## APM346, Summer 2019

We review the definition and an elementary property of the Wronskian. We recall that the notation  $f^{(n)}(x)$  denotes the *n*th derivative of the function f.

DEFINITION. Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n : (a, b) \to \mathbf{R}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ , and suppose that the first n-1 derivatives of all n functions exist on (a, b). Then the Wronskian of  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  is the function  $W : (a, b) \to \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$W(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \dots & f_n(x) \\ f'_1(x) & f'_2(x) & \dots & f'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(x) & f_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \dots & f_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

EXAMPLES.

(a) Let  $(a,b) = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f_1(x) = x$ ,  $f_2(x) = x^2$ . Then the Wronskian of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is given by

$$W(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x & x^2 \\ 1 & 2x \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 2x^2 - x^2 = x^2$$

(b) Let  $(a,b) = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f_1(x) = e^x$ ,  $f_2(x) = e^{-x}$ . Then the Wronskian of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is

$$W(x) = \begin{vmatrix} e^x & e^{-x} \\ e^x & -e^{-x} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= -1 - 1 = -2$$

The importance of the Wronskian can be seen from the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. Suppose that the functions  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n : (a, b) \to \mathbf{R}$  possess derivatives of up to order n-1 and are linearly dependent on (a, b). Then their Wronskian is zero everywhere on (a, b).

*Proof.* Since the functions  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n$  are linearly dependent on (a, b), there must exist constants  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$  such that for all  $x \in (a, b)$  we have

$$c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x) + \dots + c_n f_n(x) = 0.$$

Since  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$  are all constants, we may differentiate this equation k times to obtain

$$c_1 f_1^{(k)}(x) + c_2 f_2^{(k)}(x) + \dots + c_n f_n^{(k)}(x) = 0,$$

where k = 1, ..., n - 1. Thus we see that for each  $x \in (a, b)$ , the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f'_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_2(x) \\ f'_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_2^{(n-1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \cdots \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_n(x) \\ f'_n(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

are linearly dependent. Thus the matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \dots & f_n(x) \\ f'_1(x) & f'_2(x) & \dots & f'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(x) & f_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \dots & f_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is not full-rank, so its determinant |D| must be zero. But |D| is exactly the Wronskian of  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n$ , so this completes the proof of the proposition. QED.

From this it follows that if the Wronskian is not identically zero on (a, b), then  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n$  must be linearly independent on (a, b).

## EXAMPLES.

(c) From examples (a) and (b) above, we see that x and  $x^2$  are linearly independent on **R**, as are  $e^x$  and  $e^{-x}$ .