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#### Abstract

A dynamical reinterpretation of the $L^{2}$ mass conservation law for solutions of the $K d V$ equation has led to a general procedure for proving almost conservation laws for solutions of nonlinear Hamiltonian PDE. The almost conserved quantities have been used to globalize the available local-in-time wellposedness results for various $K d V$ and $N L S$ type equations and will provide insights into the long-time behavior of solutions. This talk will survey the known local theory, highlighting aspects requiring further investigation. The procedure for constructing almost conserved quantities will be described.


## Two families of nonlinear Hamiltonian PDE

## - GNLS

Let $u: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[0, T] \longmapsto \mathbb{C}$. Form the functional

$$
H[u, \bar{u}]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+F\left(|u|^{2}\right) d x
$$

For example, $F(y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}$. Evolve $u$ according to

$$
i u_{t}=H_{\bar{u}} \Longleftrightarrow i u_{t}+\Delta u-f\left(|u|^{2}\right) u
$$

Important case: $F(y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}, f(y)=y$.

- GKDV

Let $u: \mathbb{R} \times[0, T] \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$. Form

$$
H[u]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2}+\frac{u^{p+2}}{(p+1)(p+2)} d x
$$

Evolve $u$ according to

$$
u_{t}=\partial_{x} H_{u} \Longleftrightarrow u_{t}+u_{x x x}+u^{p} u_{x}=0
$$

The case $p=1$ is the standard Korteweg-de Vries equation. Cases $p=1,2$ are completely integrable.

## Research Motivations

## Physical Relevance

1. Specific Contexts: NLS and/or KdV arise naturally as model equations in optics, plasma physics, water waves, ....
2. Universal Derivation: Weakly nonlinear and dispersive corrections to standard wave equation lead systematically to NLS and/or KdV.
3. Toy models: GNLS and GKdV are basic examples of infinite dimensional conservative dynamical systems.

Research Goal: Understand Hamiltonian dynamics in infinite dimensions.

- Approximate dynamics with finite-d models when possible.
- Find "infinite-d phenomena" (turbulence, blow-up, scatter).
- Natural phase spaces consist of rough functions $\leadsto$ regularity obstructions.

New techniques from multilinear harmonic analysis are removing this difficulty: [KPV], [B], [KM]

The intitial value problem for $K d V$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}=0 \\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\exists$ other natural boundary value problems for $K d V$ such as $x \in \mathbb{T}$, half-line. [CK] extends some of the theory described below to the inital boundary value setting.)

## Solitary Wave Resolution

Integrable machinery has shown, for very nice initial data $\phi, u$ exists globally (in time) and

$$
u(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{c_{j}, \theta_{j}}(x, t)+u_{R}(x, t)
$$

where

$$
f_{c, \theta}(x, t)=3 c \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} p \sqrt{c}[x-c t+\theta]\right)
$$

and $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ is small. SWR is not known in the natural phase spaces $L^{2}, H^{1}$ or in any nonintegrable case.

Solutions of $K d V_{p}$ satisfy

$$
\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}}=\|\phi\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Problem: Explain how the dynamics of $K d V_{p}$ exchanges the $L^{2}$ mass among the frequencies. How do the components of the system share the energy? Describe motion of "microlocal mass".

Open Question: Let $u(x, t)$ be a global solution of $K d V_{3}$ with nice initial data $\phi$. Prove that

$$
\|u(t)\|_{H^{s}} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^{s}}
$$

(Best known estimates [S] are polynomial-in-time. The integrable cases $K d V_{1,2}$ are known to have this property.)

## Wellposedness

Definition: The initial value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}=0 \\
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

is wellposed on the time interval $[0, T]$ for data in $H$ if there is a uniquely defined continuous map $H \ni \phi \longmapsto u \in X$ with $X \subset C([0, T], H)$ and $u$ "solves" $K d V$. If $T<\infty$, the problem is locally wellposed ( $L W P$ ). If we can take $T=\infty$, the problem is globally wellposed (GWP).

- Rough spaces $H$ require a careful notion of "solves".
- Two notions of continuity in the defintion.
- Typical proofs of LWP have

$$
T \sim\|\phi\|_{H}^{-\alpha}, \alpha>0
$$

- GWP in $H$ follows from LWP in $H$ if $\|u(t)\|_{H}$ is bounded. The available conserved quantities may provide time independent bounds on the $L^{2}$ or $H^{1}$ norms which consist of rough functions.


