

# MAT347Y1 HW4 Marking Scheme + Tips!

Friday, October 16

**Total: 24 points.**

**2.2.10:** 4 points.

**2.4.7:** 5 points.

- (1) Let  $H = \langle (12), (13)(24) \rangle$ . Define a map  $\phi : D_8 \rightarrow H$ .
- (2) Check that the map respects the relations of  $D_8$  (depending on how you defined  $\phi$ , this would fall under either proving it's a homomorphism or checking that it's well-defined)
- (2) At least two of: injective, surjective, or computing the order of the subgroup.

(There are other ways to do this as well; for example, you could use the fact that  $D_8$  acts faithfully on the vertices of a square to get an injective homomorphism  $D_8 \rightarrow S_4$ , and prove that the image is  $H$ )

Tip: it's in general a bad idea to use the technique of "these two groups have the same presentations and are therefore isomorphic." Why? Because proving that generators satisfy some relations is easy; proving that you've found a *complete* set of relations - necessary for it to be a presentation - is a lot harder. Better to construct a homomorphism (which only uses the relations of  $D_8$ , which you know), and use injectivity/surjectivity/order to show it's an isomorphism.

**3.1.32:** 5 points. And yes, the question asks about *every* subgroup so you do need to mention  $\{1\}$  and  $Q_8$ .

- (3) Proofs of normality
- (2) Derivation of quotients

Tip: If you're trying to prove something by checking every element of a group, you're probably doing more work than you need to. For example in this case, you needed to check that  $gHg^{-1} \subseteq H$  for all  $g \in G$ . Rather than checking  $ghg^{-1}$  for every  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$ , it's enough to check it on the generators of  $G$  and the generators of  $H$ . (Don't know why? Prove it!) Other common things a lot of students do in assignments: proving a map  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  is surjective by checking where every single element of  $H$  comes from (it's enough to show that generators of  $H$  are in the image of  $\phi$ , because every other element is a product of these), or checking that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism by computing it on every possible product of two things in  $G$  (it's enough to plug the relations of  $G$  into  $\phi$ , because every other product can be computed from these).

**3.1.35:** 6 points. This problem is pretty easy if you use the first isomorphism theorem, but for those of you who didn't use it:

- (2)  $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$  is normal
- (2) Define a map from  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)/\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$  and show that it's well-defined and a homomorphism
- (2) Injectivity and surjectivity

Tip: Remember that being the kernel of a homomorphism is enough to show that a subgroup is normal - some of you did more work than you needed to by showing  $M\mathrm{SL}_n(F)M^{-1} \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ , and then defining a homomorphism with kernel  $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ . The second step makes the first one totally redundant.

**3.2.11:** 4 points. Note that if the problem specifically says "do not assume  $G$  is a finite group," you should not use notation like  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  unless you specifically state that this set is finite. Better to use " $i \in I$  for some index set  $I$ ."

- (2) Every coset in  $G/H$  can be written using a representative of a coset in  $G/K$  and a representative of a coset in  $K/H$
- (2) Each pair of coset representatives (one from  $G/K$ , one from  $K/H$ ) gives a *unique* coset of  $G/H$

Note: choosing representatives from each coset is necessary here. Defining a map  $G/K \times K/H \rightarrow G/H$  by  $(gK, kH) \mapsto gkH$ , while it seems like an obvious thing to do, actually isn't well-defined! For example, let  $G = Z_4 = \langle a \rangle$ ,  $H = \{1\}$ ,  $K = \langle a^2 \rangle$ . Then  $1K = a^2K$ , but according to the map above,  $(1K, 1H) \mapsto \{1\}$  while  $(a^2K, 1H) \mapsto \{a^2\}$ , a contradiction.