# MULTIGRADED ALGEBRAS AND MULTIGRADED LINEAR SERIES

#### YAIRON CID-RUIZ, FATEMEH MOHAMMADI, AND LEONID MONIN

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of multigraded algebras and multigraded linear series. For an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra A, we define and study its volume function  $F_A : \mathbb{N}^s_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ , which computes the asymptotics of the Hilbert function of A. We relate the volume function  $F_A$  to the volume of the fibers of the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$  of A. Unlike the classical case of standard multigraded algebras, the volume function  $F_A$  is not a polynomial in general. However, in the case when the algebra A has a decomposable grading, we show that the volume function  $F_A$  is a polynomial with non-negative coefficients. We then define mixed multiplicities in this case and provide a full characterization for their positivity. Furthermore, we apply our results on multigraded algebras to multigraded linear series.

Our work recovers and unifies recent developments on mixed multiplicities. In particular, we recover results on the existence of mixed multiplicities for (not necessarily Noetherian) graded families of ideals and on the positivity of the multidegrees of multiprojective varieties.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and preliminaries	6
3. Singly graded algebras of almost integral type	8
3.1. The case where R is a domain	10
3.2. Valuations with bounded leaves	13
4. Multigraded algebras of almost integral type	14
4.1. Global Newton-Okounkov bodies	16
5. Multigraded algebras of almost integral type with decomposable grading	19
6. Application to graded families of ideals	24
6.1. Positivity for graded families of equally generated ideals	26
6.2. Positivity for mixed volumes of convex bodies	28
7. Multigraded linear series	29
References	31

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this paper is to study and extend the current theory of *graded algebras of almost integral type* and *graded linear series* to *multigraded algebras of almost integral type* and *multigraded linear series*,

Date: April 24, 2021.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13H15, 14M25; Secondary 13A18, 52B20.

Key words and phrases. multigraded algebras, multigraded linear series, Newton-Okounkov bodies, Krull dimension, mixed multiplicities, Hilbert function.

respectively. The asymptotic behavior of graded algebras of almost integral type and graded linear series was extensively studied in the foundational papers of Kaveh and Khovanskii [21] and of Lazarsfeld and Mustață [25]. Following ideas from seminal works of Okounkov [29, 30], the authors of [21] and [25] associated a convex body  $\Delta(A)$  to a graded algebra A equipped with a valuation with one-dimensional leaves. The convex body  $\Delta(A)$  is called the *Newton-Okounkov body* of the algebra A. One of the main results of [21,25] relates the asymptotic growth of the Hilbert function of a graded algebra of almost integral type with the corresponding Newton-Okounkov body.

More precisely, let k be an algebraically closed field and F be a field containing k. Recall that a graded k-subalgebra  $A \subset \mathbb{F}[t]$  of the polynomial ring in one variable is of *integral type* if A is finitely generated over k and is a finitely generated module over the subalgebra generated by  $[A]_1$ . A graded k-algebra  $A \subset \mathbb{F}[t]$  is of *almost integral type* if  $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{F}[t]$ , where B is a graded k-algebra of integral type. Then, one has the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** ([21,25]). Let  $A \subset \mathbb{F}[t]$  be a graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra of almost integral type, and let  $q = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta(A))$ . Then the Hilbert function of A has a polynomial growth. Moreover, the main term of asymptotics has degree q and its coefficient is given by the volume of  $\Delta(A)$ .

We generalize Theorem 1.1 to a far-reaching general case of algebras of almost integral type. We consider an arbitrary field  $\Bbbk$  (not necessarily algebraically closed) and we replace the field  $\mathbb{F}$  with any reduced  $\Bbbk$ -algebra R. We also relate the dimension of the Newton-Okounkov body of  $A \subset R[t]$  to the Krull dimension of A.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 3.6). Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and R be a reduced  $\Bbbk$ -algebra. Let  $A \subset R[t]$  be a graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra of almost integral type. Suppose d = dim(A) > 0. Then, there exists an integer m > 0 such that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim_{\Bbbk}([A]_{n\mathfrak{m}})}{\mathfrak{n}^{d-1}}\,\in\,\mathbb{R}_+$$

exists and it is a positive real number.

We prove this result by analyzing the graded algebras equipped with a valuation having leaves of bounded dimension. In particular, we show that any algebra  $A \subset R[t]$  of almost integral type has a valuation with bounded leaves (Proposition 3.13). Our treatment of singly graded algebras also profited from the works of Cutkosky [9, 11].

We now focus on our developments of the multigraded case of algebras and linear series.

1.1. **Multigraded algebras of almost integral type.** Here we describe our main results regarding arbitrary multigraded algebras of almost integral type.

Let k be an arbitrary field and R be a k-domain. Our results in principle could cover the case when R is an arbitrary reduced ring (see the general reductions used in Section 3), however, in doing so the notation would be quite cumbersome in the notion of volume function that we define below.

First, we introduce our main object of study, which provides the multigraded extension of graded algebras of almost integral type (as introduced in [21]). Let  $t_1, \ldots, t_s$  be new variables over R and consider  $R[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$  as a standard  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded polynomial ring where  $deg(t_i) = \mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and  $\mathbf{e}_i$  denotes the i-th elementary vector  $(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ . We have the following notions:

- (i) An  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} [A]_{\mathbf{n}} \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  is called of *integral type* if A is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{k}$  and is a finite module over the subalgebra generated by  $[A]_{\mathbf{e}_1}, [A]_{\mathbf{e}_2}, \dots, [A]_{\mathbf{e}_s}$ .
- (ii) An  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded k-algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} [A]_{\mathbf{n}} \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  is called of *almost integral type* if  $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$ , where B is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of integral type.

Let  $A \subset R[t_1,...,t_s]$  be an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of almost integral type. To simplify notation, we also need to assume that  $[A]_{\mathbf{e}_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . One has that the Krull dimension of A is finite (see Theorem 2.5), i.e., dim $(A) < \infty$ . Let  $d = \dim(A)$  and q = d - s. Our main focus is on the *volume function* of A, which is defined as

(1) 
$$F_{A}: \mathbb{Z}^{s}_{+} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad F_{A}(\mathfrak{n}_{1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_{s}) = \lim_{\mathfrak{n} \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( [A]_{(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}_{1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}_{s})} \right)}{\mathfrak{n}^{q}}$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.11, we obtain that the limit defining the volume function  $F_A$  always exists. Note that, when A is a standard  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra then the volume function  $F_A$  encodes the mixed multiplicities of A (see Remark 4.1).

In a similar fashion to [25], we relate the volume function  $F_A$  of A to a global Newton-Okounkov body that we define below. For that, we can safely assume that R is finitely generated over k (see Remark 3.4) and that there exists a valuation  $\nu : \text{Quot}(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$  with certain good properties (see Proposition 3.13). Of particular importance is the fact that the valuation  $\nu$  has leaves of bounded dimension. Let  $\Gamma_A$  be the *valued semigroup* of the  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra A:

$$\Gamma_{A} = \{ (\nu(\mathfrak{a}), \mathbf{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r} \times \mathbb{N}^{s} \mid 0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \in [A]_{\mathbf{m}} \}.$$

We define

$$\Delta(A) = \operatorname{Con}(\Gamma_A) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$$

to be the closed convex cone generated by  $\Gamma_A$  and we call it the *global Newton-Okounkov body* of A. We denote the index of A by  $\operatorname{ind}(A)$  and the maximal dimension of leaves of A by  $\ell_A$  (these invariants refer to a uniform behavior of the Veronese subalgebras  $A^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [A]_{nn}$ ; for more details, see Definition 4.10). One has the diagram below



where  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  denote the natural projections. We denote the *fiber* of the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$  over  $x \in \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  by  $\Delta(A)_x = \Delta(A) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(x)$ .

The following theorem contains our main results regarding the relations between the volume function  $F_A$  and the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$ .

## Theorem B (Theorem 4.7, Corollary 4.11). Under the notations above the following results hold:

(i) The fiber  $\Delta(A)_{\mathbf{n}}$  of the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A^{(\mathbf{n})})$  for each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+$ , that is:

$$\pi_1(\Delta(\mathsf{A})_{\mathbf{n}}) \times \{1\} = \pi_1\left(\Delta(\mathsf{A}) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\mathbf{n})\right) \times \{1\} = \Delta(\mathsf{A}^{(\mathbf{n})}) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \{1\}.$$

(ii) There exists a unique continuous homogeneous function of degree q extending the volume function  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  defined in (1) to the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ . This function is given by

$$F_A: \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \ell_A \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_q(\Delta(A)_x)}{\operatorname{ind}(A)}.$$

Moreover, the function  $F_A$  is log-concave:

$$\mathsf{F}_A(x+y)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ \geqslant \ \mathsf{F}_A(x)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \mathsf{F}_A(y)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \textit{for all } x,y \in \mathbb{R}^s_{\geqslant 0}.$$

We illustrate the concrete computation of the volume functions in Example 4.4 and Example 4.15. In particular, we show that in general, part (*ii*) of Theorem B is the strongest result that can be obtained in this setting: for any non-negative, homogeneous of degree 1, concave function  $f : \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  we construct an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra A whose volume function is f (see Example 4.12).

#### 1.2. Algebras with decomposable grading. An important question is to determine the following:

## • When is the volume function F<sub>A</sub> a polynomial?

By mimicking the classical case of standard multigraded algebras, the existence of such a polynomial yields a natural notion of mixed multiplicities for the algebra A (see Remark 4.1). It turns out that the volume function  $F_A$  is not always a polynomial (see, e.g., Example 4.4, Example 4.12 and Example 4.15). However, we show that the volume function is a polynomial for the family of multigraded algebras with decomposable gradings.

An  $\mathbb{N}^{s}$ -graded algebra A is said to have a *decomposable grading* if the equality

$$[A]_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)} = [A]_{n_1 e_1} \cdot [A]_{n_2 e_2} \cdot \dots \cdot [A]_{n_s e_s}$$

holds for each  $(n_1, n_2, ..., n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ .

Now, we additionally assume that the  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra  $A \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  of almost integral type has a decomposable grading. For each  $p \ge 1$ , let  $\widehat{A}_{[p]} = \mathbb{K}[[A]_{pe_1}, \dots, [A]_{pe_s}] \subset A$  be the  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra generated by  $[A]_{pe_1}, \dots, [A]_{pe_s}$ , and denote by  $\widetilde{A}_{[p]} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} \left[\widehat{A}_{[p]}\right]_{pn}$  the standard  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra obtained by regrading  $\widehat{A}_{[p]}$ .

The following theorem deals with the case of algebras with a decomposable grading.

**Theorem C** (Theorem 5.5). Assume the notations above with A having a decomposable grading. Then, there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $G_A(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{R}[n_1, ..., n_s]$  of degree q with non-negative real coefficients such that

$$F_A(\mathbf{n}) = G_A(\mathbf{n}) \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+.$$

Additionally, we have

$$G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{G_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{G_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} \quad \textit{for all} \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{s}$$

We illustrate Theorem C with an example of the Cox ring of a full flag variety (Example 5.6). Moreover, we can write the polynomial  $G_A$  of Theorem C as follows

$$G_A(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}!} e(\mathbf{d}; A) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Then, for each  $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  with  $|\mathbf{d}| = q$ , we define the non-negative real number  $e(\mathbf{d}; A) \ge 0$  to be the *mixed multiplicity of type*  $\mathbf{d}$  *of* A.

In Corollary 5.8, we provide an extension into a multigraded setting of the Fujita approximation theorem for graded algebras given in [21, Theorem 2.35]. We show the following equalities

$$e(\mathbf{d};A) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e(\mathbf{d};\widetilde{A}_{[p]})}{p^{q}} = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{e(\mathbf{d};\widetilde{A}_{[p]})}{p^{q}}.$$

Furthermore, in Theorem 5.9, we provide a full characterization for the positivity of the mixed multiplicities  $e(\mathbf{d}; A)$  of A.

In our findings, we got that a number of interesting applications follow rather easily by studying certain multigraded algebras with decomposable grading. The list of applications includes the following:

- (1) In Section 6, we recover some results from [7, 8, 10] by showing the existence of mixed multiplicities for (not necessarily Noetherian) graded families of ideals.
- (2) In §6.1, we provide a full characterization for the positivity of the mixed multiplicities of graded families of equally generated ideals.
- (3) In §6.2, we recover a classical characterization for the positivity of the mixed volumes of convex bodies.

1.3. **Multigraded linear series.** Finally, we are interested in the notion of multigraded linear series. Let  $\Bbbk$  be an arbitrary field and X be a proper variety over  $\Bbbk$ . Let  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  be a sequence of Cartier divisors on X, and consider the corresponding section ring

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathsf{D}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{D}_s) = \bigoplus_{(\mathfrak{n}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s} \mathrm{H}^0\big(X,\mathbb{O}(\mathfrak{n}_1\mathsf{D}_1+\cdots+\mathfrak{n}_s\mathsf{D}_s)\big).$$

A *multigraded linear series* associated to the divisors  $D_1, ..., D_s$  is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded k-subalgebra W of the section ring  $S(D_1, ..., D_s)$ . In particular, W is of almost integral type (see Proposition 7.2). The *Kodaira-Itaka dimension* of W is given by

$$\kappa(W) = \dim(W) - s,$$

where as before  $\dim(W)$  denotes the Krull dimension of W. As simple consequence of our developments for multigraded algebras, we have the following theorem for multigraded linear series (which we enunciate below for the sake of completeness).

**Theorem D** (Theorem 7.4). Under the above notations, let  $W \subset S(D_1,...,D_s)$  be a multigraded linear series and suppose that  $[W]_{e_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then, the following statements hold:

(i) The volume function

$$\mathsf{F}_{W}(\boldsymbol{n}) \ = \ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\Bbbk}\left([W]_{n\boldsymbol{n}}\right)}{n^{\kappa(W)}}$$

of W is well-defined for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{s}$ .

(ii) There exists a unique continuous function that is homogeneous of degree  $\kappa(W)$  and log-concave and that extends the volume function  $F_W(\mathbf{n})$  to the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ . This function is given by

$$F_W : \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \ell_W \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{\kappa(W)}(\Delta(W)_x)}{\operatorname{ind}(W)}$$

(iii) If W has a decomposable grading, then there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $G_W(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{R}[n_1, ..., n_s]$ of degree  $\kappa(W)$  with non-negative real coefficients such that

$$\mathsf{F}_{W}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathsf{G}_{W}(\mathbf{n})$$

for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{s}$ .