## Basic (Nonintegrable) Approaches to Local Wellposedness

## - Classical Energy Method/Compactness

Form an approximate sequence. Prove bounds implying compactness. Use Gronwall inequality to prove uniqueness. (Gronwall requires lots of smoothness.) Euler-Peano construction of ODE solutions.

- Fixed Point Argument/Contraction Mapping

Rewrite PDE as an integral equation. Prove a contraction estimate in an appropriate space $X$ of functions of spacetime. Picard's approach to ODEs.
In what space $X$ should we seek a fixed point?

- Maximal Function/Kato Smoothing.

Prove estimates for solutions of associated linear homogeneous and inhomogeneous problems. Control nonlinearity using well-matched (e.g. Hölder) linear estimates. Matching conditions identify mixed $L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{p}$ spacetime norms. Shrink existence interval to shrink contraction constant.

- Bourgain Spaces $X_{s, b}$. Detailed study of nonlinearity in spaces related to linear problem. Denominators and calculus smooth nonlinear term. Shrink existence interval to shrink contraction constant.


## LWP and GWP results for $K d V$

Theorem. [Bourgain 1993] $K d V$ is $L W P$ in $L^{2}$.
Consequently, $K d V$ is GWP in $L^{2}$.
Theorem. [Kenig, Ponce, Vega 1996, 2000] $K d V$ is LWP in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>-\frac{3}{4}$. (Complex) $K d V$ is illposed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-\frac{3}{4}$.

Theorem. [CKSTT 2000] $K d V$ is GWP in $H^{s}, s>-\frac{3}{4}$.

- Motivated by high/low frequency GWP technique of Bourgain. Technical issues in $K d V$ context [CST] and Maxwell - Klein - Gordon context [KT] started our collaboration.
- Proof constructs almost conservation laws.

New control of high-to-low frequency transport of " $L^{2}$ mass".

- General Method for globalizing local results.

Method improves the GWP theory of various nonlinear Hamiltonian PDE, including 2d cubic NLS, 1d Derivative NLS, periodic and nonperiodic $G K D V$.

- Almost conservation laws $\sim$ long-time behavior? Regularity of the evolution, weak turbulence, scattering, finite dimensional approximate models, solitary wave resolution....


## Motivation for $X_{s, b}$ Spaces

The linear homogeneous $(f=0)$ problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u=f \\
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

has the explicit solution

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x, t)=S(t) \phi(x)=\int e^{i\left(x \xi+t \xi^{3}\right)} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) d \xi \\
=\iint e^{i(x \xi+t \lambda)} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) \delta\left(\lambda-\xi^{3}\right) d \lambda d \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

The linear inhomogeneous problem $(f \neq 0)$ may be solved via Duhamel's principle as

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x, t)=S(t) \phi+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau) f(x, \tau) d \tau \\
\sim \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\phi}(k) \delta\left(\lambda-k^{3}\right)\right)+\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\widehat{f}(k, \lambda)}{\left(1+\left|\lambda-\xi^{3}\right|\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Punchline: The space-time Fourier transform of the homogeneous solution lives on the cubic. The space-time Fourier transform of the inhomogeneous solution decays away from the cubic.

## $X_{s, b}$ Space Approach to LWP

Define the space $X_{s, b}$ via the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X_{s, b}}=\left(\iint(1+|\xi|)^{2 s}\left(1+\left|\lambda-\xi^{3}\right|\right)^{2 b}|\widehat{u}(\xi, \lambda)|^{2} d \xi d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The i.v.p. for $K d V$ is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$
u(t)=S(t) \phi+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau)\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau
$$

Show $u$ exists by proving a contraction estimate in $X_{s, b}$ with $b=\frac{1}{2}+$. Matters reduce to proving a bilinear estimate

$$
\left\|\partial_{x}(u v)\right\|_{X_{s, b-1}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{s, b}}\|v\|_{X_{s, b}}
$$

Bourgain proved this estimate for $s \geq 0$, with $b=\frac{1}{2}+$. Kenig, Ponce and Vega proved this estimate holds with $b=\frac{1}{2}+$ provided $s>-\frac{3}{4}$ and fails for any $b$ when $s<-\frac{3}{4}$.

Remark: The bilinear estimate smoothes away one derivative in the nonlinearity. We will see "extra" smoothing in a moment.

