# Almost Sure Well-Posedness of Cubic NLS on the Torus Below $L^2$

### J. Colliander

University of Toronto



Istanbul, 25 August 2010

joint work [CO09] with Tadahiro Oh (U. Toronto)

- 1 Introduction: Background, Motivation, New Results
- 2 Canonical Gaussian Measures
- 3 Wick Ordered Cubic NLS
- 4 Almost Sure Local Well-Posedness
- 5 Almost Sure Global Well-Posedness
  - Bourgain's High-Low Fourier Truncation
  - Adaptation for Almost Sure GWP Proof
  - Measure Zero Issue?

# 1. Introduction: Background, Motivation, New Results

# Cubic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} iu_t - u_{xx} \pm u |u|^2 = 0\\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \ x \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$
(NLS<sup>±</sup><sub>3</sub>(T))

with random initial data below  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .

Main Goals:

• Establish almost sure LWP with initial data *u*<sub>0</sub> of the form

$$u_0(x) = u_0^{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle |n|^{\alpha} \rangle} e^{inx}, \quad \langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  = standard  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued Gaussians on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

Extend local-in-time solutions to global-in-time solutions
 without an available invariant measure.

# Well-Posedness vs. Ill-Posedness Threshold Heuristics

#### Dilation Symmetry and Scaling Invariant Sobolev Norm

■ *NLS*<sub>3</sub>(ℝ): Any solution *u* spawns a family of solutions

$$u_{\lambda}(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}u(\frac{t}{\lambda^2},\frac{x}{\lambda}).$$

$$||D_x^s u_\lambda(t)||_{L^2} = \lambda^{s+\frac{1}{2}} ||D_x^s u(t)||_{L^2}.$$

• The dilation invariant Sobolev index  $s_c = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

■ We expect *NLS*<sub>3</sub>(T) is *ill-posed* for s < -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.
■ Galilean Invariance and Galilean Invariant Sobolev Norm

The galilean symmetry leaves the L<sup>2</sup> norm invariant.
We expect that NLS<sub>3</sub>(T) is *ill-posed* for s < 0.</li>

# Well-Posedness Speculations on $NLS_3^{\pm}(\mathbb{T})$ below $L^2$

#### Known Results:

- $NLS_3(\mathbb{T})$  is globally well-posed in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . [Bou93]
- Data-solution map  $H^s \ni u_0 \mapsto u(t) \in H^s$  not uniformly continuous for s < 0. [BGT02], [CCT03], [Mol09].
- Data-solution map unbounded on  $H^s$  for  $s < -\frac{1}{2}$ . [CCT03] "Norm Inflation"
- A priori (local-in-time) bound on ||*u*(*t*)||<sub>H<sup>s</sup>(T)</sub> AND weak solutions without uniqueness for *s* ≥ -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>6</sub> (CHT09). (Similar prior work on *NLS*<sub>3</sub>(ℝ) [CCT06], [KT07].)

### **Speculations?**

- 1 No norm inflation for  $NLS_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $NLS_3(\mathbb{T})$  in  $H^s$  for  $s > -\frac{1}{2}$ ?
- 2 LWP (merely continuous dependence on data) in  $H^s$  for  $s > -\frac{1}{2}$ ?

# (Finite Dimensional) Invariant Gibbs Measures

A function  $H : \mathbb{R}_p^n \times \mathbb{R}_q^n \to \mathbb{R}$  induces Hamiltonian flow on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p} = \nabla_q H \\ \dot{q} = -\nabla_p H \end{cases}$$
 (Hamilton's Equation)

• The vector field  $X = (\nabla_q H, -\nabla_p H)$  is divergence free:

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} X = (\nabla_p, \nabla_q) \cdot (\nabla_q H, -\nabla_p H) = 0.$$

Thus, Lebesgue measure  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} dp_j dq_j$  is invariant under the Hamiltonian flow. (Liouville's Theorem)

• H(p(t), q(t)) is invariant under the flow: Poincaré Recurrence

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(p(t),q(t)) = \nabla_p H \cdot \dot{p} + \nabla_q H \cdot \dot{q} = 0.$$

(Hamiltonian Conservation)

<

# (Finite Dimensional) Invariant Gibbs Measures

We can combine Hamiltonian conservation and Lebesgue measure invariance to build other flow-invariant measures:

$$d\mu_f(p,q) = f(H(p,q)) \prod_{j=1}^n dp_j dq_j.$$

The *Gibbs measure* arises when we choose f to be a Gaussian and normalize it to have total measure 1:

$$d\mu = Z^{-1}e^{-H(p,q)}\prod_{j=1}^n dp_j dq_j.$$

The Gibbs measure can be shown to be well-defined in infinite dimensions even though the Lebesgue measure can't be.

