

### 3.6 Partitions of unity and Whitney embedding

Partitions of unity allow us to *go from local to global*, i.e. to build a global object on a manifold by building it on each open set of a cover, smoothly tapering each local piece so it is compactly supported in each open set, and then taking a sum over open sets. This is a very flexible operation which uses the properties of smooth functions—it will not work for complex manifolds, for example. Our main example of such a passage from local to global is to build a global map from a manifold to  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which is an embedding, a result first proved by Whitney.

**Definition 3.44.** A collection of subsets  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of the topological space  $M$  is called *locally finite* when each point  $x \in M$  has a neighbourhood  $V$  intersecting only finitely many of the  $U_\alpha$ .

**Definition 3.45.** A covering  $\{V_\alpha\}$  is a *refinement* of the covering  $\{U_\beta\}$  when each  $V_\alpha$  is contained in some  $U_\beta$ .

**Lemma 3.46.** *Any open covering  $\{A_\alpha\}$  of a topological manifold has a countable, locally finite refinement  $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$  by coordinate charts such that  $\varphi_i(U_i) = B(0, 3)$  and  $\{V_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(B(0, 1))\}$  is still a covering of  $M$ . We will call such a cover a regular covering. In particular, any topological manifold is paracompact (i.e. every open cover has a locally finite refinement)*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is compact, the proof is easy: choosing coordinates around any point  $x \in M$ , we can translate and rescale to find a covering of  $M$  by a refinement of the type desired, and choose a finite subcover, which is obviously locally finite.

For a general manifold, we note that by second countability of  $M$ , there is a countable basis of coordinate neighbourhoods and each of these charts is a countable union of open sets  $P_i$  with  $\overline{P_i}$  compact. Hence  $M$  has a countable basis  $\{P_i\}$  such that  $\overline{P_i}$  is compact.

Using these, we may define an increasing sequence of compact sets which exhausts  $M$ : let  $K_1 = \overline{P_1}$ , and

$$K_{i+1} = \overline{P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_r},$$

where  $r > 1$  is the first integer with  $K_i \subset P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_r$ .

Now note that  $M$  is the union of ring-shaped sets  $K_i \setminus K_{i-1}^\circ$ , each of which is compact. If  $p \in A_\alpha$ , then  $p \in K_{i+1} \setminus K_i^\circ$  for some  $i$ . Now choose a coordinate neighbourhood  $(U_{p,\alpha}, \varphi_{p,\alpha})$  with  $U_{p,\alpha} \subset K_{i+2} \setminus K_{i-1}^\circ$  and  $\varphi_{p,\alpha}(U_{p,\alpha}) = B(0, 3)$  and define  $V_{p,\alpha} = \varphi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}(B(0, 1))$ .

Letting  $p, \alpha$  vary, these neighbourhoods cover the compact set  $K_{i+1} \setminus K_i^\circ$  without leaving the band  $K_{i+2} \setminus K_{i-1}^\circ$ . Choose a finite subcover  $V_{i,k}$  for each  $i$ . Then  $(U_{i,k}, \varphi_{i,k})$  is the desired locally finite refinement.  $\square$

**Definition 3.47.** A smooth partition of unity is a collection of smooth non-negative functions  $\{f_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  such that

- i)  $\{\text{supp } f_\alpha = \overline{f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})}\}$  is locally finite,

ii)  $\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in M$ , hence the name.

A partition of unity is *subordinate* to an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  when  $\forall \alpha$ ,  $\text{supp} f_{\alpha} \subset U_i$  for some  $i$ .

**Theorem 3.48.** *Given a regular covering  $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$  of a manifold, there exists a partition of unity  $\{f_i\}$  subordinate to it with  $f_i > 0$  on  $V_i$  and  $\text{supp} f_i \subset \varphi_i^{-1}(\overline{B(0, 2)})$ .*

*Proof.* A *bump function* is a smooth non-negative real-valued function  $\tilde{g}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\tilde{g}(x) = 1$  for  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and  $\tilde{g}(x) = 0$  for  $\|x\| \geq 2$ . For instance, take

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \frac{h(2 - \|x\|)}{h(2 - \|x\|) + h(\|x\| + 1)},$$

for  $h(t)$  given by  $e^{-1/t}$  for  $t > 0$  and 0 for  $t < 0$ .

Having this bump function, we can produce non-negative bump functions on the manifold  $g_i = \tilde{g} \circ \varphi_i$  which have support  $\text{supp} g_i \subset \varphi_i^{-1}(\overline{B(0, 2)})$  and take the value +1 on  $V_i$ . Finally we define our partition of unity via

$$f_i = \frac{g_i}{\sum_j g_j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

□

We now investigate the embedding of arbitrary smooth manifolds as regular submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . We shall first show by a straightforward argument that any smooth manifold may be embedded in some  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some sufficiently large  $N$ . We will then explain how to cut down on  $N$  and approach the optimal  $N = 2 \dim M$  which Whitney showed (we shall reach  $2 \dim M + 1$  and possibly at the end of the course, show  $N = 2 \dim M$ .)