## Ideas in Proving the Bilinear Estimate

We can rewrite the bilinear estimate as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{*} \frac{(1+|k|)^{s}|k| d(k, \lambda)}{\left(1+\left|\lambda-k^{3}\right|\right)^{1-b}} \frac{\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|\right)^{-s} c\left(k_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\left(1+\left|\lambda_{1}-k_{1}^{3}\right|\right)^{b}} \\
\times & \frac{\left(1+\left|k_{2}\right|\right)^{-s} c\left(k_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\left(1+\left|\lambda_{2}-k_{?}^{3}\right|\right)^{b}} \leq C\|d\|_{L^{2}}\left\|c_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|c_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $*$ indicates $k=k_{1}+k_{2}, \lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$.
An arithmetical fact: The convolution constraints imply

$$
\max \left(\left|\lambda-k^{3}\right|,\left|\lambda_{1}-k_{1}^{3}\right|,\left|\lambda_{2}-k_{2}^{3}\right|\right) \geq 3 k k_{1} k_{2}
$$

since $k^{3}-k_{1}^{3}-k_{2}^{3}=3 k k_{1} k_{2}$.
Assume $c_{i}\left(k_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right)=\widehat{\phi}_{i}\left(k_{i}\right) \delta\left(\lambda_{i}-k_{i}^{3}\right)$. We need to show

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int d\left(k_{1}+k_{2}, k_{1}^{3}+k_{2}^{3}\right) \frac{\left(1+\left|k_{1}+k_{2}\right|\right)^{s}\left|k_{1}+k_{2}\right|}{\left(1+\left|3 k_{1} k_{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right|\right)^{1-b}} \\
& \times\left(1+\left|k_{1}\right|\right)^{-s} \widehat{\phi_{1}}\left(k_{1}\right)\left(1+\left|k_{2}\right|\right)^{-s} \widehat{\phi_{1}}\left(k_{2}\right) d k_{1} d k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

is bounded by

$$
C\|d\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\widehat{\phi_{1}}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\widehat{\phi_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

- Symmetry.

We can assume $\left|k_{1}\right| \geq\left|k_{2}\right|$ so $\left|k_{1}\right| \gtrsim\left|k_{1}+k_{2}\right|$.

- A Change Variables.

Define $u=k_{1}+k_{2}, v=k_{1}^{3}+k_{2}^{3}$. Calculate the Jacobian $d u d v=3\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) d k_{1} d k_{2}$.

- Cauchy-Schwarz.

Issues collapse to bounding (by $\left\|\widehat{\phi}_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\widehat{\phi}_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ ),

$$
\left(\int M\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \times\left|\widehat{\phi_{1}}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\widehat{\phi_{2}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d k_{1} d k_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where

$$
M=\frac{\left|k_{1}+k_{2}\right|^{2}\left(1+\left|k_{2}\right|\right)^{-2 s}}{\left(1+\left|3\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) k_{1} k_{2}\right|\right)^{2(1-b)}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)} .
$$

Remark: Suppose $k_{1} \sim N$ and $k_{2}=k_{1}+O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. Then

$$
M=N^{2-2 s-6(1-b)-1+\frac{1}{2}}
$$

To have $M$ bounded requires

$$
s>\frac{3}{4}-3(1-b) \sim-\frac{3}{4}
$$

## Optimality of Kenig-Ponce-Vega Estimate

Let $u$ and $v$ be the same function with $\widehat{u}(k, \lambda) \sim \chi_{R}(k, \lambda)$ where $R$ is a thin rectangle lying inside

$$
\left\{(k, \lambda):|k-N|<O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right),\left|\lambda-k^{3}\right|<O(1)\right\} .
$$

A calculation shows optimality of $-\frac{3}{4}$ for the bilinear estimate.

## Bad Denominator Lower Bounds?

Example: In the 2 d NLS setting $\lambda-\xi^{3}$ is replaced by $\lambda+|\xi|^{2}$ in the definition of $X_{s, b}$. The bilinear estimate

$$
\|u \bar{v}\|_{X_{s, b-1}} \leq C\|u\|_{X_{s, b}}\|v\|_{X_{s, b}}
$$

depends upon the arithmetical fact,
$\max \left(\left|\lambda+|\xi|^{2}\right|,\left|\lambda_{1}+\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}\right|,\left|\lambda_{2}-|\xi|^{2}\right|\right) \gtrsim\left|2\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \cdot \xi_{2}\right|$
since $\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}=2 \xi_{1} \cdot \xi_{2}+2 \xi_{2} \cdot \xi_{2}$.
The orthogonal interaction $\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \perp \xi_{2}$ turns off the denominators. New techniques for dealing with this situation were developed in [CDKS]. (The above estimate holds for $s>-\frac{3}{4}$ and this is sharp up to the endpoint.) Independent related work appears in [T]. Similar troubles appear in the KPI and $Z S$ setting.