# Invariant Gibbs Measures for $NLS_3(\mathbb{T})$

Time Invariant Quantities for  $NLS_3^{\pm}$  flow:

$$Mass = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(t,x)|^2 dx.$$
  
Energy =  $H[u(t)] = \int_T \frac{1}{2} |\partial_x u(t)|^2 dx \pm \frac{1}{4} |u(t)|^4 dx.$ 

• The Gibbs measure associated to  $NLS_3^{\pm}(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$d\mu = Z^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |\partial_x u|^2 dx \mp \frac{1}{4} \int |u|^4 dx} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x),$$

(with an appropriate L<sup>2</sup> cutoff in the focusing case) is normalizable and invariant under NLS<sub>3</sub>(T) flow. [Bou94].
Gibbs measure is absolutely cts. w.r.t. *Wiener Measure*

$$d\rho_1 = Z_1^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int |\partial_x u|^2 dx} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x).$$

- LWP for  $NLS_3^+(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is known for  $H^{0+}(\mathbb{T}^2)$  (not  $L^2$ ). [Bou93]
- For  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , Wiener measure is supported on  $H^{0-}(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .
- Nevertheless, the Gibbs measure for the defocusing Wick ordered cubic NLS on T<sup>2</sup>

$$iu_t - \Delta u + (u|u|^2 - 2u \int |u|^2 dx) = 0$$
 (WNLS(T<sup>2</sup>))

was normalized and proved to be flow-invariant [Bou96].
WNLS(T<sup>2</sup>) is GWP on support of Gibbs measure! [Bou96]
Question: Is NLS<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>(T<sup>2</sup>) *ill-posed* on L<sup>2</sup>?
Or on any space between the Gibbs measure support and H<sup>0+</sup>?

### Invariant Gibbs Measures for $NLS_3^+(\mathbb{T}^2)$



Commun. Math. Phys. 176, 421-445 (1996)



# Invariant Measures for the 2*D*-Defocusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

#### Jean Bourgain

School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

Received: 29 August 1994/in revised form: 23 May 1995

**Abstract:** Consider the 2D defocusing cubic NLS  $iu_t + \Delta u - u|u|^2 = 0$  with Hamiltonian  $\int (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|^4)$ . It is shown that the Gibbs measure constructed from the Wick ordered Hamiltonian, i.e. replacing  $|\phi|^4$  by  $: |\phi|^4$ , is an invariant measure for the appropriately modified equation  $iu_t + \Delta u - [u|u|^2 - 2(\int |u|^2 dx)u] = 0$ . There is a well defined flow on the support of the measure. In fact, it is shown that for almost all data  $\phi$  the solution  $u, u(0) = \phi$ , satisfies  $u(t) - e^{itd}\phi \in C_{H^s}(\mathbb{R})$ , for

# Random Data Cauchy Theory

Consider the cubic NLW on  $\mathbb{T}^3$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Box u + u^3 = 0\\ (u(0), \partial_t u(0)) = (f_0, f_1) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}. \end{cases}$$
(NLW)

- This problem is H<sup>1/2</sup>-critical. Ill-posedness known for s < 1/2.</li>
   Well-posedness is known for s ≥ 1/2.
- Consider the Gaussian randomization map:

$$\Omega \times H^s \ni (\omega, f) \longmapsto f^{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \widehat{f}(n) g_n(\omega) e^{in \cdot x}.$$

■ NLW is almost surely well-posed for randomized supercritical data (f<sub>0</sub><sup>ω</sup>, f<sub>1</sub><sup>ω</sup>) ∈ H<sup>s</sup> × H<sup>s-1</sup>, s ≥ ¼. [BT08]

# Random Data Cauchy Theory



Invent. math. 173, 449–475 (2008) DOI: 10.1007/s00222-008-0124-z

Inventiones mathematicae

# Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations I: local theory

#### Nicolas Burq<sup>1,2</sup>, Nikolay Tzvetkov<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris XI, 91 405 Orsay Cedex, France (e-mail: nicolas.burg@math.u-psud.fr)
- <sup>2</sup> Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France
- <sup>3</sup> Département de Mathématiques, Université Lille I, 59 655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France (e-mail: nikolay.tzvetkov@math.univ-lille1.fr)

Oblatum 17-IX-2007 & 19-II-2008 Published online: 4 April 2008 – © Springer-Verlag 2008

### Theorem (Almost Sure Local Well-Posedness for $WNLS(\mathbb{T})$ )

 $WNLS(\mathbb{T})$  is almost surely locally well-posed (with respect to a canonical Gaussian measure) on  $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$  for  $s > -\frac{1}{3}$ .

