

$$(L, \tau) \xrightarrow{\quad} (L^*, b) \xrightarrow{\quad} (L^{**}, b^*)$$

We can view  $(E, \tau)$  to induce weak\* topology  $\tau_{w^*}$  on  $L^*$

thm:  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0$  in  $L^*$   $\Leftrightarrow f_n(x) \rightarrow f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in L$

We can view  $L^{**}$  to induce weak topology  $\tau_w$  on  $L^*$

thm:  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f_0$  in  $L^*$   $\Leftrightarrow \Psi(f_n) \rightarrow \Psi(f_0) \quad \forall \Psi \in L^{**}$

recall, if  $(L, \tau)$  is reflexive then  $\tau_w = \tau_{w^*}$ .

Otherwise  $\tau_{w^*} \subseteq \tau_w \subseteq b$ .

Last time, discussed weak\* boundedness and weak boundedness. Today, we'll consider compactness and relative compactness.

thm: Assume  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is separable, consider  $(L^*, \|\cdot\|)$ . If  $\{f_n\}$  is (strongly) bounded then  $\exists$  subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  and  $f_0 \in L^*$  such that  $f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0$ .

proof: Since  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is separable,  $\exists$  countable dense set  $\{x_n\} \subset L$ . know  $\exists C < \infty \ni \|f_n\| \leq C$   
 $\Rightarrow |f_n(x_i)| \leq C \quad \forall n \Rightarrow \{f_n(x_i)\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow$  has convergent subsequence. i.e.  $\{f_n^{(1)}\} \subseteq \{f_n\}$  and  $f_n^{(1)}(x_i) \rightarrow f(x_i)$ .

(Note: this is how we define  $f_0(x_1)$ !)

Now consider  $\{f_n^{(1)}(x_2)\}$  This is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  since  $\{f_n(x_2)\}$  is bounded.  $\Rightarrow$  we have a subsequence of  $\{f_n^{(1)}\}$  that has

$$f_n^{(2)}(x_2) \rightarrow f_0(x_2) \quad (\text{this is how we define } f_0(x_2)!)$$

Continuing in this way, we find  $\{f_n^{(k)}\} \subseteq \{f_n\}$  such that  $f_n^{(k)}(x_i) \rightarrow f_0(x_i)$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$

We now choose our subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  by  $f_n^{(k)}$ .

(diagonalization!) By construction,

$$f_n^{(k)}(x_k) \rightarrow f_0(x_k) \quad \forall k.$$

Now, we've constructed  $f_0$  on  $\{x_k\}$  & dense in  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$

we want to extend  $f_0$  to all of  $L$ , check that  $f_0 \in L^*$ , and check that  $f_n^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in L$ .

This would prove  $f_n \xrightarrow{w*} f_0 \in L^*$ .

First, I'll abuse notation and rename  $\{f_n^{(k)}\}$  by  $\{f_n\}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  I've got  $f_n(x_k) \rightarrow f_0(x_k) \quad \forall x_k \in \{x_k\} =: \Delta$ .

- step 1: extend  $f_0$  to be linear on  $\text{span}\{\Delta\}$
- step 2: check that  $f_0$  is a bounded linear fcnl on  $\text{span}\{\Delta\}$
- step 3: extend  $f_0$  to all of  $L$
- step 4: check that  $f_0$  is a bounded linear fcnl on  $L$
- step 5: check that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in L$

step 1: extend  $f_0$  to be linear on  $\text{span}\{\Delta\}$ .

Let  $y \in \text{span}\{\Delta\}$ .  $\Rightarrow y = \sum_1^m \alpha_k x_k$  some  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
some  $x_k \in \{x_n\}$ .