A robust theory for multilinear estimates in $X_{s, b}$ requires improved techniques for dealing with bad denominator lower bounds.

## $L^{2}$ Conservation Law Interlude

## Standard Proof:

$$
\partial_{t} u=-\partial_{x}^{3} u-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}
$$

Multiply by $u$ and reexpress to get,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} u^{2}=-\partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{x} u\right]^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \partial_{x} u^{3}
$$

Integrate in $x$ to observe

$$
\partial_{t} \int u^{2} d x=0
$$

Note that $u$ is assumed to be $\mathbb{R}$-valued.

Remark: This proof apparently does not reveal how the conserved $L^{2}$ mass evolves in frequency space. A dynamical explanation of $L^{2}$ mass conservation is a goal of the next few slides.

Fourier Proof: (with notation forecasting later stuff)

$$
\int \widehat{u}(\xi) \widehat{\widehat{u}}(\xi) d \xi=\int \widehat{u}(\xi) \widehat{\bar{u}}(-\xi) d \xi=\int_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}=0} \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{2}\right)
$$

since $u$ is $\mathbb{R}$-valued. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} \int \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{u}}(\xi) d \xi=2 \int_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}=0} \widehat{u_{t}}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
= & 2 \int_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}=0}\left[-\left(i \xi_{1}\right)^{3} \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(i \xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u^{2}}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right] \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, symmetrize first term and expand convolution to get

$$
\begin{gathered}
=-\int_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}=0} i\left(\xi_{1}^{3}+\xi_{2}^{3}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
-\int_{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}=0} i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The first term is zero. Upon writing $\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}=-\xi_{3}$ and symmetrizing, the second term vanishes.

Symmetrization/cancellation argument is apparently more flexible than standard proof. Variants begin to capture the dynamics of $L^{2}$ mass in frequency space.

## Multilinear Operators

Definitions: A $k$-multiplier is a function $m: \mathbb{R}^{k} \longmapsto \mathbb{C}$. A $k$-multiplier is symmetric if $m(\xi)=m\left(\sigma(\xi)\right.$ for all $\sigma \in S_{k}$. The symmetrization of a $k$-multiplier is

$$
[m]_{s y m}(\xi)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k}} m(\sigma(\xi))
$$

A $\underline{k}$-linear functional is generated by a $k$-multiplier via

$$
\Lambda_{k}(m)=\int_{\xi_{1}+\ldots+\xi_{k}=0} m\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots \xi_{k}\right) \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \ldots \widehat{u}\left(\xi_{k}\right)
$$

Proposition 1. Suppose $u$ satisfies the $K d V$ equation, and $m$ is a symmetric $k$-multiplier. Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{k}(m)=\Lambda_{k}\left(m \alpha_{k}\right)-i \frac{k}{2} \Lambda_{k+1}\left(m\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k-1},\left[\xi_{k}+\xi_{k+1}\right]\right)(\right.
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{k}=i\left(\xi_{1}^{3}+\ldots+\xi_{k}^{3}\right)
$$

This follows immediately from the equation and properties of the Fourier transform. The $(k+1)$-multiplier may be symmetrized.

## Modified Energies

Let $m$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-valued even 1 -multiplier. Define the operator $I$ via

$$
\widehat{I f}(\xi)=m(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)
$$

Define the modified energy

$$
E_{I}^{2}(t)=\|I u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R} x)}^{2}=\Lambda_{2}\left(m\left(\xi_{1}\right) m\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right)
$$

(Last step uses $u, m \mathbb{R}$-valued.) Calculate, using the proposition,
$\frac{d}{d t} E_{I}^{2}(t)=\Lambda_{2}\left(m\left(\xi_{1}\right) m\left(\xi_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\right)-i \Lambda_{3}\left(m\left(\xi_{1}\right) m\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)\left[\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right]\right)$

$$
=\Lambda_{3}\left(-i\left[m\left(\xi_{1}\right) m\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)\right]_{s y m}\right)
$$