#### Theorem (Almost Sure Global Well-Posedness for $WNLS(\mathbb{T})$ )

 $WNLS(\mathbb{T})$  is almost surely globally well-posed (with respect to a canonical Gaussian measure) on  $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$  for  $s > -\frac{1}{8}$ .

More Precise Statements Later

# 2. Canonical Gaussian Measures

# Canonical Gaussian Measures on Sobolev spaces

We can regard

$$u_0^{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle |n|^{\alpha} \rangle} e^{inx}$$

as a typical element in the support of the Gaussian measure

$$d\rho_{\alpha} = Z_{\alpha}^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int |D^{\alpha}u|^2 dx} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x),$$

where  $D = \sqrt{-\partial_x^2}$ .

We will make sense of  $d\rho_{\alpha}$  using the Gaussian weight even though the Lebesgue measure does not make sense.

# Construction of $\rho_{\alpha}$

Define the Gaussian measure  $\rho_{\alpha,N}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$  by

$$d\rho_{\alpha,N} = Z_N^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{|n| \le N} (1+|n|^{2\alpha})|\widehat{u}_n|^2} \prod_{|n| \le N} d\widehat{u}_n.$$

We may view  $\rho_{\alpha,N}$  as induced probability measure on  $\mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ under the map

$$\omega \to \left\{ \frac{g_n(\omega)}{(1+|n|^{2\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\}_{|n| \le N}$$

**Question:** As  $N \to \infty$ , where does the limit  $\rho_{\alpha} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \rho_{\alpha,N}$  make sense as a *countably additive* probability measure?

# Gaussian Measures on Hilbert Spaces

*H*, *real* separable Hilbert space

 $B: H \rightarrow H$ , linear, positive, self-adjoint operator

 $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , eigenvectors of *B* forming an O.N. basis of *H*  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , corresponding eigenvalues

Define

$$\rho_N(M) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_F e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n^{-1} x_n^2} \prod_{n=1}^N dx_n$$

where  $\begin{cases} M = \{x \in H : (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in F\}, & F, & \text{Borel set in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ x_n = \langle x, e_n \rangle = j \text{th coordinate of } x. \end{cases}$ 

Thus,  $\rho_N$  is a Gaussian measure on  $E_N = \text{span}\{e_1, \cdots, e_N\}$ . Now, define  $\rho$  on H by  $\rho|_{E_N} = \rho_N$ .

### Gaussian Measures on Hilbert Spaces

#### Fact

(1)  $\rho$  is countably additive if and only if *B* is of trace class, i.e.  $\sum \lambda_n < \infty$ 

(2) If (1) holds, then 
$$\rho_N \rightharpoonup \rho$$
 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ 

Now, let 
$$B = \text{diag}(1 + |n|^{2\sigma} : n \neq 0)$$
. Then, we have  
 $-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|n| \leq N} (1 + |n|^{2\alpha}) |\widehat{u}_n|^2 \sim -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|n| \leq N} (1 + |n|^{-2\sigma}) (1 + |n|^{2(\sigma+\alpha)}) |\widehat{u}_n|^2$   
 $= -\frac{1}{2} \langle B^{-1} \widehat{u}_n, \widehat{u}_n \rangle_{H^{\sigma+\alpha}}.$ 

*B* is of trace class iff  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle n \rangle^{2\sigma} < \infty$  iff  $\sigma < -\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\rho_{\alpha} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \rho_{\alpha,N}$  defines countably additive measure on  $H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} -} = \bigcap_{s < \alpha - \frac{1}{2}} H^s \setminus H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}.$ 

# Typical Element as Gaussian Random Fourier Series

Typical elements in the support of  $\rho_{\alpha}$  may be represented

$$u_0^{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n(\omega) e_n(x), \text{ where } e_n(x) = \frac{1}{\langle |n|^{\alpha} \rangle} e^{inx}$$

Given another O.N. basis  $\{\tilde{e}_n\}$  of  $H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ , we have

$$u_0^{\omega} = \sum_n \widetilde{g}_n(\omega)\widetilde{e}_n,$$

where {*g̃<sub>n</sub>*} is another family of i.i.d. standard Gaussians. *u*<sub>0</sub> can be regarded as Gaussian randomization of the function *φ* with Fourier coefficient *φ̂<sub>n</sub>* = 1/(|n|<sup>α</sup>).

