

9.8 Jordan-Brouwer Separation Theorems

Definition 9.8.1 Suppose $A \subset X$. We say that A separates X if $X \setminus A$ is disconnected (i.e., not path connected), or equivalently if $\tilde{H}_*(X \setminus A) \neq 0$.

Terminology: If B is homeomorphic to D^k then B is called a k -cell.

Theorem 9.8.2 Let $B \subset S^n$ be a k -cell. Then $S^n \setminus B$ is acyclic. (i.e. $\tilde{H}(S^n \setminus B) = 0 \forall q$.) In particular, B does not separate S^n .

Remark 9.8.3 $B \simeq *$ and $S^n \setminus \{*\} = \mathbb{R}^n$, but in general $A \simeq B$ does not imply that $X \setminus A \simeq X \setminus B$.

Proof: By induction on k .

$k = 0$ is trivial since then $B = *$ and $S^n \setminus \{*\} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Suppose that the theorem is true for $(k - 1)$ -cells.

Let $h : I^k \rightarrow B$ be a homeomorphism.

Write $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ where $B_1 := h(I^{k-1} \times [0, 1/2])$ and $B_2 := h(I^{k-1} \times [1/2, 1])$.

Let $C = B_1 \cap B_2$; a $(k - 1)$ -cell.

Let $i : (S^n \setminus B) \rightarrow S^n \setminus B_1$, $j : (S^n \setminus B) \rightarrow (S^n \setminus B_2)$.

Suppose $0 \neq \alpha \in \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus B)$.

Lemma 9.8.4 Either $i_*(\alpha) \neq 0$ or $j_*(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Proof: $S^n \setminus B_1$ and $S^n \setminus B_2$ are open so they have a Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

$(S^n \setminus B_1) \cap (S^n \setminus B_2) = S^n \setminus B$ $(S^n \setminus B_1) \cup (S^n \setminus B_2) = S^n \setminus (B_1 \cap B_2) = S^n \setminus C$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_{p+1}(S^n \setminus C) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus B) \xrightarrow{(i_*, j_*)} \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus B_1) \oplus \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus B_2) \\ \text{(by hypothesis)} \parallel & & \\ 0 & & \end{array}$$

so either $i_*(\alpha) \neq 0$ or $j_*(\alpha) \neq 0$. □

Proof of Theorem (cont.): By the lemma, continuing to subdivide we obtain a nested decreasing sequence of closed intervals I_n s.t. if we let $j_m : (S^n \setminus B) \hookrightarrow (S^n \setminus Q_m)$, where $Q_m := h(I^{k-1} \times I_m)$, then $j_{m*}\alpha \neq 0$.

By the Cantor Intersection Theorem, $\cap_m I_m =$ a single point $\{e\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_p(S^n \setminus B) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & H_p(S^n \setminus Q_m) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & H_p(S^n \setminus h(I^{k-1} \times \{e\})) \\
& & & & & & & & \text{(induction)} \parallel \\
& & & & & & & & 0
\end{array}$$

where we have used that $E := h(I^{k-1} \times \{e\})$ is a $(k-1)$ -cell. Since $S^n \setminus Q_m$ is open and nested and $S^n \setminus E = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (S^n \setminus Q_m)$, $H_*(S^n \setminus E) = \varinjlim H_*(S^n \setminus Q_m)$.

Therefore $\alpha \mapsto 0$ in $H_*(S^n \setminus E)$ implies that $j_{m*}(\alpha) = 0$ in $H_*(S^n \setminus Q_m)$ for some m , which is a contradiction. Hence \nexists nonzero $\alpha \in H_p(S^n \setminus B)$. \square

Theorem 9.8.5 Suppose $h : S^k \hookrightarrow S^n$. Then $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(S^k)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n - k - 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof: By induction on k .

$$\text{If } k = 0, \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus h(S^0)) = \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus \{2 \text{ points}\}) = \tilde{H}_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\text{point}\}) = \tilde{H}_p(S^{n-1}).$$

✓

Suppose that the theorem is true for $k-1$.

