



The Toda Flow as a Porous Medium Equation

Boris Khesin¹, Klas Modin²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 2E4, Canada. E-mail: khesin@math.toronto.edu

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden. E-mail: klas.modin@chalmers.se

Received: 23 July 2022 / Accepted: 20 February 2023

Published online: 14 March 2023 – \odot The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract: We describe the geometry of the incompressible porous medium (IPM) equation: we prove that it is a gradient dynamical system on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms and has a special double-bracket form. Furthermore, we show its similarities and differences with the dispersionless Toda system. The Toda flow describes an integrable interaction of several particles on a line with an exponential potential between neighbours, while its continuous version is an integrable PDE, whose physical meaning was obscure. Here we show that this continuous Toda flow can be naturally regarded as a special IPM equation, while the key double-bracket property of Toda is shared by all equations of the IPM type, thus manifesting their gradient and non-autonomous Hamiltonian origin. Finally, we comment on Toda and IPM modifications of the QR diagonalization algorithm, as well as describe double-bracket flows in an invariant setting of general Lie groups with arbitrary inertia operators.

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is three fold.

We start by studying the geometry of the 2D incompressible porous medium (IPM) equation and describe its relation to other equations of mathematical physics. This equation was recently found to possess intriguing properties for a general potential and to be related to problems of optimal mass transport, see [5,22]. Here we show that the IPM equation is a gradient dynamical system on the Lie group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms, and moreover, its gradient nature turns out to be due to a novel double bracket form of the IPM equation. Recall that the double brackets were introduced by Brockett for matrix equations [2,6]. Such equations manifest double nature: they turn out to be gradient on adjoint orbits of compact Lie groups, as well as Hamiltonian with possibly time-dependent Hamiltonian functions. The Hamiltonian viewpoint requires a certain identification of a Lie algebra and its dual, which is natural in the compact case due to bi-invariant inner product. In Appendix A we extend the setting of double brackets to

the duals of arbitrary Lie algebras by exhibiting the role of the inertia operator for the identification of a Lie algebra in its dual. It turns out that the 2D IPM equation is a double bracket equation on the dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}_{\mu}(M)$ of divergence-free vector fields on M. In the vorticity representation, which we employ, the inertia operator is given by the Laplacian Δ on M. Furthermore, extending this result, we prove the local in time existence of solutions for the IPM equation on a symplectic manifold in any dimension.

The second goal of the paper is a presentation of the dispersionless Toda flow as a certain porous medium equation. The classical Toda system on a real line describes an evolution of a finite collection of particles with neighbours interacting with exponential potential. The corresponding continuous limit, the dispersionless Toda flow (see e.g. [3,6]), can be thought of as a flow of continuum of particles mutually interacting with local exponential-type potential. We show that this interaction can be regarded as a porous medium interaction with a different ("the identity") inertia operator on a certain subspace of the dual $\mathfrak{X}^*_{\mu}(M)$. This way the dispersionless Toda dynamics turns out to be a special type of percolation of faster particles through slower ones.

Finally, this new IPM point of view on the Toda flow suggests an unusual modification of the classical QR diagonalization algorithm for symmetric matrices, particularly efficient for matrices of large sizes. It was shown in [10] that the QR diagonalization algorithm is a discrete time version of the Toda flow. It turns out that while theoretically convergent, its numerics is rather unstable and its speed of convergence to reordered eigenvalues is rather slow. The IPM equation with the Laplace inertia operator turns out to be an efficient replacement for that, as thanks to the presence of Δ^{-1} in the equation, its flow is stable and very fast converging. We demonstrate this in Sect. 4 by presenting diagonalization process of a 256×256 matrix by two algorithms, where, even after 1000 iterations, the Toda flow keeps oscillating, while the IPM flow obtains and reorders the eigenvalues much faster. We also propose yet another modification of this algorithm, providing a fast diagonalization of large symmetric matrices without ordering their eigenvalues.

2. The IPM Equation

2.1. Definition of the IPM flow.

Definition 2.1. Given a potential function V on a manifold M of an arbitrary dimension, the *incompressible porous medium* (IPM or Muscat) equation is given by

$$\dot{\rho} + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0,$$

subject to the conditions

$$v + \rho \nabla V = -\nabla p$$
, div $v = 0$.

This is a continuity equation on the density ρ transported by the divergence-free part v of the vector field $\rho \nabla V$ on M, see [5,22]. The dot stands for the derivative in time. Note that the pressure term is determined by the condition

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla V) = -\Delta p.$$

The IPM equation is also called a Muscat equation, where MUSCAT stands for Multiple-Doppler Synthesis and Continuity Adjustment Technique.

If *M* is a Kähler 2-manifold, the setting we are going to consider from now on, the field *v* has a (locally defined) stream function ψ , $v := -J\nabla\psi$. Assuming that the stream function is defined globally on *M* we get

$$\nabla \psi = \rho J \nabla V + J \nabla p \iff \Delta \psi = \operatorname{div}(\rho J \nabla V) = \nabla \rho \cdot J \nabla V = \{\rho, V\}.$$

We thereby obtain a "vorticity formulation" of the IPM equation as

$$\dot{\rho} = \{\psi, \rho\}, \quad \Delta \psi = \{V, \rho\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.2. The first equation emphasizes the Hamiltonian nature of the evolution of density ρ with the (time-dependent) Hamiltonian ψ with respect to the area form on *M*. In particular, ρ preserves all the standard Casimir functions

$$C_f(\rho) = \int_M f(\rho(x)) dx$$

for any (measurable) functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

2.2. Gradient flows on volume preserving diffeomorphisms. It turns out, the IPM equation is not only Hamiltonian, but it has a more prominent gradient nature. Namely, let M be a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold and Diff_µ(M) stands for the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.

We are interested in gradient flows on $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mu}(M)$ with respect to a right-invariant Riemannian metric defined by an inertia operator $A: \mathfrak{X}_{\mu}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{*}_{\mu}(M)$. For the group $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mu}(M)$ we may identify the dual $\mathfrak{X}^{*}_{\mu}(M)$ of its Lie algebra with the space of exact 2-forms $d\Omega^{1}(M)$ or with the space of vorticity functions $C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$. The pairing for a Hamiltonian vector field $v = X_{\psi}$ and a vorticity $\omega \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ is

$$\langle v, \omega \rangle = \int_M \psi \, \omega \, \mu.$$

With respect to this pairing the ad* operator is given by the bracket

$$\operatorname{ad}_{v}^{*}\omega = \{\psi, \omega\}.$$

Since the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields can be identified with the Poisson algebra of Hamiltonian functions (modulo constants), from now on we shall implicitly identify v with its Hamiltonian (or stream) function ψ via $v = X_{\psi}$.