- $\phi \in H^s$ ,  $s < \alpha \frac{1}{2}$  but not in  $H^{\alpha \frac{1}{2}}$ .
- Gaussian randomization of the Fourier coefficients does *not* give *any* smoothing a.s. (in the Sobolev scale.) Hence,

$$\operatorname{supp}(\rho_{\alpha}) \subset \cap_{s < \alpha - \frac{1}{2}} H^s \setminus H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

# 3. Wick Ordered Cubic NLS

# WNLS instead of NLS

- *WNLS* is equivalent to  $NLS_3(\mathbb{T})$  when  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .
  - Note that  $\mu = \int |u|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |u|^2 dx$  is conserved.
  - Now set v → e<sup>±2iµt</sup>u to see that NLS<sup>±</sup><sub>3</sub> is equivalent to the Wick ordered cubic NLS:

$$\begin{cases} iv_t - v_{xx} \pm (v|v|^2 - 2v \oint |v|^2 dx) = 0\\ v|_{t=0} = u_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{|n|^{\alpha}} e^{inx} \in H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} -}. \end{cases}$$
(WNLS)

■ *WNLS* is not equivalent to *NLS*<sub>3</sub> for  $u_0 \in H^s$  with s < 0. Indeed, we can't define the phase  $\mu$  in this case.

We *choose* to consider WNLS for  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  (below  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ) *instead* of  $NLS_3$ .

# Ill-Posedness of *WNLS* below $L^2(\mathbb{T})$

- The example from [BGT02]  $u_{N,a}(x,t) = ae^{i(Nx+N^2t\mp|a|^2t)}$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , still solves *WNLS*. Thus, uniform continuity of the flow map for *WNLS* fails in  $H^s$ , s < 0.
- Molinet's ill-posedness result [Mol09] does not apply to WNLS.
- Local-in-time solutions to (WNLS) in *FL<sup>p</sup>* ⊃ *L*<sup>2</sup>(T), 2

# 4. Almost Sure Local Well-Posedness

# Nonlinear smoothing under randomization

Duhamel formulation of WNLS:

$$u(t) = \Gamma u(t) := S(t)u_0 \pm i \int_0^t S(t-t')\mathcal{N}(u)(t')dt'$$

where  $S(t) = e^{-i\partial_x^2 t}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(u) = u|u|^2 - 2u f |u|^2$ .

- $S(t)u_0$  has the same regularity as  $u_0$  for each fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . i.e.  $S(t)u_0^{\omega} \in H^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-} \setminus H^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}$  a.s., below  $L^2$  for  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .
- Main Observation: By nonlinear smoothing, Duhamel term

$$\int_0^t S(t-t')\mathcal{N}(u)(t')dt' \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$$

even when  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

■ This permits us to run a contraction argument in *X*<sup>*s*,*b*</sup>.

# Contraction around random linear solution

- Let Γ denote the right hand side of Duhamel's formula.
- For each small  $\delta > 0$ , we construct  $\Omega_{\delta} \subset \Omega$  with  $\rho_{\alpha}(\Omega_{\delta}^{\mathbb{C}}) < e^{-\frac{1}{\delta^{c}}}$  so that  $\Gamma$  defines a contraction on  $S(t)u_{0}^{\omega} + B$  on  $[-\delta, \delta]$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega_{\delta}$ , where *B* denotes the ball of radius 1 in the Bourgain space  $X^{0, \frac{1}{2} +, \delta}$ .
- Recall the Bourgain space  $X^{s,b}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}(\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{R})} = \|\langle n \rangle^s \langle \tau - n^2 \rangle^b \widehat{u}(n,\tau)\|_{L^2_n L^2_\tau(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})}$$

and its local-in-time version  $X^{s,b,\delta}$  on the time interval  $[\delta, \delta]$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega_{\delta}$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\delta}^{c}) < e^{-\frac{1}{\delta^{c}}}$ , it suffices to prove

$$\left\|\mathcal{N}(u)\right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+,\delta}} \lesssim \delta^{\theta}, \ \theta > 0$$

Let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{6}$ . Then, *WNLS* is LWP almost surely in  $H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} -}(\mathbb{T})$ . More precisely, there exist c > 0 such that for each  $\delta \ll 1$ , there exists a set  $\Omega_T \in \mathcal{F}$  with the following properties:

(i)  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_T^c) = \rho_\alpha \circ u_0(\Omega_T^c) < e^{-\frac{1}{\delta^c}}$ , where  $u_0 : \Omega \to H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}-}(\mathbb{T})$ . (ii)  $\forall \omega \in \Omega_T \exists$  a unique solution *u* of *WNLS* in

$$S(t)u_0^{\omega} + C([-\delta,\delta]; L^2(\mathbb{T})) \subset C([-\delta,\delta]; H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T})).$$

In particular, we have almost sure LWP with respect to the Gaussian measure  $\rho_{\alpha}$  supported on  $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$  for each  $s > -\frac{1}{3}$ .

