

HW #9.

Problem 1:a). Let $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$.

$$f_{\sigma\mu}(x) := \mu f(\sigma x) \quad g_{\sigma\mu} := \mu g(\sigma x) \quad \mu, \sigma > 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\sigma\mu} g_{\sigma\mu}\|_{L^1} &= \int |\mu f(\sigma x) \mu g(\sigma x)| dx = \mu^2 \int |f(\sigma x) g(\sigma x)| dx \\ &= \mu^2 \sigma^{-n} \int |f(y) g(y)| dy \\ &= \mu^2 \sigma^{-n} \|fg\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\sigma\mu}\|_{L^p} &= \sqrt[p]{\int |\mu f(\sigma x)|^p dx} = \mu \sqrt[p]{\int |f(\sigma x)|^p dx} \\ &= \mu \sigma^{-n/p} \|f\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|g_{\sigma\mu}\|_{L^q} = \mu \sigma^{-n/q} \|g\|_{L^q}.$$

Fix f and $g \in L^p, L^q$ respectively.

then $f_{\sigma\mu} \in L^p, g_{\sigma\mu} \in L^q \quad \forall \mu, \sigma > 0$

Can $\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}$ hold $\forall f \in L^p, g \in L^q$?

If yes, then it must hold for $f_{\sigma\mu}$ and $g_{\sigma\mu}$ if it doesn't hold for $f_{\sigma\mu}$ and $g_{\sigma\mu}$ then it certainly won't hold for all $f, g \in L^p, L^q$.

If the inequality holds for all f, g , then

$$\mu^2 \sigma^{-n} \|fg\|_{L^1} \leq C \mu^2 \sigma^{-n/p} \sigma^{-n/q} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}.$$

At this point, f and g are fixed and $C < \infty$. We see that μ^2 cancels out of the inequality.

If $\sigma^{-n} \neq \sigma^{-n/p} \sigma^{-n/q}$ then we have

$$\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq \underbrace{C \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{a fixed } \#}} \cdot \sigma^{n - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{q}}$$

\uparrow
 a fixed $\#$

If $n - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{q} < 0$ then by taking $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ the RHS will get as small as we want and eventually the inequality will fail.

If $n - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{q} > 0$ then by taking $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the RHS will get as small as we want and eventually the inequality will fail.

Conclusion: if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \neq 1$ then it's impossible to find $C < \infty$ s. that

$$\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q} \quad \forall f \in L^p \quad \forall g \in L^q.$$

b) Assume $u \in L^{p^*}$ and $\nabla u \in L^p$

then $u_{\sigma\mu}(x) = \mu u(\sigma x) \in L^{p^*}$

and $\nabla_x u_{\sigma\mu} \in L^p$.

from part a) we know $\|u_{\sigma\mu}\|_{L^{p^*}} = \mu \sigma^{-n/p^*} \|u\|_{L^{p^*}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x u_{\sigma\mu}(x) &= \nabla_x \mu u(\sigma x) \\ &= \mu \sigma \nabla_y u(\sigma x) \quad \text{where } y = \sigma x. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\nabla u_{\sigma\mu}\|_{L^p} = \mu \sigma \sigma^{-n/p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$$

Fix $u \in L^{p^*}$ with $\nabla u \in L^p$. if $\exists C < \infty$ so that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$$

for all $u \in X = \{u \in L^{p^*} \mid \nabla u \in L^p\}$ then it will certainly be true for $u_{\sigma\mu}$. And if it fails for $u_{\sigma\mu}$ then it won't be true for arbitrary $u \in X$.

$$\mu \sigma^{-n/p^*} \|u\|_{L^{p^*}} \leq C \mu \sigma \sigma^{-n/p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}} \leq C \sigma^{1 - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{p^*}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$$

by same logic as before, need $p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$

if the inequality will have any chance of holding.

c) Let $X = \{u \in C^\alpha \mid \nabla u \in L^p\}$.