Let $V \in C^{\infty}(M)$ be a potential function for a classical mechanical system with configuration space M. Now we fix a function $\omega_0 \in C^{\infty}(M)$, which will have the meaning of density or vorticity depending on the setting. Consider now a "linear" functional on the group $\text{Diff}_{\mu}(M)$ associated with this fixed function of the form

$$E_0(\varphi) = \int_M (V \circ \varphi) \,\omega_0 \,\mu = \int_M V \,\omega \,\mu, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\omega := \omega_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is the vorticity transported by a diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\mu}(M)$.

Theorem 2.3. The gradient flow on $\text{Diff}_{\mu}(M)$ for the functional (2.2) and the rightinvariant Riemannian metric determined by the inertial operator A is

$$\dot{\varphi} = -\nabla E_0(\varphi) = -X_{\psi} \circ \varphi, \text{ where } A\psi = \{V, \omega_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}\}.$$

The dynamics is completely determined by the transported vorticity $\omega = \omega_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}$ via the "double bracket"-type flow

$$\dot{\omega} = -\{A^{-1}\{V,\omega\},\omega\} \tag{2.3}$$

for the inertial operator $A = \Delta$ given by the Laplacian, $A: \psi \mapsto \omega = \Delta \psi$.

Proof. This is an application of the general framework described in Appendix A (Sect. 5). By setting $E_0(\varphi) = F(\omega_0 \circ \varphi^{-1})$ for $F(\omega) = \int_M V\omega \mu$, the gradient flow for E_0 corresponds to the dynamics of the generator ω given above. Namely, it is a double bracket flow with the inertia operator squeezed in between the two brackets.

Example 2.4. Take the manifold *M* to be the cylinder, $M = T^*S^1 = \{(\phi, z) \mid \phi \in S^1, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$, while the potential $V(\phi, z) = z$ to be the height function.

a) For the inertia operator $A = \Delta$ on stream functions we obtain the incompressible porous medium equation (IPM) defined above. Note that A defines the standard L^2 Arnold metric, when considered on vector fields (instead of their stream functions), and it is the H^{-1} -metric when considered on vorticities. The potential functional is $F(\rho) = \int_M V\rho$.

b) For the identity inertia, A = id, and the same potential we obtain the continuous Toda flow defined in the next section.

Remark 2.5. Notice that the functional $F(\rho) = \int_M V\rho$ can be also regarded as a Lyapunov function for the IPM flow with respect to the H^{-1} -metric:

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(\rho) = \int_{M} V\{\psi, \rho\} = \int_{M} \{V, \rho\} \Delta^{-1}\{V, \rho\} = -\langle\{V, \rho\}, \{V, \rho\}\rangle_{H^{-1}}$$

Remark 2.6. It is worth to add that the IPM equation (as well as the Toda system discussed below), being a "double bracket" flow, exhibit both gradient and Hamiltonian properties: it is a gradient flow for a function on a coadjoint orbit, but it is also a Hamiltonian flow for another function on the same orbit. Note, however, that this is an example of a *non-autonomous* Hamiltonian equation: the Hamiltonian function does change in time, as its differential is related to a certain bracket itself. Thus the convergent asymptotic behavior typical for gradient systems (and discussed below as a diagonalization procedure) does not contradict the conservative nature of *autonomous* Hamiltonian systems.

2.3. Local well-posedness of IPM. In this section we use the techniques developed by Ebin and Marsden [12] to prove local in time well-posedness of the IPM equation (2.1). Short-time existence results for $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ were already given by Córdoba, Gancedo, and Orive [9]. The alternative approach described below is of interest due to a much closer relation to Euler-Arnold equations and previous geometric analysis framework.

Theorem 2.7. Let M be a closed Kähler (Riemannian and symplectic) manifold of dimension 2d and let $V \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Furthermore, let s > d + 1. Then for every initial $\rho_0 \in H^s(M)$ there exists a maximal existence interval [0, T) with T > 0 in which the equation (2.1) has a unique solution $\rho : [0, T) \to H^s(M)$. *Proof.* First, we relax the equation by lifting it to the group of symplectomorphisms $\text{Diff}_{\mu}(M)$:

$$\dot{\eta} = v \circ \eta, \quad v = \nabla^{\perp} \Delta^{-1} \{ V, \rho \}, \quad \eta(0) = \mathrm{id},$$
(2.4)

where $\rho = \rho_0 \circ \eta^{-1}$. Since s > d + 1 it follows from results by Palais [23] and by Ebin and Marsden [12] that the Sobolev completion $\text{Diff}_{\mu}^s(M)$ is a Hilbert manifold. The aim is to show that the equation (2.4) is a smooth ordinary differential equation on $\text{Diff}_{\mu}^s(M)$. We have that v can be thought of as the following composition: $v = A \circ B \circ C \circ \tau(\eta^{-1})$ where

$$A: H_0^{s+1}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mu}^s, \quad \psi \mapsto \nabla^{\perp} \psi$$
$$B: H_0^{s-1}(M) \to H_0^{s+1}(M), \quad \rho \mapsto \Delta^{-1} \rho$$
$$C: H^s(M) \to H_0^{s-1}(M), \quad \rho \mapsto \{V, \rho\}$$
$$\tau: \operatorname{Diff}_{\mu}(M) \to H^s(M), \quad \varphi \mapsto \rho_0 \circ \varphi.$$

The flow can now be written as

$$\dot{\eta} = \left((A \circ B \circ C \circ \tau)(\eta^{-1}) \right) \circ \eta.$$

This proves that (2.4) is indeed an ODE on $\text{Diff}_{\mu}^{s}(M)$. However, it remains to prove that it is a *smooth* ODE.