Finally, in Theorem 7.5, we express the mixed multiplicities of a multigraded linear series with decomposable grading in terms of the multidegrees of the multiprojective varieties obtained as the image of certain Kodaira rational maps.

1.4. **Organization of the paper.** The basic outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some important results and fix some notations. In Section 3, we deal with singly graded algebras and we prove Theorem A. In Section 4, we begin our study of multigraded algebras and we prove Theorem B. Our treatment of multigraded algebras with decomposable grading is made in Section 5, where we show Theorem C. In Section 6, we obtain some applications for the mixed multiplicities of graded families of ideals and for the mixed volumes of convex bodies. Finally, in Section 7, we apply our results on multigraded algebras to multigraded linear series and we prove Theorem D.

#### 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this preparatory section, we fix our notation and recall some important results to be used throughout the paper. We denote the set of non-negative integers by  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  and the set of positive integers by  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{1, 2, ...\}$ . Let  $d \ge 1$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..., n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  we denote by  $|\mathbf{n}|$  the sum of its entries. We also denote by  $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{N}^d$  the i-th elementary vector (0, ..., 1, ..., 0). For  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..., n_d)$  and  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, ..., m_d)$  in  $\mathbb{N}^d$  we write  $\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{m}$  if  $n_i \ge m_i$  for every  $1 \le i \le d$ . The null vector  $(0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ . Moreover, we write  $\mathbf{n} \gg \mathbf{0}$  if  $n_i \ge 0$  for every  $1 \le i \le d$ . We also use the abbreviations  $\mathbf{n}! = n_1! \cdots n_d!$  and  $\mathbf{n}^m = n_1^{m_1} \cdots n_d^{m_d}$ .

We first describe the notions and methods of Newton-Okounkov bodies and recall some important results from [21]. Let  $d \ge 1$ . Suppose that  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  is a semigroup in  $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ . Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the projection into the last component. Let L = L(S) be the linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  which is generated by S. Let M = M(S) be the rational half-space  $M(S) := L(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}) = L(S) \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0})$ , and let  $\partial M_{\mathbb{Z}} =$  $\partial M \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ . Let  $Con(S) \subset L(S)$  be the closed convex cone which is the closure of the set of all linear combinations  $\sum_i \lambda_i s_i$  with  $s_i \in S$  and  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ . Let  $G(S) \subset L(S)$  be the group generated by S.

We say that the semigroup S is *non-negative* if  $S \subset M$ ; additionally, if Con(S) is strictly convex and intersects the space  $\partial M$  only at the origin, then S is *strongly non-negative* (see [21, Definition 1.9 and §1.4]).

Following [21], when S is non-negative we fix the following notation:

- $[S]_n := S \cap \pi^{-1}(n) = S \cap (\mathbb{Z}^d \times \{n\}).$
- $\mathfrak{m}(S) := [\mathbb{Z} : \pi(G(S))].$
- $\text{ ind}(S) := [\partial M_{\mathbb{Z}} : G(S) \cap \partial M].$
- $-\Delta(S) := \operatorname{Con}(S) \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}(S))$  (the Newton-Okounkov body of S).
- Vol<sub>q</sub>( $\Delta(S)$ ) is the *integral volume* of  $\Delta(M, S)$  (see [21, Definition 1.13]); this volume is computed using the translation of the *integral measure* on  $\partial M$ .

The following result is of remarkable importance for us.

**Theorem 2.1** (Kaveh-Khovanskii, [21, Corollary 1.16]). Suppose that S is strongly non-negative. Let m = m(S) and  $q = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta(S))$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#[S]_{nm}}{n^{q}} = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{q}(\Delta(S))}{\operatorname{ind}(S)}$$

For a subset  $U \subset S$  of S and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $n \star U := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \mid u_1, \dots, u_n \in U \right\}$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\widehat{S}_p$  the subsemigroup of S generated by  $[S]_p$ , that is,

$$\left[\widehat{S}_{p}\right]_{np} = n \star [S]_{p}$$
 and  $\left[\widehat{S}_{p}\right]_{n} = 0$  if p does not divide n.

The following approximation theorem relates the semigroup S with the semigroups  $\widehat{S}_{pm}$  for p big enough.

**Theorem 2.2** ([21, Theorem 1.27], [25, Proposition 3.1]). Suppose that S is strongly non-negative. Let m = m(S) and  $q = \dim(\Delta(S))$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a positive real number. Then, for  $p \gg 0$  the following statements hold:

- (*i*) dim<sub> $\mathbb{R}$ </sub>( $\Delta(\widehat{S}_{pm})) = q$ .
- (*ii*)  $\operatorname{ind}(\widehat{S}_{pm}) = \operatorname{ind}(S)$ .
- (iii) We have the inequalities

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\#[S]_{n\,\mathfrak{m}}}{n^{\mathfrak{q}}} - \epsilon \ \leqslant \ \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\#(n\star[S]_{p\,\mathfrak{m}})}{n^{\mathfrak{q}}p^{\mathfrak{q}}} \ \leqslant \ \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\#[S]_{n\,\mathfrak{m}}}{n^{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

We now briefly recall Minkowski's theorem and the notion of mixed volume of convex bodies. Let  $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, ..., K_s)$  be a sequence of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For any sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  of non-negative integers, we denote by  $\lambda \mathbf{K}$  the Minkowski sum  $\lambda \mathbf{K} := \lambda_1 K_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s K_s$  and by  $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda}$  the multiset  $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda} := \bigcup_{i=1}^s \bigcup_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \{K_i\}$  of  $\lambda_i$  copies of  $K_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Below is the classical Minkowski's theorem (see, e.g., [31, Theorem 5.1.7]).

**Theorem 2.3** (Minkowski).  $Vol_d(\lambda K)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d.

We write the polynomial  $Vol_d(\lambda \mathbf{K})$  as

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{d}(\lambda \mathbf{K}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{s} |\mathbf{d}| = d} \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}!} \operatorname{MV}_{d}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{d}}) \lambda^{\mathbf{d}}$$

where  $MV_d(-)$  denotes the *mixed volume*.

Next, we concentrate on describing the dimension of a subalgebra of an algebra finitely generated over a field. Following the terminology of [23], we say that these algebras are *subfinite*. Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and A be a  $\Bbbk$ -algebra. We shall always denote by dim(A) the Krull dimension of A. We say that A is *subfinite over*  $\Bbbk$  if there exists a finitely generated  $\Bbbk$ -algebra B containing A. Denote by  $\delta_{\Bbbk}(A)$  the maximal number of elements in A which are algebraically independent over  $\Bbbk$ , that is,

 $\delta_{\Bbbk}(A) := \sup \{ d \mid \text{there exists } x_1, \dots, x_d \in A \text{ which are algebraically independent over } \Bbbk \}.$ 

Equivalently,  $\delta_{\Bbbk}(A) = d$  if d is the largest integer such that there is an inclusion  $\Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_d] \hookrightarrow A$  where  $\Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a polynomial ring over  $\Bbbk$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If A is finitely generated over  $\Bbbk$ , then dim(A) =  $\delta_{\Bbbk}(A)$ . This is a classical result that follows for instance from the Noether normalization theorem (see, e.g., [12, Theorem 13.3]).

The following theorem extends the above remark to algebras that are subfinite over  $\Bbbk$  (cf. [14]).

**Theorem 2.5** ([23, Theorem 4.6, Corollary 4.7]). Let A be a subfinite algebra over k. Then, the following statements hold:

(*i*) dim(A) =  $\delta_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ .

(*ii*) If  $B \supset A$  is a subfinite algebra over  $\Bbbk$ , then dim(B)  $\ge$  dim(A).

## 3. SINGLY GRADED ALGEBRAS OF ALMOST INTEGRAL TYPE

In this section, we extend the results of [21, Part II] regarding the asymptotic behavior of algebras of *almost integral type*. Our results are slightly more complete than the ones in [21, Part II] with respect to the following points:

- (1) We consider an arbitrary field k instead of assuming that k is algebraically closed.
- (2) We substitute the field  $\mathbb{F}$  by any reduced  $\Bbbk$ -algebra R.
- (3) We show that the asymptotic growth of an algebra of almost integral type is determined by its Krull dimension.

Similar results to the ones in this section were also obtained in [11] for graded linear series.

Let k be an arbitrary field and R be a reduced k-algebra. Let R[t] be a standard graded polynomial ring over R. Below we introduce the notion of almost integral type in our current setting.

- **Definition 3.1.** (i) A graded k-algebra  $A \subset R[t]$  is called of *integral type* if A is finitely generated over k and is a finitely generated module over the subalgebra generated by  $[A]_1$ .
- (ii) A graded k-algebra  $A \subset R[t]$  is called of *almost integral type* if  $A \subset B \subset R[t]$ , where B is a graded algebra of integral type.

Notice that, if  $A \subset R[t]$  is a graded k-algebra of almost integral, then  $\dim_{k}([A]_{n}) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By definition, one has that a graded k-algebra of almost integral type is a subfinite algebra over k. For any positively graded k-algebra A which is subfinite over k, we denote by  $G^{i}(A)$  the finitely generated graded k-algebra that is generated by the graded components  $[A]_{0}, \ldots, [A]_{i}$ , that is,

$$G^{\mathfrak{i}}(A) := \Bbbk [[A]_0, \dots, [A]_{\mathfrak{i}}] \subset A.$$

First, we discuss some properties of graded k-algebras that are subfinite over k.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let A be a positively graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra which is subfinite over  $\Bbbk$ . Then, the following statements hold:

- (i) There exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\dim(A) = \dim(G^i(A))$  for all  $i \ge i_0$ .
- (ii) Suppose that d = dim(A) > 0. Then there exists  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([A]_{nh}) > \alpha n^{d-1}$$

for all n > 0.

*Proof.* (i) This part follows from Theorem 2.5.

(ii) Let  $C = G^{i}(A)$  such that  $\dim(C) = d$ . Since C is finitely generated over k, by [15, Lemma 13.10, Remark 13.11], one has a positive integer h > 0 such that the Veronese subalgebra  $C^{(h)} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [C]_{nh}$  is a standard graded k-algebra. The ring  $[A]_{0}$  is Artinian because by assumption it is a finite dimensional vector space over k. As  $\dim(C^{(h)}) = \dim(C) = d$ , by [27, Corollary of Theorem 13.2] the Hilbert polynomial of  $C^{(h)}$  has degree d-1 and it coincides with length $_{[A]_{0}}([C]_{nh})$  for  $n \gg 0$ . Therefore, there exists a positive real number  $\alpha$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}([A]_{nh}) \ge \dim_{\mathbb{K}}([C]_{nh}) > \alpha n^{d-1}$  for all n > 0.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let A, B and C be graded k-algebras which are subfinite over k. Suppose there exists  $n_0 \ge 0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$  we have a short exact sequence  $0 \to [A]_n \to [B]_n \to [C]_n \to 0$ . Then dim(B) = max{dim(A),dim(C)}.

*Proof.* After choosing some  $h \ge n_0$ , we substitute A, B and C by the Veronese subalgebras  $A^{(h)}$ ,  $B^{(h)}$  and  $C^{(h)}$ , respectively. Thus we may assume that  $0 \rightarrow [A]_n \rightarrow [B]_n \rightarrow [C]_n \rightarrow 0$  is exact for all  $n \ge 1$ .

By invoking Theorem 2.5, we choose i > 0 such that  $\dim(G^i(B) \cap A) = \dim(A)$ ,  $\dim(G^i(B)) = \dim(B)$ and  $\dim(G^i(C)) = \dim(C)$ . Notice that we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \left[ \mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{i}}(\mathsf{B}) \cap \mathsf{A} \right]_{+} \to \left[ \mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{i}}(\mathsf{B}) \right]_{+} \to \left[ \mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{i}}(\mathsf{C}) \right]_{+} \to 0,$$

where  $[S]_+ = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [S]_n$  for any graded k-algebra S. From the above short exact sequence, we obtain that the ideal  $[G^i(B) \cap A]_+$  is finitely generated (as it is an ideal over  $G^i(B)$ ), which implies that  $G^i(B) \cap A$ is a finitely generated graded k-algebra (see, e.g., [3, Proposition 1.5.4]). We substitute A, B and C by  $G^i(B) \cap A$ ,  $G^i(B)$  and  $G^i(C)$ , respectively. So, we assume that A, B and C are finitely generated kalgebras.

Finally, by standard arguments we can show that  $\dim(B) = \max{\dim(A), \dim(C)}$ . We choose h > 0 such that  $0 \to A^{(h)} \to B^{(h)} \to C^{(h)} \to 0$  is a short exact sequence of standard graded k-algebras (see, e.g., [15, Lemma 13.10, Remark 13.11]), and then the result follows from the additivity of Hilbert polynomials and [27, Corollary of Theorem 13.2].

The following easy remark shows that we can always substitute R by a reduced finitely generated k-algebra (cf. [21, Proposition 2.25]).

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $A \subset R[t]$  be a graded k-algebra of almost integral type. By definition, let  $B \supset A$  be a graded k-algebra of integral type and suppose that  $f_{i_1}t^{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_m}t^{i_m}$  are generators of B as a k-algebra. Let  $R' \subset R$  be the reduced k-algebra generated by the elements  $f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_m} \in R$ . So, one has that  $A \subset R'[t]$  with R' being a reduced finitely generated k-algebra.

Thus, as a consequence of the above remark, we now safely assume that R is a reduced finitely generated algebra. Hence, let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  be the minimal primes of R. Since R is assumed to be reduced, we have a canonical inclusion  $R[t] \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} R/\mathfrak{p}_i[t]$ . Let  $A \subset R[t]$  be a graded k-algebra of almost integral type. For each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , let  $B^i$  be the k-subalgebra defined by

(2) 
$$\left[B^{i}\right]_{n} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} & \text{if } n = 0\\ \left[M^{i}\right]_{n} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $M^{i} := (A \cap \mathfrak{p}_{1}R[t] \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i-1}R[t]) / (A \cap \mathfrak{p}_{1}R[t] \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i-1}R[t] \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}R[t])$ . By construction,  $B^{i}$  is a graded k-algebra of almost integral type and we have a canonical inclusion  $B^{i} \hookrightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_{i}[t]$ .