**Theorem 3.49** (Compact Whitney embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ). *Any compact manifold may be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for sufficiently large  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{(U_i \supset V_i, \varphi_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  be a *finite* regular covering, which exists by compactness. Choose a partition of unity  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  as in Theorem 3.48 and define the following “zoom-in” maps  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\dim M}$ :

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x)\varphi_i(x) & x \in U_i, \\ 0 & x \notin U_i. \end{cases}$$

Then define a map  $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k(\dim M + 1)}$  which zooms simultaneously into all neighbourhoods, with extra information to guarantee injectivity:

$$\Phi(x) = (\tilde{\varphi}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_k(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)).$$

Note that  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(x')$  implies that for some  $i$ ,  $f_i(x) = f_i(x') \neq 0$  and hence  $x, x' \in U_i$ . This then implies that  $\varphi_i(x) = \varphi_i(x')$ , implying  $x = x'$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is injective.

We now check that  $D\Phi$  is injective, which will show that it is an injective immersion. At any point  $x$  the differential sends  $v \in T_x M$  to the following vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{\dim M} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{\dim M} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}$ .

$$(Df_1(v)\varphi_1(x)+f_1(x)D\varphi_1(v), \dots, Df_k(v)\varphi_k(x)+f_k(x)D\varphi_1(v), Df_1(v), \dots, Df_k(v))$$

But this vector cannot be zero. Hence we see that  $\Phi$  is an immersion.

But an injective immersion from a compact space must be an embedding: view  $\Phi$  as a bijection onto its image. We must show that  $\Phi^{-1}$  is continuous, i.e. that  $\Phi$  takes closed sets to closed sets. If  $K \subset M$  is closed, it is also compact and hence  $\Phi(K)$  must be compact, hence closed (since the target is Hausdorff).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.50** (Compact Whitney embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ ). *Any compact  $n$ -manifold may be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Begin with an embedding  $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and assume  $N > 2n + 1$ . We then show that by projecting onto a hyperplane it is possible to obtain an embedding to  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ .

A vector  $v \in S^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  defines a hyperplane (the orthogonal complement) and let  $P_v : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  be the orthogonal projection to this hyperplane. We show that the set of  $v$  for which  $\Phi_v = P_v \circ \Phi$  fails to be an embedding is a set of measure zero, hence that it is possible to choose  $v$  for which  $\Phi_v$  is an embedding.

$\Phi_v$  fails to be an embedding exactly when  $\Phi_v$  is not injective or  $D\Phi_v$  is not injective at some point. Let us consider the two failures separately:

If  $v$  is in the image of the map  $\beta_1 : (M \times M) \setminus \Delta_M \rightarrow S^{N-1}$  given by

$$\beta_1(p_1, p_2) = \frac{\Phi(p_2) - \Phi(p_1)}{\|\Phi(p_2) - \Phi(p_1)\|},$$

then  $\Phi_v$  will fail to be injective. Note however that  $\beta_1$  maps a  $2n$ -dimensional manifold to a  $N - 1$ -manifold, and if  $N > 2n + 1$  then baby Sard's theorem implies the image has measure zero.

The immersion condition is a local one, which we may analyze in a chart  $(U, \varphi)$ .  $\Phi_v$  will fail to be an immersion in  $U$  precisely when  $v$  coincides with a vector in the normalized image of  $D(\Phi \circ \varphi^{-1})$  where

$$\Phi \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence we have a map (letting  $N(w) = \|w\|$ )

$$\frac{D(\Phi \circ \varphi^{-1})}{N \circ D(\Phi \circ \varphi^{-1})} : U \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{N-1}.$$

The image has measure zero as long as  $2n - 1 < N - 1$ , which is certainly true since  $2n < N - 1$ . Taking union over countably many charts, we see that immersion fails on a set of measure zero in  $S^{N-1}$ .

Hence we see that  $\Phi_v$  fails to be an embedding for a set of  $v \in S^{N-1}$  of measure zero. Hence we may reduce  $N$  all the way to  $N = 2n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.51.** *We see from the proof that if we do not require injectivity but only that the manifold be immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then we can take  $N = 2n$  instead of  $2n + 1$ .*

**Theorem 3.52** (noncompact Whitney embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ ). *Any smooth  $n$ -manifold may be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  (or immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ).*

*Proof.* We saw that any manifold may be written as a countable union of increasing compact sets  $M = \cup K_i$ , and that a regular covering  $\{(U_{i,k} \supset V_{i,k}, \varphi_{i,k})\}$  of  $M$  can be chosen so that for fixed  $i$ ,  $\{V_{i,k}\}_k$  is a finite cover of  $K_{i+1} \setminus K_i^\circ$  and each  $U_{i,k}$  is contained in  $K_{i+2} \setminus K_{i-1}^\circ$ .