The operators A, B, C, τ are all smooth, but the operation $\eta \to \eta^{-1}$ is not more than C^0 (in particular not Lipschitz). The strategy of Ebin and Marsden [12] is to prove that the particular combination of the 'inverse map-differential operator-forward map' is smooth. To this end, we define Diff^{*s*}_{*u*}(*M*)-bundle operators

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A} &: \mathrm{Diff}^{s}_{\mu}(M) \times H^{s+1}_{0} \to T\mathrm{Diff}_{\mu}(M), \quad (\eta, \psi) \mapsto (A(\psi \circ \eta^{-1})) \circ \eta, \\ \tilde{B} &: \mathrm{Diff}^{s}_{\mu}(M) \times H^{s-1}_{0} \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\mu}(M) \times H^{s+1}_{0}, \quad (\eta, \rho) \mapsto (B(\rho \circ \eta^{-1})) \circ \eta \\ \tilde{C} &: \mathrm{Diff}^{s}_{\mu}(M) \times H^{s} \to \mathrm{Diff}_{\mu}(M) \times H^{s-1}_{0}, \quad (\eta, \rho) \mapsto (C(\rho \circ \eta^{-1})) \circ \eta. \end{split}$$

The total flow can now be written as

$$\dot{\eta} = (\tilde{A} \circ \tilde{B} \circ \tilde{C})(\eta, \rho_0).$$

Since *A* and *C* are differential operators, and since the right composition is smooth, it follows from standard results (e.g. [18, Lemma 3.4]) that \tilde{A} and \tilde{C} are smooth bundle maps. By the same argument it follows that \tilde{B}^{-1} is a smooth bundle map. Since *B* is an isomorphism it follows that \tilde{B} is also a smooth bundle map (e.g. [18, Lemma 3.2]). Then the standard Picard iterations on Banach manifolds give local well-posedness in the sense of Hadamard.

Remark 2.8. In the next section we shall see that the Toda flow is geometrically exactly of the same form as IPM. The only difference is the choice of inertia operator A, which is the Laplacian for IPM but the identity for Toda. From an analysis point of view, however, the IPM flow behaves much better than Toda. In particular, the continuous Toda flow (see below) cannot be rigorously posed as an ODE on the Hilbert manifold Diff^s_µ(M): it is prevented by a loss of spatial derivatives in the right-hand side. As we discuss and illustrate in Sect. 4, this lack of regularity suggests slower convergence to a steady state.

3. Toda Flows, Their Continuous Limit, and Double Bracket Representation

3.1. Toda lattice. Consider *n* interacting particles on a line, where neighbours interact with an exponential potential. The corresponding system is described by the *Toda* flow in the symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sum dq_i \wedge dp_i)$ generated by the Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \exp 2(q_j - q_{j+1})$, being the sum of kinetic and potential energies, with the interaction potential $\exp(u)$ where $u := 2(q_k - q_{k+1})$ stands for the doubled distance between neighbours. (One usually normalizes the system so that the mass center is at 0, i.e., $\sum q_i = 0$, so there are n - 1 degrees of freedom.)

Proposition 3.1 (Flaschka [13], Moser [21]). Newton's equation of the Toda flow of n interacting particles after the change of variables $a_j = \exp(q_j - q_{j+1})$ with j = 1, ..., n - 1, and $b_k = p_k$ with k = 1, ..., n, assumes the following form:

$$\dot{a}_{k} = -a_{k}(b_{k+1} - b_{k})
\dot{b}_{k} = -2(a_{k}^{2} - a_{k-1}^{2}).$$
(3.1)

This system has the Lax form $\dot{L} = [L, M]$, where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & & 0\\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & & \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & & \\ & & \ddots & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & a_{n-1} & b_n \end{pmatrix} and$$
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & & 0\\ -a_1 & 0 & a_2 & & \\ 0 & -a_2 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & -a_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\sum b_k = 0$. This system is Hamiltonian with the Toda Poisson structure given by $\{b_j, a_{j-1}\}' = -a_{j-1}, \{b_j, a_j\}' = a_j$, while all other brackets are zero. The Hamiltonian function in the new coordinates is $H = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(L^2) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_k^2$, with evolution equations being

$$\dot{a}_j = \{H, a_j\}', \quad \dot{b}_j = \{H, b_j\}'.$$

Remark 3.2. The Lax equation $\dot{L} = [L, M]$ implies that L changes in its conjugacy class, $L(t) = u(t)L_0u^{-1}(t)$, where $u(t) \in SO(n)$ are solutions to $\dot{u} = Mu$, u(0) = I. In particular, functions $I_k = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr}(L^k)$, that are symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of L, are first integrals for k = 2, ..., n. In particular, $I_2 = H$. (In Lie algebra language, $\dot{L} = [L, M]$ is an equation on a symplectic manifold, which is the orbit of L_0 understood as an orbit in the appropriate lower-trangular matrix group, while ω is the orbit symplectic structure coming from the Lie-Poisson bracket in that space.) The existence of n - 1 first integrals, which turn out to be in involution, on the symplectic 2(n - 1)-dimensional space implies complete integrability of the Toda flow.

Remark 3.3. The matrix *M* itself can be represented as the commutator: M = [L, D], where D := diag(1, 2, ..., n). This implies that the Toda system of *n* particles can be rewritten as the double-bracket equation:

$$\dot{L} = [L, [L, D]]$$
 (3.2)

for the matrix *L* as above, see Bloch [2] and Bloch, Brockett, and Ratiu [4].

3.2. Toda continuous limit. Following Bloch, Flaschka, and Ratiu [3], consider now the continuous limit of the above system.

Definition 3.4. The continuous Toda flow (or dispersionless Toda system of equations) is the following evolution system on functions a(z), b(z) of PDEs:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{a} = -a \frac{\partial}{\partial z} b\\ \dot{b} = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} a^2. \end{cases}$$

There are several ways to obtain this system from its discrete analogue. One can directly replace the discrete parameter $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the Toda system (3.1) by a continuous parameter $z \in \mathbb{R}$, while replacing the differences of consecutive terms by the derivatives.