Lemma 3.5. Under the above notation, the following statements hold:

(i) dim (A) = max { dim (B<sup>i</sup>) |  $1 \leq i \leq l$  }.

(ii) For all n > 0, one has  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}([A]_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \dim_{\mathbb{K}}([B^i]_n)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $1 \leq i \leq l$  we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to M^{\mathfrak{i}} \to \frac{A}{A \cap \mathfrak{p}_{1} R[\mathfrak{t}] \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}-1} R[\mathfrak{t}] \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}} R[\mathfrak{t}]} \to \frac{A}{A \cap \mathfrak{p}_{1} R[\mathfrak{t}] \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}-1} R[\mathfrak{t}]} \to 0.$$

Since R is reduced,  $\mathfrak{p}_1 R[t] \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\ell} R[t] = 0$ . Therefore, part (ii) is clear and part (i) follows from Lemma 3.3.

The following theorem contains the main result of this section. By using the general arguments above, the first idea in the proof is to reduce to the case when R is a domain. For organizational purposes, in the next subsection, we encapsulate a proof of Theorem 3.6 under the assumptions of R being a finitely generated k-domain (see Theorem 3.11).

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and R be a reduced  $\Bbbk$ -algebra. Let  $A \subset R[t]$  be a graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra of almost integral type. Suppose d = dim(A) > 0. Then, there exists an integer m > 0 such that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim_{\Bbbk}([A]_{nm})}{n^{d-1}} \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

exists and it is a positive real number.

*Proof.* By Remark 3.4, we assume that R is finitely generated over k. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$  are the minimal primes of R, and consider the algebras B<sup>i</sup> constructed in (2). Since each B<sup>i</sup>  $\subset R/\mathfrak{p}_i[t]$  is an algebra of almost integral type, Theorem 3.11 yields the existence of the following limits

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \left( \left[ B^{i} \right]_{n \mathfrak{m}_{i}} \right)}{\mathfrak{n}^{\mathfrak{d}_{i} - 1}} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathfrak{m}(B^i)$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_i = \dim(B^i)$ . Therefore, after taking  $\mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{lcm}(\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_\ell)$ , the result of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.5.

3.1. The case where R is a domain. The setup below is used throughout this subsection.

**Setup 3.7.** Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field, R be a finitely generated  $\Bbbk$ -domain and  $r = \operatorname{trdeg}_{\Bbbk}(\operatorname{Quot}(\mathsf{R}))$ . Let  $A \subset \mathsf{R}[t]$  be a graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra of almost integral type. Let  $d = \dim(A)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}(A)$  be the index  $\mathfrak{m}(A) := [\mathbb{Z} : G]$  of the subgroup G of  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid [A]_n \neq 0\}$ . For any p > 0, we denote by  $\widehat{A}_p$  the graded  $\Bbbk$ -subalgebra  $\widehat{A}_p := \Bbbk[[A]_p] \subset A$  generated by the graded part  $[A]_p$ . By regrading the algebra  $\widehat{A}_p$ , we obtain the standard graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra  $\widetilde{A}_p$  defined by  $[\widetilde{A}_p]_n := [\widehat{A}_p]_{np}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . As customary, let

$$e(\widetilde{A}_{p}) := (\dim(\widetilde{A}_{p}) - 1)! \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}} ([A_{p}]_{n})}{n^{\dim(\widetilde{A}_{p}) - 1}}$$

be the multiplicity of the standard graded k-algebra  $A_p$ .

**Remark 3.8.** We fix an order on  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  which is compatible with an addition, i.e., for any  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  with  $\mathbf{n} < \mathbf{m}$ , we have  $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{p} < \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{p}$ . One way to do this is to fix a linear function l on  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  with rationally independent coefficients and set  $\mathbf{n} < \mathbf{m} \iff l(\mathbf{n}) < l(\mathbf{m})$  for  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ .

We assume that Quot(R) admits a valuation  $\nu : Quot(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$  such that  $\nu(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{k} \subset Quot(R)$ . We further suppose that  $\nu : Quot(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$  is *faithful* and has *leaves of bounded dimension*. By Proposition 3.13 we can always construct such a valuation. The faithfulness of  $\nu$  means that  $\nu(Quot(R)) = \mathbb{Z}^r$ . For any  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..., n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , we define  $K_{\mathbf{n}} := \{f \in Quot(R) \mid \nu(f) \ge \mathbf{n}\}$  and  $K_{\mathbf{n}}^+ := \{f \in Quot(R) \mid \nu(f) \ge \mathbf{n}\}$ , and we say that the *leaf with value*  $\mathbf{n}$  is given by

$$\mathsf{L}_{\mathbf{n}} := \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}/\mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}.$$

We say that  $\nu$  has leaves with bounded dimension if  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^r} (\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(L_n)) < \infty$ . Let  $\ell$  be the maximal dimension of the leaves of  $\nu$ :

$$\ell := \max_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \big( \dim_{\Bbbk} (\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{n}}) \big).$$

For every  $t \ge 1$ , we define

(3) 
$$\Gamma_{A}^{(t)} := \left\{ (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = (\mathbf{n}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{r}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r} \times \mathbb{N} \mid \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( K_{\mathbf{n}} \cap [A]_{\mathbf{n}} / K_{\mathbf{n}}^{+} \cap [A]_{\mathbf{n}} \right) \ge t \right\}.$$

For any  $n \ge 0$  and  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  one has the inequalities

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \left( \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}} \cap [\mathsf{A}]_{\mathfrak{n}} / \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+} \cap [\mathsf{A}]_{\mathfrak{n}} \right) \, \leqslant \, \dim_{\Bbbk}(\mathsf{L}_{\mathbf{n}}) \, \leqslant \, \ell.$$

We consider the integer

(4) 
$$\ell_A := \max\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Gamma_A^{(t)} \not\subseteq \{0\}\right\} \leqslant \ell$$

Then, we have the following equalities

(5)  
$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([A]_{n}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}\left(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^{r}}\left(\mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}\cap[A]_{n}/\mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\cap[A]_{n}\right)\right)$$
$$=\sum_{\mathbf{t}=1}^{\ell_{A}}\#\left[\Gamma_{A}^{(\mathbf{t})}\right]_{n}$$

for all  $n \ge 0$ .

We have the following general lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N}$  be a strongly non-negative semigroup and let  $\Gamma \subset S$  be a non-trivial semigroup *ideal* (*i.e.*,  $a + S \subset \Gamma$  *for all*  $a \in S$ ), *then* 

- (*i*)  $\Delta(\Gamma) = \Delta(S)$ .
- (*ii*)  $\operatorname{ind}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{ind}(S)$ .
- (*iii*)  $m(\Gamma) = m(S)$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \Gamma$  be any point, then we have an inclusion  $a + S \subset \Gamma$ , and hence  $G(S) = G(\Gamma)$  and, in particular,  $ind(\Gamma) = ind(S)$  and  $m(\Gamma) = m(S)$ . Moreover, we obtain inclusions of closed convex cones

$$\operatorname{Con}(S) + \mathfrak{a} \subset \operatorname{Con}(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{Con}(S),$$

which guaranties the equalities  $Con(S) = Con(\Gamma)$  and  $\Delta(\Gamma) = \Delta(S)$ .

In the next proposition, we study some properties of  $\Gamma_A^{(t)}$ . For the special case t = 1, we simply write  $\Gamma_A := \Gamma_A^{(1)}$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** Suppose that  $1 \le t \le \ell_A$  (that is,  $\Gamma_A^{(t)} \not\subseteq \{0\}$ ). Then, the following statements hold:

(*i*)  $\Gamma_A^{(t)} \subseteq \Gamma_A$ .

(ii)  $\Gamma_A$  is a semigroup, and  $\Gamma_A^{(t)}$  is a semigroup ideal of  $\Gamma_A$ . In particular, one has that

$$\Delta(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \Delta(\Gamma_A), \quad ind(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = ind(\Gamma_A) \quad and \quad \mathfrak{m}(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \mathfrak{m}(A).$$

(iii)  $\Gamma_A^{(t)}$  is strongly non-negative.

*Proof.* (i) This part is clear.

(ii) Let  $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = (n_1, ..., n_r, \mathbf{n}) \in \Gamma_A^{(t)}$  and  $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}) = (m_1, ..., m_r, \mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma_A$ . Then we can choose elements  $f_1, ..., f_t \in [A]_n$  such that  $\nu(f_i) = \mathbf{n}$  and the classes  $\overline{f_1}, ..., \overline{f_t}$  are linearly independent over  $\Bbbk$  in  $K_{\mathbf{n}} \cap [A]_n / K_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \cap [A]_n$ . Similarly, let  $g \in [A]_m$  such that  $\nu(g) = \mathbf{m}$ .

By contradiction, suppose that the classes of  $gf_1, \dots, gf_t \in [A]_{n+m}$  are k-linearly dependent in

 $K_{n+m} \cap [A]_{n+m} / K_{n+m}^+ \cap [A]_{n+m}.$ 

Thus, there exist  $a_1 \dots, a_t \in \mathbb{k}$  such that  $\nu(a_1 g f_1 + \dots + a_t g f_t) > \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}$ , which implies that  $\nu(a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_t f_t) > \mathbf{n}$ . But, the last inequality  $\nu(a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_t f_t) > \mathbf{n}$  yields the contradiction  $a_1 \overline{f_1} + \dots + a_t \overline{f_t} = 0 \in K_{\mathbf{n}} \cap [A]_{\mathbf{n}} / K_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \cap [A]_{\mathbf{n}}$ . So, as required we have  $(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}) \in \Gamma_A^{(t)}$ .

Finally, Lemma 3.9 implies that  $\Delta(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \Delta(\Gamma_A)$ ,  $\operatorname{ind}(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \operatorname{ind}(\Gamma_A)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \mathfrak{m}(\Gamma_A)$ . Also, notice that  $\mathfrak{m}(\Gamma_A) = \mathfrak{m}(A)$ .

(iii) Since  $\Gamma_A^{(t)} \subset \Gamma_A$ , it is enough to show that  $\Gamma_A$  is strongly non-negative. By definition, there is a graded k-algebra  $B \subset R[t]$  of integral type such that  $A \subset B$ . Consider the semigroup

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}} := \left\{ (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{N} \mid \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}} \cap [\mathrm{B}]_{\mathbf{n}} / \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+} \cap [\mathrm{B}]_{\mathbf{n}} \neq 0 \right\}$$

determined by B. After possibly extending B by a bigger algebra of integral type, we can assume that  $G(\Gamma_B) = \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$  (see [21, Lemma 2.29]). Since B is a finitely generated module over a standard graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra and dim(B)  $\leq r+1$ , it follows that dim<sub>k</sub>([B]<sub>n</sub>) becomes a polynomial of degree bounded by r for  $n \gg 0$ . So, [21, Theorem 1.18] implies that  $\Gamma_B$  is strongly non-negative, and since  $\Gamma_A \subset \Gamma_B$ , the result follows.

The following theorem deals with the asymptotic behavior of the growth of A. The semigroup  $\Gamma_A$  is given by

$$\Gamma_{\!A} \ = \ \Gamma_{\!A}^{(1)} \ = \ \left\{ (\nu(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N} \ \mid \ 0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \in [A]_{\mathfrak{n}} \right\}$$

and we call it the *valued semigroup* of the graded k-algebra A. To simplify notation, we denote  $\Delta(\Gamma_A)$  and ind( $\Gamma_A$ ) by  $\Delta(A)$  and ind(A), respectively.

**Theorem 3.11.** Assume Setup 3.7, set m = m(A) and let d = dim(A) and  $\ell_A$  be as in (4). Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([A]_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}})}{\mathfrak{n}^{d-1}} = \ell_{A} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{d-1}(\Delta(A))}{\operatorname{ind}(A)},$$

and we have the equality

$$(d-1)! \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([A]_{n\mathfrak{m}})}{\mathfrak{n}^{d-1}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e(A_{p\mathfrak{m}})}{p^{d-1}}$$

*Proof.* For any p > 0 and t > 0 we consider the semigroup

$$\Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}^{(t)} = \left\{ (\mathbf{n}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N} \mid \left( \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}} \cap \left[ \widehat{A}_{pm} \right]_n / \mathsf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \cap \left[ \widehat{A}_{pm} \right]_n \right) \ge t \right\};$$

these semigroups play the same role for  $\widehat{A}_{pm}$  than the semigroups in (3) for A.

By using the inclusions

(6) 
$$n \star \left[ \Gamma_{A}^{(t)} \right]_{pm} \subset \left[ \Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}^{(t)} \right]_{npm} \subset \left[ \Gamma_{A}^{(t)} \right]_{npm}$$

and Theorem 2.2, we can choose  $p \gg 0$  such that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \Delta \left( \Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}^{(t)} \right) \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \Delta \left( \Gamma_{A}^{(t)} \right) \right).$$

From Theorem 2.5, by possibly making p larger, we can assume that  $\dim(\widehat{A}_{pm}) = d$ . After regrading  $\widehat{A}_{pm}$  and considering the standard graded k-algebra  $\widetilde{A}_{pm}$ , we obtain the existence of a polynomial  $Q_{pm}(n)$  of degree d-1 such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}([\widehat{A}_{pm}]_{npm}) = Q_{pm}(n)$  for  $n \gg 0$  (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 4.1.3]). Similarly to (5), we have the equality

(7) 
$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\left[\widehat{A}_{pm}\right]_{npm}\right) = \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}} \#\left[\Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}^{(t)}\right]_{npm}$$

From the inclusion  $\Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}^{(t)} \subset \Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}$ , it then necessarily follows that the growth of  $\#[\Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}]_{npm}$  is asymptotically a polynomial of degree d-1. So, by applying Theorem 2.1 to the semigroup  $\Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}$ , we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \Delta(A) \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \Delta(\Gamma_{\widehat{A}_{pm}}) \right) = d - 1.$$

From Proposition 3.10, we also have  $\Delta(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \Delta(A)$ ,  $\operatorname{ind}(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \operatorname{ind}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(\Gamma_A^{(t)}) = \mathfrak{m}(A) = \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $1 \leq t \leq \ell_A$ . Therefore, by using (5), Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 2.1, we obtain the equality

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([A]_{n\mathfrak{m}})}{\mathfrak{n}^{d-1}} = \ell_A \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{d-1}(\Delta(A))}{\operatorname{ind}(A)}$$

So, the first statement of the theorem holds.

