

### 3.4 Genericity

**Theorem 3.26** (Transversality theorem). *Let  $F : X \times S \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  be smooth maps of manifolds where only  $X$  has boundary. Suppose that  $F$  and  $\partial F$  are transverse to  $g$ . Then for almost every  $s \in S$ ,  $f_s = F(\cdot, s)$  and  $\partial f_s$  are transverse to  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Due to the transversality, the fiber product  $W = (X \times S) \times_Y Z$  is a submanifold (with boundary) of  $X \times S \times Z$  and projects to  $S$  via the usual projection map  $\pi$ . We show that any  $s \in S$  which is a regular value for both the projection map  $\pi : W \rightarrow S$  and its boundary map  $\partial\pi$  gives rise to a  $f_s$  which is transverse to  $g$ . Then by Sard's theorem the  $s$  which fail to be regular in this way form a set of measure zero.

Suppose that  $s \in S$  is a regular value for  $\pi$ . Suppose that  $f_s(x) = g(z) = y$  and we now show that  $f_s$  is transverse to  $g$  there. Since  $F(x, s) = g(z)$  and  $F$  is transverse to  $g$ , we know that

$$\text{im}DF_{(x,s)} + \text{im}Dg_z = T_y Y.$$

Therefore, for any  $a \in T_y Y$ , there exists  $b = (w, e) \in T(X \times S)$  with  $DF_{(x,s)}b - a$  in the image of  $Dg_z$ . But since  $D\pi$  is surjective, there exists  $(w', e, c') \in T_{(x,y,z)}W$ . Hence we observe that

$$(Df_s)(w - w') - a = DF_{(x,s)}[(w, e) - (w', e)] - a = (DF_{(x,s)}b - a) - DF_{(x,s)}(w', e),$$

where both terms on the right hand side lie in  $\text{im}Dg_z$ , since  $(w', e, c') \in T_{(x,y,z)}W$  means  $Dg_z(c') = DF_{(x,y)}(w', e)$ .

Precisely the same argument (with  $X$  replaced with  $\partial X$  and  $F$  replaced with  $\partial F$ ) shows that if  $s$  is regular for  $\partial\pi$  then  $\partial f_s$  is transverse to  $g$ . This gives the result.  $\square$

The previous result immediately shows that transversal maps to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are generic, since for any smooth map  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  we may produce a family of maps

$$F : M \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \tag{73}$$

via  $F(x, s) = f(x) + s$ . This new map  $F$  is clearly a submersion and hence is transverse to any smooth map  $g : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . For arbitrary target manifolds, we will imitate this argument, but we will require a (weak) version of Whitney's embedding theorem for manifolds into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In the next section we will show that any manifold  $Y$  can be embedded via  $\iota : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  in some large Euclidean space, and in such a way that the image has a "tubular neighbourhood"  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  of radius  $\epsilon(y)$  (for a positive real-valued function  $\epsilon : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) equipped with a projection  $\pi : U \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\pi\iota = \text{id}_Y$ .

**Corollary 3.27.** *Let  $X$  be a manifold with boundary and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map to a manifold  $Y$ . Then there is an open ball  $S = B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and a smooth map  $F : X \times S \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$  and for fixed  $x$ , the map  $f_x : s \mapsto F(x, s)$  is a submersion  $S \rightarrow Y$ .*

*In particular,  $F$  and  $\partial F$  are submersions, so are transverse to any  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* Use the embedding of  $\iota : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and the tubular neighbourhood  $\pi : U \rightarrow Y$  to define

$$F(x, s) = \pi(\iota(f(x)) + \epsilon(y)s). \quad (74)$$

□

The transversality theorem then guarantees that given any smooth  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ , for almost all  $s \in S$  the maps  $f_s, \partial f_s$  are transverse to  $g$ . We improve this slightly to show that  $f_s$  may be chosen to be *homotopic* to  $f$ .