$$f_0(y) := \sum_1^m \alpha_k f_0(x_k)$$

This is linear by construction. Furthermore,

$$f_0(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(y)$$

$$\text{since } f_n(y) = \sum_1^m \alpha_k f_n(x_k)$$

$$\rightarrow |f_0(y) - f_n(y)| = \left| \sum_1^m \alpha_k (f_0(x_k) - f_n(x_k)) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_1^m |\alpha_k| |f_0(x_k) - f_n(x_k)|$$

$$\leq \sum_1^m |\alpha_k| \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq N_\varepsilon \quad (\text{and that we had a finite sum here!})$$

step 2: check that  $|f_0(y)| \leq C \|y\| \quad \forall y \in \text{span}\{\Delta\}$

$$|f_0(y)| \leq |f_0(y) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(y)|$$

$$\leq |f_0(y) - f_n(y)| + C \|y\| \quad \text{since } |f_n(y)| \leq \|f_n\| \|y\| \leq C \|y\|.$$

$$\text{for } \varepsilon > 0. \text{ Choose } N_\varepsilon \exists n \geq N_\varepsilon \Rightarrow |f_0(y) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |f_0(y)| \leq \varepsilon + C \|y\| \quad \text{since } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ was arbitrary,}$$

$$|f_0(y)| \leq C \|y\| \quad \forall y \in \text{span}\{\Delta\}.$$

step 3: extend  $f_0$  to all of  $L$ . Fix  $x \in L$

We know  $\{x_n\}$  dense in  $L$  and  $L$  has a norm,

$\Rightarrow$  construct a sequence of the  $\{x_n\}$  so that

$$x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$$

Since  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$ ,  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  is Cauchy  $\Rightarrow \{f_0(x_{n_k})\}$

is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$ . why?

$$|f_0(x_{n_k}) - f_0(x_{n_l})| \leq C \|x_{n_k} - x_{n_l}\| \quad \text{since } f_0 \text{ bounded l.f.m.f.d. on } \text{span}\{\Delta\}$$

$$< C \cdot \varepsilon$$

$$\text{if } k, l \geq N_\varepsilon.$$

$\Rightarrow f_0(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . call  $f_0(x) = \alpha$ .

$f_0$  is linear on  $L$  since  $f_0$  is linear on  $\text{span}\{\Delta\}$ .

step 4: Check that  $f_0$  is bounded linear f.m.f.d. on  $L$ .

using the same  $x_{n_k}$  from above,

$$|f_0(x)| \leq |f_0(x) - f_0(x_{n_k})| + |f_0(x_{n_k})|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + |f_0(x_{n_k})|$$

$$\text{if } k \geq N_\varepsilon$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + C \|x_{n_k}\| \quad \text{since } f_0 \text{ bounded on } \text{span}\{\Delta\}$$

$$\text{true } \forall \varepsilon \Rightarrow |f_0(x)| \leq C \|x_{n_k}\|$$

$$\text{and } x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \|x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow \|x\| \Rightarrow |f_0(x)| \leq C \|x\|$$

(5)

Now that we've shown  $f_0 \in L^*$  we just want  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0$

Step 5:

$$f_n(x) \rightarrow f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in L.$$

fix  $x \in L$ .

$$|f_n(x) - f_0(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_n(x_n)| + |f_n(x_n) - f_0(x_n)| + |f_0(x_n) - f_0(x)|$$

$$\leq C \|x - x_n\| + |f_n(x_n) - f_0(x_n)| + C \|x - x_n\|$$

$$\text{Since } \{f_n\}, f_0 \in L^* \text{ w/ norm } \leq C$$

$$\leq 2C \|x - x_n\| + \varepsilon/3$$

$$\text{if } n \geq N_\varepsilon \text{ since } f_n(x_n) \rightarrow f_0(x_n)$$

Note  $x_n \in \{x_n\}$  was arbitrary up to now. So I

can choose  $x_n$  so that  $2C \|x - x_n\| < 2\varepsilon/3$

since  $\{x_n\}$  dense in  $L$ .

$$\rightarrow |f_n(x) - f_0(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if } n \geq N_\varepsilon \text{ and } x \in L$$

$$\text{this proves } f_n(x) \rightarrow f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in L$$

$$\text{i.e. } f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0.$$

Corr!: Assume  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is separable. Let  $M \subseteq L^*$

be strongly bounded. Then  $M$  is relatively countably compact in  $T_{w^*}$

(i.e.  $\exists \{f_n\} \subseteq M$  such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0 \in [M] \subseteq L^*$ .)

