

Theorem:

Let E, E_1 be Banach spaces and $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(E, E_1)$ a sequence of maps that is

- 1) uniformly bounded,

$$\|A_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, E_1)} \leq c < \infty \quad \forall n$$

2) pointwise convergent on a dense set in E

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n x \text{ exists } \forall x \in \text{dense set}$$

then $\exists A \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_1)$ so that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the $\mathcal{L}(E, E_1)$ norm (i.e. $A_n \rightarrow A$)

Proof: Reran the proof of the first theorem from class on Dec 2, 2002. Mimic that proof's steps 1-5.

recall for continuous linear real-valued functionals, we had the following theorem:

assume $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete (a Banach space) and $\{\phi_n\} \subseteq E^*$ has $\phi_n \xrightarrow{*} \phi$. Then $\{\phi_n\}$ is strongly bounded: $\exists c < \infty$ such that $\|\phi_n\|_{E^*} \leq c \quad \forall n$.

i.e. weak* convergence \Rightarrow strongly bounded.
(or uniformly bounded).

Q: Does this generalize to bounded linear operators $E \rightarrow E_1$? Yes!

Defn: $\{A_n\} \subseteq L(E, E_1)$ is weak convergent if for each $x \in E$, $A_n x \xrightarrow{w} y \in E_1$ for some $y \in E_1$.
(i.e. $\{A_n x\}$ is w convergent in E_1 for each $x \in E$.)

Note: if $\{A_n\}$ is weak convergent then you can check that $Ax :=$ weak limit of $A_n x$ defines $A \in L(E, E_1)$.

Note: you can check that if $E_1 = \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}) then $\{A_n\} \subset E^*$. Further, you can check that $\{A_n\}$ weak convergent in $L(E, E_1)$ is the same as A_n -is weak* convergent in E^*

Theorem: Let E and E_1 be Banach spaces,
 $\{A_n\} \subseteq L(E, E_1)$ and for each $x \in E$ and each $\phi \in E_1^*$ $\exists c_{x, \phi} < \infty$ so that

$$|\phi(A_n x)| \leq c_{x, \phi} \quad \forall n$$

then $\{A_n\}$ is uniformly bounded. i.e. $\exists C < \infty$ so that

$$\|A_n\|_{L(E, E_1)} \leq C \quad \forall n.$$

corr: If $\{A_n\}$ is weak convergent then $\{A_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof of uniform boundedness theorem:

First, recall the uniform boundedness theorem for linear functionals:

If $\{y_n\} \subseteq E_1$ is a sequence such that

for all $\phi \in (E_1)^*$ $|\phi(y_n)| \leq C_\phi < \infty \quad \forall n$

then $\exists c > 0$ such that $\|y_n\|_{E_1} \leq c < \infty \quad \forall n$. (i.e.

if $\{y_n\}$ is weak* bounded then $\{y_n\}$ is strongly bounded.)

Fix $x \in E$, then $A_n x$ is a sequence in E_1 . And by assumption, given $\phi \in (E_1)^*$ $\exists c_x, \phi$

$$\exists | \phi(A_n x) | \leq c_x, \phi \quad \forall n. \Rightarrow \exists c_x < \infty \text{ so}$$

that $\|A_n x\|_{E_1} \leq c_x \quad \forall n$.

Recall the principle of uniform boundedness for metric spaces:

If a collection $\{f_n\}$ of continuous real-valued functions on a complete metric space is bounded at each point:

$$|f_n(x)| \leq M_x < \infty \quad \forall n$$

then the functions are uniformly bounded on some nonempty open set J :

$$|f_n(x)| \leq M < \infty \quad \forall n, \forall x \in J$$

We're in this situation!

$$f_n : X \rightarrow \|A_n x\|_{E_1}$$

$\{f_n\}$ is a family of continuous real-valued functions that is bounded at every point x of a complete metric space.

Moreover, f_n is linear and convex, something we'll use momentarily. By the principle of uniform boundedness that I just quoted, \exists an open ball in E such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq M < \infty \quad \forall x \in \text{open ball}.$$

i.e. $\exists z \in E$ and $r > 0$ so that $\|x-z\|_{E_1} < r$

$$\Rightarrow |f_n(x)| = \|A_n x\|_{E_1} \leq M.$$

Specifically, let $y \in E$ with $\|y\|_{E_1} = r/2$. Then
 $y = x - z$ for some x in ball of radius r around z
therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(y)| &= |f_n(x-z)| = \|A_n(x-z)\|_{E_1} \\ &= \|A_n x - A_n z\|_{E_1} \\ &\leq \|A_n x\|_{E_1} + \|A_n z\|_{E_1} \\ &= |f_n(x)| + |f_n(z)| \leq 2M \end{aligned}$$

Since x, z are both in ball of radius r around z

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in E ,

then $y = \frac{r}{2} \frac{x_0}{\|x_0\|_E}$ satisfies $\|y\|_{E_1} = r/2$

\Rightarrow By previous argument, $|f_n(y)| \leq 2M$

$$\text{hence } \|A_n y\|_{E_1} \leq 2M$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A_n \left(\frac{r}{2} \frac{x_0}{\|x_0\|_E} \right)\|_{E_1} \leq 2M$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r}{2\|x_0\|_E} \|A_n x_0\|_{E_1} \leq 2M$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A_n x_0\|_{E_1} \leq \frac{4M}{r} \|x_0\|_E \quad \forall x_0 \in E$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, E_1)} \leq \frac{4M}{r} \quad \text{as desired!}$$

The Cloud Graph Theorem

defn. Let E and E_1 be Banach spaces.