**Corollary 3.28** (Transversality homotopy theorem). *Given any smooth maps  $f_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ , where only  $X$  has boundary, there exists a smooth map  $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  homotopic to  $f_0$  with  $f_1, \partial f_1$  both transverse to  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S, F$  be as in the previous corollary. Away from a set of measure zero in  $S$ , the functions  $f_s, \partial f_s$  are transverse to  $g$ , by the transversality theorem. But these  $f_s$  are all homotopic to  $f$  via the homotopy  $X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  given by

$$(x, t) \mapsto F(x, ts). \quad (75)$$

□

The last theorem we shall prove concerning transversality is a very useful extension result which is essential for intersection theory:

**Theorem 3.29** (Homotopic transverse extension of boundary map). *Let  $X$  be a manifold with boundary and  $f_0 : X \rightarrow Y$  a smooth map to a manifold  $Y$ . Suppose that  $\partial f_0$  is transverse to the closed map  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ . Then there exists a map  $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ , homotopic to  $f_0$  and with  $\partial f_1 = \partial f_0$ , such that  $f_1$  is transverse to  $g$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that since  $\partial f_0$  is transverse to  $g$  on  $\partial X$ ,  $f_0$  is also transverse to  $g$  there, and furthermore since  $g$  is closed,  $f_0$  is transverse to  $g$  in a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\partial X$ . (for example, if  $x \in \partial X$  but  $x$  not in  $f_0^{-1}(g(Z))$  then since the latter set is closed, we obtain a neighbourhood of  $x$  for which  $f_0$  is transverse to  $g$ .)

Now choose a smooth function  $\gamma : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is 1 outside  $U$  but 0 on a neighbourhood of  $\partial X$ . (why does  $\gamma$  exist? exercise.) Then set  $\tau = \gamma^2$ , so that  $d\tau(x) = 0$  wherever  $\tau(x) = 0$ . Recall the map  $F : X \times S \rightarrow Y$  we used in proving the transversality homotopy theorem and modify it via

$$G(x, s) = F(x, \tau(x)s). \quad (76)$$

The claim is that  $G$  and  $\partial G$  are transverse to  $g$ . This is clear for  $x$  such that  $\tau(x) \neq 0$ . But if  $\tau(x) = 0$ ,

$$TG_{(x,s)}(v, w) = TF_{(x,0)}(v, 0) = T(f_0)_x(v), \quad (77)$$

but  $\tau(x) = 0$  means that  $x \in U$ , in which  $f$  is transverse to  $g$ .

Since transversality holds, there exists  $s$  such that  $f_1 : x \mapsto G(x, s)$  and  $\partial f_1$  are transverse to  $g$  (and homotopic to  $f_0$ , as before). Finally, if  $x$  is in the neighbourhood of  $\partial X$  for which  $\tau = 0$ , then  $f_1(x) = F(x, 0) = f_0(x)$ . □

**Corollary 3.30.** *If  $f_0 : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic smooth maps of manifolds, each transverse to the closed map  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ , then the fiber products  $W_0 = X_{f_0} \times_g Z$  and  $W_1 = X_{f_1} \times_g Z$  are cobordant.*

*Proof.* if  $F : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  is the homotopy between  $f_0, f_1$ , then by the previous theorem, we may find a (homotopic) homotopy  $G : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  which is transverse to  $g$ , without changing  $F$  on the boundary. Hence the fiber product  $U = (X \times [0, 1])_{G \times_g Z}$  is a cobordism with boundary  $W \sqcup W'$ .  $\square$

### 3.5 Intersection theory

The previous corollary allows us to make the following definition:

**Definition 3.31.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  be smooth maps with  $X$  and  $Z$  compact, and  $\dim X + \dim Z = \dim Y$ . Then we define the (mod 2) intersection number of  $f$  and  $g$  to be

$$I_2(f, g) = \#(X_{f'} \times_g Z) \pmod{2},$$

where  $f' : X \rightarrow Y$  is any smooth map smoothly homotopic to  $f$  but transverse to  $g$ .

**Example 3.32.** If  $C_1, C_2$  are two distinct great circles on  $S^2$  then they have two transverse intersection points, so  $I_2(C_1, C_2) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Of course we can shrink one of the circles to get a homotopic one which does not intersect the other at all. This corresponds to the standard cobordism from two points to the empty set.

