A^δ generated by $(1)_1$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_N, d_1, \dots, d_N$ be as in the proof of theorem (2.2.1) and let μ_i be the class of $(f_i)_{d_i}$ in $\text{gr } A^\delta$. It follows by lemma (2.2.1.1) that $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^N (0 : \mu_i)$ is the minimal primary decomposition of the zero ideal in $\text{gr } A^\delta$ and $(0 : \mu_i)$'s are the unique minimal prime ideals belonging to the zero ideal. Since $(0 : \mu_i)$ is also homogenous for each i , it follows that $X_\infty = \text{Proj gr } A^\delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^N V((0 : \mu_i))$ is the decomposition of X_∞ into irreducible components. \square

If A is an integrally closed domain, we deduce additional properties of A^δ .

Proposition 2.2.4. *If A is an integrally closed domain and δ is a quasidegree on A , then A^δ is also integrally closed.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphism $A^\delta \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i t^i \subseteq A[t, t^{-1}]$, where $(1)_1$ gets mapped to t . Since A is an integrally closed domain, it follows that $A[t, t^{-1}]$ is also integrally closed [AM69, Exercise 5.9]. So it suffices to show that A^δ is integrally closed in $A[t, t^{-1}]$. Pick $f = \sum_{i=q}^r f_i t^i \in A[t, t^{-1}]$ integral over A^δ , where $f_i \in A$ for each i . Then f satisfies an equation of the form

$$X^s + G_1 X^{s-1} + \dots + G_s = 0,$$

for $G_1, \dots, G_s \in A^\delta$. Taking the highest degree terms in t , we see that $f_r t^r$ is integral over f . Substituting f by $f - f_r t^r$ and repeating the procedure, we see that each $f_i t^i$ is integral over A^δ . Thus it suffices to show that if ft^k is integral over A^δ for some $f \in A$, then $ft^k \in A^\delta$, or equivalently, $\delta(f) \leq k$. So take $f \in A$ such that ft^k satisfies an equation of the above form. Taking coefficients of t^{ks} if necessary, we can assume that $G_i = g_i t^{ik}$ for some $g_i \in A$. Since $g_i t^{ik} \in A^\delta$, it follows that $\delta(g_i) \leq ik$. Assume $d := \delta(f) > k$. Plugging in $t = 1$ into the above equation, we see that

$$f^s = - \sum_{i=1}^s g_i f^{s-i}$$

in A . For each $i \geq 1$, we have, $\delta(g_i f^{s-i}) \leq \delta(g_i) + \delta(f^{s-i}) \leq ik + (s-i)d < id + (s-i)d = sd$. It follows that $\delta(f^s) = \delta(-\sum_{i=1}^s g_i f^{s-i}) \leq \max_{i=1}^s \delta(g_i f^{s-i}) < sd$. But since δ is a quasidegree, it follows that $\delta(f^s) = s\delta(f) = sd$, a contradiction! Thus $d \leq k$. \square

Corollary 2.2.5. *Let X be a normal affine variety with A being the ring of regular functions on X and δ being a complete quasidegree on A . Then $\text{Spec } A^\delta$ and $\text{Proj } A^\delta$ are also normal varieties.* \square

Example 2.2.6. The converse of proposition (2.2.4) is false. Consider the degree like function δ on $\mathbb{K}[x]$ defined by:

$$\delta(x^k) := \begin{cases} 3k/2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 3(k-1)/2 + 2 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbb{K}[x]^\delta \cong \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]/\langle x^2 - yz \rangle$ (where $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ is graded by weights 2, 3, 1 corresponding respectively to x, y, z). If \mathbb{K} is not characteristic 2, then $\mathbb{K}[x]^\delta$ is integrally closed [Har77, Exercise II.6.4]. On the other hand, $\delta(x^2) = 3 < 4 = 2\delta(x)$, so that $((x)_2)^2 \in I$ even though $(x)_2 \notin I$, where I is the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[x]^\delta$ generated by $(1)_1$. Thus I is not radical. It follows by theorem (2.2.1) that δ is not a quasidegree.

The following theorem gives a characterization of the semidegrees δ_i associated to a quasidegree δ , provided that A^δ is finitely generated. In particular, it states that if δ is integer valued, then each δ_i is also integer valued (which is not clear from the limit definition of δ_i 's from the proof of theorem (2.2.1)). We use the notion of a *Krull domain* in the theorem, so we recall its definition:

Definition. An integral domain B is a *Krull domain* iff

- (1) $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a discrete valuation ring for all height one prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of B , and
- (2) every non-zero principal ideal of B is the intersection of a finite number of primary ideals of height one.

Every normal noetherian domain is a Krull domain [Mat80, Section 41]. In particular, the integral closure of A^δ is a Krull domain provided that A^δ is finitely generated.

Theorem 2.2.7. *Let δ be a quasidegree on A with a minimal presentation $\delta = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i$. Assume that A^δ is a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -algebra. Let B be any Krull domain which is also an integral extension of A^δ and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ be the height one primes of B containing $(1)_1$. For each j , $1 \leq j \leq r$, define a function $\hat{\delta}_j$ on $A \setminus \{0\}$ by*

$$\hat{\delta}_j(f) := \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \delta(f) = -\infty \\ \delta(f) - \frac{\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})}{e_j} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where ν_j is the discrete valuation of the discrete valuation ring $B_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ and $e_j := \nu_j((1)_1)$. Then for each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, semidegree $\delta_i \equiv \hat{\delta}_j$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq r$. In particular, if δ is integer valued, then δ_i is also integer valued for each i .

Proof. Let I be the ideal generated by $(1)_1$ in A^δ . By theorem (2.2.1), I is radical. It follows from [McA83, Lemma 11.3 and 11.4] that for all $f \in A$ such that $\delta(f) \neq -\infty$, $\min_{j=1}^r \frac{\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})}{e_j} = 0$, where $e_j := \nu_j((1)_1)$ for each j . Therefore $\delta(f) = \delta(f) - \min_{j=1}^r \frac{\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})}{e_j} = \max_{j=1}^r \hat{\delta}_j(f)$ for all $f \in A$.