fix $u \in X$. then $u_{\sigma\mu} \in X \quad \forall \sigma, \mu > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [u_{\sigma\mu}]_\alpha &= \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u_{\sigma\mu}(x) - u_{\sigma\mu}(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} \\
 &= \mu \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(\sigma x) - u(\sigma y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} \\
 &= \mu \sigma^\alpha \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(\sigma x) - u(\sigma y)|}{|\sigma x - \sigma y|^\alpha} = \mu \sigma^\alpha [u]_\alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

from b), $\|\nabla u_{\sigma\mu}\|_{L^p} = \mu \sigma \sigma^{-n/p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$.

if $[u]_\alpha \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \quad \forall u \in X$ for some $c < \infty$

then $\mu \sigma^\alpha [u]_\alpha \leq c \mu \sigma \sigma^{-n/p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$

\Rightarrow if $\alpha \neq 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ then the inequality cannot possibly hold.

d) We have

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

$\mathcal{F}: L^p \rightarrow L^q$ will be continuous if $\exists c < \infty$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^q} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \forall f \in L^p$$

for $f \in L^p$, then $f_{\mu\sigma} = \mu f(\sigma x) \in L^p \quad \forall \mu > 0, \sigma > 0$

$$\text{and } \|f_{\mu\sigma}\|_{L^p} = \mu \sigma^{-n/p} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} f_{\mu\sigma}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f_{\mu\sigma}(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx \\ &= \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f(\sigma x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f(\sigma x) e^{i\sigma x \cdot \frac{\xi}{\sigma}} dx \\ &= \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sigma^{-n} \int f(y) e^{iy \cdot \frac{\xi}{\sigma}} dy \\ &= \mu \sigma^{-n} \mathcal{F} f\left(\frac{\xi}{\sigma}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{F} f_{\mu\sigma}\|_{L^q} = \mu \sigma^{-n} \sigma^{n/q} \|\mathcal{F} f\|_{L^q}$$

So we want

$$\mu \sigma^{-n} \sigma^{n/q} \|\mathcal{F} f\|_{L^q} \leq C \mu \sigma^{-n/p} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \forall \mu, \sigma$$

i.e. $-n + \frac{n}{q} = -\frac{n}{p}$ needs to hold.

i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ needs to hold. ✓

(6)

Problem 2: Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space. We want to prove the Baire category theorem for X .

defn. X is a locally compact Hausdorff topological vector space if X is Hausdorff and if for each $x \in X$, $\exists K \in \mathcal{K}$ where K is compact and $x \in K^\circ$. (K is a "compact neighborhood" of x .)

lemma: Let X be a LCH space and $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. then $\exists K$ compact so that $x \in K^\circ$ and $K \subseteq \bigcup$.

proof: Since X is LCH, $\exists K$ compact with $x \in K^\circ$. Without loss of generality we can assume $[U]$ is compact. (If not, then replace U with $U \cap K^\circ$. It continues to be an open set containing x and $[U \cap K^\circ] \subseteq [K^\circ] = K$ is compact $\Rightarrow [U \cap K^\circ]$ is a closed subset of a compact set, hence is compact.)
 $\partial U = [U] - U$ is a compact set (because it's a closed subset of a compact set).

Since ∂U is compact and $x \notin \partial U$ and X is Hausdorff, \exists open sets V and W w/ $V \cap W = \emptyset$

and $x \in V$ and $\partial U \subseteq W$.

By replacing V with $V \cap U$, we can further assume that $V \subseteq U$.

I claim $[V] \subseteq U$. It will then follow that we have our desired set V s. that

V is open $x \in V \subseteq [V] \subseteq U$ where $[V]$ is compact.

assume $[V] \not\subseteq U$. Since $V \subseteq U$ we know $[V] \subseteq [U]$.

\therefore if $[V] \not\subseteq U$ then $\exists \{v_n\} \subseteq V$ with $v_n \rightarrow v$

where $v \notin U$. We know $v \in [U] \Rightarrow v \in \partial U$.

$\therefore v_n \rightarrow v \in \partial U$. Since W is an open set containing

∂U , $\exists N$ so that $n \geq N \Rightarrow v_n \in W$. ~~\times~~ Since $V \cap W = \emptyset$.