**Example 3.33.** If  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we can consider the following two embeddings of  $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ :  $\iota_1 : \theta \mapsto \langle \cos(\theta/2)e_1 + \sin(\theta/2)e_2 \rangle$  and  $\iota_2 : \theta \mapsto \langle \cos(\theta/2)e_2 + \sin(\theta/2)e_3 \rangle$ . These two embedded submanifolds intersect transversally in a single point  $\langle e_2 \rangle$ , and hence  $I_2(\iota_1, \iota_2) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . As a result, there is no way to deform  $\iota_i$  so that they intersect transversally in zero points.

**Example 3.34.** Given a smooth map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  for  $X$  compact and  $\dim Y = 2 \dim X$ , we may consider the self-intersection  $I_2(f, f)$ . In the previous examples we may check  $I_2(C_1, C_1) = 0$  and  $I_2(\iota_1, \iota_1) = 1$ . Any embedded  $S^1$  in an oriented surface has no self-intersection. If the surface is nonorientable, the self-intersection may be nonzero.

**Example 3.35.** Let  $p \in S^1$ . Then the identity map  $\text{Id} : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is transverse to the inclusion  $\iota : p \rightarrow S^1$  with one point of intersection. Hence the identity map is not (smoothly) homotopic to a constant map, which would be transverse to  $\iota$  with zero intersection. Using smooth approximation, get that  $\text{Id}$  is not continuously homotopic to a constant map, and also that  $S^1$  is not contractible.

**Example 3.36.** By the previous argument, any compact manifold is not contractible.

**Example 3.37.** Consider  $SO(3) \cong \mathbb{R}P^3$  and let  $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}P^3$  be a line, diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ . This line corresponds to a path of rotations about an axis by  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  radians. Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}P^3$  be a plane intersecting  $\ell$  in one

point. Since this is a transverse intersection in a single point,  $\ell$  cannot be deformed to a point (which would have zero intersection with  $\mathcal{P}$ ). This shows that the path of rotations is not homotopic to a constant path.

If  $\iota : \theta \mapsto \iota(\theta)$  is the embedding of  $S^1$ , then traversing the path twice via  $\iota' : \theta \mapsto \iota(2\theta)$ , we obtain a map  $\iota'$  which is transverse to  $\mathcal{P}$  but with two intersection points. Hence it is possible that  $\iota'$  may be deformed so as not to intersect  $\mathcal{P}$ . Can it be done?

**Example 3.38.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}P^4$  and two transverse hyperplanes  $P_1, P_2$  each an embedded copy of  $\mathbb{R}P^3$ . These then intersect in  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \mathbb{R}P^2$ , and since  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is not null-homotopic, we cannot deform the planes to remove all intersection.

Intersection theory also allows us to define the degree of a map modulo 2. The degree measures how many generic preimages there are of a local diffeomorphism.

**Definition 3.39.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map of manifolds of the same dimension, and suppose  $M$  is compact and  $N$  connected. Let  $p \in N$  be any point. Then we define  $\deg_2(f) = I_2(f, p)$ .

**Example 3.40.** Let  $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  be given by  $z \mapsto z^k$ . Then  $\deg_2(f) = k \pmod{2}$ .

**Example 3.41.** If  $p : \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$ , then as a map  $S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  we have  $\deg_2(p) = k \pmod{2}$ , and hence any odd polynomial has at least one root. To get the fundamental theorem of algebra, we must consider *oriented cobordism*

Even if submanifolds  $C, C'$  do not intersect, it may be that there are more sophisticated geometrical invariants which cause them to be “intertwined” in some way. One example of this is linking number.

**Definition 3.42.** Suppose that  $M, N \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  are compact embedded submanifolds with  $\dim M + \dim N = k$ , and let us assume they are transverse, meaning they do not intersect at all.

Then define  $\lambda : M \times N \rightarrow S^k$  via

$$(x, y) \mapsto \frac{x - y}{|x - y|}.$$

Then we define the  $\pmod{2}$  linking number of  $M, N$  to be  $\deg_2(\lambda)$ .

**Example 3.43.** Consider the standard Hopf link in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then it is easy to calculate that  $\deg_2(\lambda) = 1$ . On the other hand, the standard embedding of disjoint circles (differing by a translation, say) has  $\deg_2(\lambda) = 0$ . Hence it is impossible to deform the circles through embeddings of  $S^1 \sqcup S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , so that they are unlinked. Why must we stay within the space of embeddings, and not allow the circles to intersect?