

# MAT347Y1 HW9 Marking Scheme

Friday, November 27

**Total: 21 points.**

**5.2.1:** 5 points; 1 per part.

**5.2.5:** 3 points.

- (1) ( $\Rightarrow$ )
- (1) ( $\Leftarrow$ )
- (1) exponent  $n_1$

A worryingly large proportion of you assumed (in some form or other) that  $x^a = x^b$  implies  $a = b$ .

**5.2.13:** 4 points.

- (2) Presentation for  $A$
- (1) there exists a homomorphism. In particular, your homomorphism must be well-defined! A (once again, worryingly) large proportion of people either forgot to check this entirely, or “checked” this in a meaningless way, like calculating that  $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$  when  $a = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} = b$ . The whole point of proving something is well-defined is that if there are different ways of writing the same element (in this case, for instance,  $x_1^2 = x_1^{n_1+2}$  and  $x_1^3 x_2 = x_1 x_2 x_1^2$ ), those different ways of writing the element all give the same result in the image.

Note that only this step that requires the condition on the  $g_i$ 's having the right order; if you forget to prove your map is well-defined, you can do the whole question without using that information at all. That should set off warning bells in your head that you might be missing an important step.

- (1) uniqueness

**5.2.14(a):** 3 points: associative/commutative, identity, inverses

**5.2.14(b):** 6 points

- (2)  $\chi_i$  each commute and have the right order
- (1) Define a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \hat{G}$  (e.g. using question 13)
- (2) The homomorphism is surjective
- (1) The homomorphism is injective

Note: a common mistake was to show that the  $\chi_i$ s commute, and conclude that  $\langle \chi_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \chi_n \rangle \leq \hat{G}$  right after. This implication does not follow! The join of subgroups is not necessarily a direct product, even in the abelian case.