(Note that if $m=1$, we directly verify $L^{2}$ conservation.)
Denote the 3-multiplier above by $M_{3}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$.
Define

$$
E_{I}^{3}(t)=\boldsymbol{E}_{I}^{2}(t)+\Lambda_{3}\left(\sigma_{3}\right), \quad \sigma_{3} \text { to be chosen. }
$$

Calculate, using the proposition,

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E_{I}^{3}(t)=\Lambda_{3}\left(M_{3}\right)+\Lambda\left(\sigma_{3} \alpha_{3}\right)+\Lambda_{4}\left(M_{4}\right)
$$

where $M_{4}$ is given explicitly. Choose

$$
\sigma_{3}=-\frac{M_{3}}{\alpha_{3}}
$$

to cancel the $\Lambda_{3}$ terms.
This process may be iterated to formally generate a sequence of modified energies $\left\{E_{I}^{j}(t)\right\}_{j=2}^{\infty}$, with the property

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E_{I}^{j}(t)=\Lambda_{j+1}\left(M_{j+1}\right)
$$

## Remarks:

- The 1 -multiplier $m$ has only been assumed to be $\mathbb{R}$ valued and even. There remains a lot of flexibility in choosing $m$ to localize the energy in frequency space.
- For a particular choice of $m$, we have shown the first few modified energies are almost conserved, leading to the proof of global wellposedness for $-\frac{3}{4}<s$.


## Almost Conserved Quantities

We choose $m$ to depend upon a large parameter $N$. Let $m$ be a smooth monotone even function satisfying for $s<0$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
m(\xi)=1, \quad|\xi|<\frac{1}{3} N \\
m(\xi)=\left(\frac{|\xi|}{N}\right)^{s}, \quad|\xi|>2 N
\end{gathered}
$$

The associated operator $I$ commutes with differential operators and barely maps $H^{s}$ functions into $L^{2}$ functions.

We can show, in an appropriate sense, that

$$
E_{I}^{2}(t) \lesssim E_{I}^{4}(t)
$$

using Sobolev inequalities. Using the $X_{s, b}$ machinery, we have proven the main estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{I}^{4}(\delta) & -E_{I}^{4}(0)=\int_{0}^{t} \Lambda_{5}\left(M_{5}\right)(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq C N^{-3-\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon}\|I \phi\|_{L^{2}}^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta$ is the lifetime of the local result. Recalling that $E_{I}^{2}(t)=$ $\|I u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$, we see that $E_{I}^{2}$ is almost conserved.

## Is $K d V$ Globally Wellposed below $L^{2}$ ?

Theorem 1. [C, Staffilani, Takaoka] The initial value problem for $K d V$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is GWP in $H^{s} \cap \dot{H}^{a}$ for certain (related) $s, a<0$.

The homogeneous Sobolev norm $\dot{H}^{a}$ is defined using the norm

$$
\|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^{a}(\mathbb{R})}=\left(\int \|\left.\left.\xi\right|^{a} \widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \boldsymbol{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Note that $L^{2} \nsubseteq \dot{H}^{a}$ so this hypothesis is a bit of a blemish.
The proof adapts the high/low frequency technique of Bourgain (applied to NLS, NLW). We were inspired in part by a similar result of Fonseca, Linares and Ponce for $K d V_{2}$. ( See also [Keel-Tao], [Takaoka-Tzvetkov], ...)

The idea is to exploit $L^{2}$ conservation even though the data $\phi \notin L^{2}$.

Trick: Evolve the low frequencies according to $K d V$ with $L^{2}$ conservation. Evolve the high frequencies "linearly" and hide the high frequency interaction using "extra" smoothing captured in the nonlinear estimate.

## Bourgain's High/Low Frequency Technique

Fix $T>0$. Construct the solution of $K d V$ on $[0, T]$. Decompose the initial data $\phi \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}),-\frac{3}{4}<s \lesssim 0$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi=\phi_{0}+\psi_{0}, \phi_{0}=\mathbb{P}_{N} \phi \\
\widehat{\mathbb{P}_{N} \phi}=\chi_{[-N, N]} \widehat{\phi}
\end{gathered}
$$

Later, we select $N=N(T)$ to be huge.
Note that $\phi_{0} \in L^{2}$ and

$$
\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \sim N^{-s},\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{H^{\sigma}} \sim N^{\sigma-s} ;(\sigma<s)
$$

## Low Frequency Evolution

The evolution $\phi_{0} \longmapsto u_{0}(t)$ solving $K d V$ exists globally in time with $\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}=\|\phi\|_{L^{2}}$.