Claim. *Each $\hat{\delta}_j$ satisfies the additive and multiplicative properties of a semidegree.*

Proof. Fix a j , $1 \leq j \leq r$. Let $f, g \in A \setminus \{0\}$. If either $\delta(f)$ or $\delta(g)$ is $-\infty$, then it is straightforward to see that both $\hat{\delta}_j(fg)$ and $\hat{\delta}_j(f+g)$ satisfy the properties required of a semidegree. So assume both $\delta(f)$ and $\delta(g)$ are integers. At first we verify the multiplicative property. Let $\epsilon := \delta(f) + \delta(g) - \delta(fg)$. Then $\hat{\delta}_j(fg) = \delta(fg) - \frac{\nu_j((fg)_{\delta(fg)})}{e_j} = \delta(f) + \delta(g) - \frac{e_j \epsilon + \nu_j((fg)_{\delta(fg)})}{e_j} = \delta(f) + \delta(g) - \frac{\nu_j((1)_\epsilon) + \nu_j((fg)_{\delta(fg)})}{e_j} =$

$$\delta(f) + \delta(g) - \frac{\nu_j((fg)_{\delta(f)+\delta(g)})}{e_j} = \delta(f) + \delta(g) - \frac{\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)}) + \nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)})}{e_j} = \hat{\delta}_j(f) + \hat{\delta}_j(g).$$

To verify the additive property, we first assume $d := \delta(f) - \delta(g) > 0$, so that $\delta(f+g) = \delta(f)$ and $(f+g)_{\delta(f+g)} = (f)_{\delta(f)} + ((1)_1)^d (g)_{\delta(g)}$. If $\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)}) < de_j + \nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)})$, then $\nu_j((f+g)_{\delta(f+g)}) = \nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})$ and hence $\hat{\delta}_j(f+g) = \hat{\delta}_j(f)$. Otherwise $\nu_j((f+g)_{\delta(f+g)}) \geq de_j + \nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)})$ and $\hat{\delta}_j(f+g) = \delta(f+g) - \frac{\nu_j((f+g)_{\delta(f+g)})}{e_j} \leq \delta(f) - d - \frac{\nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)})}{e_j} = \delta(g) - \frac{\nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)})}{e_j} = \hat{\delta}_j(g)$. Now assume $\delta(f) = \delta(g)$ and $\hat{\delta}_j(f) \geq \hat{\delta}_j(g)$. It follows that $\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)}) \leq \nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)})$, and hence $\nu_j((f+g)_{\delta(f)}) = \nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)}) + \nu_j((g)_{\delta(g)}) \geq \nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})$. Let $e := \delta(f) - \delta(f+g) \geq 0$. Then $\hat{\delta}_j(f+g) = \delta(f+g) - \frac{\nu_j((f+g)_{\delta(f+g)})}{e_j} = \delta(f) - e - \frac{\nu_j((f+g)_{\delta(f+g)})}{e_j} = \delta(f) - \frac{\nu_j(((1)_1)^e (f+g)_{\delta(f+g)})}{e_j} = \delta(f) - \frac{\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})}{e_j} \leq \delta(f) - \frac{\nu_j((f)_{\delta(f)})}{e_j} = \hat{\delta}_j(f)$. Therefore, $\hat{\delta}_j(f+g) \leq \max\{\hat{\delta}_j(f), \hat{\delta}_j(g)\}$. Similar arguments imply that if $\hat{\delta}_j(f) > \hat{\delta}_j(g)$, then $\hat{\delta}_j(f+g) = \hat{\delta}_j(f)$. \square

The first assertion of the theorem now follows from the uniqueness of the minimal presentation of a quasidegree. For the last statement, note that for all $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$, if $\delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\hat{\delta}_j(f) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each j , $1 \leq j \leq r$, and hence $\delta_i(f) \neq -\infty$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. \square

From this point on, all of our degree like functions will be integer valued. In the course of the proof of our main existence theorem to be stated below, we make use of the theory of *Rees' valuations* (see [McA83, chapter XI]). For an ideal I of a ring R let $\nu_I : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\bar{\nu}_I : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ be defined by: $\nu_I(x) := \sup\{m : x \in I^m\}$ and $\bar{\nu}_I(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_I(x^m)}{m}$. The following is due to Rees [McA83, propositions 11.1, 11.5, corollary 11.6]:

Theorem (Rees). *For any ring R and any ideal I of R , $\bar{\nu}_I$ is well defined. If R is a noetherian domain then*

- (1) *there is a positive integer e such that for all $x \in R$, $\bar{\nu}_I(x) \in \frac{1}{e}\mathbb{N}$, and*
- (2) *if $k \geq 0$ is an integer then $\bar{\nu}_I(x) \geq k$ if and only if $x \in \bar{I}^k$, where \bar{I}^k is the integral closure of I^k in R .*

Definition. Let δ and η be degree like functions on A .

- We say η is *integral over δ* if $\eta(f) \leq \delta(f)$ for all $f \in A$, so that there is a natural inclusion $A^\delta \hookrightarrow A^\eta$ and A^η is integral over A^δ under the above inclusion.
- η *preserves the intersections at ∞ for the completion determined by δ* (in short, *for δ*), if for any closed subsets X_1, \dots, X_k of $\text{Spec } A$ such that the closures of X_i in $\text{Proj } A^\delta$ do not intersect at any point at infinity, the closures of X_i in $\text{Proj } A^\eta$ also do not intersect at any point at infinity.

Lemma 2.2.8. *Let δ and η be non-negative degree like functions on A . If η is integral over δ or $\eta = n\delta$ for some $n > 0$, then η preserves the intersections at ∞ for δ .*

Proof. For an ideal I of A , we denote by I^δ (respectively I^η) the ‘homogenization’ of I in A^δ (respectively A^η). Recall that A_+^δ (resp. A_+^η) denotes the irrelevant homogenous ideal of A^δ (resp. A^η). Let $X_j := V(I_j)$ be closed subsets of $\text{Spec } A$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Assume that the closures of X_i in $\text{Proj } A^\delta$ do not intersect at any

points at infinity. It follows that the radical of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\delta := \langle (I_1)^\delta, \dots, (I_k)^\delta, (1)_1 \rangle$ equals A_+^δ .

At first assume η is integral over δ . Then $\eta \leq \delta$ and there is a natural inclusion $A^\delta \subseteq A^\eta$. Moreover, under the above inclusion, $I^\delta \subseteq I^\eta$ for each ideal I of A . It follows that $\mathcal{I}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{I}_\eta$, where $\mathcal{I}_\eta := \langle (I_1)^\eta, \dots, (I_k)^\eta, (1)_1 \rangle \subseteq A^\eta$. But then $A_+^\delta = \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_\delta} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_\eta}$. Since each element of A_+^η is integral over A_+^δ , it follows that $A_+^\eta \subseteq \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_\eta}$, and therefore the closures of X_i in $\text{Proj } A^\eta$ do not intersect at any points at infinity.

