

Compactness

Heine-Borel Theorem: Any cover of the closed interval $[a, b]$ by a system of open intervals (or open sets) has a finite subcover.

i.e. $[a, b] = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \Rightarrow [a, b] = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ some n

defn: (X, τ) is compact if every open cover of X has a finite subcover. A compact Hausdorff space is called a compactum

Note: It's every cover. E.g.

$(0, 1]$ is not compact because the open cover $\{(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has no finite subcover

defn: A system of subsets $\{A_{\alpha}\}$ of a set X is centered if every finite intersection

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \neq \emptyset$$

Theorem - (X, \mathcal{T}) is compact if and only if every centered system of closed subsets has nonempty intersection

Proof -

(\Rightarrow) Assume not. Then \exists centered system of closed subsets w/ empty intersection.

i.e. $\bigcap_i F_i \neq \emptyset$ for each finite subcollection

but $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha = \emptyset$.

Let $G_\alpha = X - F_\alpha$. G_α is open and since $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha = \emptyset$, we know $\bigcup_\alpha G_\alpha = X$. $\Rightarrow \{G_\alpha\}$ is an open cover w/ no finite subcover $\Rightarrow X$ is not compact.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\{G_\alpha\}$ be an open cover of X . We want to find a finite subcover.

Since $\bigcup_\alpha G_\alpha = X$, if $F_\alpha = X - G_\alpha$ then $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha = \emptyset$.

But this means that $\{F_\alpha\}$ cannot be a centered system of closed subsets

$\Rightarrow \exists$ finite subcollection $\exists \bigcap_i F_i = \emptyset$

\Rightarrow this subcollection also covers X .

Theorem: A closed subset of a compact topological space is itself compact

Proof 1:

Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ cover $A \subset X$. Since A is closed, $\{V_\alpha\} \cup \{X-A\}$ is an open cover of X . \Rightarrow \exists finite subcover that covers X . This subcover also covers A . $\Rightarrow A$ is compact.

I really should be careful, we talk of spaces being compact. So that I really mean above is (A, τ_A) is a compact topological space if A is closed in X and (X, τ) is a compact topological space.

It still works since $V \in \tau_A \Rightarrow V = \bigcup \alpha A$ some $U \in \tau$. So if $\{V_\alpha\}$ is a collection of τ_A open sets that covers A then $\{V_\alpha\} \cup \{X-A\}$ is a collection of τ -open sets that cover X . The finite subcollection $\{U_i\}$ then induces the finite subcollection $\{V_i\}$ of τ_A open subsets.

Theorem: let K be a compact subset of a Hausdorff space T . Then K is closed in T

Proof: Take $y \in T - K$. Given $x \in K \exists U_x$ and $V_y \ni U_x \cap V_y = \emptyset$ U_x, V_y open. (since T is Hausdorff)

$\{U_x\}$ covers $K \Rightarrow \exists$ finite subcover.

$$K \subset \bigcup_i U_{x_i}; \quad \text{let } V = \bigcap_i V_{x_i};$$

$$\Rightarrow V \cap K = \emptyset \Rightarrow y \notin [K] \Rightarrow K \text{ is closed.} //$$

Theorem: if K is a compactum then it is T_4 .

Proof: let $X, Y \subset K$ be disjoint & closed

given $y \in Y \exists$ open set containing X (\mathcal{O}_x) and an open set containing y (U_y).

(Why? we know X is a compactum bec. it's closed and K is a compactum. And we repeat the construction from the prev. theorem...)

We can cover Y w/ such U_y . But finitely many of them will cover $Y \Rightarrow Y \subset \bigcup_i U_{y_i}$; and $X \subset \bigcap_i \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ and they're disjoint by contr., //

Theorem: if X is a compact space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping onto topological space Y then $f(X) = Y$ is compact.

proof: Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ cover Y then $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is an open cover of X . \Rightarrow only need finitely many to cover $X \Rightarrow$ only need finitely many of $\{U_\alpha\}$ to cover Y .

Theorem: If T is a compact space then any infinite subset of T has at least one limit point

proof: If \exists infinite set $X \subset T$ w/ no limit point then \exists countable subset of X w/ no limit point. (Why? If $\{x_n\}$ has limit point $t \in X$ then every neighborhood of t has infinitely many elts of the sequence. But then t is a limit point of X too!).

- $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$
- $\{x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots\}$
- \vdots
- $\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\}$

form a centered system of closed subsets of T w/ empty intersection. \times

An alternate proof of the theorem is as follows,

If no $t \in T$ is a limit point of X , then for each $t \in T$ \exists open set U_t such that U_t contains t and contains only one point of X .

Then $\{U_t\}$ covers T by construction. And \nexists finite subcover because a finite subcover would miss members of X (because X is finite.)

Theorem: if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ has one of the following three properties then it has the other two:

(a) E is closed and bounded

(b) E is compact

(c) Every infinite subset of E has a limit point in E

(here, we assume the metric topology on \mathbb{R}^k with $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for example.)

Is there an analogous theorem for topological spaces?

Theorem: If (X, τ) is a topological space, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) X is compact
- (b) every net in X has a cluster point
- (c) every net in X has a convergent subnet.

This is the topological vector space version of the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem

(X, τ) is countably compact if every countable open cover of X has a finite subcover

(X, τ) is sequentially compact if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence.

Compact \Rightarrow countably compact

In metric spaces, compact \Leftrightarrow sequentially compact

and

sequentially compact \Rightarrow countably compact