From (6) and Theorem 2.2, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $p \gg 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \left[ \Gamma_A^{(t)} \right]_{nm}}{n^{d-1}} - \varepsilon \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \left[ \Gamma_{\widehat{\lambda}_{pm}}^{(t)} \right]_{npm}}{n^{d-1} p^{d-1}} \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \left[ \Gamma_A^{(t)} \right]_{nm}}{n^{d-1}}$$

for all t. Finally, by (7) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim_{\Bbbk}([A]_{nm})}{n^{d-1}} = \lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{1}{p^{d-1}}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim_{\Bbbk}([\widehat{A}_{pm}]_{npm})}{n^{d-1}}\right) = \frac{1}{(d-1)!}\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{e(\widetilde{A}_{pm})}{p^{d-1}},$$

which gives the second claimed equality.

3.2. Valuations with bounded leaves. In this subsection, we show that the valuations used in \$3.1 can always be constructed. Here we continue using Setup 3.7. We start with the following lemma that will allow us construct a valuation on Quot(R).

**Lemma 3.12.** There exists a regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{k}')$  such that  $\mathbb{R} \subset S$ ,  $\operatorname{Quot}(S) = \operatorname{Quot}(\mathbb{R})$  and the residue field  $\mathbb{k}' = S/\mathfrak{m}$  is finite over  $\mathbb{k}$ .

Proof. From [32, Proposition 07PJ] and [32, Definition 07P7], we have

 $\operatorname{Reg}(R) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \mid R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is a regular local ring} \}$ 

 $\square$ 

is an open subset of Spec(R). As R is a domain, Reg(R) is non-empty. Then we can choose  $0 \neq g \in R$  such that Spec(R<sub>g</sub>)  $\subset$  Reg(R). Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset$  Spec(R<sub>g</sub>) be a maximal ideal of R<sub>g</sub>. Therefore, by setting S = (R<sub>g</sub>)<sub> $\mathfrak{m}$ </sub> the result follows.

From Lemma 3.12, fix a regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{k}')$  such that  $\mathbb{R} \subset S$ ,  $\operatorname{Quot}(S) = \operatorname{Quot}(\mathbb{R})$  and the residue field  $\mathbb{k}' = S/\mathfrak{m}$  is finite over  $\mathbb{k}$ . Let  $r = \dim(S) = \operatorname{trdeg}_{\mathbb{k}}(\operatorname{Quot}(\mathbb{R}))$ , and choose  $y_1, \ldots, y_r \subset \mathfrak{m}$  a regular system of parameters for S. Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_r$  be rationally independent real numbers with  $b_i \ge 1$  for every  $1 \le i \le r$ . Since S is a regular local ring and  $\operatorname{Quot}(S) = \operatorname{Quot}(\mathbb{R})$ , we can construct a valuation  $\omega$  on  $\operatorname{Quot}(\mathbb{R})$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$\omega\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathbf{n}_{1}}\cdots\mathbf{y}_{r}^{\mathbf{n}_{r}}\right)=\mathbf{n}_{1}\mathbf{b}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{n}_{r}\mathbf{b}_{r} \in \mathbb{R}$$

for every  $(n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ , and  $\omega(\gamma) = 0$  if  $\gamma \in S$  has non-zero residue in  $\mathbb{k}' = S/\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $(V_\omega, \mathfrak{m}_\omega, \mathbb{k}_\omega)$  be the valuation ring of  $\omega$  in Quot(R). Notice that  $V_\omega$  dominates S and we have the equality  $\mathbb{k}_\omega = \mathbb{k}'$ . Since  $b_1, \ldots, b_r$  are rationally independent, we can define a function  $\varphi : \text{Quot}(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$  determined by  $\varphi(f) = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$  if  $f \in \text{Quot}(R)$  and  $\omega(f) = n_1 b_1 + \cdots + n_r b_r$ . As in Remark 3.8, we now fix the order on  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  that is determined by the linear function  $l : \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \mapsto n_1 b_1 + \cdots + n_r b_r$ . Therefore, we obtain the valuation  $\nu : \text{Quot}(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ ,  $f \mapsto \varphi(f)$  for which the proposition below is valid.

**Proposition 3.13.** There is a valuation  $\nu : \text{Quot}(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$  that satisfies the following conditions:

- (*i*)  $\nu(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{k} \subset \text{Quot}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (*ii*)  $\nu$  is faithful.
- (iii)  $\nu$  has leaves of bounded dimension.

## 4. MULTIGRADED ALGEBRAS OF ALMOST INTEGRAL TYPE

Here we define and study a volume function for multigraded algebras of almost integral (see (9)), and we relate this function to certain global Newton-Okounkov bodies. In [25], similar volume functions have been considered for the case of multigraded linear series in an irreducible projective variety over an algebraically closed field. First, for the sake of completeness, we recall the notion of mixed multiplicities for the well-studied case of standard multigraded algebras, and we explain how this volume function encodes the mixed multiplicities.

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and A be a standard  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra (i.e., it is finitely generated over  $\Bbbk$  by elements of degree  $\mathbf{e}_i$  for  $1 \le i \le s$ ). Suppose A is a domain and set  $d = \dim(A)$ . Let  $P_A(\mathbf{n}) = P_A(\mathbf{n}_1, ..., \mathbf{n}_s)$  be the multigraded Hilbert polynomial of A (see, e.g., [18, Theorem 4.1], [6, Theorem 3.4]). Then, the degree of  $P_A$  is equal to  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}$  and  $P_A(\mathbf{n}) = \dim_{\Bbbk}([A]_{\mathbf{n}})$  for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $\mathbf{n} \gg \mathbf{0}$ . Furthermore, if we write

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{d}_s \geqslant 0} e(\mathbf{d}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{d}_s) \binom{\mathsf{n}_1 + \mathsf{d}_1}{\mathsf{d}_1} \cdots \binom{\mathsf{n}_s + \mathsf{d}_s}{\mathsf{d}_s},$$

then  $0 \leq e(d_1, \ldots, d_s) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $d_1 + \cdots + d_s = q$ . For  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$  with  $|\mathbf{d}| = q$ , we say that

$$\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{d};\mathbf{A}) := \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{d}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{d}_s)$$

is the *mixed multiplicity of* A *of type* **d**. We define a function

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathbf{n}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([\mathsf{A}]_{n\mathbf{n}})}{n^{\mathsf{q}}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([\mathsf{A}]_{(nn_{1},\dots,nn_{s})})}{n^{\mathsf{q}}}.$$

For all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{s}$ , we have  $F_{A}(\mathbf{n}) = G_{A}(\mathbf{n})$  where  $G_{A}(\mathbf{n})$  is the homogeneous polynomial

$$G_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{n}) := \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} e(\mathbf{d}; \mathcal{A}) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}} = \sum_{d_1 + \dots + d_s = q} e(d_1, \dots, d_s; \mathcal{A}) n_1^{d_1} \cdots n_s^{d_s}.$$

So, the function  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  encodes the mixed multiplicities of A.

Let k be a field and R be a k-domain. We introduce new variables  $t_1, \ldots, t_s$  over R and consider  $R[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$  as a standard  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded polynomial ring where  $deg(t_i) = e_i \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . We have the following definition.

- **Definition 4.2.** (i) An  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} [A]_n \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  is called of *integral type* if A is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{k}$  and is a finite module over the subalgebra generated by  $[A]_{\mathbf{e}_1}, [A]_{\mathbf{e}_2}, \dots, [A]_{\mathbf{e}_s}$ .
- (ii) An  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} [A]_n \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  is called of *almost integral type* if  $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$ , where B is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of integral type.

The following setup is used throughout the rest of this section.

**Setup 4.3.** Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and R be a  $\Bbbk$ -domain. Let  $A \subset R[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  be an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra of almost integral type. Set  $d = \dim(A)$  and q = d - s. We assume that  $[A]_{e_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Let  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ . We consider the graded k-algebra

(8) 
$$A^{(\mathbf{n})} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [A]_{n\mathbf{n}}$$

By definition,  $A^{(n)}$  is a graded k-algebra of almost integral type with a canonical inclusion  $A^{(n)} \hookrightarrow R[t]$ into a standard graded polynomial ring R[t]. Denote by  $A_{(0)} \subset Quot(A)$  the subfield of fractions of multihomogeneous elements with the same degree, that is,

$$A_{(0)} := \Big\{ \frac{x}{y} \in \operatorname{Quot}(A) \mid x, y \in A \text{ multi-homogeneous elements with } y \neq 0 \text{ and } \deg(x) = \deg(y) \Big\}.$$

Let  $0 \neq f_i \in [A]_{e_i}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Since  $\operatorname{Quot}(A) = A_{(0)}(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  transcendental elements over  $A_{(0)}$ , Theorem 2.5 yields that  $\dim(A) = s + \operatorname{trdeg}_{\Bbbk}(A_{(0)})$ . In the same way,  $\operatorname{Quot}(A^{(n)}) = A_{(0)}(f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_s^{n_s})$  with  $f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_s^{n_s}$  transcendental over  $A_{(0)}$ , and Theorem 2.5 yields that  $\dim(A^{(n)}) = 1 + \operatorname{trdeg}_{\Bbbk}(A_{(0)})$ . It follows that  $\dim(A^{(n)}) = \dim(A) - s + 1 = d - s + 1$ . Therefore, for  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ , Theorem 3.11 applied to the algebra  $A^{(n)}$  gives a well-defined function

(9) 
$$F_{A}(\mathbf{n}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([A]_{n\mathbf{n}})}{n^{q}}$$

with q = d - s. We say that  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  is the *volume function* of the  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra A.

The following simple example shows that, for an arbitrary algebra of almost integral type A,  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  may not coincide with a polynomial (also, see [10, §7]). So, in general we do not have a suitable extension of Remark 4.1.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $R = \Bbbk[x]$  be a polynomial ring and consider  $R[t_1, t_2]$ . Let  $\alpha(n_1, n_2) = \left| 2\sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2} \right|$ , where  $\lceil \beta \rceil$  denotes the ceiling function of a real number  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define the family of vector spaces

$$[A]_{(n_1,n_2)} = \left( \bigoplus_{j=\alpha(n_1,n_2)}^{2(n_1+n_2)} \Bbbk \cdot x^j \right) t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} \subset \left[ R[t_1,t_2] \right]_{(n_1,n_2)}$$

over k. Then,  $A = \bigoplus_{(n_1,n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2} [A]_{(n_1,n_2)} \subset R[t_1,t_2]$  is naturally an  $\mathbb{N}^2$ -graded k-algebra. Since  $A \subset B$  with  $B = k [xt_1, x^2t_1, xt_2, x^2t_2]$ , it follows that A is an  $\mathbb{N}^2$ -graded k-algebra of almost integral type. However, in this case the corresponding function  $F_A(n_1, n_2)$  is equal to

$$F_{A}(n_{1}, n_{2}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( [A]_{(nn_{1}, nn_{2})} \right)}{n}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2(nn_{1} + nn_{2}) - \alpha(nn_{1}, nn_{2}) + 1}{n}$$
  
= 
$$2(n_{1} + n_{2}) - 2\sqrt{n_{1}^{2} + n_{2}^{2}}.$$

Therefore, in this case  $F_A(n_1, n_2)$  is not a polynomial function.

4.1. Global Newton-Okounkov bodies. Here we study the function  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  for an arbitrary algebra of almost integral type and we relate it to the construction of certain "global Newton-Okounkov bodies". We continue using Setup 4.3. Since we may assume that R is finitely generated over k (see Remark 3.4), we fix a valuation  $\nu : \operatorname{Quot}(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$  that satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.13; in particular,  $\nu$  has leaves of bounded dimension. By using the fixed valuation  $\nu : \operatorname{Quot}(R) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ , we make the following construction.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\Gamma_A$  be the valued semigroup of the  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra A:

$$\Gamma_{\mathsf{A}} := \left\{ (\mathbf{v}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathbf{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N}^s \mid 0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathsf{A}]_{\mathbf{m}} \right\}.$$

We define  $\Delta(A) = \operatorname{Con}(\Gamma_A) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  to be the closed convex cone generated by  $\Gamma_A$  and we call it the *global Newton-Okounkov body* of A.

**Remark 4.6.** By abusing notation and following [25], although  $\Delta(A)$  is actually a cone, we call  $\Delta(A)$  the global Newton-Okounkov body.

We consider the following diagram



where  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  denote the natural projections. We denote the *fiber* of the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$  over  $x \in \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  by  $\Delta(A)_x := \Delta(A) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(x)$ . Since A is a domain and  $[A]_{\mathbf{e}_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{m}(A^{(\mathbf{n})}) = 1$  and  $\Delta(A^{(\mathbf{n})}) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \{1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . The following theorem describes the Newton-Okounkov bodies of the graded k-algebra  $A^{(\mathbf{n})}$  (see (8)).

**Theorem 4.7.** Assume Setup 4.3. The fiber  $\Delta(A)_{\mathbf{n}}$  of the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$  coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A^{(\mathbf{n})})$  for each  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+$ , that is:

$$\pi_1(\Delta(\mathsf{A})_{\mathbf{n}}) \times \{1\} = \pi_1(\Delta(\mathsf{A}) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\mathbf{n})) \times \{1\} = \Delta(\mathsf{A}^{(\mathbf{n})}) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \{1\}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 4.7 it is convenient to use the following well-known result.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N}$  be a strongly non-negative semigroup. The Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(S)$  can be computed as

$$\Delta(S) = \left\{ \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{n}, \mathbf{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\mathbf{m}) \in S \text{ for all } \mathbf{n} > 0 \right\},\$$

where  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}(S)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.7.* Fix a vector  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$  of positive integers. The statement follows from the fact that the valued semigroup of  $A^{(\mathbf{n})}$  is given by

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}^{(\mathbf{n})}} = \left\{ (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{N} \mid (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \right\}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.8 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{n})}) &= \overline{\left\{ \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{n}}, 1\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{A}} \text{ for all } \mathbf{n} > 0 \right\}} \\ &= \pi_{1} \left( \overline{\left\{ \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{s} \mid (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{A}} \text{ for all } \mathbf{n} > 0 \right\}} \right) \times \{1\} \\ &= \pi_{1} \left( \Delta(\mathbf{A}) \cap \pi_{2}^{-1}(\mathbf{n}) \right) \times \{1\} \\ &= \pi_{1} \left( \Delta(\mathbf{A})_{\mathbf{n}} \right) \times \{1\}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

The following lemma provides a required uniformity result for the algebras  $A^{(n)}$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** Let  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+$  and  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+$ . Then, one has  $\operatorname{ind}(A^{(n)}) = \operatorname{ind}(A^{(m)})$  and  $\ell_{A^{(n)}} = \ell_{A^{(m)}}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n \ge 0$  we have a canonical multiplication map

$$\left[A^{(\mathbf{n})}\right]_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \left[A^{(\mathbf{m})}\right]_{\mathbf{n}} \to \left[A^{(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})}\right]_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathbf{a} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathbf{a}' \mapsto \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}'.$$

Then, by proceeding similarly to Proposition 3.10, we obtain that  $ind(A^{(n)}) \ge ind(A^{(n+m)})$  and  $\ell_{A^{(n)}} \le \ell_{A^{(n+m)}}$ .