Now assume $\eta = n\delta$. Let $\mathcal{F} := \{F_d\}_{d \geq 0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G} := \{G_d\}_{d \geq 0}$) be the filtration corresponding to δ (resp. η). Recall that $A^\delta \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} F_d t^d$ and $A^\eta \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} G_d s^d$, where s, t are indeterminates over A . But for each $d \geq 0$, $G_d := \{f \in A : \eta(f) \leq d\} = \{f \in A : \delta(f) \leq d/n\} = F_{\lfloor d/n \rfloor}$. Therefore $A^\eta \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} F_{\lfloor d/n \rfloor} s^d$. The map $t \mapsto s^n$ gives an inclusion of A^δ into A^η such that A^η is integral over A^δ . The same argument as in the previous paragraph then gives the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.2.9 (Main Existence Theorem). *Let δ be a finitely generated degree like function on A (in particular, A^δ is finitely generated). Then there is a finitely generated filtration $\tilde{\delta}$ on A and a positive integer e such that $\tilde{\delta}$ is a quasidegree and $\tilde{\delta}$ is integral over $e\delta$. If δ is non-negative, then $\tilde{\delta}$ is also non-negative, and $\tilde{\delta}$ preserves the intersections at ∞ for δ .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} := \{F_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the filtration on A corresponding to δ . Consider the isomorphism $A^\delta \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i t^i$, where t is an indeterminate and $(1)_1$ is mapped to t . Let I be the ideal in A^δ generated by $(1)_1$. With ν_I and $\bar{\nu}_I$ as defined above, let e be a positive integer provided by Rees' theorem such that for all $H \in A^\delta$, $\bar{\nu}_I(H) \in \frac{1}{e}\mathbb{N}$.

Fix $h \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\delta(h^m) \leq m\delta(h)$. Moreover, since I is generated by $(1)_1$, it follows that $k := m\delta(h) - \delta(h^m)$ is the largest integer such that $(h^m)_{m\delta(h)} \in I^k$. The definition of ν_I implies that $\nu_I(((h)_{\delta(h)})^m) = k = m\delta(h) - \delta(h^m)$. Therefore $\delta(h^m)/m = \delta(h) - \nu_I(((h)_{\delta(h)})^m)/m$. It follows that $\bar{\delta}(h) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta(h^m)/m$ is well defined and equals $\delta(h) - \bar{\nu}_I((h)_{\delta(h)})$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\bar{F}_{\frac{m}{e}} := \{f \in A : \bar{\delta}(h) \leq \frac{m}{e}\}$, and consider ring $A^{\bar{\delta}} := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{F}_{\frac{m}{e}} t^{\frac{m}{e}}$. Since $\bar{\delta} \leq \delta$, it follows that $F_k \subseteq \bar{F}_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $A^\delta \subseteq A^{\bar{\delta}}$.

Claim. $A^{\bar{\delta}}$ is integral over A^δ .

Proof. It suffices to show that for each $h \in A$, $(h)_{\bar{\delta}(h)}$ is integral over A^δ . Pick $h \in A$. Then $(h)_{\bar{\delta}(h)}$ is integral over A^δ if and only if $\bar{H} := ((h)_{\bar{\delta}(h)})^e$ is integral over A^δ . From construction of $\bar{\delta}$ it follows that $\bar{H} = (h^e)_{e\bar{\delta}(h)} = (h^e)_{\bar{\delta}(h^e)}$. Let $H := (h^e)_{\bar{\delta}(h^e)} \in A^\delta$ and $\bar{k} := \bar{\nu}_I(H)$. Then $\bar{k} = \delta(h^e) - \bar{\delta}(h^e) = \delta(h^e) - e\bar{\delta}(h)$. It follows that \bar{k} is an integer. Hence by Rees' theorem, H is in the integral closure of $I^{\bar{k}}$ in A^δ , i.e. H satisfies an equation of the form $H^l + G_1 H^{l-1} + \dots + G_l = 0$, where $G_i \in I^{i\bar{k}}$ for each i . Since $A^{\bar{\delta}}$ is a graded ring, we may assume without loss of generality that the degrees of G_i are $i\bar{\delta}(h^e)$. Then $G_i = (g_i)_{i(\bar{\delta}(h^e) - \bar{k})} (1)_{i\bar{k}}$ for some $g_i \in A$ with $\delta(g_i) \leq i(\bar{\delta}(h^e) - \bar{k}) = i\bar{\delta}(h^e)$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. Moreover, in the ring $A^{\bar{\delta}}$,

$H = (h^e)_{\delta(h^e)} = (h^e)_{\delta(h^e)+\bar{k}} = (h^e)_{\delta(h^e)}(1)_{\bar{k}} = (1)_{\bar{k}}\bar{H}$. Substituting these values of H and G_i into the equation of integral dependence for H and then cancelling a factor of $(1)_{\bar{k}}$ we conclude that $(\bar{H})^l + \sum_{i=1}^l (g_i)_{i\bar{\delta}(h^e)}(\bar{H})^{l-i} = 0$. For each i , since $\delta(g_i) \leq i\bar{\delta}(h^e)$, it follows that $(g_i)_{i\bar{\delta}(h^e)} \in A^{\bar{\delta}}$. Thus \bar{H} is integral over $A^{\bar{\delta}}$, which completes the proof of the claim. \square

Let $\tilde{\delta} := e\bar{\delta}$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \{\tilde{F}_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the corresponding filtration. Since $\tilde{\delta} \leq e\delta$, $A^{e\delta} \subseteq A^{\tilde{\delta}}$. But observe that $\tilde{F}_d = \{f : e\delta(h) \leq d\} = \bar{F}_{\frac{d}{e}}$, and hence the mapping $t \rightarrow s^e$ gives an isomorphism $A^{\tilde{\delta}} := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{F}_{\frac{d}{e}} t^{\frac{d}{e}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{F}_d s^d \cong A^{\bar{\delta}}$. Arguments similar to those in the 2nd part of Lemma (2.2.8) allow to conclude that the restriction of the above map to $A^{\bar{\delta}}$ gives a chain of inclusion $A^{\bar{\delta}} \subseteq A^{e\delta} \subseteq A^{\tilde{\delta}}$. Once again as in the proof of lemma (2.2.8) we conclude $A^{e\delta}$ is integral over $A^{\bar{\delta}}$, and by the above claim $A^{\tilde{\delta}}$ is integral over $A^{\bar{\delta}}$. It follows that $A^{\tilde{\delta}}$ is integral over $A^{e\delta}$. Moreover, since $A^{\bar{\delta}}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -algebra, it also follows that $A^{\tilde{\delta}}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -algebra.