This means that we can express  $M$  as the union of 3 open sets  $W_0, W_1, W_2$ , where

$$W_j = \bigcup_{i \equiv j \pmod{3}} (\cup_k U_{i,k}).$$

Each of the sets  $R_i = \cup_k U_{i,k}$  may be injectively immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  by the argument for compact manifolds, since they have a finite regular cover. Call these injective immersions  $\Phi_i : R_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . The image  $\Phi_i(R_i)$  is bounded since all the charts are, by some radius  $r_i$ . The open sets  $R_i$ ,  $i \equiv j \pmod{3}$  for fixed  $j$  are disjoint, and by translating each  $\Phi_i$ ,  $i \equiv j \pmod{3}$  by an appropriate constant, we can ensure that their images in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  are disjoint as well.

Let  $\Phi'_i = \Phi_i + (2(r_{i-1} + r_{i-2} + \dots) + r_i) \vec{e}_1$ . Then  $\Psi_j = \cup_{i \equiv j \pmod{3}} \Phi'_i : W_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  is an embedding.

Now that we have injective immersions  $\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \Psi_2$  of  $W_0, W_1, W_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , we may use the original argument for compact manifolds: Take the partition of unity subordinate to  $U_{i,k}$  and resum it, obtaining a 3-element partition of unity  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ , with  $f_j = \sum_{i \equiv j \pmod{3}} \sum_k f_{i,k}$ . Then the map

$$\Psi = (f_1 \Psi_1, f_2 \Psi_2, f_3 \Psi_3, f_1, f_2, f_3)$$

is an injective immersion of  $M$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{6n+3}$ . To see that it is in fact an embedding, note that any closed set  $C \subset M$  may be written as a union of closed sets  $C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ , where  $C_j = \cup_{i \equiv j \pmod{3}} (C \cap K_{i+1} \setminus K_i^\circ)$  is a disjoint union of compact sets.  $\Psi$  is injective, hence  $C_j$  is mapped to a disjoint union of compact sets, hence a closed set. Then  $\Psi(C)$  is a union of 3 closed sets, hence closed, as required.

Using projection to hyperplanes we may again reduce to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , but if we exclude all hyperplanes perpendicular to  $\text{Span}((e_1, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, e_1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, e_1, 0, 0, 0))$ , we obtain an injective immersion  $\Psi'$  which is *proper*, meaning that inverse images of compact sets are compact. This space of forbidden planes has measure zero as long as  $N - 1 > 3$ , so that we may reduce to  $2n + 1$  for  $n > 1$ . We leave as an exercise the  $n = 1$  case (or see Bredon for a slightly different proof).

The fact that the resulting injective immersion  $\Psi'$  is proper implies that it is an embedding, by the closed map lemma, as follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.53** (Closed map lemma for proper maps). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper continuous map of topological manifolds. Then  $f$  is a closed map.*

*Proof.* Let  $K \subset X$  be closed; we show that  $f(K)$  contains all its limit points and hence is closed. Let  $y \in Y$  be a limit point for  $f(K)$ . Choose a precompact neighbourhood  $U$  of  $y$ , so that  $y$  is also a limit point of  $f(K) \cap \bar{U}$ . Since  $f$  is proper,  $f^{-1}(\bar{U})$  is compact, and hence  $K \cap f^{-1}(\bar{U})$  is compact as well. But then by continuity,  $f(K \cap f^{-1}(\bar{U})) = f(K) \cap \bar{U}$  is compact, implying it is closed. Hence  $y \in f(K) \cap \bar{U} \subset f(K)$ , as required.  $\square$

We now use Whitney embedding to prove the existence of tubular neighbourhoods for submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , a key point in proving genericity of transversality. Tubular neighbourhoods also exist for submanifolds of any manifold, but we leave this corollary for the reader.