Let E_+^k, E_-^k be the upper and lower hemispheres of S^k . Notice that by compactness, h is a homeomorphism onto its image, so $h(E_+^k)$ and $h(E_-^k)$ are k -cells.

Also $S^n \setminus h(E_+^k), S^n \setminus h(E_-^k)$ are open so Mayer-Vietoris applies.

$$\begin{aligned}
(S^n \setminus h(E_+^k)) \cup (S^n \setminus h(E_-^k)) &= (S^n \setminus h(E_+^k \cap E_-^k)) = (S^n \setminus h(S^{k-1})) \\
(S^n \setminus h(E_+^k)) \cap (S^n \setminus h(E_-^k)) &= (S^n \setminus h(E_+^k \cup E_-^k)) = (S^n \setminus h(S^k))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\parallel
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus h(E_+^k)) \oplus \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus h(E_-^k)) &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_p(S^n \setminus h(S^{k-1})) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Delta} \tilde{H}_{p-1}(S^n \setminus h(S^k)) \\
&\rightarrow \tilde{H}_{p-1}(S^n \setminus h(E_+^k)) \oplus \tilde{H}_{p-1}(S^n \setminus h(E_-^k))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\parallel \\
0
\end{array}$$

\square

Theorem 9.8.6 (*Jordan Curve Theorem*) Suppose $n > 0$. Let C be a subset of S^n which is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} . Then $S^n \setminus C$ has precisely two path components and C is their common boundary. (Furthermore, the components are open in S^n .)

Proof: By the preceding theorem, $\tilde{H}_0(S^n \setminus C) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, so $S^n \setminus C$ has two path components. Denote these components W_1 and W_2 .

C is closed in S^n so $S^n \setminus C$ is open. Hence by local path connectedness of S^n , its components W_1 and W_2 are open. Thus $\overline{W_1} \subset W_2^c$.

If $x \in \partial W_1 = \overline{W_1} \setminus W_1$, then $x \notin W_2$ (since $x \in \overline{W_1} = W_2^c$) and $x \notin W_1$. So $x \in (W_1 \cup W_2)^c = C$. Hence $\partial W_1 \subset C$.

Conversely let $x \in C$.

Let U be an open neighbourhood of x . Show $U \cap \overline{W_1} \neq \emptyset$. Since U arbitrary, it will follow that x is an accumulation point of $\overline{W_1}$ so that $x \in \overline{W_1}$. But $x \in C$ so $x \notin W_1$, resulting in $x \in \overline{W_1} \setminus W_1 = \partial W_1$.

To show $U \cap \overline{W_1} \neq \emptyset$:

$U \cap C$ is homeomorphic to an open subset of S^{n-1} (since $C \cong S^{n-1}$ by hypothesis) so it contains the closure of an $(n-1)$ -sphere. Let C_1 be this closure. Under the homeomorphism $C \cong S^{n-1}$, $C_1 \cong N_r[x]$ for some r and x . Thus $C_1 \subset C$ is an $(n-1)$ -cell. Let $C_2 = \overline{C \setminus C_1}$. Then C_2 is also an $(n-1)$ -cell (up to homeomorphism it is the closure of the complement of $N_r[x]$ in S^{n-1}) and $C_1 \cup C_2 = C$ which is closed. By Theorem 9.8.2, C_2 does not separate S^n so \exists path α in $S^n \setminus C_2$ joining $p \in W_1$ to $q \in W_2$. $(\text{Im } \alpha) \cap (\overline{W_1} \setminus W_1) = \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\overline{W_1}) \setminus \alpha^{-1}(W_1))$. If this is empty then $\alpha^{-1}(\overline{W_1}) = \alpha^{-1}(W_1)$. However the equality of these open and closed subsets of I means that either $\alpha(W_1) = \emptyset$ or $\alpha^{-1}(W_1) = I$. We know $\alpha^{-1}(W_1) \neq \emptyset$ since $0 \in \alpha^{-1}(W_1)$ (since $p = \alpha(0) \in W_1$). And $1 \notin \alpha^{-1}(W_1)$ since $q \notin W_1$. Therefore $(\text{Im } \alpha) \cap (\overline{W_1} \setminus W_1) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\exists y \in (\text{Im } \alpha) \cap (\overline{W_1} \setminus W_1) \subset \partial W_1 \subset C = C_1 \cup C_2$. Since $\text{Im } \alpha \subset S^n \setminus C_2$, $y \notin C_2$ so $y \in C_1 \subset U$. Hence $y \in U \cap \overline{W_1}$. ✓