Alternatively (see [3]), one can think of *L* and *M* as infinite tridiagonal matrices and express them in the form $L = a_k \exp(\partial/\partial \phi) + b_k + a_k \exp(-\partial/\partial \phi)$ and $M = a_k \exp(\partial/\partial \phi) - a_k \exp(-\partial/\partial \phi)$, where $\exp(\partial/\partial \phi)$ is understood as the diagonal shift operator. (Indeed, if ϕ enumerates diagonals and then according to the Taylor expansion, $\exp(\epsilon \partial/\partial \phi)$ is a shift by ϵ : for a test function $f(\phi)$ one has $f(\phi + \epsilon) = \sum_m \epsilon^m f^{(m)}(\phi)/m! = \exp(\epsilon \partial/\partial \phi) f(\phi)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.)

Now replace the integer index k of a_k and b_k by a continuous parameter $z \in \mathbb{R}$ to obtain time-dependent functions a(z), b(z). The corresponding system of equations becomes the system of *dispersionless Toda equations*. The continuous limit of the tridiagonal matrix L assumes the form $L(z, \phi) = b(z) + 2a(z) \cos \phi$, where $2 \cos \phi = \exp(i\phi) + \exp(-i\phi)$ and exponentials $\exp(\pm i\phi)$ label the first super- and sub-diagonals, while variable z parametrizes the diagonal direction. (The above form of L can be thought of as a compact version of a hyperbolic analog $L = b(z) + 2a(z) \cosh \phi$, where $2 \cosh \phi = \exp \phi + \exp(-\phi)$.)

Proposition 3.5 (Faybusovich 1990, see [3]). For the function

$$L(\phi, z) = b(z) + 2a(z)\cos\phi$$

on $M = T^*S^1$ the dispersionless Toda equations assume the form of the Brockett double bracket equation

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = -\{L, \{L, z\}\},\tag{3.3}$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket for the symplectic structure $dz \wedge d\phi$ on the annulus $M = \{(z, \phi) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in S^1\}.$

Proof It is a straightforward computation:

$$\{L, z\} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = -2a(z)\sin\phi$$

Then

$$\{L, \{L, z\}\} = \{L, -2a(z)\sin\phi\}$$

= $\frac{\partial L}{\partial\phi}(-2a'(z)\sin(\phi)) + 2a(z)\cos\phi(b'(z) + 2a'(z)\cos\phi)$
= $2a(z)\sin\phi(2a'(z)\sin\phi) + 2a(z)\cos\phi(b'(x) + 2a'(z)\cos\phi)$
= $4a(z)a'(z) + 2a(z)b'(z)\cos\phi$

Thus the equation $\frac{dL}{dt} = -\{L, \{L, z\}\}$ for $\dot{L} := \dot{b}(z) + 2\dot{a}(z)\cos\phi$ is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{a} = -ab' \\ \dot{b} = -2(a^2)', \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

where prime stands for the derivative in z.

Remark 3.6 In the continuous limit the integrals $I_k = tr(L^k), k = 1, 2, ...$ become

$$J_{k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (b(z) + 2a(z)\cos\phi)^{k} dz d\phi.$$

In particular, $J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(z)^2 + 2a(z)^2) dz$. Yet one more way of obtaining the continuous Toda flow directly from the coordinates in the (q, p) particle phase space is given in Appendix B.

3.3. The Hamiltonian nature of the Toda flow. Consider the Poisson structure

$$\{F, G\}'(a, b) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\delta F}{\delta a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta G}{\delta b} - a \frac{\delta G}{\delta a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta F}{\delta b}$$

Then Hamilton's equations become

$$\dot{a} = \{H, a\}' = -a \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H}{\delta b}$$
$$\dot{b} = \{H, b\}' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} a \frac{\delta H}{\delta a}.$$

Thus we see that (3.4) is Hamiltonian for

$$H(a,b) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2a^2 + b^2) \, \mathrm{d}z = J_2(a,b)/2.$$

Notice that ad* is given by

$$\operatorname{ad}_{(u,v)}^*(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} -a\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v\\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}au \end{pmatrix}$$

where (u, v) are algebra elements. (Here we assume that a^2b vanishes at $\pm\infty$ so the boundary terms vanish when we do integration by parts.) Thus, we have shown that the system (3.4) admits both a Hamiltonian and a gradient formulation.

3.4. A comparison of the continuous Toda and the IPM equation. Now we return to the double-bracket form of both the equations. Recall that the IPM equation on a 2D manifold can be thought of as the following equation on the vorticity ω , cf. equation (2.3):

$$\dot{\omega} = -\{A^{-1}\{z,\omega\},\omega\},\omega\}$$

On the other hand, the dispersionless Toda equation (3.3) has the form

$$\dot{L} = -\{\{z, L\}, L\}$$

on the function $L(\phi, z) = b(z) + 2a(z) \cos \phi$, where *b* plays the role of the (continuous) momentum and *a* would be related to the particle density on the line, cf. equation (6.1). *Remark 3.7* For the interpretation as a porous medium equation the following feature of the Toda dynamics of *n* particles will be important: $a_k(t) \to 0$ and $b_k(t) \to \text{const}_k$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ for k = 1, ..., n - 1. This implies that the distance between particles goes to infinity, $|q_{k+1}(t) - q_k(t)| \to \infty$, and $L(t) \to \text{diag}(p_1^{\pm}, ..., p_n^{\pm})$. Furthermore, due to the isospectral property, p_k^{\pm} are eigenvalues, that are assumed to be distinct, while the interaction is repelling, with $p_j(t) \to p_j^{\pm}$ and $q_j(t) \to p_j^{\pm}t + q_j^{\pm}$ as $t \to \infty$. If $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$ are ordered eigenvalues of L(t) for all *t*, then $p_j^{+} = \lambda_j$, $p_j^{-} = \lambda_{n+1-j}$ and λ_j are first integrals, implying integrability (see Moser [21]).

In other words, as $t \to \pm \infty$ the particles are almost non-interacting, while as t changes from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ their interaction (by the Toda exponential potential) can be thought of as the faster particles "penetrating through" slower ones. This can also be interpreted as rearranging the order of particles to have their momenta increasing on the line for large t.

Now one can interpret the continuous Toda flow as a flow of particles on the line, in which particles "go through each other" as through porous medium and undergo certain interactions, according to the equations. The interaction law is determined by the inertia operator A and is different for the IPM equation and the Toda flow, where A is respectively Δ or the identity *id*. (The difference in the inertia operator is somewhat similar to different shapes of rigid bodies leading to different Euler top equations according to those shapes.) Hence the Toda flow can be regarded as a certain seepage in the porous medium.