By construction $\tilde{\delta}(f^m) = m\tilde{\delta}(f)$ for all f and m . It follows that the ideal generated by $(1)_1$ in $A^{\tilde{\delta}}$ is radical. Therefore theorem (2.2.1) implies that $\tilde{\delta}$ is a quasidegree. This completes the proof of the first assertion of Theorem 2.2.9. If δ is non-negative, then by construction $\tilde{\delta}$ is also non-negative, and applying lemma (2.2.8), we deduce the second assertion. \square

We summarize the results of theorems (2.2.9), (1.3.1) and (1.3.4) in the following

Corollary 2.2.10. *Let X be an affine variety of dimension n and A be the coordinate ring of X .*

- (1) *Let V_1, \dots, V_m be closed subvarieties of X such that $\bigcap_i V_i$ is a finite set. Then there is a complete quasidegree δ on A such that the corresponding completion ψ_δ of X preserves the intersection of the V_i 's at ∞ .*
- (2) *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominating map of affine varieties. Then there is a complete quasidegree δ on A such that ψ_δ preserves f at ∞ .* \square

2.3. A Quasifinite Map with Points at Infinity for any Semidegree. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$ and $f := ((x_1^2 - x_2^4)^2 + x_1x_2, (x_1^2 - x_2^4)^3 + x_1x_2) : X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is a quasifinite map. We show below that there is *no* complete semidegree δ on $A := \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$ such that ψ_δ preserves f at ∞ .

Let $a := (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$. As in section 1.3, let $H_i(a) := \{x \in X : f_i(x) = a_i\}$. Let δ be any complete semidegree on A with associated filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{F_d\}_{d \geq 0}$. Recall the notation of section 2.2: given an ideal \mathfrak{p} of A , let $\mathfrak{p}^\delta := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (\mathfrak{p} \cap F_d)$ be the corresponding ‘homogenous’ ideal of A^δ . Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = \langle f_i - a_i \rangle \subseteq A$. We will show that $V(\mathfrak{p}_1^\delta, \mathfrak{p}_2^\delta, (1)_1) \neq \emptyset$, or equivalently $\sqrt{\mathcal{I}} \subsetneq A_+^\delta$, where $\mathcal{I} := \langle \mathfrak{p}_1^\delta, \mathfrak{p}_2^\delta, (1)_1 \rangle$ and A_+^δ is the maximal homogenous ideal of A^δ .

Let $d_i := \delta(x_i)$. If $d_1 > 2d_2$, then $\delta(f_1 - a_1) = \delta(x_1^4) = 4d_1$, and $\delta(f_1 - a_1 - x_1^4) < 4d_1$. Hence, $\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_1, (1)_1 \rangle = \langle (f_1 - a_1)_{4d_1}, (1)_1 \rangle = \langle ((x_1)_{d_1})^4, (1)_1 \rangle$. Similarly $\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_2, (1)_1 \rangle = \langle ((x_1)_{d_1})^6, (1)_1 \rangle$. Thus $\mathcal{I} = \langle ((x_1)_{d_1})^4, (1)_1 \rangle$. But then $\sqrt{\mathcal{I}} \neq A_+^\delta$, for if it were true, then \mathcal{I} would be an A_+^δ -primary ideal in A^δ generated by 2 elements. Since

A_+^δ is a maximal ideal of A^δ , it would follow that dimension of $\text{Spec } A^\delta$ will be at most 2 ([AM69], Theorems 11.14 and 11.25). But this is impossible, since dimension of $\text{Spec } A^\delta$ is 3.

Similarly, if $d_1 < 2d_2$, then $\mathcal{I} = \langle \langle (x_2)_{d_2} \rangle^8, (1)_1 \rangle$, and by the same reasoning as above, $\sqrt{\mathcal{I}} \neq A_+^\delta$. So assume $d_1 = 2d_2 = d$. Now $x_1^2 - x_2^4 = (x_1 - x_2^2)(x_1 + x_2^2)$. Note that $\delta(x_1 \pm x_2^2) \leq d$. But, since $\delta((x_1 - x_2^2) + (x_1 + x_2^2)) = d$, at least one of $x_1 + x_2^2$ and $x_1 - x_2^2$ has δ -value d , whereas the other has δ -value at least 1 (since δ is complete). Thus $\delta((x_1 - x_2^2)^2(x_1 + x_2^2)^2) \geq 2d + 2 = 4d_2 + 2 > 3d_2 = \delta(x_1 x_2)$. Hence $\delta(f_1 - a_1 - (x_1^2 - x_2^4)^2) < \delta(f_1 - a_1)$. It follows that $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1^\delta, (1)_1 \rangle = \langle \langle (x_1^2 - x_2^4)_{d'} \rangle^2, (1)_1 \rangle$, where $d' := \delta(x_1^2 - x_2^4)$. Similarly, $\langle \mathfrak{p}_2^\delta, (1)_1 \rangle = \langle \langle (x_1^2 - x_2^4)_{d'} \rangle^3, (1)_1 \rangle$. Thus $\mathcal{I} = \langle \langle (x_1^2 - x_2^4)_{d'} \rangle^2, (1)_1 \rangle$, and since \mathcal{I} is generated by 2 elements, the same argument as in the previous paragraph shows that $\sqrt{\mathcal{I}} \neq A_+^\delta$.

So we proved that for every complete semidegree δ on A , ψ_δ does not preserve *any* fiber of f at ∞ . Hence none of the assertions of corollary (2.2.10) would remain valid if we replace in its conclusion the ‘quasidegree’ by a ‘semidegree’.

A quasidegree that preserves f at infinity: By corollary (2.2.10), we know that there exist completions of x determined by complete quasidegrees on A which preserve f at ∞ . The filtration \mathcal{F} corresponding to one such quasidegree δ is defined as follows: $F_0 = \mathbb{K}$, $F_1 = \mathbb{K}\langle 1, x_2, x_1^2 - x_2^4 \rangle$, $F_2 = (F_1)^2 + \mathbb{K}\langle x_1 \rangle$, and $F_d = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} F_j F_{d-j}$ for $d \geq 2$.

It is easy to see that $\delta = \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ where δ_1 is the weighted degree on A that assigns weight 1 to x_2 and -1 to $x_1 - x_2^2$, and δ_2 is the weighted degree on A that assigns weight 1 to x_2 and -1 to $x_1 + x_2^2$.

Claim. ψ_δ preserves every fiber of f at ∞ .