# Invariance of White Noise under WNLS flow?

• When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\rho_0$  corresponds to the white noise

$$d\rho_0 = Z_0^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 dx} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$$

which is supported on  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-}$  and is formally invariant.

- Our results are partial results in this direction.
- White noise invariance for KdV has been established.
   [QV08], [Oh10].

We write the nonlinearity  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  as

$$\mathcal{N}(u) = u|u|^2 - 2u \int |u|^2 = \mathcal{N}_1(u, u, u) - \mathcal{N}_2(u, u, u)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_1(u_1, u_2, u_3)(x) = \sum_{n_2 \neq n_1, n_3} \widehat{u}_1(n_1) \overline{\widehat{u}_2(n_2)} \widehat{u}_3(n_3) e^{i(n_1 - n_2 + n_3)x} \\ \mathcal{N}_2(u_1, u_2, u_3)(x) = \sum_n \widehat{u}_1(n) \overline{\widehat{u}_2(n)} \widehat{u}_3(n) e^{inx}. \end{cases}$$

Main Goal: Prove the trilinear estimates (j = 1, 2):

$$\|\mathcal{N}_{j}(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3})\|_{X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+, \delta}} \lesssim \delta^{\theta}, \ \theta > 0.$$

# Random-Linear vs. Deterministic-Smooth

We analyze the  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  contributions leading to

$$\left\|\mathcal{N}_{j}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3})\right\|_{X^{0,-\frac{1}{2}+,\delta}} \lesssim \delta^{\theta}, \ \theta > 0,$$

by assuming  $u_i$  is one of the following forms:

Type I (random, linear, rough):

$$u_j(x,t) = \sum_n \frac{g_n(\omega)}{|n|^{\alpha}} e^{i(nx+n^2t)} \in H^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}$$

Type II (deterministic, smooth):

$$u_j \text{ with } \|u_j\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+,\delta}} \leq 1.$$

This is done using a case-by-case analysis. (similar to [Bou96]) Trilinear terms: *I*, *I*, *I*; *I*, *II*; *I*, *II*; *I*, *II*; etc.

# Inputs Used in Trilinear Analysis

- The *X*<sup>*s,b*</sup> machinery, dyadic decomposition, standard stuff.
- Algebraic identity, divisor estimate: For  $n = n_1 n_2 + n_3$ ,

$$n^{2} - (n_{1}^{2} - n_{2}^{2} + n_{3}^{2}) = 2(n_{2} - n_{1})(n_{2} - n_{3}).$$

 Strichartz controls on deterministed Type II terms. The periodic L<sup>4</sup>-Strichartz

$$\|u\|_{L^4_{x,t}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{0,\frac{3}{8}}}.$$

and interpolations with the trivial estimate

$$||u||_{L^2_{x,t}} = ||u||_{X^{0,0}}.$$

Probabilistic estimates on Type I terms.

Randomizing Fourier coefficients does not lead to more smoothness but does lead to improved  $L^p$  properties.

# Large Deviation Estimate

#### Lemma

Let  $f^{\omega}(x,t) = \sum c_n g_n(\omega) e^{i(nx+n^2t)}$ , where  $\{g_n\}$  is a family of complex valued standard i.i.d. Gaussian random variables. Then, for  $p \ge 2$ , there exists  $\delta$ ,  $T_0 > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\|f^{\omega}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T} \times [-T,T])} > C\|c_{n}\|_{l^{2}_{n}}) < e^{-\frac{c}{T^{\delta}}}$$

for  $T \leq T_0$ .

This gives good  $L^p$  control on the Type I terms.

We establish almost sure global well-posedness for *WNLS* by adapting Bourgain's high/low Fourier truncation method [Bou98].

Overview of Discussion:

- **1** Precise statement of almost sure GWP result.
- 2 Describe high/low Fourier truncation method for GWP.
- 3 Explain adaptation to prove almost sure GWP.