Example 3.8 We present modelling of the two flows, IPM in equation (2.3) and continuous Toda in equation (3.3), on the sphere $M = S^2$ to see the corresponding similarity and differences in their dynamics. Details of how the numerical simulations were carried out are given in Sect. 4 below.

We note that in the case of Toda, the dynamics in a(z) and b(z) is visualized as a dynamics on L of special tridiagonal form (or its smooth analog of the form $L(z, \phi) = b(z) + 2a(z) \cos \phi$ with auxiliary variable ϕ). Such a submanifold in the space of all matrices or all functions $L(z, \phi)$ is invariant but unstable, which makes the modeling numerically challenging. The corresponding evolution clearly shows the penetration of particles, as they try to align with the potential, which is the height function. See Fig. 1 for dynamics of functions $L: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ as a spherical analog of the cylinder.

On the other hand, the dynamics of the IPM equation thanks to the (inverse inertia) operator Δ^{-1} in Equation (2.3), the corresponding dynamics of the vorticity function ω is numerically stable. Hence, while an analogous submanifold of functions ω is not invariant, it does not affect the asymptotic dynamics: solutions still converge to a similar final configurations aligned with the potential, see Fig. 2. These similarities in the IPM and Toda flows allow one to interpret the latter as a porous medium-type equation.



Fig. 1. Fluid interpretation of the continuous Toda flow. As a gradient flow, it strives to move the positive parts (red) to the north and the negative parts (blue) to the south while also being zonal (corresponding to the matrix being diagonalized)



Fig. 2. The IPM flow on the sphere. Note that being gradient and similarly to Toda, it also strives to move the positive parts (red) to the north and the negative parts (blue) to the south while also being zonal. This motion is achieved differently than for the Toda flow: it is smoother and the corresponding numerical scheme is more stable

4. Comments on the Diagonalization Algorithms

4.1. Discretization of the fluid and Toda flows. We first describe the setup for numerical simulations yielding Figs. 1 and 2. The connection between isospectral flows of matrices



Fig. 3. Evolution of some diagonal elements for the Toda and IPM flows. Both flows tend to order the elements, but IPM does so in a significantly more stable way

and incompressible transport equations for functions on some domain M is established via the following discretization procedure. The notion, due to Zeitlin [24] and based on the explicit quantization of Hoppe [14], gives an approach for Casimir preserving numerical discretization schemes for incompressible 2D hydrodynamics. In order for the discretization to yield finite-dimensional operators (matrices), the underlying symplectic manifold M has to be compact. Thus, instead of T^*S^1 we work with S^2 (for which efficient discretization algorithms are developed in [8,19]).

The corresponding discretization gives us projections $\mathcal{T}_N : C^{\infty}(S^2) \to \mathfrak{u}(N)$ with right inverses \mathcal{T}_N^{-1} such that (weakly)

$$\mathcal{T}_N^{-1}([\mathcal{T}_N(f), \mathcal{T}_N(f)]) \to \{f, g\} \text{ as } N \to \infty.$$

The size N of the matrices should thus be seen as a discretization parameter.

In the case of Toda, we confine to the invariant subspace of purely imaginary symmetric matrices $iS(N) \subset u(N)$, which we identify with real symmetric matrices S(N). This restriction corresponds to the restriction of functions $\{L \in C^{\infty}(S^2) \mid L(\theta, \phi) = L(\theta, -\phi)\}$ where θ is the polar angle and ϕ is the azimuthal angle. As described above, the finite-dimensional Toda flow (3.2) actually evolves on even the smaller subspace of tridiagonal symmetric matrices, which corresponds to functions on S^2 whose spherical

harmonics components $L_{\ell m}$ vanish for |m| > 1. Furthermore, zonal functions correspond to diagonal matrices, and, in particular, the height function on the sphere $z = \cos \theta$ corresponds to the diagonal matrix D with equidistant entries from -1 (south pole) to 1 (north pole). We have thus obtained that the finite-dimensional Toda flow (3.2) is a numerical discretization of the corresponding continuous Toda flow (3.3) for $M = S^2$ (instead of $M = T^*S^1$).

To obtain a matrix discretization of the IPM equation on S^2 we need, in addition to the structures just described, a discrete version of the Laplace operator. Based on the representation of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ in $\mathfrak{u}(N)$, such an operator $\Delta_N : \mathfrak{u}(N) \to \mathfrak{u}(N)$ is given by Hoppe and Yau [15]. An efficient method for solving the discrete Poisson equation $\Delta_N W = P$ in $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ operations is derived in [8]. This provides all the ingredients needed to obtain a matrix discretization of the IPM equation (2.1) for $M = S^2$ and $V(\theta, \phi) = z = \cos \theta$.

We simulate the two systems with initial data given by a randomly generated, tridiagonal symmetric $N \times N$ matrix for N = 256. For time integration we use the isospectral preserving method developed in [20]. Snapshots of the results, visualized as smooth functions via the Hammer projection of the sphere, are given in Figs. 1 and 2. In both cases the flows tend towards a zonal state (corresponding to a diagonal matrix).

4.2. Modification of the QR diagonalization via the IPM flow. The classical QR algorithm for diagonalization of symmetric matrices, which is one of the main diagonalization algorithms, can be regarded as the Toda flow at integer time moments, see [10]. The latter (often restricted to tri-diagonal matrices) is called the Toda algorithm, and it not only diagonalizes matrices, but also orders their eigenvalues. For instance, its stopping time, when the numerically found eigenvalues are sufficiently close to the actual ones, has universal nature and is an important research topic, see [11].

Our study comparing the Toda and IPM flows suggests the following modification of the QR diagonalization algorithm for symmetric matrices, which is particularly efficient for matrices of large size. Namely, Fig. 3 compares the speed of convergence to reordered eigenvalues for the 256×256 initial matrix by our finite-dimensional versions of the Toda flow and the IPM flow. The graphs for the 10th, 50th, 100th, 150th, and 200th eigenvalues continue to oscillate for a long time in the Toda flow. On the other hand, there are no such oscillations for the IPM flow, which quickly arrives at the final values. The convergence turns out to be so much faster due to the inverse Laplacian as the inertia operator in the IPM equation, which makes the numerical scheme more stable.