Proof. Let $a := (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$. As above, let \mathfrak{p}_i be the ideal of $H_i(a)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Also define \mathfrak{p}_i^δ , \mathcal{I} , A_+^δ as before. It suffices to show that for a homogenous prime ideal P of A^δ , if $P \supseteq \mathcal{I}$, then $P \supseteq \{(x_1)_2, (x_2)_1, (x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1\}$ (since these latter elements generate the ideal A_+^δ).

Let $P \supseteq \mathcal{I}$ be a homogenous prime ideal of A^δ . Note that $(f_1 - a_1)_3 = ((x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1)^2(1)_1 + (x_1)_2(x_2)_1 - a_1((1)_1)^3 \in \mathcal{I}$, which implies that $(x_1)_2(x_2)_1 \in \mathcal{I}$. Similarly $(f_2 - a_2)_3 = ((x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1)^3 + (x_1)_2(x_2)_1 - a_2((1)_1)^3 \in \mathcal{I}$, which implies, via the previous inclusion, that $((x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1)^3 \in \mathcal{I} \subseteq P$. But then $(x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1 \in P$. Also since $(x_1)_2(x_2)_1 \in P$, it follows that either $(x_1)_2 \in P$ or $(x_2)_1 \in P$. But now note that

$$((x_1)_2)^2 - ((x_2)_1)^4 = (x_1^2 - x_2^4)_4 = (x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1((1)_1)^3.$$

Since the element in the right hand side lies in P , it follows that if either of $(x_1)_2$ and $(x_2)_1$ lies in P , then the other lies in P as well! Hence we proved that $P \supseteq \{(x_1^2 - x_2^4)_1, (x_1)_2, (x_2)_1, (1)_1\}$. \square

3. BEZOUT THEOREM

3.1. Bezout Theorem for Semidegrees. Let $S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d$ be any graded algebra over \mathbb{K} . Recall that for any integer $d > 0$, the inclusion of the d -th truncated

subring $S^{[d]} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_{id}$ into S induces a map $\text{Proj } S \rightarrow \text{Proj } S^{[d]}$ which is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. It is called the ‘ d -uple embedding’ of $\text{Proj } S$ [Har77, exercise II.5.13]. Moreover, if S is a finitely generated \mathbb{K} -algebra, then with d being equal to the number of generators of S times a common multiple of degrees of all generators it follows that $S^{[d]}$ is generated by S_d . If $S_0 = \mathbb{K}$, such a d -uple embedding gives a closed immersion of $\text{Proj } S$ into the projectivization of S_d .

Theorem 3.1.1. *Let X be an affine variety of dimension n and A be the coordinate ring of X . Let δ be a complete semidegree on A . Let $(g_1)_{d_1}, \dots, (g_N)_{d_N}$ be a set of generators of A^δ and $d \geq 1$ be such that the d -uple embedding of X^δ is a closed immersion of X^δ into the projectivization \mathbb{P}_L of F_d . Denote by D the degree X^δ in \mathbb{P}_L . Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ be any quasifinite map. Then for all $a \in \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$,*

$$(A) \quad |f^{-1}(a)| \leq \frac{D}{d^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \delta(f_i),$$

where $|f^{-1}(a)|$ is the size of the fiber $f^{-1}(a)$ with all points counted with multiplicity. If in addition ψ_δ preserves $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞ , then (A) holds with an equality.

Proof. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$. For each i , let $\mathfrak{p}_i := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} ((f_i - a_i)^d) \cap F_j$. Then the closure $\overline{V((f_i - a_i)^d)}$ of $V((f_i - a_i)^d)$ in $\bar{X} := \text{Proj } A^\delta$ is precisely $V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. Since $\tilde{Q}_i := ((f_i)_{\delta(f_i)})^d \in \mathfrak{p}_i$, it follows that $\overline{V((f_i - a_i)^d)} \subseteq V(\tilde{Q}_i)$. Now consider \bar{X} as embedded into \mathbb{P}_L via d -uple embedding. Then \tilde{Q}_i is restriction of a degree $\delta(f_i)$ homogenous \hat{Q}_i in the homogenous coordinate ring of \mathbb{P}_L . Thus $V(\tilde{Q}_i) = \bar{X} \cap V(\hat{Q}_i)$, where the ‘ V ’ in the left hand side denotes zero set in \bar{X} and the ‘ V ’ in the right hand side denotes zero set in \mathbb{P}_L . But then

$$\begin{aligned} V((f_1 - a_1)^d) \cap \dots \cap V((f_n - a_n)^d) &\subseteq V(\tilde{Q}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\tilde{Q}_n) \\ &= \bar{X} \cap V(\hat{Q}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\hat{Q}_n) \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (A) now follows from following pair of observations:

- The sum of the multiplicities of zero dimensional components of $\bar{X} \cap V(\hat{Q}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\hat{Q}_n)$ is at most $D\delta(f_1) \cdots \delta(f_n)$, and
- $|V((f_1 - a_1)^d) \cap \dots \cap V((f_n - a_n)^d)| = d^n |V(f_1 - a_1) \cap \dots \cap V(f_n - a_n)|$ when counted with multiplicity.

If δ is a semidegree, then \mathfrak{p}_i is generated by \tilde{Q}_i and hence $V(\tilde{Q}_i) = V(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \overline{V((f_i - a_i)^d)}$. It follows that δ preserves $f^{-1}(a)$ at ∞ iff

$$\begin{aligned} V((f_1 - a_1)^d) \cap \dots \cap V((f_n - a_n)^d) &= \overline{V((f_1 - a_1)^d)} \cap \dots \cap \overline{V((f_n - a_n)^d)} \\ &= V(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\mathfrak{p}_n) \\ &= V(\tilde{Q}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\tilde{Q}_n) \\ &= \bar{X} \cap V(\hat{Q}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\hat{Q}_n) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 3.1.2. In fact, the conclusion of the theorem holds if $\delta = \max_{j=1}^N \delta_j$ is any quasidegree such that $\delta_1(f_i) = \dots = \delta_N(f_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

For the following proposition, assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. A *valuation* on the field $\mathbb{C}(X)$ of rational functions on X with value group \mathbb{Z}^n (equipped with an addition preserving total order) is a surjective map $\nu : \mathbb{C}(X) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that:

- $\nu(\lambda f) = \nu(f)$ for all $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$,
- $\nu(f + g) \geq \max\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\}$ for all $f, g \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}(X)$,
- for every pair of elements $f, g \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ such that $\nu(f) = \nu(g)$, there is a $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\nu(f - \lambda g) > \nu(f)$, and
- $\nu(fg) = \nu(f) + \nu(g)$ for all $f, g \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}(X)$.

