

This is a special case of symplectic reduction.

Recall: A map  $f$  is holomorphic

$df$  is complex-linear at each point.

For  $f: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ , this is a theorem.

For  $f: (M_1, J_1) \rightarrow (M_2, J_2)$  a map between almost cpx. manifolds, this is a definition.

Special Case:

$$f: (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$$

Riemann surface                      almost cpx. mfd.

Here, holomorphic  $\Leftrightarrow$   $df \circ j = J \circ df$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  holomorphic curve  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  pseudoholomorphic curve  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  J-holomorphic curve.

And now it's time for linear algebra...

Let  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  be real linear.

Note:  $df \circ j = J \circ df \Leftrightarrow df + J \circ df \circ j = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{(df + Jdf \circ j) \circ j} &= df \circ j - Jdf = -J \circ J (df \circ j - Jdf) \\ &= -J \underline{(df + Jdf \circ j)} \end{aligned}$$

So  $df + Jdf \circ j$  is complex antilinear.

With this observation,  $A = A' + A''$  where

$$A' = \frac{1}{2}(A - iAi) \text{ --- complex linear}$$

$$A'' = \frac{1}{2}(A + iAi) \text{ --- complex antilinear}$$

Remark: The value of (almost) complex structures is they give us a way of finding friends:  $\forall u$ , nonzero,

$$\omega(u, Ju) > 0$$

If  $(M, \omega, J)$  is almost Kähler and  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic (such  $f$  rarely exists), given a regular value  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  of  $f$ ,  $f^{-1}(u) \subseteq M$  is an almost complex, hence symplectic, submanifold.

### Exercise

5.1

Given a real linear map  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , if  $|A''| < |A'|$ , then  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\ker A) = 2$ , and  $\ker A$  is symplectic.

NB: I ran out of red ink; this is still an exercise, tho.

Notation:  
 $g \circ h := h \circ g$

Notation: For  $f: (M_1, J_1) \rightarrow (M_2, J_2)$ , define

$$\partial f := \frac{1}{2}(df - J_1 \circ df \circ J_2)$$

$$\bar{\partial} f := \frac{1}{2}(df + J_1 \circ df \circ J_2)$$

**Consequence (of exercise)**

Given  $f: (M, J, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  
if  $|\bar{\partial}f| < |\partial f|$  at each point of  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ ,  
then  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is a symplectic submanifold.  
(0, it follows, is regular).

Note: On  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , write  $f = f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Then

$$\partial f = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k} dz_k \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} f = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_k} d\bar{z}_k$$

Where  $z_k = x_k + iy_k$ ,  $\bar{z}_k = x_k - iy_k$

So  $dz_k = dx_k + idy_k$ ,  $d\bar{z}_k = dx_k - idy_k$

At each point  $q \in M = \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\{dz_k, d\bar{z}_k\}_k$  forms  
a basis to the  $\mathbb{C}$ -v.s.

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(T_q M, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_q M \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$$

The dual basis is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right)$$

Observe:  $\sum_{k=1}^n dx_k \wedge dy_k = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \bar{z}_k$

## Fubini-Study form (symplectic) $\omega_{FS}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) & & \end{array} \quad \pi^* \omega_{FS} = i^* \omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$$

observe:  $(dx + i dy) \wedge (dx - i dy) = -i dx \wedge dy + i dy \wedge dx$   
 $= -2i dx \wedge dy$

Recall:  $dx \wedge dx = 0$  "v"

fff

### Office Hour

For an almost complex manifold  $(M, J)$ , TFAE:

①  $\hookrightarrow J$  comes from a complex atlas

②  $\hookrightarrow$  On  $TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \underbrace{T^{1,0}M}_{i\text{-eigenspace}} \oplus \underbrace{T^{0,1}M}_{(-i)\text{-eigenspace}}$ ,  
"span  $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right\}$ " "span  $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \right\}$ "

then  $T^{1,0}M$  is involutive, i.e.  $\mathcal{L}\Gamma(T^{1,0}M)$  has a Lie algebra structure inherited from  $\Gamma(TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ .

③  $\hookrightarrow$  The Nijenhuis tensor vanishes:  $N_J = 0$

②  $\Leftrightarrow$  ③ "easy"

①  $\Leftrightarrow$  ③ Newlander-Nirenberg.

Think of the Lie bracket of v.f.'s as differentiation of one v.f. along the other.:

$$[X, Y] = \mathcal{L}_X(Y)$$

Defn.  $N_J : TM^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow TM$

$$\begin{aligned} N_J(X, Y) &:= [JX, JY] - J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) \\ &\quad - [X, Y] \\ &= [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] + J^2[X, Y] - J[X, JY] \end{aligned}$$

A priori, this definition does not give a tensor (i.e. defined fibrewise, independent of the extension of  $X_p$  and  $Y_p$  to a nbhd of  $p$ ). You can show this, however.