This comparison is continued in Fig. 4 which describes the final diagonal values for the Toda and IPM algorithms (and also the new diagonalizing flow outlined below) by juxtaposing them with the exact spectrum. The result for IPM is almost indistinguishable from the true spectrum, while the Toda flow still exhibits oscillations and unordered segments in the spectrum (after 1500 iterations). While the detailed study is yet to be done, these figures already suggest that the IPM equation with the Laplace inertia operator might be an efficient replacement for the diagonalization and reordering algorithm: due to the presence of Δ^{-1} in the equation, its flow is stable and converging much faster.

4.3. An unordered diagonalization algorithm. The new approach via IPM also suggests new gradient flows for diagonalization of symmetric matrices. Indeed, from a numerical point of view, a convergence to a diagonal matrix (called deflation) is much more essential then ordering the eigenvalues. Thus, it is natural to consider the isospectral gradient flow



Fig. 4. Final diagonal elements compared with exact spectrum for Toda, IPM, and the diagonalizing gradient flow (4.1)

on symmetric matrices L that aims to maximize the following energy

$$F(L) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |L_{ii}|^2.$$

Indeed, recall that the square of the matrix Frobenius norm is tr (LL^{\top}) . For a symmetric matrix $L = L^{\top}$ the norm is constant on its isospectral orbit. Furthermore, this squared norm is given by the sum of squared eigenvalues, so the energy functional *F* on the orbit is maximized if and only if *L* is diagonal. The differential of this energy at a matrix *L* is given by the projection (denoted by D(L)) onto the diagonal part. Thus the IPM version of the gradient flow for the energy *F* is given by

$$\dot{L} = [\Delta_N^{-1}[D(L), L], L],$$
(4.1)

where Δ_N is the discrete Laplacian described above. The continuous analog of D(L) for $M = S^2$ is L^2 -orthogonal projection onto the subspace of zonal functions (i.e., averaging along fixed latitudes). As can be seen in Fig. 5, the convergence to a diagonal matrix is fast, and as stable as in IPM, but contrary to IPM and Toda the elements on the diagonal are not sorted. As mentioned above, if sorted, the computed spectrum is precise, similarly to the IPM, cf. the last two diagrams in Fig. 4.



Fig. 5. Evolution of some diagonal elements for the diagonalizing gradient flow (4.1). The convergence is fast, but contrary to Toda and IPM this flow does not strive to sort the elements



Fig. 6. The diagonalizing gradient flow (4.1) visualized on the sphere. The flow strives to a zonal configuration, but contrary to Toda and IPM there is no tendency to a monotone zonal state from south to north

Finally, in Fig. 6 we see how the gradient flow for the energy F interpreted on the sphere strives to a zonal state, but with bands that are non-ordered from south to north, while instead correlated to the initial configuration. These findings indicate that the flow (4.1) might be useful for diagonalization when sorting of eigenvalues is unimportant.

Remark 4.1 The code for the simulations and animations illustrating the dynamics of the three flows are available here:

https://github.com/klasmodin/diagonalizing-flows

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions. Research of B.K. was partially supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant. K.M. was supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant number 2022-03453) and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (grant number WAF2019.0201). The

computations were enabled by resources provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at C3SE partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreement no. 2018-05973.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

5. Appendix A: Universal Double Bracket Flows

5.1. The gradient flow on a Lie group. Here we consider a framework for Riemannian gradient flows confined to group orbits. This leads to the proper setting for the double bracket flows in the case of an arbitrary inertia operator. A similar framework, with focus on shape analysis, is also given in [1].

Let Q be a configuration manifold, possibly infinite-dimensional. Furthermore, let G be a Lie group (or a Fréchet–Lie group in the infinite-dimensional case) acting on Q from the left by a smooth action map $\Phi: G \times Q \rightarrow Q$; the action of $g \in G$ on $q \in Q$ is denoted $g \cdot q$. This action is typically neither free, nor transitive. The orbit of $q \in Q$ is

$$Orb(q) = \{g \cdot q \mid q \in G\}.$$

We think of Q as the space of 'shapes' and the orbit Orb(q) represents all possible deformations of q. For a given *template shape* q_0 , our objective is to study gradient flows on $Orb(q_0)$. Notice, however, that we do not assume that Q is Riemannian; the Riemannian structure on $Orb(q_0)$ is instead inherited from G. Before we state the main result of this section, we need a few concepts from geometric mechanics (*cf.* [17]).

By differentiating the action map at the identity we obtain the infinitesimal action map $\mathfrak{g} \times Q \to TQ$, where $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ is the Lie algebra of G. The infinitesimal action of $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $q \in Q$ is denoted $v \cdot q$. This linear map in v is the (cotangent bundle) momentum map:

Definition 5.1 The *momentum map* $J: T^*Q \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by

$$\langle J(q, p), v \rangle = \langle p, v \cdot q \rangle \quad \forall v \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where T^*Q denotes the cotangent bundle of Q^{1} .

Next, we introduce a Riemannian structure on G.

Definition 5.2 A Riemannian metric $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$: $TG \times TG \to \mathbb{R}$ on G is called *right-invariant* if

$$\langle\!\langle u, v \rangle\!\rangle_e = \langle\!\langle u \cdot g, v \cdot g \rangle\!\rangle_g, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $u \cdot g$ denotes the tangent lifted right action of g on u.

¹ If Q is an infinite-dimensional Fréchet manifold, the cotangent bundle T^*Q is given in terms of the regular dual (cf. [16]), defined so that $T_q^*Q \simeq T_qQ$.

A right-invariant metric is completely determined by the inner product $\langle\!\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\!\rangle_e$.

Definition 5.3 Let $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ be a right-invariant metric on *G*. Then the *inertia operator* $A: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by

$$\langle Av, u \rangle = \langle \langle v, u \rangle \rangle_e.$$

Since G acts on Q from the left, the action map induces a Riemannian structure on Orb(q). To see this, we first need the notion of horizontal vectors on G.

Definition 5.4 The *vertical distribution* associated with the action of G on $q \in Q$ is the subbundle of TG given by

$$\operatorname{Ver}_g = \{ v \cdot g \in T_g G \mid v \cdot g \cdot q = 0 \}.$$

If $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ is a right-invariant metric on *G*, then the corresponding *horizontal distribution* is given by

$$\operatorname{Hor}_g = \operatorname{Ver}_g^{\perp}$$

where the complement is taken with respect to $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$.