Take k > 0 big enough such that  $(k-1) \cdot \mathbf{n} > \mathbf{m}$ . As above, by considering the multiplication maps  $[A^{(n+m)}]_n \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} [A^{((k-1)\cdot\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{m})}]_n \to [A^{(k\cdot\mathbf{n})}]_n$ , we get  $\operatorname{ind}(A^{(n+m)}) \ge \operatorname{ind}(A^{(k\cdot\mathbf{n})})$  and  $\ell_{A^{(n+m)}} \leqslant \ell_{A^{(k\cdot\mathbf{n})}}$ . Since  $\operatorname{ind}(A^{(n)}) = \operatorname{ind}(A^{(k\cdot\mathbf{n})})$  and  $\ell_{A^{(n)}} = \ell_{A^{(k\cdot\mathbf{n})}}$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{ind}(A^{(n)}) = \operatorname{ind}(A^{(n+m)})$  and  $\ell_{A^{(n)}} = \ell_{A^{(n+m)}}$ .

Symmetrically, we also have that  $ind(A^{(m)}) = ind(A^{(n+m)})$  and  $\ell_{A^{(m)}} = \ell_{A^{(n+m)}}$ .

# Definition 4.10. By using Lemma 4.9, we set:

- (i) ind(A) be the index of A which is the constant value of ind(A<sup>(n)</sup>) for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ .
- (ii)  $\ell_A$  be the maximal dimension of leaves of A which is the constant value of  $\ell_{A^{(n)}}$  for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ .

The corollary below gives an important characterization of the volume function of A in terms of the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$ .

**Corollary 4.11.** Assume Setup 4.3. There exists a unique continuous homogeneous function of degree q extending the volume function  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  defined in (9) to the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ . This function is given by

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{s}}_{\geqslant 0} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathsf{x} \mapsto \ell_{\mathsf{A}} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{\mathsf{q}}(\Delta(\mathsf{A})_{\mathsf{x}})}{\operatorname{ind}(\mathsf{A})}$$

Moreover, the function  $F_A$  is log-concave:

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{x}+\mathsf{y})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{x})^{\frac{1}{q}} + \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{y})^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{for all } \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{s}}_{\geq 0}.$$

*Proof.* By combining Theorem 3.11, Theorem 4.7 and Lemma 4.9, it follows that  $F_A : \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \ell_A \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_q(\Delta(A)_x)}{\operatorname{ind}(A)}$  extends the function  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  defined in (9) for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+$ .

Now, the homogeneity follows from the fact that  $\Delta(A)_{\lambda \cdot x} = \lambda \cdot \Delta(A)_x$ . Since  $\Delta(A)$  is convex we have  $\Delta(A)_x + \Delta(A)_y \subset \Delta(A)_{x+y}$  and hence the log-concavity follows from Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for the volume of convex bodies.

As our next example shows, in general, Corollary 4.11 is the most general statement about volume function  $F_A$  for some multigraded algebra A.

**Example 4.12** (Every concave function is a volume function). Let  $R = \Bbbk[u]$  be a polynomial ring and consider the polynomial ring  $R[t_1, ..., t_s]$ . Let further  $f : \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be any non-negative, homogeneous of degree 1, concave function. We define a family of vector spaces indexed by  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ :

$$[A]_{\mathbf{n}} = \left(\bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq f(\mathbf{n})} \Bbbk \cdot u^{i}\right) \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}} \subset \left[\mathsf{R}[\mathsf{t}_{1}, \dots, \mathsf{t}_{s}]\right]_{\mathbf{n}}$$

The algebra  $A_f := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} [A]_n \subset R[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  is naturally an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra. Since f is concave and homogeneous, the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A_f)$  of  $A_f$  is a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^{s+1}$  given by:

$$\Delta(A_{f}) = \left\{ (y, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{s}_{\geq 0} \mid 0 \leq y \leq f(x) \right\}.$$

In particular, we get  $F_{A_f}(x) = f(x)$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ .

However in some cases one can say more about function  $F_A(x)$ . Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^s$  be a convex cone and consider a family  $\{\Delta_x\}_{x \in C}$  of convex bodies of dimension q parametrised by C. We say  $\{\Delta_x\}_{x \in C}$  is *linear* if  $\Delta_{\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y} = \lambda_1 \Delta_x + \lambda_2 \Delta_y$  for any  $x, y \in C$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ . According to Minkowski's theorem (see Theorem 2.3), the volume of a linear family of convex bodies is a homogeneous polynomial. Thus we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.13.** Assume that the fibers of the global Newton-Okounkov body form a linear family of convex bodies. Then the function  $F_A(x)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree q.

Proof. Since

$$F_{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \ell_{A} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{q}(\Delta(A)_{\mathbf{x}})}{\operatorname{ind}(A)},$$

the statement of the proposition follows from Minkowski's theorem and the fact that  $\Delta_x = x_1 \Delta_{e_1} + \dots + x_s \Delta_{e_s}$  for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ .

Another important case is when the global Newton-Okounkov body is a polyhedral cone. In this case, the multiplicity function is a piecewise polynomial with respect to some fan supported on the positive orthant.

**Proposition 4.14.** Assume that the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$  is a polyhedral cone. Then there exists a fan  $\Sigma$  supported on  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{s}$  such that the function  $F_{A}(x)$  is polynomial at each cone of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, by [22, Proposition 4.1], for any polyhedral cone  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^s$  with  $\pi_2(C) = \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ , the family  $\{C \cap \pi_2^{-1}(x)\}_{x \in C}$  of polytopes is piecewise linear with respect to some fan  $\Sigma$  supported on  $\mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ . Therefore, the proposition follows from Minkowski's theorem.

**Example 4.15.** Let  $R = \Bbbk[u]$  be a polynomial ring and consider the polynomial ring  $R[t_1, t_2]$ . Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function defined by  $f(x_1, x_2) = \min(x_1, x_2)$ . We define a family of vector spaces:

$$[A]_{(n_1,n_2)} = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{f(n_1,n_2)} \mathbb{k} u^i \right) t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} \subset \left[ R[t_1,t_2] \right]_{(n_1,n_2)}$$

The algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \subset R[t_1, t_2]$  is naturally an  $\mathbb{N}^2$ -graded algebra. The global Newton-Okounkov body of A is a cone C in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  generated by vectors (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1) and, as in Example 4.12,  $F_A(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2) = \min(x_1, x_2)$ .

#### 5. MULTIGRADED ALGEBRAS OF ALMOST INTEGRAL TYPE WITH DECOMPOSABLE GRADING

In this section, we introduce and study the notion of mixed multiplicities for certain multigraded algebras of almost integral type. We treat a family of algebras that we call *algebras with decomposable grading*. Our approach is inspired by the methods used in [7, 8, 10].

**Definition 5.1.** An  $\mathbb{N}^{s}$ -graded algebra A is said to have a *decomposable grading* if we have the equality

$$[\mathbf{A}]_{(\mathbf{n}_1,\mathbf{n}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{n}_s)} = [\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{e}_1} \cdot [\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{n}_2\mathbf{e}_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot [\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{n}_s\mathbf{e}_s}$$

for all  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ .

We now proceed to define the mixed multiplicities of a multipraded algebra A of almost integral type with decomposable grading. Here we extend Remark 4.1: our approach relies on showing that the corresponding function  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  coincides with a polynomial  $G_A(\mathbf{n})$  when  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ . We use this polynomial to define the mixed multiplicities of A. For the rest of this section we use the following setting.

**Setup 5.2.** Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and R be a  $\Bbbk$ -domain. Let  $A \subset R[t_1, ..., t_s]$  be an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\Bbbk$ -algebra of almost integral type with decomposable grading. Set  $d = \dim(A)$  and q = d - s. We assume that  $[A]_{\mathbf{e}_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

For each  $p \ge 1$ , let

$$\widehat{A}_{[\mathbf{p}]} := \mathbb{k}[[A]_{\mathbf{pe}_1}, \dots, [A]_{\mathbf{pe}_s}] \subset A$$

be the  $\mathbb{N}^{s}$ -graded algebra generated by  $[A]_{pe_{1}}, \dots, [A]_{pe_{s}}$ , and denote by  $\widetilde{A}_{[p]} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}} \left[ \widehat{A}_{[p]} \right]_{p\mathbf{n}}$  the standard  $\mathbb{N}^{s}$ -graded algebra obtained by regrading  $\widehat{A}_{[p]}$ . For  $p \gg 0$ , Theorem 2.5 and the fact that A has a decomposable grading imply that dim  $(\widetilde{A}_{[p]}) = d$ . Then, by Remark 4.1 the function  $F_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})$  coincides with the homogeneous polynomial

(10) 
$$G_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]}) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}}$$

for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ , where  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}$  and  $e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]})$  denotes the mixed multiplicity of  $\widetilde{A}_{[p]}$  of type  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ .

For each  $a \ge 1$ , we consider the a-truncation  $G^{[\alpha]}(A) := \mathbb{k} \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \bigcup_{j=1}^{a} [A]_{je_i} \right] \subset A$  of A which is the subalgebra generated by the graded components  $[A]_{je_i}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq a$ . The next proposition says that the  $\alpha$ -truncations can be used to approximate  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Assume Setup 5.2. For each  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..., n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ , we have the equality

$$\mathsf{F}_{A}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{G}^{[a]}(A)}(\mathbf{n}).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ . To simplify notation, set  $C = A^{(n)}$  and  $D^{\alpha} = (G^{[\alpha]}(A))^{(n)}$ . Note that  $F_A(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{K}}([C]_n)}{n^q}$  and  $F_{G^{[\alpha]}(A)}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{K}}([D^{\alpha}]_n)}{n^q}$ . By following the same steps as in  $\S3.1$ , we can define strongly non-negative semigroups

$$\Gamma_{C}^{(t)} \subset \mathbb{N}^{r+1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma_{D^{\mathfrak{a}}}^{(t)} \subset \mathbb{N}^{r+1},$$

such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([C]_n) = \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_C} \# \left[ \Gamma_C^{(t)} \right]_n$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}([D^{\alpha}]_n) = \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{D^{\alpha}}} \# \left[ \Gamma_{D^{\alpha}}^{(t)} \right]_n$ . Consider the integer  $\alpha' = \lfloor \alpha / \max\{n_1, \dots, n_s\} \rfloor$  (where  $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$  denotes the floor function of  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and the inclusions

$$\mathfrak{n} \star \left[ \Gamma_{C}^{(t)} \right]_{\mathfrak{a}'} \subset \left[ \Gamma_{D^{\mathfrak{a}}}^{(t)} \right]_{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{a}'} \subset \left[ \Gamma_{C}^{(t)} \right]_{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{a}'}$$

Then, Theorem 2.2 implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\#[\Gamma_{D^a}^{(t)}]_n}{n^q}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\#[\Gamma_C^{(t)}]_n}{n^q},$$

and so the result follows.

The following proposition deals with the case when A is also a finitely generated k-algebra.

**Proposition 5.4.** Assume Setup 5.2 with A being finitely generated over k. For each  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..., n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ , we have the equality

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{\mathbf{p}\to\infty} \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\widetilde{\mathsf{A}}_{[\mathbf{p}]}}(\mathbf{n})}{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{q}}}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+$ . We define the graded k-algebra

$$\mathsf{B} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [\mathsf{A}]_{n\mathbf{e}_1}^{n_1} \cdot [\mathsf{A}]_{n\mathbf{e}_2}^{n_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot [\mathsf{A}]_{n\mathbf{e}_s}^{n_s}.$$

For each  $p \ge 1$ , let  $C^p$  be the graded k-algebra

$$C^{\mathfrak{p}} := \left(\widetilde{A}_{[\mathfrak{p}]}\right)^{(\mathfrak{n})} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n}=0}^{\infty} \left[\widehat{A}_{[\mathfrak{p}]}\right]_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{n}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n}=0}^{\infty} [A]_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{e}_{1}}^{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}_{1}} \cdot [A]_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{e}_{2}}^{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}_{2}} \cdot \cdots \cdot [A]_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{e}_{s}}^{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}_{s}}.$$

Once again, we can define strongly non-negative semigroups  $\Gamma_B^{(t)} \subset \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  and  $\Gamma_{C^p}^{(t)} \subset \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  such that  $\dim_{\Bbbk}([B]_n) = \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_B} \# \left[ \Gamma_B^{(t)} \right]_n$  and  $\dim_{\Bbbk}([C^p]_n) = \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{C^p}} \# \left[ \Gamma_{C^p}^{(t)} \right]_n$ . Since  $([B]_p)^n = [C^p]_n \subset [B]_{np}$ , we obtain the corresponding inclusions

$$\mathfrak{n}\star \left[\Gamma_{B}^{(t)}\right]_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \left[\Gamma_{C^{\mathfrak{p}}}^{(t)}\right]_{\mathfrak{n}} \subset \left[\Gamma_{B}^{(t)}\right]_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{p}}.$$

By Theorem 2.2, it follows that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{p^q} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \left[ \Gamma_{C^p}^{(t)} \right]_n}{n^q} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \left[ \Gamma_B^{(t)} \right]_n}{n^q}$$

As a consequence, we get  $\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{F_{\tilde{A}_{[p]}}(n)}{p^{q}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{K}}([B]_{n})}{n^{q}}$ . To finish the proof, it remains to show the equality  $F_A(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} ([B]_n)}{n^q}$ .