Let  $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then, *WNLS* is LWP almost surely in  $H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}-}(\mathbb{T})$ . More precisely, for almost every  $\omega \in \Omega \exists !$  solution

$$u \in e^{-it\partial_x^2} u_0^\omega + C(\mathbb{R}; H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}))$$

of WNLS with initial data given by the random Fourier series

$$u_0^{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{1 + |n|^{\alpha}} e^{inx}.$$

In particular, we have almost sure global well-posedness with respect to the Gaussian measure supported on  $H^{s}(\mathbb{T}), s > -\frac{1}{8}$ .

# Bourgain's High-Low Fourier Truncation



IMRN International Mathematics Research Notices 1998, No. 5

#### Refinements of Strichartz' Inequality and Applications to 2D-NLS with Critical Nonlinearity

J. Bourgain

#### Summary

Consider the 2D IVP

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{i} \mathfrak{u}_t + \Delta \mathfrak{u} + \lambda |\mathfrak{u}|^2 \mathfrak{u} = 0 \\ & \mathfrak{u}(0) = \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{split} \tag{$t$}$$

The theory on the Cauchy problem asserts a unique maximal solution

 $u \in \mathcal{C}(1 - T \quad T^*[ \mid I^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap I^4(1 - T \quad T^*[ \cdot I^4(\mathbb{R}^2))$ 

Consider the Cauchy problem for defocusing cubic NLS on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u = +|u|^2 u\\ u(0, x) = \phi_0(x). \end{cases}$$
 (NLS<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>(ℝ<sup>2</sup>))

We describe the first result to give GWP below  $H^1$ .

- $NLS_3^+(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is GWP in  $H^s$  for  $s > \frac{2}{3}$  [Bou98].
- Proof cuts solution into low and high frequency parts.
- For  $u_0 \in H^s$ ,  $s > \frac{2}{3}$ , Proof gives (and crucially exploits),

$$u(t) - e^{it\Delta}\phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2_x).$$

# Setting up; Decomposing Data

- Fix a large target time *T*.
- Let N = N(T) be large to be determined.
- Decompose the initial data:

$$\phi_0 = \phi_{low} + \phi_{high}$$

where

$$\phi_{low}(x) = \int_{|\xi| < N} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{\phi_0}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Our plan is to evolve:



# Setting up; Decomposing Data

Low Frequency Data Size:

Kinetic Energy:

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla\phi_{low}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &= \int_{|\xi| < N} |\xi|^{2} |\widehat{\phi_{0}}(\xi)|^{2} dx \\ &= \int_{|\xi| < N} |\xi|^{2(1-s)} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{\phi_{0}}(\xi)|^{2} dx \\ &= N^{2(1-s)} \|\phi_{0}\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq C_{0} N^{2(1-s)}. \end{split}$$

• Potential Energy:  $\|\phi_{low}\|_{L^4_x} \le \|\phi_{low}\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla\phi_{low}\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$  $\implies H[\phi_{low}] \le CN^{2(1-s)}.$ 

High Frequency Data Size:

 $\|\phi_{high}\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 N^{-s}, \ \|\phi_{high}\|_{H^s} \leq C_0.$ 

The NLS Cauchy Problem for the low frequency data

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u_{low} = +|u_{low}|^2 u_{low} \\ u_{low}(0, x) = \phi_{low}(x) \end{cases}$$

is well-posed on  $[0, T_{lwp}]$  with  $T_{lwp} \sim \|\phi_{low}\|_{H^1}^{-2} \sim N^{-2(1-s)}$ .

We obtain, as a consequence of the local theory, that

$$\|u_{low}\|_{L^4_{[0,T_{lwp}],x}} \leq \frac{1}{100}.$$

The NLS Cauchy Problem for the low frequency data

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u_{high} = +2|u_{low}|^2 u_{high} + \text{similar} + |u_{high}|^2 u_{high} \\ u_{high}(0, x) = \phi_{high}(x) \end{cases}$$

is also well-posed on  $[0, T_{lwp}]$ .

**Remark:** The LWP lifetime of *NLS* evolution of  $u_{low}$  AND the LWP lifetime of the *DE* evolution of  $u_{high}$  are controlled by  $||u_{low}(0)||_{H^1}$ .

The high frequency evolution may be written

$$u_{high}(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_{high} + w.$$

The local theory gives  $||w(t)||_{L^2} \leq N^{-s}$ . Moreover, due to smoothing (obtained via bilinear Strichartz), we have that

$$w \in H^1, \ \|w(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim N^{1-2s+}.$$
 (SMOOTH!)