If  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is an embedded submanifold, the normal space at  $y \in Y$  is defined by  $N_y Y = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^N : v \perp T_y Y\}$ . The collection of all normal spaces of all points in  $Y$  is called the normal bundle:

$$NY = \{(y, v) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}^N : v \in N_y Y\}.$$

**Proposition 3.54.**  *$NY \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  is an embedded submanifold of dimension  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $y \in Y$ , choose coordinates  $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$  in a neighbourhood  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  of  $y$  so that  $Y \cap U = \{u^{n+1} = \dots = u^N = 0\}$ . Define  $\Phi : U \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-n} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  via

$$\Phi(x, v) = (u^{n+1}(x), \dots, u^N(x), \langle v, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} |_x \rangle, \dots, \langle v, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^n} |_x \rangle),$$

so that  $\Phi^{-1}(0)$  is precisely  $NY \cap (U \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ . We then show that 0 is a regular value: observe that, writing  $v$  in terms of its components  $v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$  in the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\langle v, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} |_x \rangle = \langle v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial u^i}(u(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} |_x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N v^j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^i}(u(x))$$

Therefore the Jacobian of  $\Phi$  is the  $((N-n) + n) \times (N+N)$  matrix

$$D\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i}(x) & 0 \\ * & \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^i}(u(x)) \end{pmatrix}$$

The  $N$  rows of this matrix are linearly independent, proving  $\Phi$  is a submersion.  $\square$

The normal bundle  $NY$  contains  $Y \cong Y \times \{0\}$  as a regular submanifold, and is equipped with a smooth map  $\pi : NY \rightarrow Y$  sending  $(y, v) \mapsto y$ . The map  $\pi$  is a surjective submersion and is the bundle projection. The vector spaces  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  for  $y \in Y$  are called the fibers of the bundle and  $NY$  is an example of a vector bundle.

We may take advantage of the embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to define a smooth map  $E : NY \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  via

$$E(x, v) = x + v.$$

**Definition 3.55.** A tubular neighbourhood of the embedded submanifold  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  that is the diffeomorphic image under  $E$  of an open subset  $V \subset NY$  of the form

$$V = \{(y, v) \in NY : |v| < \delta(y)\},$$

for some positive continuous function  $\delta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is such a tubular neighbourhood of  $Y$ , then there does exist a positive continuous function  $\epsilon : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $U_\epsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \exists y \in Y \text{ with } |x - y| < \epsilon(y)\}$  is contained in  $U$ . This is simply

$$\epsilon(y) = \sup\{r : B(y, r) \subset U\},$$

which is continuous since  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists x \in U$  for which  $\epsilon(y) \leq |x - y| + \epsilon$ . For any other  $y' \in Y$ , this is  $\leq |y - y'| + |x - y'| + \epsilon$ . Since  $|x - y'| \leq \epsilon(y')$ , we have  $|\epsilon(y) - \epsilon(y')| \leq |y - y'| + \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 3.56** (Tubular neighbourhood theorem). *Every regular submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has a tubular neighbourhood.*

*Proof.* First we show that  $E$  is a local diffeomorphism near  $y \in Y \subset NY$ . if  $\iota$  is the embedding of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $\iota' : Y \rightarrow NY$  is the embedding in the normal bundle, then  $E \circ \iota' = \iota$ , hence we have  $DE \circ D\iota' = D\iota$ , showing that the image of  $DE(y)$  contains  $T_y Y$ . Now if  $\iota$  is the embedding of  $N_y Y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $\iota' : N_y Y \rightarrow NY$  is the embedding in the normal bundle, then  $E \circ \iota' = \iota$ . Hence we see that the image of  $DE(y)$  contains  $N_y Y$ , and hence the image is all of  $T_y \mathbb{R}^N$ . Hence  $E$  is a diffeomorphism on some neighbourhood

$$V_\delta(y) = \{(y', v') \in NY : |y' - y| < \delta, |v'| < \delta\}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Now for  $y \in Y$  let  $r(y) = \sup\{\delta : E|_{V_\delta(y)}$  is a diffeomorphism $\}$  if this is  $\leq 1$  and let  $r(y) = 1$  otherwise. The function  $r(y)$  is continuous, since if  $|y - y'| < r(y)$ , then  $V_\delta(y') \subset V_{r(y)}(y)$  for  $\delta = r(y) - |y - y'|$ . This means that  $r(y') \geq \delta$ , i.e.  $r(y) - r(y') \leq |y - y'|$ . Switching  $y$  and  $y'$ , this remains true, hence  $|r(y) - r(y')| \leq |y - y'|$ , yielding continuity.

Finally, let  $V = \{(y, v) \in NY : |v| < \frac{1}{2}r(y)\}$ . We show that  $E$  is injective on  $V$ . Suppose  $(y, v), (y', v') \in V$  are such that  $E(y, v) = E(y', v')$ , and suppose wlog  $r(y') \leq r(y)$ . Then since  $y + v = y' + v'$ , we have

$$|y - y'| = |v - v'| \leq |v| + |v'| \leq \frac{1}{2}r(y) + \frac{1}{2}r(y') \leq r(y).$$

Hence  $y, y'$  are in  $V_{r(y)}(y)$ , on which  $E$  is a diffeomorphism. The required tubular neighbourhood is then  $U = E(V)$ .  $\square$