Fix a valuation ν on $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Let δ be a complete degree like function on A , and let d and D be as in Theorem 3.1.1. Following [KK08], we can associate a convex body Δ to δ such that D is $n!$ times the n -dimensional volume $V_n(\Delta)$ of Δ , namely:

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let C be the smallest closed cone in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} containing*

$$G := \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{d} \delta(f), \nu(f) \right) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1} : f \in A \right\}.$$

Let Δ be the convex hull of the cross-section of C at the first coordinate value 1. Then $D = n!V_n(\Delta)$.

Proof. Let $L := F_d = \{f \in A : \delta(f) \leq d\}$. Let \mathbb{P}_L be the projectivization of L , and $\phi_L : X^\delta \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_L$ be the d -uple embedding. Degree D of $\phi_L(X^\delta)$ in \mathbb{P}_L is the number of common zeros of n generic elements of L , and it is precisely the intersection index $[L, \dots, L]$ of n copies of L as defined in [KK08]. Since the mapping degree of ϕ_L is 1, the proposition follows from the main theorem of [KK08]. \square

Example 3.1.4. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, and let ν be the ‘monomial valuation’ on $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, which assigns to $\sum a_\alpha x^\alpha \in A \setminus \{0\}$ the minimal (lexicographically) exponent $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ among α with $a_\alpha \neq 0$. Let δ be a weighted degree on A corresponding to weights d_i for x_i , where d_1, \dots, d_n are positive integers. Then $(1)_1, (x_1)_{d_1}, \dots, (x_n)_{d_n}$ is a set of generators of A^δ . Let d be a sufficiently large multiple of d_1, \dots, d_n . Then $\Delta = \{(1, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j d_j \leq d\}$ and $V_n(\Delta) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{d}{d_j}$. (Since $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ preserves all fibers of the identity map \mathbb{I} of $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ at ∞ , formula (A) for \mathbb{I} implies $D = \frac{d^n}{d_1 \dots d_n}$ directly.) Hence, the right hand side of (A) is $\frac{\prod_j \delta(f_j)}{\prod_j d_j}$ and the result is the well known weighted version of Bezout’s theorem (see e.g. [Dam99]).

Recall the construction of ‘iterated’ semidegrees from example (2.1.2). If $X = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ and δ is a semidegree constructed from a weighted degree by repeating the ‘iteration’ procedure finitely many times, we can do an explicit calculation of the number D appearing in (A).

Theorem 3.1.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{K})$ and let δ be a semidegree on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ constructed from a weighted degree δ_0 by repeating the iteration procedure k times. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let δ_i be the semidegree obtained after i -th step, by fixing a polynomial h_i which is prime with respect to δ_{i-1} , and giving it a weight $w_i < \delta_{i-1}(h_i)$. Then $\frac{D}{d^n} = \frac{\delta_0(h_1) \dots \delta_{k-1}(h_k)}{\delta_0(x_1) \dots \delta_0(x_n) w_1 \dots w_k}$.*

Proof. From the proof of theorem (2.1.3), it follows that $A^{\delta_i} = (A^{\delta_{i-1}}[s_i])^{\delta_{i-1}} / \langle (s_i - h_i)_{\delta_{i-1}(h_i)} \rangle$, where s_i is an indeterminate and δ_{i-1}^e is induced by the extension δ_{i-1}^e

of δ to $A^{\delta^{i-1}}[s_i]$, given by: $\delta_{i-1}^e(s_i) := w_i$. But then it follows by induction that for all $i \geq 1$,

$$A^{\delta^i} = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_i]/J_i,$$

where $J_i := \langle \tilde{h}_1 - x_0^{e_1 - w_1} s_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_i - x_0^{e_i - w_i} s_i \rangle$, and for each j , $1 \leq j \leq i$, $e_j := \delta_{j-1}(h_j)$ and \tilde{h}_j is a weighted homogenous polynomial in x, s_1, \dots, s_j which is a representative of $(h_j)_{e_j}$. Hence X^{δ^k} is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{n+k}(\mathbb{K}; 1, d_1, \dots, d_n, w_1, \dots, w_k)$, where $d_j := \delta_0(x_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the weighted degree on $R_k := \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_k]$ corresponding to weight 1 for x_0 , d_j for x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and w_j for s_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$. For $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n \in R_k$ such that for each i , \tilde{f}_i maps to f_i under the above surjection and $\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{f}_i) = \delta(f_i)$. Now, for generic f_1, \dots, f_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} V(f_1, \dots, f_n) &= \bar{X} \cap V(\tilde{f}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\tilde{f}_n) \\ &= V(\tilde{h}_1 - x_0^{e_1 - w_1} s_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\tilde{h}_k - x_0^{e_k - w_k} s_k) \cap V(\tilde{f}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\tilde{f}_n). \end{aligned}$$

By weighted homogenous Bezout theorem the right hand side equals $\frac{\delta(f_1) \cdots \delta(f_n) e_1 \cdots e_k}{d_1 \cdots d_n w_1 \cdots w_k}$, and by the Bezout theorem for semidegrees, the left hand side is: $\frac{D}{d^n} \delta(f_1) \cdots \delta(f_n)$. \square

Example 3.1.6. Let δ be the iterated semidegree on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$ from example (2.1.4), so that $\delta(x_1) = 3$, $\delta(x_2) = 2$ and $\delta(x_1^2 - x_2^3) = 1$. It follows by theorem (3.1.5) that $D/d^n = \frac{6}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} = 1$.

It is easy to see that for any $k > 0$, ψ_δ preserves all fibers of the map $f_k := (x_1 + (x_1^2 - x_2^3)^2, (x_1^2 - x_2^3)^k) : \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{K})$. Hence, it follows from (A) that for any $k > 0$, the size of every fiber of f_k is $3k$. Note that it is much smaller than the estimate $12k$ predicted by the weighted homogeneous version of Bezout's theorem.

Appendix.

Theorem (Bernstein [Ber75]). *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let A_i be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n and f_i be a Laurent polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n, z_n^{-1}]$ such that $\text{Supp}(f_i) \subseteq A_i$. Then*

$$(*) \quad |V(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq \mathcal{M}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

where $|V(f_1, \dots, f_n)|$ is the number of common isolated roots of f_1, \dots, f_n in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ counted with multiplicity, and $\mathcal{M}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is the mixed volume of A_1, \dots, A_n . Let $f_i = \sum_{\beta \in A_i} a_{i,\beta} x^\beta$, and for each $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$, let $A_{i,\alpha} := \{\beta \in A_i : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \leq \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \text{ for all } \gamma \in A_i\}$ and $f_{i,\alpha} := \sum_{\beta \in A_{i,\alpha}} a_{i,\beta} x^\beta$. Inequality (*) holds with an equality iff

$$(**) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n, V(f_{1,\alpha}, \dots, f_{n,\alpha}) = \emptyset.$$

Let f_i 's and A_i 's be as in Bernstein's theorem. Let \mathcal{P} be the convex hull of $A_1 + \dots + A_n$. We only consider the case that $\dim \mathcal{P} = n$. Let $\phi_{\mathcal{P}} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \hookrightarrow X_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the toric compactification of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ corresponding to \mathcal{P} .