So $\partial W_1 = C$. Similarly $\partial W_2 = C$, as desired. □

Corollary 9.8.7 (*Jordan Curve Theorem - standard version*): Suppose $n > 1$. Let C be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n which is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} . Then $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$ has precisely two components (one bounded, one unbounded — known as the “inside of C ” and “outside of C ” respectively) and C is their common boundary.

Proof: Include \mathbb{R}^n into S^n , writing $\mathbb{R}^n = S^n = \{P\}$. Then $S^n \setminus C$ is the union of two components W_1, W_2 whose common boundary is C . One of the components, say W_1 contains P so $W_1 \setminus \{P\}, W_2$ are the components of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$ and their common boundary is C . □

Theorem 9.8.8 (*Invariance of Domain*): Let V be open in \mathbb{R}^n and let $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous and injective. Then $f(V)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n and $f : V \rightarrow f(V)$ is a homeomorphism.

Remark 9.8.9 Compare the inverse function theorem which asserts this under the stronger hypothesis that f is continuously differentiable with non-singular Jacobian, but also asserts differentiability of the inverse map.

Proof:

Include \mathbb{R}^n into S^n . Let U be an open subset of V . Let $y \in f(U)$. We show that $f(U)$ contains an open neighbourhood of y .

Write $y = f(x)$, Find ϵ s.t. $N_\epsilon[x] \subset U$. Set $A := N_\epsilon[x] \setminus N_\epsilon(x)$. So A is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} . Since $f|_{N_\epsilon[x]} \subset U$ is a homeomorphism (an injective map from a compact set to a Hausdorff space), $f(A)$ is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} . Therefore $f(A)$ separates S^n into two components W_1 and W_2 which are open in S^n .

$N_\epsilon(x)$ is connected and disjoint from A , so $f(N_\epsilon(x))$ is connected and disjoint from $f(A)$. Thus $f(N_\epsilon(x))$ is contained entirely within either W_1 or W_2 . Say $f(N_\epsilon(x)) \subset W_1$.

$$S^n \setminus f(A) \setminus f(N_\epsilon(x)) = S^n \setminus f(A \cup N_\epsilon(x)) = S^n \setminus f(N_\epsilon[x])$$

(which the later argument will show is equal to $S^n \setminus W_2^c = W_2$). Since $f(N_\epsilon[x])$ is an n -cell, it does not disconnect S^n , i.e. $S^n \setminus f(N_\epsilon[x])$ is connected. Because $f(N_\epsilon[x]) \subset \overline{W_1} \subset W_2^c$ which is equivalent to $W_2 \subset S^n \setminus f(N_\epsilon[x])$, we get $W_2 = S^n \setminus f(N_\epsilon[x])$ (as remarked earlier), since W_2 is a path component of S^n . Hence $f(N_\epsilon[x]) = W_2^c = \overline{W_1}$. Thus $f(N_\epsilon(x)) = W_1$. (i.e. If $z \in W_1 \setminus f(N_\epsilon(x))$ then $z \in S^n \setminus f(A) \setminus f(N_\epsilon(x)) = S^n \setminus f(N_\epsilon[x]) = S^n \setminus W_2^c = W_2$, which contradicts $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$.)

Therefore we have shown that \exists an open set W_1 s.t. $y \in W_1 \subset f(U)$ and thus $f(U)$ is open. Applying the above argument with $U := V$ gives that $f(V)$ is open. It also shows that $f : V \rightarrow f(V)$ is an open map, so it is a homeomorphism. \square