Corr: Let  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  be separable and complete then  $M \subseteq L^*$  is strongly bounded if and only if  $M$  is relatively countably compact in  $\tau_{w^*}$

Note: We will be able to upgrade both of these corollaries from "countably compact" to "compact". How? By introducing a metric and using the fact that c. compact is the same as compact when there's a metric handy.

Theorem: Let  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  be separable. Let

$$S = \{x \in L \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

$$S^* = \{f \in L^* \mid \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

$\tau_{w^*}$  induces a topology on  $S^*$  by

$$W \subseteq S^* \text{ open} \Leftrightarrow W = S^* \cap \bigcup \text{ some } U \in \tau_{w^*}$$

Then this induced topology on  $S^*$  is the same as the metric topology

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_1^\infty 2^{-n} |f(x_n) - g(x_n)|$$

where  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq S$  is dense in  $S$ .

Note: We're not metrizing  $(L^{\infty}, \tau_{w^*})$ .

We're metrizing  $(S^{\infty}, S^{\infty} \cap \tau_{w^*})$ .

proof:

1) is  $\rho$  a metric?

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_1^{\infty} 2^{-n} |f(x_n) - g(x_n)| = \sum_1^{\infty} 2^{-n} |g(x_n) - f(x_n)| = \rho(g, f).$$

$$\rho(f, g) \leq \rho(f, h) + \rho(h, g)$$

$$\text{follows from } |f(x_n) - g(x_n)| \leq |f(x_n) - h(x_n)| + |h(x_n) - g(x_n)|$$

Q: if  $\rho(f, g)$  finite?

$$\sum_1^m 2^{-n} |f(x_n) - g(x_n)|$$

$$= \sum_1^m 2^{-n} |(f-g)(x_n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_1^m 2^{-n} \|f-g\| \|x_n\|$$

$$\leq \|f-g\| \sum_1^m 2^{-n} \quad \text{since } \|x_n\| \leq 1$$

$$\leq \|f-g\| \cdot 1$$

$\Rightarrow$  the partial sums are bounded above by  $\|f-g\| < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_1^{\infty} 2^{-n} |f(x_n) - g(x_n)| < \infty.$$

Non-degeneracy?

$$\rho(f, g) = \rho(f - g, \vec{0})$$

Want  $\rho(f, \vec{0}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = \vec{0}$ . If  $f = \vec{0}$  then  $\rho(f, \vec{0}) = 0$  is clear.

assume  $\rho(f, \vec{0}) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_1^{\infty} 2^{-n} |f(x_n)| = 0$

$\Rightarrow f(x_n) = 0$  on a dense subset of  $S$ .

since  $x_n$  dense in  $S$ , this implies  $f(x) = 0 \forall x \in S$

$\Rightarrow f \equiv 0$  on all of  $L$  by  $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$

$$\Rightarrow f = \vec{0}.$$

$\therefore \rho$  is a metric. We want to prove that

$$(\mathcal{S}^*, \rho) = (\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{S}^* \cap \mathcal{T}_{L^*})$$

Since  $\rho(f-h, g-h) = \rho(f, g)$  rather than looking at the base  $\{\mathcal{B}(f, \varepsilon)\}$  where  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , it suffices to look at the local base at  $\vec{0}$ .

1) show that given  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon = \{f \in \mathcal{S}^* \mid \rho(f, \vec{0}) < \varepsilon\}$$

$\exists U \in \mathcal{T}_{L^*}$  so that  $\mathcal{S}^* \cap U \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon$

this will prove  $\mathcal{S}^* \cap \mathcal{T}_{L^*} \subseteq$  metric topology.

Since  $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon$  is a local base for the metric top. at  $\vec{0}$ .