Therefore $v \in U$ and $[V] \subseteq U$ and done. //

Now we're ready to prove our desired theorem

Theorem: if X is LCH topological vector space and $A \subseteq X$ is residual set then A is dense in X .

Proof: A is residual $\Rightarrow A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ where $(U_n)^c$ is dense in X . To show A is dense, we want to show that if V is a nonempty open set then $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

Since $A = \bigcap_1^\infty U_n \supseteq \bigcap_1^\infty (U_n)^\circ$ it suffices to show that $V \cap \left(\bigcap_1^\infty (U_n)^\circ \right)$ is nonempty.

Because $(U_1)^\circ$ is dense, $V \cap (U_1)^\circ \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists x_1 \in V \cap (U_1)^\circ$
and \exists an open set V_1 so that $x_1 \in V_1 \subset (V \cap (U_1)^\circ)$

where $[V_1]$ is compact and $[V_1] \subseteq (V \cap (U_1)^\circ)$ (By my lemma)

Because $(U_2)^\circ$ is dense $V_1 \cap (U_2)^\circ \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists x_2 \in V_1 \cap (U_2)^\circ$
and \exists an open set V_2 so that

$$x_2 \in V_2 \subset (V_1 \cap (U_2)^\circ) \subseteq V \cap (U_1)^\circ \cap (U_2)^\circ$$

and $[V_2]$ is compact and $[V_2] \subseteq (V \cap (U_1)^\circ \cap (U_2)^\circ)$.

Proceeding inductively, we construct a nested sequence of compact sets so that

$$V_n \subseteq V \cap \left(\bigcap_1^n (U_k)^\circ \right).$$

and $[V_n]$ is compact, $[V_n] \subseteq V \cap \left(\bigcap_1^n (U_k)^\circ \right)$

I claim that $\bigcap_1^n [V_n] \neq \emptyset$ for each n

since they are all a subset of $[V_1]$ which is compact,

it then follows that $\bigcap_1^\infty [V_n] \neq \emptyset$.

i.e. $\exists x_\infty \in \bigcap_1^\infty [V_n] \subseteq V \cap \left(\bigcap_1^\infty (U_n)^\circ \right)$ and done!

But the finite intersection property is automatic by our construction:

$$V_n \subset V_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset V_3 \subset V_2 \subset V_1$$

Therefore A is dense as desired. //

Note X is not a meagre set.

Why? assume not. Assume X is meagre.

Then X^c is residual, i.e. \emptyset is residual.

$\Rightarrow \emptyset$ is dense in X . This is impossible

(unless X itself is empty). Therefore X

is not meagre.

Problem 3: Assume $A \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is onto F ,

let $B \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. Show that

$A+B$ is onto if B is "small".

By the open mapping theorem, $\exists \delta > 0$ so that

$$B_\delta(0) \subseteq AB_1(0).$$

i.e. if $\|z\| \leq \delta$ where $z \in F$ then $\exists x \in E$

with $\|x\| \leq 1$ so that $Ax = z$. By homogeneity,

i.e. $z = Ax$ for some $x \in E$ with

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{\delta}$$

Fix $z \in F$. I want to find $x \in E$
 so that $(A+B)x = z$.

Since A is onto, $\exists x_0 \in E$ so that
 $Ax = z$ and $\|x\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{\delta}$.

$$\text{i.e. } \delta \|x_0\| \leq \|z\| \Rightarrow \|Ax_0\| \leq \|A\| \|x_0\|$$

| use x_0 to define $Bx_0 \in F$.