Let's assume (SMOOTH!).

# Nonlinear High Frequency Term Hiding Step!

•  $\forall t \in [0, T_{lwp}]$ , we have

$$u(t) = u_{low}(t) + e^{it\Delta}\phi_{high} + w(t).$$

• At time  $T_{lwp}$ , we define data for the progressive sheme:

$$u(T_{lwp}) = \underbrace{u_{low}(T_{lwp}) + w(T_{lwp})}_{u(t) = u_{low}^{(2)}(t) + u_{high}^{(2)}(t)} + e^{iT_{lwp}\Delta}\phi_{high}.$$

for  $t > T_{lwp}$ .

# Hamiltonian Increment: $\phi_{low}(0) \mapsto u_{low}^{(2)}(T_{lwp})$

The Hamiltonian increment due to  $w(T_{lwp})$  being added to low frequency evolution can be calcluated. Indeed, by Taylor expansion, using the bound (SMOOTH!) and energy conservation of  $u_{low}$  evolution, we have using

$$H[u_{low}^{(2)}(T_{lwp})] = H[u_{low}(0)] + (H[u_{low}(T_{lwp}) + w(T_{lwp})] - H[u_{low}(T_{lwp})])$$
  
  $\sim N^{2(1-s)} + N^{2-3s+} \sim N^{2(1-s)}.$ 

Moreover, we can accumulate  $N^s$  increments of size  $N^{2-3s+}$ before we double the size  $N^{2(1-s)}$  of the Hamiltonian. During the iteration, Hamiltonian of "low frequency" pieces remains of size  $\leq N^{2(1-s)}$  so the LWP steps are of uniform size  $N^{-2(1-s)}$ . We advance the solution on a time interval of size:

$$N^s N^{-2(1-s)} = N^{-2+3s}.$$

For  $s > \frac{2}{3}$ , we can choose *N* to go past target time *T*.

Along the time steps  $T_{lwp}$ ,  $2T_{lwp}$ , ...,  $\lfloor N^s \rfloor T_{lwp}$ , the low and high frequency data have uniform properties:

- High frequency Duhamel term small in *H*<sup>1</sup>.
- Low frequency data: Hamiltonian Conservation!
- High frequency data: Linear!

For almost sure GWP result, similar scheme progresses:

- High frequency Duhamel term small in *L*<sup>2</sup>.
- Low frequency data: Mass Conservation!
- High frequency data: Linear!
  - $\implies$  uniform Gaussian probability bounds.

# Adaptation for Almost Sure GWP Proof

# Adaptation for Almost Sure GWP Proof

We are studying the Cauchy problem for WNLS

$$\begin{cases} iv_t - v_{xx} \pm (v|v|^2 - 2v \oint |v|^2 dx) = 0\\ v|_{t=0} = u_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{|n|^{\alpha}} e^{inx} \in H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} -}. \end{cases}$$
(WNLS)

Let  $s = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} < 0$ . By a large deviation estimate,

 $\mathbb{P}(\|u_0^{\omega}\|_{H^s}>K)\leq e^{-cK^2}.$ 

Restrict to  $u_0^{\omega} \in \Omega_K = \{\omega : ||u_0^{\omega}||_{H^s} < K\}$ . Eventually,  $K \nearrow \infty$ . Let  $\phi_0 = \mathbb{P}_{|\xi| \le N} u_0^{\omega}$  and  $\phi_0 + \psi_0 = u_0$ . Low frequency part has

 $\|\phi_0\|_{L^2} \le N^{-s} K.$ 

# Low Frequency WNLS Evolution

Consider the flow of the low frequency part:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u^1 - \partial_x^2 u^1 \pm \mathcal{N}(u^1) = 0\\ u^1|_{t=0} = \phi_0. \end{cases}$$

- This problem is GWP with  $||u^1(t)||_{L^2} = ||\phi_0||_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-s}K$ .
- Standard local theory ⇒ spacetime control:

$$\|u^1\|_{X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,\delta]} \lesssim \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-s}K,$$

where  $\delta$  is the time of local existence, i.e.  $\delta = \delta(N^{-s}K) \lesssim \delta(\|\phi_0\|_{L^2}).$  Consider the difference equation for the high-frequency part:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v^1 - \partial_x^2 v^1 \pm (\mathcal{N}(u^1 + v^1) - \mathcal{N}(u^1)) = 0\\ v^1|_{t=0} = \psi_0 = \sum_{|n| > N} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{1 + |n|^{\alpha}} e^{inx}. \end{cases}$$
(DE)

Then,  $u(t) = u^{1}(t) + v^{1}(t)$  solves *WNLS* as long as  $v^{1}$  solves *DE*.