As A has a decomposable grading, the algebras  $A^{(e_1)}, \ldots, A^{(e_s)}$  are also finitely generated over k. Hence, by [15, Lemma 13.10] we can choose h > 0 such that

$$[A]_{nhn} = [A]_{nn_1he_1} \cdot [A]_{nn_2he_2} \cdot \dots \cdot [A]_{nn_she_s} = [A]_{nhe_1}^{n_1} \cdot [A]_{nhe_2}^{n_2} \cdot \dots \cdot [A]_{nhe_s}^{n_s} = [B]_{nhe_s}^{n_s}$$

for all  $n \ge 0$ . We then obtain

$$F_{A}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \left( [A]_{nh\mathbf{n}} \right)}{n^{q}h^{q}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \left( [B]_{nh} \right)}{n^{q}h^{q}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \left( [B]_{n} \right)}{n^{q}}.$$
f the proposition is complete.

So, the proof of the proposition is complete.

The next theorem contains the main result of this section. It shows that  $F_A(\mathbf{n})$  is a polynomial like function when A has a decomposable grading.

**Theorem 5.5.** Assume Setup 5.2. Then, there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $G_A(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{R}[n_1, ..., n_s]$  of degree q with non-negative real coefficients such that

$$F_A(\mathbf{n}) = G_A(\mathbf{n}) \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^s_+.$$

Additionally, we have

$$G_{A}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{G_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{G_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} \quad \textit{for all} \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{s}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..., n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ . For any  $a \ge 1$  and  $p \ge 1$ , we have the following inequalities

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{\mathsf{F}_{\widetilde{\mathsf{B}^{\mathfrak{a}}}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{\mathfrak{q}}} \leqslant \lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{\mathsf{F}_{\widetilde{\mathsf{A}}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{\mathfrak{q}}} \leqslant \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathbf{n})$$

where  $B^{\alpha} = G^{[\alpha]}(A)$ . Therefore, by combining Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, we obtain the equalities Г (---) Г

$$F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}}$$

From Remark 4.1, the function  $F_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})$  coincides with the polynomial  $G_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]}) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}}$ in (10) for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ . It then necessarily follows that, for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ ,  $F_A(\mathbf{n}) = G_A(\mathbf{n})$  where  $G_A(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$  $\mathbb{R}[n_1,\ldots,n_s]$  is the polynomial

(11)  

$$G_{A}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{G_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} = \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} \left( \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]})}{p^{q}} \right) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$= \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{G_{\widetilde{A}_{[p]}}(\mathbf{n})}{p^{q}} = \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} \left( \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]})}{p^{q}} \right) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}}$$

(see, e.g., [10, Lemma 3.2]). So, the result of the theorem follows.

Below we have an example of a family of algebras with decomposable grading.

**Example 5.6.** Let G be a complex semisimple group and let  $B \subset G$  be a Borel subgroup with a character lattice M. Denote by A the Cox ring of G/B, i.e.

$$A = \bigoplus_{L \in \operatorname{Pic}(G/B)} H^0(G/B, L),$$

with a product induced by the natural maps:

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{B},\mathrm{L}_{1})\otimes\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{B},\mathrm{L}_{2})\to\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{B},\mathrm{L}_{1}\otimes\mathrm{L}_{2}).$$

The Cox ring A is naturally an algebra graded by the Picard group Pic(G/B) of the flag variety G/B. By Borel's theorem, Pic(G/B) is isomorphic to M, for a character  $\lambda \in M$ , we will denote by  $L_{\lambda} \in Pic(G/B)$ the corresponding line bundle. Moreover, by Borel-Weil theorem one has

$$H^{0}(G/B, L_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} V_{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is a dominant weight} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the Cox ring A of G/B is given by

$$A=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda^+}V_\lambda,$$

where  $\Lambda^+$  is the positive Weyl chamber, i.e., the direct sum is over dominant weights.

The product maps  $[A]_{\lambda} \otimes [A]_{\mu} \to [A]_{\lambda+\mu}$  can be described in the following way. The tensor product  $[A]_{\lambda} \otimes [A]_{\mu} = V_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}$  decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations of G with  $V_{\lambda+\mu}$  appearing with multiplicity one. Then the multiplication map  $[A]_{\lambda} \otimes [A]_{\mu} \to [A]_{\lambda+\mu}$  is the projection on  $V_{\lambda+\mu}$  in the above decomposition. In particular, we have

$$[A]_{\sum n_i \omega_i} = [A]_{n_1 \omega_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot [A]_{n_s \omega_s},$$

where  $(n_1,...,n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and  $\omega_1,...,\omega_s$  are fundamental weights of G. Therefore, the Cox ring A has decomposable grading and by Theorem 5.5 the volume function  $F_A$  is a polynomial.

Finally the global Newton-Okounkov body of A has a nice description. By [20] there exists a valuation  $\nu$  on A such that for any dominant weight  $\lambda$ , the Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A^{(\lambda)})$  is the string polytope St<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>. Therefore, the global Newton-Okounkov body  $\Delta(A)$  is the weighted string cone, which is in particular a polyhedral cone ([1,26]).

Note that, in general, string polytopes  $St_{\lambda}$  provide only piecewise linear family of polytopes on the positive Weyl chamber. So a priory by Proposition 4.14, the function  $F_A$  is only piecewise polynomial with respect to some fan decomposition of the positive Weyl chamber. However, using virtual polytopes, one can construct a linear family of *virtual string polytopes* which makes the polynomiality of  $F_A$  evident. See [19, Section 10] for more details.

With Theorem 5.5 in hand we are now able to define the mixed multiplicities of A.

**Definition 5.7.** Assume Setup 5.2 and let  $G_A(\mathbf{n})$  be as in Theorem 5.5. Write

$$G_A(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=q} \frac{1}{\mathbf{d}!} e(\mathbf{d}; A) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{d}}.$$

For each  $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  with  $|\mathbf{d}| = q$ , we define the non-negative real number  $e(\mathbf{d}; A) \ge 0$  to be the *mixed multiplicity of type*  $\mathbf{d}$  *of* A.

The next straightforward corollary shows that the mixed multiplicities  $e(\mathbf{d}; A)$  of A can be expressed as a limit that depends on the multiplicities  $e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]})$  of the standard multigraded algebras  $\widetilde{A}_{[p]}$ . It can be seen as an extension into a multigraded setting of the Fujita approximation theorem for graded algebras given in [21, Theorem 2.35].

Corollary 5.8. Assume Setup 5.2. Then, the following equalities hold

$$e(\mathbf{d}; \mathbf{A}) = \lim_{\mathbf{p} \to \infty} \frac{e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[\mathbf{p}]})}{\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{q}}} = \sup_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \frac{e(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[\mathbf{p}]})}{\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{q}}}.$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from (11).

Finally, we provide a complete characterization for the positivity of the mixed multiplicities of a multigraded algebra of almost integral type with decomposable grading. This result is a direct consequence of Corollary 5.8 and the general criterion of [5].

Following the notation of [5], for an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra T and for each subset  $\mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  denote by  $T_{(\mathfrak{J})}$  the  $\mathbb{N}^k$ -graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra given by

$$\mathsf{T}_{(\mathfrak{J})} \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{i}_1 \geqslant 0, \dots, \mathfrak{i}_s \geqslant 0\\ \mathfrak{i}_j = 0 \text{ if } j \notin \mathfrak{J}}} [\mathsf{T}]_{(\mathfrak{i}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{i}_s)} \subset \mathsf{T}.$$

We obtain a full characterization for the positivity of the mixed multiplicities  $e(\mathbf{d}, A)$  of A in terms of the dimensions dim  $(A_{(3)})$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** Assume Setup 5.2. Let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $|\mathbf{d}| = q$ . Then,  $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{d}, A) > 0$  if and only if for each  $\mathfrak{J} = \{\mathbf{j}_1, \dots, \mathbf{j}_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  the inequality

$$d_{j_1} + \cdots + d_{j_k} \leq \dim (A_{(\mathfrak{J})}) - k$$

holds.

*Proof.* For each  $p \ge 1$ , [5, Theorem B] characterizes the positivity of the mixed multiplicities of the standard  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra  $\widetilde{A}_{[p]}$ , namely:

$$e\left(\mathbf{d}; \widetilde{A}_{[p]}\right) > 0 \iff d_{j_1} + \dots + d_{j_k} \leqslant dim\left(\left(\widetilde{A}_{[p]}\right)_{(\mathfrak{J})}\right) - k \text{ for each } \mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}.$$

Since A is an algebra with decomposable grading, by Theorem 2.5, we can choose p big enough such that

$$\dim (\mathsf{A}_{(\mathfrak{J})}) = \dim \left( \left( \widetilde{\mathsf{A}}_{[\mathfrak{p}]} \right)_{(\mathfrak{J})} \right)$$

for all  $\mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Therefore, the result follows from Corollary 5.8.

#### 6. APPLICATION TO GRADED FAMILIES OF IDEALS

In this section, we apply the results of Section 5 to the case of graded families of ideals and we recover some results from [7, 8, 10]. We also obtain a characterization for the positivity of mixed multiplicities of certain graded families of ideals. First, we recall the notion of mixed multiplicities introduced by Bhattacharya in [2] for the case of ideals, and extended for (not necessarily Noetherian) graded families of ideals in [8].

Let k be a field and R be a finitely generated positively graded k-domain. We denote the graded irrelevant ideal of R by  $\mathfrak{m} = [R]_+ = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} [R]_n \subset R$ . Assume that R has positive dimension  $d = \dim(R) > 0$ .

**Remark 6.1.** Let I,  $J_1, \ldots, J_s$  be non-zero homogeneous ideals in R such that I is m-primary. Then, for  $n_0 \gg 0$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_s) \gg \mathbf{0}$  the Bhattacharya function  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(I^{n_0}J_1^{n_1}\cdots J_s^{n_s}/I^{n_0+1}J_1^{n_1}\cdots J_s^{n_s})$  coincides with a polynomial of total degree d-1 whose homogeneous term in degree d-1 can be written as

$$B_{(I;J_{1,...,J_{s}})}(n_{0},n_{1},...,n_{s}) := \sum_{\substack{(d_{0},d) = (d_{0},d_{1},...,d_{s}) \in \mathbb{N}^{s} \\ d_{0}+|d|=d-1}} \frac{e_{(d_{0},d)}(I|J_{1},...,J_{s})}{d_{0}!d_{1}!\cdots d_{s}!}n_{0}^{d_{0}}n_{1}^{d_{1}}\cdots,n_{s}^{d_{s}}.$$

Using standard techniques (see [7, proof of Lemma 4.2]), one may show that for each  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\Bbbk} \left( J_1^{nn_1} \cdots J_s^{nn_s} / I^{nn_0} J_1^{nn_1} \cdots J_s^{nn_s} \right)}{n^d}$$

exists and coincides with the following polynomial

$$\mathsf{G}_{(\mathrm{I}; \mathrm{J}_1, \dots, \mathrm{J}_s)}(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_s) := \sum_{(\mathrm{d}_0, \mathrm{d}) \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}, \mathrm{d}_0 + |\mathrm{d}| = \mathrm{d} - 1} \frac{e_{(\mathrm{d}_0, \mathrm{d})}(\mathrm{I} \mid \mathrm{J}_1, \dots, \mathrm{J}_s)}{(\mathrm{d}_0 + 1)! \mathrm{d}_1! \cdots \mathrm{d}_s!} \mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathrm{d}_0 + 1} \mathfrak{n}_1^{\mathrm{d}_1} \cdots \mathfrak{n}_s^{\mathrm{d}_s}$$

The numbers  $e_{(d_0,d)}(I | J_1,...,J_s)$  are non-negative integers called the *mixed multiplicities* of  $J_1,...,J_s$  with respect to I.

A sequence of ideals  $\mathbb{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a graded family if  $I_0 = R$  and  $I_i I_j \subseteq I_{i+j}$  for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The graded family is *Noetherian* if the corresponding Rees algebra  $R[\mathbb{I}t] = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n t^n \subseteq R[t]$  is Noetherian. The graded family is m-primary when each  $I_n$  is m-primary, and it is a *filtration* when  $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a homogeneous ideal  $J \subset R$  we denote  $\beta(J) := \max\{j \mid [J \otimes_R R/m]_j \neq 0\}$ , that is, the maximum degree of a minimal set of homogeneous generators of J.

Throughout this section, we assume the following setup.

Setup 6.2. Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and R be a finitely generated positively graded  $\Bbbk$ -domain. Let  $\mathbb{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a (not necessarily Noetherian) graded family of m-primary homogeneous ideals in R. Let  $\mathbb{J}(1) = \{J(1)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , ...,  $\mathbb{J}(s) = \{J(s)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be (not necessarily Noetherian) graded families of non-zero homogeneous ideals in R. We assume that there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

(12) 
$$\beta(J(i)_n) \leq \beta n \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

**Remark 6.3.** The condition (12) is automatically satisfied in the case of m-primary graded families of ideals. Similar assumptions to the one in (12) have been considered in previous works regarding limits of graded families of ideals [11, Theorem 6.1], [7], [8].

To extend the discussions of Remark 6.1, we need to study the following function

(13) 
$$\mathsf{F}_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} (J(1)_{n\mathfrak{n}_1} \cdots J(s)_{n\mathfrak{n}_s} / I_{n\mathfrak{n}_0} J(1)_{n\mathfrak{n}_1} \cdots J(s)_{n\mathfrak{n}_s})}{\mathfrak{n}^d}$$

for all  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}_+^s$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , we abbreviate  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} = J(1)_{n_1} \cdots J(s)_{n_s}$ . By [7, Lemma 3.9], there exists  $c > \beta$  such that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{c(\mathfrak{n}_0+|\mathbf{n}|)} \cap J_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathfrak{m}^{c(\mathfrak{n}_0+|\mathbf{n}|)} \cap I_{\mathfrak{n}_0}J_{\mathbf{n}}$$

for all  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . Then, we have the equality

(14)  
$$F_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathbf{n}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( \mathbf{J}_{n\mathbf{n}} / \left( \mathfrak{m}^{cn(n_{0}+|\mathbf{n}|)+1} \cap \mathbf{J}_{n\mathbf{n}} \right) \right) / \mathfrak{n}^{d} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \left( I_{nn_{0}} \mathbf{J}_{n\mathbf{n}} / \left( \mathfrak{m}^{cn(n_{0}+|\mathbf{n}|)+1} \cap I_{nn_{0}} \mathbf{J}_{n\mathbf{n}} \right) \right) / \mathfrak{n}^{d}$$

for all  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . We consider the multi-Rees algebras

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{I},\mathbb{J}(1),\ldots,\mathbb{J}(s)) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mathrm{I}_{n_0} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{t}_0^{n_0} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{R},\mathbb{J}(1),\ldots,\mathbb{J}(s)) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{t}_0^{n_0} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}}$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{t}_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{t}_{s}^{n_{s}}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the trivial filtration of identity ideals, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{R} = \{\mathfrak{R}_{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\mathfrak{R}_{n} = \mathsf{R}$ . We have the corresponding  $\mathbb{N}^{s+1}$ -graded subalgebras

$$A := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{c(\mathbf{n}_0 + |\mathbf{n}|)} \left[ \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}_0} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \right]_k \right) \mathbf{t}_0^{\mathbf{n}_0} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}} \subset \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{J}(1), \dots, \mathbb{J}(s))$$

and

$$\mathsf{B} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s} \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{c(\mathbf{n}_0 + |\mathbf{n}|)} \left[ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \right]_k \right) \mathbf{t}_0^{\mathbf{n}_0} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{n}} \ \subset \ \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathbb{J}(1), \dots, \mathbb{J}(s)).$$

Notice that both A and B are of almost integral type and have decomposable gradings. Since dim(A) = dim(B) = d + s + 1, we can rewrite (14) in terms of the volume functions of A and B (see (9)), that is

(15) 
$$F_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n}) = F_B(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n}) - F_A(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n})$$

Therefore, as a simple consequence of Theorem 5.5 we obtain the following result, which extends Remark 6.1 and allows us to define mixed multiplicities for graded families of ideals.