Since A is onto, $\exists x_1$ with $Ax_1 = -Bx_0$

and

$$\delta \|x_1\| \leq \|-Bx_0\| \leq \|B\| \|x_0\|$$

$$\text{i.e. } \|x_1\| \leq \frac{\|B\|}{\delta} \|x_0\|$$

| use x_1 to define $Bx_1 \in F$ and $\exists x_2$

so that $Ax_2 = -Bx_1$ and

$$\delta \|x_2\| \leq \|-Bx_1\| \leq \|B\| \|x_1\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_2\| \leq \left(\frac{\|B\|}{\delta}\right)^2 \|x_0\|$$

| proceed inductively. $Ax_n = -Bx_{n-1}$

and

$$\|x_n\| \leq \left(\frac{\|B\|}{\delta}\right)^n \|x_0\|.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (A+B) \sum_0^n X_n &= AX_0 + \sum_1^n AX_n + \sum_0^{n-1} BX_n + BX_n \\ &= AX_0 + BX_n \end{aligned}$$

Also, if I choose $\|B\| < \delta$ (this is my "B is small" requirement)

then $\|X_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore if $\sum_0^n X_n$ converges to X_∞ then

$$(A+B)(X_\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A+B) \sum_0^n X_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AX_0 + BX_n$$

$$= AX_0 = Z \quad \text{and we've shown } A+B \text{ is onto!}$$

So it suffices to show $\sum_0^n X_n$ converges. Since E is a Banach space, it suffices to show that

$\sum_0^\infty \|X_n\|$ converges. This follows immediately

$$\text{Since } \sum_0^n \|X_n\| \leq \sum_0^n \left(\frac{\|B\|}{\delta}\right)^n \|X_0\|$$

$$\leq \|X_0\| \frac{1}{1 - \|B\|/\delta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_0^n \|X_n\| \text{ converges as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \checkmark$$

Problem 4: Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$.

Prove that $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|A^n\|}$ exists and

show that $\lambda \in \text{spectrum}(A) \Rightarrow |\lambda| \leq R$.

Proof: see the lecture notes of Feb 12 for the spectral radius theorem.

Problem 5: Let $E = C([0, 1])$ with L^∞ metric.

define $V : E \rightarrow E$ by $Vx(t) := \int_0^t x(s) ds$.

prove $V \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ and $\text{spectrum}\{V\} = \{0\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1) \quad V(x+y)(t) &= \int_0^t (x+y)(s) ds = \int_0^t x(s) + y(s) ds \\
 &= \int_0^t x(s) ds + \int_0^t y(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$= Vx(t) + Vy(t)$ so V is linear.

$$\|Vx\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |Vx(t)| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^t x(s) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t |x(s)| ds \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \|x\| \int_0^t ds$$

$$= \|x\| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} t = \|x\|. \quad \Rightarrow V \text{ is bounded linear operator.}$$

2) Use the spectral radius theorem to control the spectrum of V .

$$\begin{aligned} V^2 x(t) &= V(Vx)(t) \\ &= \int_0^t Vx(s) ds = \int_0^t \int_0^s x(\tau) d\tau ds \\ &= \int_0^t (t-s)x(s) ds \quad (\text{integration by parts.}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V^3 x(t) &= \int_0^t 1 \cdot \int_0^s (s-s')x(s') ds' ds \\ &= \int_0^t (t-s) \int_0^s x(s') ds' ds \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^2}{2} x(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

In general,
$$V^n x(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} x(s) ds$$

assume true for n , prove for $(n+1)$

$$\begin{aligned} V^{n+1} x(t) &= \int_0^t V^n x[s] ds = \int_0^t 1 \cdot \int_0^s \frac{(s-s')^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} x(s') ds' ds \\ &= \int_0^t (t-s) \int_0^s \frac{(s-s')^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} x(s') ds' ds \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^s x(s') ds' ds = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^n}{n!} x(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\|V^n X\| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} x(s) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \|X\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|V^n\| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|V^n\|^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{((n-1)!)^{1/n}}$$

Stirling's approximation: $n! \sim \frac{n^n \sqrt{n}}{e^n}$

$$\left[(n-1)! \right]^{1/n} = \left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot n! \right]^{1/n} \sim \frac{n}{e} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{1/n}$$

$$\frac{n}{e} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{1/n} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V^n\|^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{\frac{n}{e} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{1/n}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{spectrum}(V) = \{0\} //$$