Claim. *f satisfies (**) iff $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$ preserves $f^{-1}(0)$ at ∞ , where $f := (f_1, \dots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.*

Proof. Note that the condition (**) is not affected by

- (i) translation of the A_i 's by elements in \mathbb{Z}^n , and
- (ii) simultaneous transformation of A_i 's by an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n .

The above two transformations change the original \mathcal{P} to a new polytope \mathcal{Q} and f_i 's to new Laurent polynomial g_i 's. But \mathcal{P} is isomorphic to \mathcal{Q} via an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n (modulo a translation), which implies that $X_{\mathcal{P}} \cong X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ as toric varieties, i.e. in particular the n -torus in $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ corresponds to the n -torus in $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$. This implies that the property of preserving $f^{-1}(0)$ at ∞ is also invariant under the transformation $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Thus it will be safe to apply transformations of type (i) and (ii) in the proof.

At first assume $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$ does not preserve $f^{-1}(0)$ at ∞ . Let $\tilde{x} \in \overline{V(f_1)} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{V(f_n)} \cap X_{\infty}$. Recall that $X_{\infty} = \cup X_F$, where the union is over faces F of $P := \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ (i.e. $F = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, where \mathcal{F} is a face of \mathcal{P}). Let $n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n := \{\alpha^0, \dots, \alpha^N\}$. Then $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ is isomorphic to the closure of the image of $\phi_{\mathcal{P}} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ which maps $z \mapsto [z^{\alpha^0} : \cdots : z^{\alpha^N}]$. Under this isomorphism, for a face $F := \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ of P , X_F is the closure of the image of the map $z \rightarrow [\phi_{\mathcal{F},0}(z) : \cdots : \phi_{\mathcal{F},N}(z)]$, where

$$\phi_{\mathcal{F},j}(z) = \begin{cases} z^{\alpha^j} & \text{if } \alpha^j \in n\mathcal{F}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let F be the *smallest* face of P such that $\tilde{x} \in X_F$. After an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n , we may assume

- (1) $\alpha^0 = 0$ and $\alpha^i = e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where e_i is the i -th unit vector of \mathbb{Z}^n ,
- (2) $0 \in F \subseteq E_d := \mathbb{Z}\langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$, where $d = \dim(\text{conv } F)$.

Identify $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ with the closure of the image of $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$ in \mathbb{P}^N as above. Let the homogenous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^N be $[x_0 : \cdots : x_N]$. By assumption on F , $\tilde{x}_j \neq 0$ iff $\alpha_j \in \text{conv}(nF)$, so in particular $\tilde{x}_0 \neq 0$. Fix an $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $\tilde{x} \in \overline{V(f_i)}$, there is a curve $C \subseteq V(f_i)$ such that $\tilde{x} \in \overline{C}$. Let the Puiseux expansion of \overline{C} at \tilde{x} be:

$$x_j/x_0 = \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{j,k} t^{\gamma_{j,k}},$$

where $\{\gamma_{j,k}\}_k$ is an increasing sequence of non-negative rational numbers. Since $\tilde{x}_j \neq 0$ for $j \leq d$, it follows that

$$(9) \quad \gamma_{j,0} = 0 \text{ and } b_{j,0} = \tilde{x}_j/\tilde{x}_0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

For all t in a punctured disc centered at the origin in \mathbb{C} , $C(t) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. By our choice of coordinates, the preimage of $C(t)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is precisely $(x_1/x_0, \dots, x_n/x_0)$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} := (\gamma_{1,0}, \dots, \gamma_{n,0})$ and $\tilde{b} := (b_{1,0}, \dots, b_{n,0}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Then

$$\phi_{\mathcal{P}}(C(t)) = [1 : \tilde{b}^{\alpha^1} t^{\langle \tilde{\gamma}, \alpha^1 \rangle} + \text{h.o.t.} : \cdots : \tilde{b}^{\alpha^N} t^{\langle \tilde{\gamma}, \alpha^N \rangle} + \text{h.o.t.}],$$

where *h.o.t.* abbreviates 'higher order terms' (in t). Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_{\mathcal{P}}(C(t)) = \tilde{x}$, and since $\tilde{x}_j \neq 0$ iff $\alpha^j \in \text{conv}(nF)$, it follows that $\langle \tilde{\gamma}, \alpha^j \rangle > 0$ if $\alpha^j \notin \text{conv}(nF)$, and $\langle \tilde{\gamma}, \alpha^j \rangle = 0$ if $\alpha^j \in \text{conv}(nF)$. But then F is the 'face' of P corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma}$. This implies that $F = \text{conv}(A_{1,\tilde{\gamma}} + \cdots + A_{n,\tilde{\gamma}}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, where for each j , $A_{j,\tilde{\gamma}}$ is the 'face' of A_j corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma}$ as defined in the statement of Bernstein's theorem.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_i(C(t)) &= f_i\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} b_{1,k} t^{\gamma_{1,k}}, \dots, \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{n,k} t^{\gamma_{n,k}}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta} a_{i,\beta} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} b_{j,k} t^{\gamma_{j,k}}\right)^{\beta_j} \\
 &= \sum_{\beta} a_{i,\beta} (\tilde{b}^{\beta} t^{\langle \tilde{\gamma}, \beta \rangle} + \text{h.o.t.}) \\
 &= t^{d_i} \left(\sum_{\beta \in A_{i,\tilde{\gamma}}} a_{i,\beta} \tilde{b}^{\beta}\right) + \text{h.o.t.},
 \end{aligned}$$

for some $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Since $f_i(C(t))$ is identically zero, it follows that $f_{i,\tilde{\gamma}}(\tilde{b}) = \sum_{\beta \in A_{i,\tilde{\gamma}}} a_{i,\beta} \tilde{b}^{\beta} = 0$. Moreover, since $F \subseteq E_d$, there exists $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $A_{i,\tilde{\gamma}} \subseteq \tilde{\beta} + E_d$. It follows that $\tilde{f}_i := x^{-\tilde{\beta}} f_{i,\tilde{\gamma}}$ depends only on x_1, \dots, x_d , and for each $z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, $f_{i,\tilde{\gamma}}(z) = z^{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{f}_i(\pi(z))$, where $\pi(z)$ is the projection of z to the first d coordinates. Hence $f_{i,\tilde{\gamma}}(\tilde{b}) = \tilde{b}^{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{f}_i(\pi(\tilde{b})) = \tilde{b}^{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{f}_i(\tilde{x}_1/\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_d/\tilde{x}_0)$ (by (9)). It follows that $\tilde{f}_i(\tilde{x}_1/\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_d/\tilde{x}_0) = 0$.