**Theorem 6.4.** Assume Setup 6.2. Then, there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $G_{(l;J(1),...,J(s))}(n_0, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{R}[n_0, n_1, ..., n_s]$  of degree d with non-negative real coefficients such that

$$\mathsf{F}_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n}) \ = \ \mathsf{G}_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n}) \quad \textit{for all} \quad \mathfrak{n}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s,$$

where  $F_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n})$  is the function in (13). Additionally, we have

$$G_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{G_{(\mathbb{I}_{p};\mathbb{J}(1)_{p},\dots,\mathbb{J}(s)_{p})}(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathbf{n})}{p^{d}} \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathfrak{n}_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{s},$$

where  $G_{(I_p;J(1)_p,...,J(s)_p)}(n_0,\mathbf{n})$  is the corresponding polynomial of the ideals  $I_p, J(1)_p,...,J(s)_p$  (as in Remark 6.1).

*Proof.* By using the equality (15), the result follows by applying Theorem 5.5 to the  $\mathbb{N}^{s+1}$ -graded algebras of almost integral type A and B that have decomposable grading.

As a consequence of Theorem 6.4 we have the following definition.

**Definition 6.5.** Assume Setup 6.2 and let  $G_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),\dots,\mathbb{J}(s))}(\mathfrak{n}_0,\mathbf{n})$  be as in Theorem 6.4. Write

$$G_{(\mathbb{I};\mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s))}(n_0,\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})\in\mathbb{N}^{s+1},d_0+|\mathbf{d}|=d-1} \frac{e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{I}\mid\mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s))}{(d_0+1)!d_1!\cdots d_s!} n_0^{d_0+1}\mathbf{n}^d.$$

The numbers  $e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{I} | \mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s))$  are non-negative integers called the *mixed multiplicities* of  $\mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s)$  with respect to  $\mathbb{I}$ .

The following result recovers the "Volume = Multiplicity formula" for the mixed multiplicities of graded families of ideals (see [7,8]).

Corollary 6.6. Assume Setup 6.2. Then, the following equalities hold

$$e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{I} \mid \mathbb{J}(1),\ldots,\mathbb{J}(\mathbf{s})) = \lim_{\mathbf{p}\to\infty} \frac{e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}} \mid \mathbf{J}(1)_{\mathbf{p}},\ldots,\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{p}})}{\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{d}}}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 6.4 and Remark 6.1.

6.1. **Positivity for graded families of equally generated ideals.** Here, we restrict to the following graded families of ideals and we provide a criterion for the positivity of their mixed multiplicities.

**Setup 6.7.** Assume Setup 6.2. Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the filtration  $\mathbb{M} := \{\mathfrak{m}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $1 \le i \le s$ , assume that there is an integer  $h_i \ge 1$  such that the ideal  $J(i)_n$  is generated in degree  $nh_i$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,

$$J(\mathfrak{i})_{\mathfrak{n}} = \left( \left[ J(\mathfrak{i})_{\mathfrak{n}} \right]_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{i}}} \right) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Our focus is on characterizing the positivity of the mixed multiplicities  $e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{M} \mid \mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s))$ . We define the following  $\mathbb{N}^{s+1}$ -graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra

(16) 
$$\mathsf{T} := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{n}_0} J(1)_{\mathfrak{n}_1} \cdots J(s)_{\mathfrak{n}_s} / \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{n}_0+1} J(1)_{\mathfrak{n}_1} \cdots J(s)_{\mathfrak{n}_s}.$$

Since each ideal  $J(i)_{n_i}$  is generated in degree  $n_i h_i$ , by Nakayama's lemma we get the isomorphism

$$\mathsf{T} \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_s \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{n}_0} \right]_{\mathfrak{n}_0} \left[ \mathsf{J}(1)_{\mathfrak{n}_1} \right]_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \mathfrak{h}_1} \cdots \left[ \mathsf{J}(s)_{\mathfrak{n}_s} \right]_{\mathfrak{n}_s \mathfrak{h}_s} \quad \subset \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{J}(1), \ldots, \mathbb{J}(s)).$$

As a consequence we obtain that T is a k-domain of almost integral type with decomposable grading, and so by Theorem 5.5 and Definition 5.7 we can consider its mixed multiplicities  $e(d_0, \mathbf{d}; T)$  for  $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . We have that  $\dim(T) = \dim(R) + s$ . The following proposition shows that the mixed multiplicities of T coincide with the mixed multiplicities  $e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{M} | \mathbb{J}(1), \dots, \mathbb{J}(s))$ .

**Proposition 6.8.** For each  $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^s$  with  $d_0 + |\mathbf{d}| = \mathbf{d} - 1$ , we have the equality

$$e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{M} \mid \mathbb{J}(1),\ldots,\mathbb{J}(s)) = e(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d};\mathsf{T})$$

*Proof.* For each  $p \ge 1$ , we define the following standard  $\mathbb{N}^{s+1}$ -graded algebra

$$C^{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathfrak{n}_{1},\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_{s} \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}} \left[ \mathbb{k} \left[ [\mathsf{T}]_{\mathfrak{e}_{0}}, [\mathsf{T}]_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{e}_{1}}, \cdots, [\mathsf{T}]_{\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{e}_{s}} \right] \right]_{(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathfrak{n}_{1}\mathfrak{p},\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_{s}\mathfrak{p})}$$

(i.e., the regrading of the algebra generated by the graded parts  $[T]_{e_0}, [T]_{pe_1}, \dots, [T]_{pe_s}$ ). Notice that the polynomial  $G_{C^p}$  of  $C^p$  (see Remark 4.1) equals the polynomial  $B_{(\mathfrak{m};J(1)_p,\dots,J(s)_p)}$  corresponding to the ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $J(1)_p,\dots,J(s)_p$  (see Remark 6.1), that is,

$$\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{p}}}(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathbf{n}) = \mathsf{B}_{(\mathfrak{m};J(1)_{\mathsf{p}},\ldots,J(s)_{\mathsf{p}})}(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathbf{n}).$$

Hence  $e(d_0, \mathbf{d}; C^p) = e_{(\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m} \mid J(1)_p, \dots, J(s)_p).$ 

Since the following polynomials are equal

$$G_{C^{p}}(pn_{0},\mathbf{n}) = G_{\widetilde{T}_{[n]}}(n_{0},\mathbf{n}),$$

by comparing the coefficients, we obtain  $e(d_0, \mathbf{d}; \widetilde{T}_{[p]}) = p^{d_0}e(d_0, \mathbf{d}; C^p)$  (recall that  $\widetilde{T}_{[p]}$  denotes the regrading of the algebra generated by the graded parts  $[T]_{p\mathbf{e}_0}, [T]_{p\mathbf{e}_1}, \cdots, [T]_{p\mathbf{e}_s}$ ). Similarly, we have the equality of polynomials

$$G_{(\mathfrak{m};J(1)_{\mathfrak{p}},\dots,J(s)_{\mathfrak{p}})}(\mathfrak{pn}_{0},\mathbf{n}) = G_{(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{p}};J(1)_{\mathfrak{p}},\dots,J(s)_{\mathfrak{p}})}(\mathfrak{n}_{0},\mathbf{n}) \qquad (\text{see Remark 6.1})$$

that implies  $e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m}^p \mid J(1)_p,...,J(s)_p) = p^{d_0+1}e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m} \mid J(1)_p,...,J(s)_p).$ 

By combining the above equalities with Corollary 5.8 and Corollary 6.6, we get

$$e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{M} | \mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s)) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m}^p | \mathbb{J}(1)_p,...,\mathbb{J}(s)_p)}{p^d}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m} | \mathbb{J}(1)_p,...,\mathbb{J}(s)_p)}{p^{d-1-d_0}}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e(d_0,\mathbf{d};\mathbb{C}^p)}{p^{d-1-d_0}}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{e(d_0,\mathbf{d};\widetilde{T}_{[p]})}{p^{d-1}}$$
  
= 
$$e(d_0,\mathbf{d};\mathbb{T}).$$

Therefore, the result follows.

The *analytic spread* of an ideal  $I \subset R$  is given by  $\ell(I) := \dim (\Re(I)/\mathfrak{mR}(I))$ , where  $\Re(I)$  denotes the Rees algebra of I. When the ideal  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_e) \subset R$  is generated by homogeneous elements of the same degree, one has that  $\Re(I)/\mathfrak{mR}(I) \cong \Bbbk[f_1, \dots, f_e]$  and so  $\ell(I) = \dim(\Bbbk[f_1, \dots, f_e])$ . The following positivity criterion extends the result of [5, Theorem 4.4]. It characterizes the positivity of the mixed multiplicities  $e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{M} \mid \mathbb{J}(1), \dots, \mathbb{J}(s))$ .

**Theorem 6.9.** Assume Setup 6.7. Let  $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $d_0 + |\mathbf{d}| = d - 1$ . Then,

$$e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathbb{M} \mid \mathbb{J}(1),\ldots,\mathbb{J}(s)) > 0$$

if and only if for all  $p \gg 0$  and  $\mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  the inequality

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}_k} \leq \ell \Big( J(\mathbf{j}_1)_p \cdots J(\mathbf{j}_k)_p \Big) - 1$$

holds.

	-	-	-	

*Proof.* By using Proposition 6.8 and Corollary 5.8, to show  $e_{(d_0,d)}(\mathbb{M} \mid \mathbb{J}(1),...,\mathbb{J}(s)) > 0$ , it is enough to show that for  $p \gg 0$  one has

$$e_{(\mathbf{d}_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m} \mid J(\mathfrak{j}_1)_p, \dots, J(\mathfrak{j}_k)_p) = e(\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}; \mathsf{T}_{[p]}) > 0.$$

For any p > 0, [5, Theorem 4.4] implies that the inequality  $e_{(d_0,\mathbf{d})}(\mathfrak{m} | J(j_1)_p, \dots, J(j_k)_p) > 0$  is equivalent to the condition of having  $d_{j_1} + \dots + d_{j_k} \leq \ell (J(j_1)_p \cdots J(j_k)_p) - 1$  for all  $\mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ . So, the result follows.

6.2. **Positivity for mixed volumes of convex bodies.** In this subsection, by exploiting the known close relation between mixed multiplicities and mixed volumes (see [7, 33]), we provide a positivity criteria for mixed volumes. Following the notation of [7], we use the setup below.

**Setup 6.10.** Let  $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_s)$  be a sequence of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^d_{\ge 0}$ . Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field,  $\mathbb{R}$  be the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{R} = \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}]$ , and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_{d+1})$ . Let  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \alpha_{d+1}) \mapsto (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  be the projection into the first d factors. Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$  the linear map  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \alpha_{d+1}) \mapsto \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d + \alpha_{d+1}$ . For  $1 \le i \le s$ , choose  $h_i \in \mathbb{N}$  a positive integer such that  $K_i \subset \pi_1(\pi^{-1}(h_i) \cap \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_{\ge 0})$ . The corresponding *homogenization* of  $K_i$  (with respect to  $h_i$ ) is defined as the convex body

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{i}}} := (\mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{i}} \times \mathbb{R}) \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathsf{h}_{\mathfrak{i}}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_{\geqslant 0}.$$

Let  $C_{K_i}$  be the corresponding cone  $C_{K_i} := \text{Cone}(\widetilde{K_i})$ . Consider the semigroup  $S_{K_i} \subset \mathbb{N}^{d+1}$  given by

$$S_{K_{i}} := C_{K_{i}} \cap \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \cap \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} \left( kh_{i} \right) \right)$$

We consider the (not necessarily Noetherian) graded family of monomial ideals

$$\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{i}) := \{J(\mathfrak{i})_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \text{ where } J(\mathfrak{i})_n := \left(\mathbf{x}^m \mid m \in S_{K_\mathfrak{i}} \text{ and } |m| = nh_\mathfrak{i}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$$

Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the filtration  $\mathbb{M} := \{\mathfrak{m}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

As a direct consequence of our previous developments, we recover a classical criterion for the positivity of mixed volumes (see [31, Theorem 5.1.8]).

**Theorem 6.11.** Assume Setup 6.10. Let  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $|\mathbf{d}| = \mathbf{d}$ . Then,  $MV_d(\mathbf{K}_d) > 0$  if and only if for each  $\mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  the inequality

$$d_{j_1} + \dots + d_{j_k} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k K_{j_i} \right)$$

holds.