Then $A: E \rightarrow E_1$ is closed if whenever

- 1) $x_n \rightarrow x$ in E and 2) $Ax_n \rightarrow y$ in E_1

then $Ax = y$.

fact: if A is continuous then A is closed.

Theorem: Let E and E_1 be Banach spaces and $A: E \rightarrow E_1$ a linear operator.

if A is a closed mapping then A is continuous.

This is the closed graph theorem. It has some surprising applications.

Proof of theorem: Define a new vector space

$G = \{(x, Ax) \mid x \in E\}$ Addition and scalar multiplication defined in the obvious manner. We put a norm on G by

$$\|(x, Ax)\|_G := \|x\|_E + \|Ax\|_{E_1}$$

This is definitely a norm. I claim that G is complete wrt this norm: Let $\{(x_n, Ax_n)\}$ be Cauchy in G . Then given $\varepsilon > 0 \exists N_1 \ni m, n \geq N_1 \Rightarrow$

$$\|(x_m - x_n, Ax_m - Ax_n)\|_G < \varepsilon$$

$$1.1. \quad \|x_m - x_n\|_E + \|Ax_m - Ax_n\|_{E_1} < \varepsilon$$

(7)

thus implies $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in E
 and $\{Ax_n\}$ is Cauchy in E_1 . But E and E_1
 are complete $\Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow x$ and $Ax_n \rightarrow y$. Now
 since A is closed we know $Ax = y$.

this proves $(x_n, Ax_n) \rightarrow (x, Ax) \in G \Rightarrow G$ complete
 w.r.t respect to $\|\cdot\|_G$.

We now define $P: G \rightarrow E$ by $P(g) = x$.

$$\text{then } \|Pg\|_E = \|x\|_E \leq \|(x, Ax)\|_G = \|g\|_G$$

$\Rightarrow P$ is a bounded linear operator from G to E .

furthermore P maps G onto E and P is 1:1.

$\Rightarrow P^{-1}$ is bounded. (why? we'll come back
 to this.) Since P^{-1} is bounded, $P^{-1}: E \rightarrow G$,
 $\exists c < \infty$ s.t. that

$$\|P^{-1}x\|_G \leq c\|x\|_E \quad \forall x \in E,$$

but $x \in E \Rightarrow x = Pg$

$$\Rightarrow \|g\|_G \leq c\|Pg\|_E \quad \forall g \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_E + \|Ax\|_{E_1} = \|g\|_G \leq c\|x\|_E \Rightarrow \|Ax\|_{E_1} \leq (c-1)\|x\|_E$$

$\forall g \in G \Rightarrow A$ is continuous.

Note: G is the graph of A . And requiring A to be closed is the same as requiring that G be closed in $E \times E_1$.

Note: P bounded, P^{-1} bounded, P onto $\Rightarrow P^{-1}$ bounded is nonobvious. It's a corollary of the open mapping theorem, which will prove later time.

Theorem: Let E be a vector space and let $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ be two norms on E . Assume the norms are compatible: if $\|x_n - x\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|x_n - y\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ then $x = y$.

Assume $(E, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space and $(E, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Banach space. Then $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent.

Proof: Let $(E_1, \|\cdot\|) := (E, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(E_2, \|\cdot\|) := (E, \|\cdot\|_2)$. By assumption, E_1 and E_2 are Banach spaces. Since $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are compatible, $\text{Id}: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a closed mapping \Rightarrow By CGT, $\exists c \ni \mathbb{R}$ $\ni \| \text{Id} x \|_{E_2} \leq c \|x\|_{E_1}$, i.e. $\|x\|_2 \leq c \|x\|_1$. Now reverse roles of E_1 and E_2 . done!

Theorem: Let E and E_1 be Banach spaces

and $A: E \rightarrow E_1$, a bounded linear map.

Assume that $A(E)$ (the range of A) has finite dimension in E_1 . Then $A(E)$ is closed.

Proof: exercise. Hint: extend

A so that $A: E \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow E_1$

linear, bounded, and onto E_1 (choose n appr.).

Theorem: Let E be a Banach space and $Y \subseteq E$ and $Z \subseteq E$ be (closed) subspaces

so that $E = Y \oplus Z$. (i.e. every $x \in E$ can be uniquely written as $y + z$) Denote

$$P_Y : E \rightarrow Y \quad P_Y(x) = y$$

$$P_Z : E \rightarrow Z \quad P_Z(x) = z$$

Then

1) P_Y is linear and onto Y , P_Z is linear and onto Z

2) $P_Y \circ P_Y = P_Y \quad P_Z \circ P_Z = P_Z \quad P_Y \circ P_Z = 0$

3) P_Y and P_Z are continuous.

Proof: 1) and 2) are obvious. 3) follows directly from the CGT (since we assumed Y and Z closed). done! //