## High Frequency Evolution

Let $\psi_{0} \longmapsto v_{0}(t)$ evolve so that $u(t)=u_{0}(t)+v_{0}(t):$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} v_{0}+\partial_{x} v_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x}\left(v_{0}^{2}+2 u_{0} v_{0}\right)=0 \\
v_{0}(0)=\psi_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

- High frequency evolution is LWP on $[0, \delta]$,

$$
\delta=\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{-\alpha}
$$

Note: Lifetime depends on (big) $L^{2}$ norm of low frequency data.

- Decompose the high frequency evolution.

$$
v_{0}(t)=S(t) \psi_{0}+w_{0}(t)
$$

- Extra smoothing shows $w_{0} \in L^{2}$, and is small,

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, \delta]}\left\|w_{0}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim N^{-\beta}, \beta>0
$$

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{1}=u_{0}(\delta)+w_{0}(\delta) \\
\psi_{1}=S(\delta) \psi_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

and repeat.
We can continue with the same sized $\delta$ until, say

$$
\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\ldots \gtrsim\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Unravelling this gives the result.

## Extra Smoothing Bilinear Estimate

If $\widehat{u}$ and $\widehat{v}$ are supported outside $|\xi| \geq 1$,

$$
\left\|\partial_{x} u v\right\|_{X_{0, b-1}} \leq\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{3}{8}+, b}}\|u\|_{X_{-\frac{3}{8}+, b}}
$$

- LWP tools and this estimate give the $L^{2}$ estimate on $w_{0}$.
- KPV example shows this is optimal.
- Low frequencies destroy extra smoothing $\rightsquigarrow \dot{H}^{a}$.


## Smoothing Operator $I$

Recent work [Keel-Tao] on simliar NLW problem suggests defining for $s<0$ and $N \gg 1$ fixed,

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{I u}}(\xi)=m(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi),
$$

where $m$ is smooth and looks like

$$
\begin{gathered}
m(\xi)=1,|\xi|<\frac{1}{2} N \\
m(\xi)=N^{-s}|\xi|^{s},|\xi| \geq N
\end{gathered}
$$

$I$ is the identity on low frequencies and barely smooths $H^{s}$ tails into $L^{2}$ functions. $I$ commutes with differential operators.

## Almost $L^{2}$ Conservation Property

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|I u(\delta)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\|I u(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{d}{d \tau}(I u(\tau), I u(\tau)) d \tau \\
=\|I u(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{\delta}\left(I\left(-u_{x x x}-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}\right), I u\right) d \tau \\
=\|I u(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{\delta}\left(I\left(-\partial_{x} u^{2}\right), I u\right) d \tau \\
=\|I u(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{\delta} \int \partial_{x}\left\{(I(u))^{2}-I\left(u^{2}\right)\right\} I u d x d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$
\leq\|I u(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\partial\{\quad\}\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}}\|I u\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}
$$

Theorem 2. [C, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, Tao]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial_{x}\{I(u) I(v)-I(u v)\}\right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \\
& \leq C N^{-\frac{3}{4}+}\|I u\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}\|I v\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Follows from extra bilinear smoothing estimate and cancellation.)

## Global wellposedness of $K d V$ in $H^{s}, s<0$

Our task is to construct the solution on $[0, T]$.

- Rescaling: $u$ solves $K d V$ with data $\phi$ on $[0, T]$ iff $u_{\lambda}(x, t)=$ $\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} u\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{t}{\lambda^{3}}\right)$ solves $K d V$ with data $\phi_{\lambda}$ on $\left[0, \lambda^{3} T\right]$. Choose $\lambda=\lambda(N)$ so that

$$
\left\|I \phi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{2}} \sim 1 .\left(\text { requires } \lambda \sim N^{-\frac{2 s}{3+2 s}}\right)
$$

- LWP variant: $K d V$ is wellposed on $[0, \delta]$,

$$
\delta \sim\|I \phi\|_{L^{2}}^{-\alpha}, \alpha>0
$$

and

$$
\|I u\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}} \leq C\|I \phi\|_{L^{2}}
$$

The LWP norm doubles after $N^{\frac{3}{4}-}$ steps. We need to have

$$
N^{\frac{3}{4}-}>[\lambda(N)]^{3} T
$$

Theorem 3. [C, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, Tao] $K d V$ is GWP in $H^{s},-\frac{3}{10}<s$.