*Proof.* From [7, Theorem 5.4] we have that  $MV_d(\mathbf{K}_d) = e_{(0,d)}(\mathbb{M} \mid \mathbb{J}(1), \dots, \mathbb{J}(s))$ . Hence, Theorem 6.9 implies that  $MV_d(\mathbf{K}_d) > 0$  if and only if for all  $p \gg 0$  and  $\mathfrak{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  the inequality

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}_k} \leq \ell \Big( J(\mathbf{j}_1)_p \cdots J(\mathbf{j}_k)_p \Big) - 1$$

holds. For each ideal  $J(j_1)_p \cdots J(j_k)_p$  there corresponds the convex body

$$\mathsf{K}\big(\mathsf{J}(\mathfrak{j}_1)_p\cdots\mathsf{J}(\mathfrak{j}_k)_p\big) := \pi_1\left(\mathsf{conv}\Big\{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}^{d+1}\,|\,\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}\in\big[\mathsf{J}(\mathfrak{j}_1)_p\cdots\mathsf{J}(\mathfrak{j}_k)_p\big]_{p\,\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{j}_1}+\cdots+p\,\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{j}_k}}\Big\}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^d_{\geqslant 0}$$

Notice that  $\ell(J(j_1)_p \cdots J(j_k)_p) - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(K(J(j_1)_p \cdots J(j_k)_p))$ . For  $p \gg 0$ , one obtains the equality  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(K(J(j_1)_p \cdots J(j_k)_p)) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\sum_{i=1}^k K_{j_i})$ , as the convex bodies  $K(J(j_1)_p \cdots J(j_k)_p)$  approximate the Minkowski sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k K_{j_i}$ . Therefore, the proof of the theorem is complete.

# 7. MULTIGRADED LINEAR SERIES

In this section, we apply our main results from the previous sections to the case of multigraded linear series. Here, we extend the results of [25] on the multigraded linear series. Our main improvement is the fact that we deal with valuations with leaves of bounded dimension instead of restricting to valuations with only one-dimensional leaves. Furthermore, with the family of multigraded linear series with decomposable grading, we provide an interesting family for which the volume function is a polynomial.

Following the notation of [17], we say that X is a *variety* over a field  $\Bbbk$  if X is a reduced and irreducible separated scheme of finite type over  $\Bbbk$ .

Throughout this section the following setup is used.

**Setup 7.1.** Let  $\Bbbk$  be a field and X be a proper variety over  $\Bbbk$ . Let  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  be a sequence of Cartier divisors on X.

We consider the section ring of the divisors  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$ , which given by

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathsf{D}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{D}_s) := \bigoplus_{(\mathfrak{n}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{n}_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s} \mathrm{H}^0\big(X, \mathfrak{O}(\mathfrak{n}_1\mathsf{D}_1+\cdots+\mathfrak{n}_s\mathsf{D}_s)\big).$$

Notice that  $S(D_1,...,D_s)$  is by construction an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded k-algebra. To simplify notation, for any  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1,...,n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , we denote the divisor  $n_1D_1 + \cdots + n_sD_s$  by

$$\mathbf{n}\mathsf{D} := \mathfrak{n}_1\mathsf{D}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_s\mathsf{D}_s.$$

The following basic result shows that the section ring of  $D_1, ..., D_s$  is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of almost integral type (in the sense of Definition 4.2). For the single graded case, see [21, Theorem 3.7].

**Proposition 7.2.**  $S(D_1,...,D_s)$  is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of almost integral type.

*Proof.* First, by Chow's lemma (see, e.g. [15, Theorem 13.100] or [17, Exercise II.4.10]), there exists a proper birational morphism  $\pi: X' \to X$  where X' is a normal projective variety over k. Since for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$  we have  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{n}D)) \hookrightarrow H^0(X', \pi^*\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{n}D))$ , it suffices to assume that X is a normal projective variety over k, and we do so.

We can find a very ample divisor H on X such that  $D_i \leq H$ , and so  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{n}D) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{n}|H)$  for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^s$  (see, e.g., [24, Example 1.2.10] and [21, Theorem 3.9]). From the fact that the section ring  $\mathcal{S}(H) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(nH))$  is of integral type (see [17, Exercise II.5.14]), we obtain that  $\mathcal{S}(D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of almost integral type.

Here, we study multigraded linear series as defined below.

**Definition 7.3.** A *multigraded linear series* associated to the divisors  $D_1, ..., D_s$  is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded  $\Bbbk$ -subalgebra W of the section ring  $S(D_1, ..., D_s)$ .

Let  $W \subseteq S(D_1,...,D_s)$  be a multigraded linear series and suppose that  $[W]_{e_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . By Proposition 7.2, it follows that W is an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of almost integral type. The *Kodaira-Itaka*  dimension of W is denoted and given by

$$\kappa(W) := \dim(W) - s,$$

where as before dim(W) denotes the Krull dimension of the  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra W of almost integral type. This value was the correct asymptotic for the volume function of an  $\mathbb{N}^s$ -graded algebra of almost integral type (see (9)). Additionally, notice that this agrees with the definition used in [11, Section 7] for the case of singly graded linear series. Following Definition 4.5, let  $\Delta(W)$  be the global Newton-Okounkov body of W. As in Definition 4.10, consider the integers ind(W) and  $\ell_W$ .

Our main result regarding multigraded linear series is the theorem below, and it follows rather easily from our previous developments.

**Theorem 7.4.** Assume Setup 7.1. Let  $W \subset S(D_1,...,D_s)$  be a multigraded linear series and suppose that  $[W]_{e_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then, the following statements hold:

(i) The volume function

$$\mathsf{F}_{W}(\mathbf{n}) := \lim_{\mathbf{n} \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\Bbbk} \left( [W]_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}} \right)}{\mathbf{n}^{\kappa(W)}}$$

of W is well-defined for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ .

(ii) There exists a unique continuous function that is homogeneous of degree  $\kappa(W)$  and log-concave and that extends the volume function  $F_W(\mathbf{n})$  of part (i) to the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ . This function is given by

$$\mathsf{F}_W: \mathbb{R}^s_{\geqslant 0} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \ell_W \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{\kappa(W)} \big( \Delta(W)_x \big)}{\mathrm{ind}(W)}.$$

(iii) If W has a decomposable grading, then there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $G_W(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{R}[n_1, ..., n_s]$ of degree  $\kappa(W)$  with non-negative real coefficients such that

$$\mathsf{F}_{W}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathsf{G}_{W}(\mathbf{n})$$

for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{s}_{+}$ .

*Proof.* (i) The function is obtained as in (9).

- (ii) It follows from Corollary 4.11.
- (iii) It follows from Theorem 5.5.

For a closed subscheme  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_{k}^{m_{1}} \times_{k} \cdots \times_{k} \mathbb{P}_{k}^{m_{s}}$  of a multiprojective space over k, we can consider the *multidegrees* of Y. These fundamental invariants go back to the work of van der Waerden [34]. If S is a standard  $\mathbb{N}^{s}$ -graded algebra that coincides with the multihomogeneous coordinate ring of Y, then for each  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$  with  $|\mathbf{d}| = \dim(Y)$ , one way of defining the multidegree of Y of type  $\mathbf{d}$  is by setting

$$\deg(\mathbf{d};\mathbf{Y}) := e(\mathbf{d};\mathbf{S}).$$

The following theorem is an extension of [21, Theorem 3.3] to a multigraded setting. It expresses the mixed multiplicities of a multigraded linear series with decomposable grading in terms of the multidegrees of the image of the corresponding Kodaira rational maps.

**Theorem 7.5.** Assume Setup 7.1. Let  $W \subset S(D_1, ..., D_s)$  be a multigraded linear series with decomposable grading and suppose that  $[W]_{\mathbf{e}_i} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . For each  $p \geq 1$ , consider the corresponding Kodaira

rational map

$$\Pi_{[W]_{(p,\dots,p)}}: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\Bbbk}^{\dim_{\Bbbk}([W]_{pe_{1}})-1} \times_{\Bbbk} \cdots \times_{\Bbbk} \mathbb{P}_{\Bbbk}^{\dim_{\Bbbk}([W]_{pe_{s}})-1}.$$

Let  $Y_{[W]_{(p,...,p)}}$  be the closure of the image of  $\Pi_{[W]_{(p,...,p)}}$ . Then, for each  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1,...,d_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  with  $|\mathbf{d}| = \kappa(W)$ , we have the following equalities

$$e(\mathbf{d};W) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{d};Y_{[W]_{(p,\dots,p)}}\right)}{p^{\kappa(W)}} = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{d};Y_{[W]_{(p,\dots,p)}}\right)}{p^{\kappa(W)}}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows by applying Corollary 5.8 to the multigraded linear series W that has a decomposable grading.

Finally, below we have an example where the section ring has a decomposable grading.

**Example 7.6.** Let C be a smooth projective algebraic curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field k. Let  $D_1, ..., D_s$  be divisors on C with deg $(D_i) \ge 2g + 1$ . Then by [28, Theorem 6], the tensor product map

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{O}(\mathfrak{n}_{1}\mathrm{D}_{1})) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{O}(\mathfrak{n}_{s}\mathrm{D}_{s})) \to \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{O}(\mathfrak{n}_{1}\mathrm{D}_{1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_{s}\mathrm{D}_{s}))$$

is surjective. Hence, the multigraded linear series  $W = S(D_1, ..., D_s)$  has a decomposable grading and by part (iii) of Theorem 7.4 the function  $F_W$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $\kappa(W)$ .

Finally, we would like to remark that the conditions on C and  $D_1, \ldots, D_s$  can be relaxed slightly by considering generalizations of Mumford's theorem, see [4, 13, 16] for details.

#### REFERENCES

- A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, Inventiones Mathematicae 143 (2001), no. 1, 77–128. <sup>↑</sup>22
- [2] P. B. Bhattacharya, The Hilbert function of two ideals, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 53 (1957), 568–575. ↑24
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1998. <sup>↑9</sup>, 13
- [4] D. C Butler, Global sections and tensor products of line bundles over a curve, Mathematische Zeitschrift 231 (1999), no. 3, 397–407. ↑31
- [5] F. Castillo, Y. Cid-Ruiz, B. Li, J. Montaño, and N. Zhang, When are multidegrees positive?, Advances in Mathematics 374 (2020), 107382, 34. <sup>↑</sup>23, 27, 28
- [6] Y. Cid-Ruiz, Mixed multiplicities and projective degrees of rational maps, Journal of Algebra 566 (2021), 136–162. <sup>14</sup>
- [7] Y. Cid-Ruiz and J. Montaño, *Convex bodies and graded families of monomial ideals*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.07918 (2020).
   ↑5, 19, 24, 25, 26, 28
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Mixed multiplicities of graded families of ideals, arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11862 (2020). ↑5, 19, 24, 26
- [9] S. Cutkosky, Multiplicities associated to graded families of ideals, Algebra & Number Theory 7 (2013), no. 9, 2059–2083.
   <sup>↑2</sup>
- [10] S. Cutkosky, P. Sarkar, and H. Srinivasan, *Mixed multiplicities of filtrations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 372 (2019), no. 9, 6183–6211. <sup>↑</sup>5, 15, 19, 22, 24
- [11] S. D. Cutkosky, Asymptotic multiplicities of graded families of ideals and linear series, Advances in Mathematics 264 (2014), 55–113. ↑2, 8, 24, 30
- [12] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150, Springer-Verlag, 1995. <sup>↑8</sup>
- [13] D. Eisenbud, J. Koh, and M. Stillman, *Determinantal equations for curves of high degree*, American Journal of Mathematics 110 (1988), no. 3, 513–539. <sup>↑</sup>31

- [14] J. M. Giral, Krull dimension, transcendence degree and subalgebras of finitely generated algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 36 (1981), no. 4, 305–312. ↑8
- [15] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn, Algebraic geometry i: Schemes: With examples and exercises, Springer Nature, 2020. ↑9, 21, 29
- [16] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve, Inventiones mathematicae **83** (1986), no. 1, 73–90. ↑31
- [17] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
   ↑29
- [18] M. Herrmann, E. Hyry, J. Ribbe, and Z. Tang, *Reduction numbers and multiplicities of multigraded structures*, J. Algebra 197 (1997), no. 2, 311–341. <sup>↑</sup>14
- [19] J. Hofscheier, A. Khovanskii, and L. Monin, Cohomology rings of toric bundles and the ring of conditions, arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.12043 (2020). <sup>↑</sup>22
- [20] K. Kaveh, Crystal bases and Newton–Okounkov bodies, Duke Mathematical Journal 164 (2015), no. 13, 2461–2506. <sup>22</sup>
- [21] K. Kaveh and A. G. Khovanskii, Newton–Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory, Annals of Mathematics (2012), 925–978. ↑2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 23, 29, 30
- [22] K. Kaveh and E. Villella, On a notion of anticanonical class for families of convex polytopes, arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06674 (2018). ↑19
- [23] G. Kemper and N. Viet Trung, Krull dimension and monomial orders, J. Algebra 399 (2014), 782–800. ↑7, 8
- [24] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. classical setting: line bundles and linear series, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. <sup>↑</sup>29
- [25] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustață, Convex bodies associated to linear series, Annales scientifiques de l'école normale supérieure, vol. 42, 2009, pp. 783–835. <sup>2</sup>, 3, 7, 14, 16, 29
- [26] P. Littelmann, Cones, crystals, and patterns, Transformation groups 3 (1998), no. 2, 145–179. ↑22
- [27] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, 1st ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics volume 8, Cambridge University Press, 1989. <sup>↑9</sup>
- [28] D. Mumford, Varieties defined by quadratic equations, Questions on Algebraic Varieties (C.I.M.E., III Ciclo, Varenna, 1969), Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 1970, pp. 29–100. <sup>↑</sup>31
- [29] A. Okounkov, Brunn-Minkowski inequality for multiplicities, Invent. Math. 125 (1996), no. 3, 405–411. ↑2
- [30] \_\_\_\_\_, *Why would multiplicities be log-concave?*, The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000), Progr. Math., vol. 213, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003, pp. 329–347. ↑2
- [31] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, expanded, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. ↑7, 28
- [32] The Stacks project authors, The stacks project, 2019. <sup>13</sup>
- [33] N. V. Trung and J. Verma, Mixed multiplicities of ideals versus mixed volumes of polytopes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 10, 4711–4727. <sup>↑</sup>28
- [34] B. L. Van der Waerden, On Hilbert's function, series of composition of ideals and a generalization of the theorem of Bezout, Proc. Roy. Acad. Amsterdam, vol. 31, 1929, pp. 749–770. <sup>↑</sup>30

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY, GHENT UNIVERSITY, S25, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM *Email address*: Yairon.CidRuiz@UGent.be

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY, GHENT UNIVERSITY, S25, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM *Email address*: Fatemeh.Mohammadi@UGent.be

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, BS8 1TW, BRISTOL, UK *Email address*: leonid.monin@bristol.ac.uk