Repeating the above arguments with every i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we construct $\tilde{\gamma}^1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}^n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\tilde{\beta}^1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}^n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\tilde{b}^1, \dots, \tilde{b}^n \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that for each i ,

- (i) $F = \text{conv}(A_{1,\tilde{\gamma}^1} + \dots + A_{n,\tilde{\gamma}^n}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, so that F is the ‘face’ of P corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma}^i$ and $A_{j,\tilde{\gamma}^i}$ is the ‘face’ of A_j corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma}^i$ for each j ,
- (ii) $\pi(\tilde{b}^i) = (\tilde{x}_1/\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_d/\tilde{x}_0)$, and
- (iii) $\tilde{f}_i := x^{-\tilde{\beta}^i} f_{i,\tilde{\gamma}^i}$ depends only on x_1, \dots, x_d .

Since a decomposition of a face of a Minkowski sum of a polytope as a Minkowski sum of faces of summands is unique, it follows that for each i, j, k , $A_{i,\tilde{\gamma}^j} = A_{i,\tilde{\gamma}^k}$. Let $\gamma := \tilde{\gamma}^1$ and $b := \tilde{b}^1$. Then for each i , $f_{i,\gamma}(b) = \sum_{\beta \in A_{i,\gamma}} a_{i,\beta} b^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta \in A_{i,\tilde{\gamma}^i}} a_{i,\beta} b^{\beta} = f_{i,\tilde{\gamma}^i}(b) = b^{\tilde{\beta}^i} \tilde{f}_i(\pi(b)) = b^{\tilde{\beta}^i} \tilde{f}_i(\tilde{x}_1/\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_d/\tilde{x}_0) = 0$. Thus (**) is not satisfied!

Now assume (**) is not satisfied. Let $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(f_{1,\alpha}, \dots, f_{n,\alpha})$. Fix an i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. We claim that there is a curve $C_i \subseteq V(f_i)$ with parametrization $C_i(t) = (a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + \text{h.o.t.}, \dots, a_n t^{\alpha_n} + \text{h.o.t.})$. To see it, let

$$\hat{f}_i(t, w_1, \dots, w_n) := t^{-d_i} f_i(t^{\alpha_1}(a_1 + w_1), \dots, t^{\alpha_n}(a_n + w_n)),$$

where t, w_1, \dots, w_n are indeterminates and $d_i := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ for any $\beta \in A_{i,\alpha}$. Then \hat{f}_i is a polynomial in t, w_1, \dots, w_n , with zero constant term, and hence the origin O belongs to the hypersurface $V(\hat{f}_i)$ of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Since every point of a complex hypersurface (of a variety of dimension bigger than or equal to 2) is the origin of a germ of a complex analytic curve contained in the hypersurface, it follows that for each j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, there is a convergent Puiseux series $w_j(t)$ in t with positive exponents such that

$$\hat{C}_i(t) := (t, w_1(t), \dots, w_n(t))$$

is the parametrization of a curve contained in $V(\hat{f}_i)$ and centered at O . But then

$$C_i(t) := (t^{\alpha_1}(a_1 + w_1(t)), \dots, t^{\alpha_n}(a_n + w_n(t)))$$

satisfies the claim.

Then $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}(C_i(t)) = [a^{\alpha^0} t^{\langle \alpha, \alpha^0 \rangle} + \text{h.o.t.} : \cdots : a^{\alpha^N} t^{\langle \alpha, \alpha^N \rangle} + \text{h.o.t}]$. Multiplying each coordinate by t^{-d} where $d := \min\{\langle \alpha, \alpha^j \rangle\}_{j=0}^N$, we see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_{\mathcal{P}}(C_i(t)) = b := [b_0 : \cdots : b_N]$, where

$$b_j = \begin{cases} a^{\alpha^j} & \text{if } \langle \alpha, \alpha_j \rangle = d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $b \in \overline{C_i} \subseteq \overline{V(f_i)}$ for each i , and hence $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$ does not preserve $f^{-1}(0)$ at ∞ . \square

REFERENCES

- [AM69] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969.
- [Ber75] D. N. Bernstein. The number of roots of a system of equations. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 9(3):1–4, 1975.
- [Dam99] James Damon. A global weighted version of Bezout’s theorem. In *The Arnoldfest (Toronto, ON, 1997)*, volume 24 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 115–129. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [Goo69] Jacob Eli Goodman. Affine open subsets of algebraic varieties and ample divisors. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 89:160–183, 1969.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Kho78] A. G. Khovanskii. Newton polyhedra, and the genus of complete intersections. *Funktsional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 12(1):51–61, 1978.
- [KK08] Kiumars Kaveh and A. G. Khovanskii. Convex bodies and algebraic equations on affine varieties. arXiv:[math.AG], 2008, 0804.4095.
- [Kus76] A. G. Kushnirenko. Newton polyhedra and Bezout’s theorem. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 10(3, 82–83.), 1976.
- [Mat80] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*, volume 56 of *Mathematics Lecture Note Series*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., second edition, 1980.
- [McA83] Stephen McAdam. *Asymptotic prime divisors*, volume 1023 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Mon08] Pinaki Mondal. An affine Bezout type theorem and projective completions of affine varieties. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, 20:1–12, 2008.
- [Mum88] David Mumford. *The red book of varieties and schemes*, volume 1358 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Roj94] J. Maurice Rojas. A convex geometric approach to counting the roots of a polynomial system. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 133(1):105–140, 1994. Selected papers of the Workshop on Continuous Algorithms and Complexity (Barcelona, 1993).
- [Roj99] J. M. Rojas. Toric intersection theory for affine root counting. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 136(1):67–100, 1999.
- [RV04] Mike Roth and Ravi Vakil. The affine stratification number and the moduli space of curves. In *Algebraic structures and moduli spaces*, volume 38 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 213–227. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [RW96] J. Maurice Rojas and Xiaoshen Wang. Counting affine roots of polynomial systems via pointed Newton polytopes. *J. Complexity*, 12(2):116–133, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON
E-mail address: pinaki@math.toronto.edu