2) show that if  $\vec{0} \in U \in \tau_{w*}$  then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$   
so that

$$Q_\varepsilon = \{f \in S^* \mid \rho(f, \vec{0}) < \varepsilon\} \subseteq S^* \cap U.$$

this will prove metric topology  $\subseteq S^* \cap \tau_{w*}$

Step 1: Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  Choose  $N$  s. that  $2^{-N} < \varepsilon/2$

$$\text{Let } U_{x_1, \dots, x_N; \varepsilon/2} = \{f \in L^* \mid |f(x_1)| < \varepsilon/2 \dots |f(x_N)| < \varepsilon/2\}$$

then  $U_{x_1, \dots, x_N; \varepsilon/2} \in \tau_{w*}$

and if  $f \in S^* \cap U_{x_1, \dots, x_N; \varepsilon/2}$  then

$$\rho(f, \vec{0}) = \sum_1^N 2^{-n} |f(x_n)| + \sum_{N+1}^\infty |f(x_n)| 2^{-n}$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_1^N 2^{-n} + \sum_{N+1}^\infty 2^{-n} \|f\| \|x_n\|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_1^N 2^{-n} + \sum_{N+1}^\infty 2^{-n} \quad \text{since } \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|x_n\| \leq 1$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{1 - (1/2)^N}{1 - 1/2} + 2^{-N-1}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^N}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-N} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

$\Rightarrow f \in Q_\varepsilon \checkmark$

$\Rightarrow$  found  $U \in \tau_{w*} \exists S^* \cap U \subseteq Q_\varepsilon.$

Step 2: Let  $U \in \tau_{w^*}$  be a nbhd of  $\vec{0}$ . Then

$\exists y_1, \dots, y_m$  and  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$U_{y_1, \dots, y_m; \delta} = \{ f \in L^* \mid |f(y_1)| < \delta \dots |f(y_m)| < \delta \}$$

and  $U_{y_1, \dots, y_m; \delta} \subseteq U$ .

Now, we can assume  $\|y_1\| < 1, \|y_2\| < 1 \dots \|y_m\| < 1$ . WLOG.

Why? if  $z_1 = \frac{y_1}{2\|y_1\|}$  ...  $z_m = \frac{y_m}{2\|y_m\|}$  then  $\|z_i\| < 1$

$$\text{and if } \tilde{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{2 \max\{\|y_1\|, \dots, \|y_m\|\}}$$

then  $U_{z_1, \dots, z_m; \tilde{\delta}} \subseteq U_{y_1, \dots, y_m; \delta}$ . So assume  $\|y_n\| < 1 \dots m$ .

Since  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  is dense in  $S$ , we know  $\exists x_{n_k}$  s.t.

$$\text{that } \|y_k - x_{n_k}\| < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad k = 1 \dots m$$

$$\text{let } N = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \quad \Sigma = \frac{\delta}{2^{N+1}}$$

if  $f \in Q_\Sigma = \{ f \in S^* \mid \rho(f, \vec{0}) < \Sigma \}$  then

$$\sum_1^m 2^{-n} |f(x_n)| < \Sigma$$

specifically  $2^{-n} |f(x_n)| < \Sigma$  and  $n \Rightarrow |f(x_n)| < \Sigma 2^n$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x_{n_k})| < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{n_k} \leq \varepsilon 2^N = \frac{\delta}{2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  if  $f \in Q_\varepsilon$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y_n)| &\leq |f(x_n)| + |f(x_n - y_n)| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2} + \|f\| \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{since } \|f\| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f \in \bigcup_{y_1, \dots, y_m} \Gamma$  and we're done //

Corr: if  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is separable and  $M \subset L^*$  is strongly bounded then  $M$  is relatively compact.

Proof:  $M$  strongly bounded  $\Rightarrow \|x\| \leq C < \infty \forall x \in M$ .

$\Rightarrow \frac{M}{C} \subseteq S^*$ . From before  $\frac{M}{C}$  is relatively

countably compact. i.e.  $\left[\frac{M}{C}\right]_{\mathcal{T}_{w*}}$  is

countably compact. but  $\left[\frac{M}{C}\right]_{\mathcal{T}_{w*}} = \left[\frac{M}{C}\right]_\rho$  since

$(S^*, S^* \cap \mathcal{T}_{w*})$  is metrizable and

$\left[\frac{M}{C}\right]_\rho$  is compact.  $\Rightarrow \frac{M}{C}$  is relatively compact

$\Rightarrow M$  is relatively compact. //

corr: Let  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  be separable and complete. Then  $M \subset L^*$  is strongly bounded if and only if  $M$  is relatively compact in  $L^*$ .