

Solutions to first term test.

Ex 1. $\ell^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ is separable.

$$\text{Let } A_1 = \{x \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{Q}, x_i = 0 \text{ } i \geq 2\}$$

$$A_2 = \{x \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}, x_i = 0 \text{ } i \geq 3\}$$

⋮

$$A_n = \{x \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Q}, x_i = 0 \text{ } i \geq n+1\}$$

- 1) $A_n \subseteq \ell^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$ each n .
- 2) A_n is countable since A_n is homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q}^n and \mathbb{Q}^n is countable.
- 3) $\bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ is the countable union of countable sets $\Rightarrow \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ is countable.

claim: $\bigcup_1^\infty A_n \subseteq \ell^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$ is dense.

proof: $\forall \epsilon > 0, x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$. $\exists N_\epsilon$

$$\text{so that } \sum_{N_\epsilon}^\infty |x_i|^p < \epsilon.$$

and since $\mathbb{Q}^{N_\epsilon-1}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{N_\epsilon-1}$,

$\exists q \in A_{N_\epsilon-1}$ with

$$\sum_1^\infty |q_i - x_i|^p = \sum_1^{N_\epsilon-1} |q_i - x_i|^p + \sum_{N_\epsilon}^\infty |x_i|^p < \epsilon + \epsilon.$$

$\Rightarrow \rho_p(q, x) < \sqrt[p]{2\epsilon}$ and $\bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ is dense in $\ell^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$.

2.

Proof 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(\phi_{nx}, 0)^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_{nx}(x) \phi_{nx}(x) dx \\ &= - \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(x) \phi_{nxx}(x) dx \\ &\quad + \phi_n \phi_{nx} \Big|_0^{2\pi} \\ &= - \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(x) \phi_{nxx}(x) dx \quad \text{since} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(2\pi) &= \phi_n(0) \\ \phi_{nxx}(2\pi) &= \phi_{nxx}(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_2(\phi_{nx}, 0)^2 \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_n(x)| |\phi_{nxx}(x)| dx$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(x)^2 dx} \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_{nxx}(x)^2 dx}$$

$$\leq 8 \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(x)^2 dx}$$

$$< 8\epsilon \quad \text{if } n \geq N_\epsilon$$

since $\rho_2(\phi_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$

$\Rightarrow \rho_2(\phi_{nx}, 0) \rightarrow 0$ as desired //

Proof 2

Since ϕ_n is continuous and periodic,

$$\phi_n(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_n(k) e^{ikx}$$

$$\text{for } \hat{\phi}_n(k) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\text{and } \phi_{n,x}(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} ik \hat{\phi}_n(k) e^{ikx}$$

$$\phi_{n,xx}(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} -k^2 \hat{\phi}_n(k) e^{ikx}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(\phi_n, 0) = \sqrt{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|^2}$$

$$\rho(\phi_{n,x}, 0) = \sqrt{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|^2}$$

$$\rho(\phi_{n,xx}, 0) = \sqrt{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^4 |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|^2}$$

now we're done by Cauchy-Schwartz on $\ell^2(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$\rho(\phi_{n,x}, 0)^2 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|^2 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 |\hat{\phi}_n(k)| |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^4 |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_n(k)|^2}$$

$$\leq \delta \cdot \varepsilon \quad \text{if } n \geq N_\varepsilon \text{ since } \rho_2(\phi_n, 0) \rightarrow 0. //$$

Problem #3

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since K is continuous on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ which is a compact set, K is uniformly cont.

$\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ s. that

$$\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} < \delta \Rightarrow |K(x_1, y_1) - K(x_2, y_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

Specifically,

$$|x_1 - x_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |K(x_1, y) - K(x_2, y)| < \varepsilon.$$

1) Tf is continuous

$$\begin{aligned} |Tf(x_1) - Tf(x_2)| &= \left| \int_0^1 K(x_1, y) f(y) dy - \int_0^1 K(x_2, y) f(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |K(x_1, y) - K(x_2, y)| |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \rho_\infty(f, 0) \int_0^1 |K(x_1, y) - K(x_2, y)| dy \\ &< \rho_\infty(f, 0) \int_0^1 \varepsilon dy \quad \text{if } |x_1 - x_2| < \delta \\ &= \rho_\infty(f, 0) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_1 - x_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |Tf(x_1) - Tf(x_2)| < \rho_\infty(f, 0) \varepsilon.$$

Since f is fixed, $\rho_\infty(f, 0)$ is some fixed number \Rightarrow we've proven

Tf is continuous on $[0,1]$.