Lemma 5.5 Assume that Orb(q) is a submanifold of Q. Then any right-invariant Riemannian metric $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ induces a Riemannian metric g on Orb(q) fulfilling

$$\mathbf{g}_{g \cdot q}(v \cdot g \cdot q, v \cdot g \cdot q) = \langle\!\langle v, v \rangle\!\rangle_e \quad \forall v \cdot g \in \mathrm{Hor}_g.$$
(5.1)

Proof Since Orb(q) is a manifold, the mapping $\pi : G \to Q$ defined by $\pi(g) = g \cdot q$ is a submersion. Thus, $T_g \pi : \operatorname{Hor}_g \to T_{\pi(g)}Orb(q)$ is a linear isomorphism. Now, for $(x, \dot{x}) \in TOrb(q)$, take any g such that $x = \pi(g)$ (which exist by definition of the group orbit). Define the metric at x by

$$\mathbf{g}_{x}(\dot{x},\dot{x}) = \langle\!\langle \underbrace{(T_{g}\pi)^{-1}\dot{x}}_{v\cdot g}, \underbrace{(T_{g}\pi)^{-1}\dot{x}}_{v\cdot g} \rangle\!\rangle_{g}.$$

By right-invariance, this metric satisfies (5.1) and is independent of the choice of g. \Box

Let *G* be a Lie group acting from the left on a manifold *Q* of shapes. Let $q_0 \in Q$ and let $F: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function on *Q*. We are interested in finding the minimum of *F* on the *G*-orbit of q_0 , that is, we want to minimize the function $E: G \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$E(g) = F(g \cdot q_0).$$

If *G* is equipped with a right-invariant Riemannian metric **g**, defined by an inertia operator $A: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, one can define the gradient vector field ∇E on *G* by

$$\mathsf{g}_g(\nabla E(g), \dot{g}) = \langle \mathrm{d}E, \dot{g} \rangle.$$

Our aim is to solve the minimization problem by considering the gradient flow

$$\dot{g} = -\nabla E(g). \tag{5.2}$$

Proposition 5.6 *The gradient* ∇E *is given by*

$$\nabla E(g) = \xi \cdot g$$

where $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\xi = A^{-1}J(g \cdot q_0, \mathrm{d}F(g \cdot q_0)).$$

Proof By definition of the gradient we have

$$\langle\!\langle \nabla E, \dot{g} \rangle\!\rangle_g = \frac{d}{dt} E(g(t)) = \langle dF, \xi \cdot (g \cdot q_0) \rangle.$$

where $\xi = \dot{g} \cdot g^{-1}$. Now from the definition of the momentum map it follows that

$$\langle\!\langle \nabla E, \dot{g} \rangle\!\rangle_g = \langle J(g \cdot q_0, dF), \xi \rangle = \langle\!\langle A^{-1} J(g \cdot q_0, dF), \dot{g} \cdot g^{-1} \rangle\!\rangle_e$$

The result follows since the metric is right invariant.

Proposition 5.7 *The gradient flow* (5.2) *induces a gradient flow on the G-orbit of* q_0 *, given by*

$$\dot{q} = -u(q) \cdot q \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$u(q) = A^{-1}J(q, \mathrm{d}F(q))$$

Proof Follows from Prop. 5.6.

Definition 5.8 The double-bracket flow on the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^* with the inertia operator *A* and a potential function *F* on \mathfrak{g}^* is as follows:

$$\dot{m} = \operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{\operatorname{d}F(m)}^*(m)}^*(m).$$

For the quadratic potential $F(m) = \langle m, A^{-1}m \rangle$ the corresponding flow is

$$\dot{m} = \operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}m}^*(m)}^*(m).$$

Proposition 5.9 *The double-bracket flow on* \mathfrak{g}^* *is the gradient for the restriction of F on each coadjoint orbit.*

Proof Indeed, consider the special case where $Q = \mathfrak{g}^*$. The action is given by $g \cdot m = \operatorname{Ad}_{\sigma}^* m$. The momentum map is thereby given by

$$\langle J(m,\xi),v\rangle = \langle \xi, \mathrm{ad}_v^*(m)\rangle = \langle \mathrm{ad}_v(\xi),m\rangle = \langle -\mathrm{ad}_\xi(v),m\rangle = \langle -\mathrm{ad}_\xi^*(m),v\rangle$$

Then from (5.3) we obtain the equation(s) in Definition 5.8, and the statement follows from Proposition 5.7. The corresponding flow tries to minimize the energy on a specific co-adjoint orbit.

Note also that this flow is always orthogonal (w.r.t. A^{-1}) to the (Hamiltonian) Euler-Arnold flow: one of them is tangent to levels of the Hamiltonian, while the other is orthogonal to the levels of the same function regarded as a potential. One can also see this directly, since

$$\langle \operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}m}^{*}(m)}^{*}(m), A^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}m}^{*}(m) \rangle$$

= $\langle m, [A^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}m}^{*}(m), A^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{A^{-1}m}^{*}(m)] \rangle = 0$

5.2. Contraction property of the flow. Consider the gradient flow (5.2).

Proposition 5.10 Let $d: G \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the Riemannian distance function induced by the right-invariant metric associated with the gradient flow (5.2). Let $\gamma: [0, T) \to G$ be a solution curve. Then, for all $t \in [0, T)$

$$d(\gamma(0), \gamma(t))^2 \le t \Big(E \big(\gamma(0) \big) - E \big(\gamma(t) \big) \Big).$$

Proof First notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(\gamma(t)) = \langle\!\langle \nabla E(\gamma), \dot{\gamma} \rangle\!\rangle_{\gamma} = -\langle\!\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle\!\rangle_{\gamma} = -\langle\!\langle \dot{\gamma} \circ \gamma^{-1}, \dot{\gamma} \circ \gamma^{-1} \rangle\!\rangle_{e} = -\langle\!\langle v, v \rangle\!\rangle_{e}$$

for the vector field v(t) generating the curve $\gamma(t)$. Denote by $||v||_A^2 := \langle \langle v, v \rangle \rangle_e = \langle Av, v \rangle$. Since any curve between $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(t)$ cannot exceed the length of the geodesic between the points we have

$$d(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) \le \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_A \, \mathrm{d}s \le \sqrt{t} \left(\int_0^t \|v(s)\|_A^2 \, \mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}$$

where the last inequality is Cauchy–Schwartz on $L^2([0, t])$.