- Probabilistic local theory applies to *DE*.
- $u^1$  is *large* in the  $X^{0,\frac{1}{2}+,\delta}$  norm; only quadratic in *DE*.

# High/Low Time Step Iteration

• By the probabilistic local theory, we can show that *DE* is LWP on  $[0, \delta]$  except on a set of measure  $e^{-\frac{1}{\delta^c}}$ . We then obtain

$$v^{1}(t) = S(t)\psi_{0} + w^{1}(t),$$

where the nonlinear Duhamel part  $w^1(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . • At time  $t = \delta$ , we hide  $w^1(\delta)$  inside  $\phi^1$ :  $\phi_1 := u^1(\delta) + w^1(\delta) \in L^2$  $\psi_1 := S(\delta)\psi_0 = \sum_{|n| \ge N} \frac{g_n e^{in^2\delta}}{|n|^{\alpha}} e^{inx}$ .

Low frequency data φ<sub>1</sub> has (essentially) same L<sup>2</sup> size.
High frequency data ψ<sub>1</sub> has same bounds as ψ<sub>0</sub>.

# Measure Zero Issue?

# Measure Zero Issue?

# Almost sure LWP involves set with nontrivial complement.

- $\rightarrow$  Almost sure GWP claimed almost everywhere w.r.t  $\Omega$ .
  - Measure theory clarifies this apparent contradiction.

#### Proposition (GWP off small set)

Let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$ . Given  $T > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  (unlinked!),  $\exists \Omega_{T,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that: (i)  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{T,\varepsilon}^{c}) = \rho_{\alpha} \circ u_{0}(\Omega_{T,\varepsilon}^{c}) < \varepsilon$ , where  $u_{0} : \Omega \to H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} -}(\mathbb{T})$ . (ii)  $\forall \omega \in \Omega_{T,\varepsilon} \exists$  unique solution u of WNLS in  $S(t)u_{0} + C([-T,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{T})) \subset C([-T,T];H^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} -}(\mathbb{T}))$ 

### Measure Zero Issue?

- For fixed  $\gamma > 0$ : Apply Proposition with  $T_j = 2^j$  and  $\varepsilon_j = 2^{-j}\gamma$  to get  $\Omega_{T_j,\varepsilon_j}$ .
- Let  $\Omega_{\gamma} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{T_j,\varepsilon_j}$ . WNLS is globally well-posed on  $\Omega_{\gamma}$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\gamma}^c) < \gamma$ .

Now, let 
$$\widetilde{\Omega} = \bigcup_{\gamma>0} \Omega_{\gamma}$$
.  
WNLS is GWP on  $\widetilde{\Omega}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{\Omega}^c) = 0$ .

- Nicolas Burq, Patrick Gérard, and Nikolay Tzvetkov, An instability property of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on S<sup>d</sup>, Math.Res.Lett.(2002).
- Jean Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3 (1993), no. 2, 107–156.
- *\_\_\_\_\_\_, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures,* Comm. Math. Phys. **166** (1994), no. 1, 1–26.
- Invariant measures for the 2D-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Comm. Math. Phys. 176 (1996), no. 2, 421–445.

- , Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity, Int. Math. Res. Not. 1998 (1998), no. 5, 253.
- Nicolas Burq and Nikolay Tzvetkov, *Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations*. *I. local theory*, Invent. Math. **173** (2008), no. 3, 449–475.
- Michael Christ, James Colliander, and Terence Tao, Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations, Amer. J. Math. 125 (2003), no. 6, 1235–1293.
- A priori bounds and weak solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in Sobolev spaces of negative order, arXiv math.AP (2006), 22 pages.

- Michael Christ, *Power series solution of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive ... (2007).
- James Colliander and Tadahiro Oh, *Almost sure well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below*  $L^2(\mathbb{T}), arXivmath.AP(2009).$
- Herbert Koch and Daniel Tataru, *A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2007), no. 16, Art. ID rnm053, 36.
- Luc Molinet, *On ill-posedness for the one-dimensional periodic cubic Schrödinger equation*, Math. Res. Lett (2009).
- Tadahiro Oh, *White noise for KdV and mKdV on the circle,* arXiv **math.AP** (2010), 26 pages. To appear in RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu.

Jeremy Quastel and Benedek Valko, *KdV preserves white noise*, Comm. Math. Phys. **277** (2008), no. 3, 707–714.