Now consider the set

$$A = \{ Tf \mid \rho_\infty(f, 0) \leq 1 \}$$

claim: A is relatively compact in $C([0, 1])$

Proof: it suffices to show that A is a uniformly bounded, equicontinuous family of functions. (Arzela-Ascoli.)

1) equicontinuity.

Let f be such that $\rho_\infty(f, 0) \leq 1$

then $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$

$$\Rightarrow |Tf(x_1) - Tf(x_2)| < 1 \cdot \epsilon = \epsilon$$

by calculation before.

\Rightarrow we've found a δ that works for all $Tf \in A$ and all $x_i \in [0, 1]$.

$\Rightarrow A$ is equicont

2) uniform bound

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tf(x)| &\leq \int |k(x, y)| |f(y)| dy \\
&\leq \rho_\infty(f, 0) \int |k(x, y)| dy \\
&\leq \int |k(x, y)| dy
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow |Tf(x)| \leq \text{some fixed } M \Rightarrow \rho_\infty(Tf, 0) \leq M < \infty. //$

Problem 4:

6

take $X = [1, \infty)$ with the usual metric.

$$\text{Let } Ax = x + \frac{1}{x}$$

then Ax is a contraction since its derivative is $1 - \frac{1}{x^2} < 1$ if $x \geq 1$

and $|Ax - Ay| = |1 - \frac{1}{\zeta^2}| |x - y| < |x - y|$

where $\zeta \in (x, y)$ (mean value theorem)

But $\nexists x_\infty \in [2, \infty)$ so that

$x_\infty = Ax_\infty$ because this would imply $\frac{1}{x_\infty} = 0$.

This solution is attributed to a pair of 3rd year math students: Stephen Green and Geordie Richards.

Theorem: Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $F: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$d(F(x), F(y)) < d(x, y) \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$

Then F has a fixed point (which is unique)

proof: uniqueness. Assume $F(x) = x$ and $F(y) = y$ but $x \neq y$. Then $d(F(x), F(y)) < d(x, y)$ (since $x \neq y$). $\Rightarrow d(x, y) < d(x, y)$. Which is impossible. So ~~2~~ two fixed points.

existence: F is a continuous function (just take $\varepsilon = \delta$). $\Rightarrow G(x) := d(x, F(x))$ is a continuous function. Since X is a compact set, G achieves its minimum on X . i.e.

$$\exists x_0 \in X \text{ such that } d(x_0, F(x_0)) \leq d(y, F(y))$$

for all $y \in X$.

Suppose $G(x_0) > 0$. Then $F(x_0) \neq x_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } G(F(x_0)) &= d(F(x_0), F(F(x_0))) \\ &< d(x_0, F(x_0)) \quad (\text{by contraction}) \\ &= G(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

thus $G(F(x_0)) < G(x_0)$ contradicting that G achieves its minimum at x_0 . Therefore $G(x_0) = 0$, and hence $F(x_0) = x_0$.

//

Note: You cannot conclude from " X compact" and " $d(F(x), F(y)) < d(x, y)$ for $x \neq y$ " that $\exists \alpha < 1$ such that $d(F(x), F(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$.

Here's why. Consider $F(x) = \sin(x)$ on $X = [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$.

First of all, we know

$$|\sin(x) - \sin(y)| < |x - y| \quad \text{because}$$

$$\sin(x) - \sin(y) = \int_x^y \cos(z) dz$$

$$\rightarrow |\sin(x) - \sin(y)| \leq \int_x^y |\cos(z)| dz < \int_x^y 1 dz = |x - y|$$

(argument due to Alex Bloemendal).

Also, we know $\nexists \alpha < 1$ such that

$$|\sin(x) - \sin(y)| \leq \alpha |x - y| \quad \text{since}$$

$$\alpha = \sup_x \sup_{y \neq x} \frac{|\sin(x) - \sin(y)|}{|x - y|}$$

$$\geq \sup_x \frac{|\sin(x) - \sin(-x)|}{|x - (-x)|} = \sup_x \frac{|\sin(x)|}{|x|} = 1.$$