Remark 5.11 Theorem 5.10 in relation to the IPM flow then gives the following result: the "Arnold fluid-distance" between $\varphi(0)$ and $\varphi(t)$ is bounded by

$$d(\varphi(0),\varphi(t))^2 \le t \int_M V(\rho(0) - \rho(t)).$$

6. Appendix B: A Direct Continuous Toda Limit

Start from the Hamiltonian for the finite-dimensional Toda lattice in (q_j, p_j) variables. Take the limit $n \to \infty$ to obtain a continuous system on $T^*Dens(\mathbb{R})$. Under this limit we have

$$q_{j+1} - q_j \to \varphi'(z)$$

where φ is the diffeomorphism describing how each point on the line has moved. Since we also have $\varphi'(z) > 0$ and therefore $\rho = \text{Jac}(\varphi^{-1}) = 1/\varphi' \circ \varphi$, we get the potential

$$U(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(\varphi') dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(1/\rho \circ \varphi^{-1}) dz,$$

where the potential V is

$$V(r) = \exp(-2r).$$

The gradient of U is computed as

$$\frac{d}{dt}U(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} V'(\varphi')\dot{\varphi}'dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}V'(\varphi')\right)\dot{\varphi} dz$$

Newton's equations on the space of diffeomorphisms is thereby

$$\ddot{\varphi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} V'(\varphi') = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \exp(-2\varphi').$$

The fluid formulation of this system is

$$\dot{v} + \nabla_v v = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}V'(\varphi')\right) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \dot{\varphi} = v \circ \varphi.$$

Let us now make the following change of variables

$$a = \exp(-\varphi'), \qquad b = \dot{\varphi}.$$
 (6.1)

Since the density is uniform, the variable b is interpreted physically as the Lagrangian momentum. Direct calculations now yield

$$\dot{a} = -a\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\dot{\varphi} = -a\frac{\partial}{\partial z}b$$

and, likewise,

$$\dot{b} = \ddot{\varphi} = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}a^2.$$

This is the same equation as in the first continuous limit, which can be rewritten as

$$\dot{a} = -a\frac{\partial}{\partial z}b, \qquad \dot{b} = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}a^2.$$
 (6.2)

References

- Balehowsky, T., Karlsson, C.-J., Modin, K.: Shape analysis via gradient flows on diffeomorphism groups. Nonlinearity 3(6), 862 (2022)
- Bloch, A.M.: Steepest descent, linear programming, and Hamiltonian flows. Contemp. Math. 114, 77–88 (1990)
- Bloch, A., Flaschka, H., Ratiu, T.: A Schur-Horn-Kostant convexity theorem for the diffeomorphism group of the annulus. Invent. Math. 113, 511–529 (1993)
- Bloch, A.M., Brockett, R.W., Ratiu, T.S.: Completely integrable gradient flows. Commun. Math. Phys. 147, 57–74 (1992)
- Brenier, Y.: Various formulations and approximations of incompressible fluid motions in porous media. Ann. Math. Qué. 4(6), 195–206 (2022)
- Brockett, R.W., Bloch, A.M.: Sorting with the dispersionless limit of the Toda lattice. In: Proceedings of the CRM Workshop on Hamiltonian Systems, Transformation Groups and Spectral Transform Methods, Publications CRM, Montreal, 1–11 (1990)
- Brockett, R.W.: Dynamical systems that sort lists, diagonalize matrices, and solve linear programming problems. Linear Algebra Appl. 146, 79–91 (1991)
- Cifani, P., Viviani, M., Modin, K.: An efficient geometric method for incompressible hydrodynamics on the sphere. J. Comput. Phys. 473, 111772 (2023)
- Córdoba, D., Gancedo, F., Orive, R.: Analytical behavior of two-dimensional incompressible flow in porous media. J. Math. Phys. 48, 065206 (2007)
- Deift, P., Nanda, T., Tomei, C.: Ordinary differential equations and the symmetric eigenvalue problem. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 20, 1–22 (1983)
- Deift, P., Trogdon, T.: Universality for the Toda algorithm to compute the eigenvalues of a random matrix. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 73, 505–536 (2018)
- Ebin, D.G., Marsden, J.E.: Groups of diffeomorphisms and the notion of an incompressible fluid. Ann. Math. 92, 102–163 (1970)
- 13. Flaschka, H.: The Toda lattice. Phys. Rev. B 9, 1924–1925 (1974)
- 14. Hoppe, J.: Diffeomorphism groups, quantization, and $SU(\infty)$. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **04**, 5235–5248 (1989)
- 15. Hoppe, J., Yau, S.-T.: Some properties of matrix harmonics on S². Commun. Math. Phys. **195**, 67–77 (1998)
- 16. Khesin, B., Wendt, R.: The Geometry of Infinite-Dimensional Groups. Springer, Berlin (2009)

- 17. Marsden, J.E., Ratiu, T.S.: Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1999)
- Modin, K.: Generalized Hunter–Saxton equations, optimal information transport, and factorization of diffeomorphisms. J. Geom. Anal. 25, 1306–1334 (2015)
- Modin, K., Viviani, M.: A Casimir preserving scheme for long-time simulation of spherical ideal hydrodynamics. J. Fluid Mech. 884, A22 (2020)
- Modin, K., Viviani, M.: Lie–Poisson methods for isospectral flows. Found. Comput. Math. 20, 889–921 (2020)
- 21. Moser, J.: Finitely many mass points on the line under the influence of an exponential potential-an integrable system. In: Dynamical Systems, Theory and Applications. Springer, pp. 467–497 (1975)
- Otto, F.: Evolution of microstructure in unstable porous media flow: a relaxational approach. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 52(7), 873–915 (1999)
- 23. Palais, R.S.: Foundations of Global Non-linear Analysis. Benjamin, New York (1968)
- Zeitlin, V.: Finite-mode analogs of 2D ideal hydrodynamics: coadjoint orbits and local canonical structure. Physica D 49, 353–362 (1991)

Communicated by C. Liverani