

A lie group is a group where each element depends differentially on a set of real parameters. That is $g = g(\theta^a)$ where

$$\theta^a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } 1 \leq a \leq n$$

\rightarrow a generic element of the group

Also θ is chosen s.t. $g(0) = 1 \rightarrow$ (identity element)

$\forall g.$

A Representation ^(linear) of

group (call it R)

assigns $g \mapsto D_R(g)$ for each group element

where $D_R(g)$ is a linear operator acting on the basis of R

E.g. if the basis is \mathbb{R}^n $D_R(g)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix

D_R satisfies (i) $D_R(1) = 1$ $\rightarrow \rho D_R(g) = (D_{ij}(g))_{i,j}$
 \downarrow \downarrow
 in group \downarrow identity in basis
 $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

(ii) $D_R(g_1) D_R(g_2) = D_R(g_1 g_2) \quad \forall g_1, g_2$

\rightarrow preserves group structure

Given an element of the basis say $\varphi = (\varphi^1 \dots \varphi^n)$

then g will induce a transformation

$$\varphi^i \mapsto \rho(D_R(g))^i_j \varphi^j$$

So that an abstract group is given a more physical interpretation.

Ex. if the Lie group is $SO(3)$ and the basis is \mathbb{R}^3 then $g \in SO(3)$ induces a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3

R is reducible if $\exists S \subset \text{Basis}(R)$ s.t. $\forall s \in S \ D_R(g)s \in S$
 S is an invariant subspace for the group
 if $\text{Basis}(R)$ contains no invariant subspace R is irreducible

R is completely reducible if $\text{Basis}(R) = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_k$
 where $A_i \subset \text{Basis}(R)$ is invariant.

Lie Algebra (Does not depend on R)

Given Lie group & some representation consider the neighborhood of the identity corresponding to $|\theta^a| < 1 \ \forall a$

Then we can Taylor expand: $D_R(g(\theta)) \approx 1 + \theta_a \left. \frac{\partial D_R(g(\theta))}{\partial \theta_a} \right|_{\theta_a=0}$

Let $T_R^a = -i \left. \frac{\partial D_R(g(\theta))}{\partial \theta_a} \right|_{\theta_a=0}$ so that $\approx 1 + i\theta_a T_R^a$
 (we insert the i by convention, makes hermitian, unitary cases easier).

T_R^a is called the generator of the group in R

Now there is a theorem, which ~~gives~~ tells us that for generic θ , we can then write

$D_R(g(\theta)) = e^{i\theta_a T_R^a}$ I don't yet know how to prove this though!

If we accept the theorem for now, we can also observe that if T_R^a is a Hermitian operator $D_R(g(\theta))$ will be unitary (something we proved in class)

Now let us find the Lie Algebra

Given g_1, g_2 we know $D_R(g_1)D_R(g_2) = D_R(g_1g_2)$ but how do parameters θ relate?

writing $D_R(g_1) = e^{i\alpha_a T_R^a}$ $D_R(g_2) = e^{i\beta_a T_R^a}$

& $D_R(g_1g_2) = e^{i\delta_a T_R^a}$

What is the relationship between $\alpha_a, \beta_a, \delta_a$?

if $[T_R^a, T_R^b] = 0 \quad \forall a, b$ we have $\delta_a = \alpha_a + \beta_a$

In general this is not the case.

lets suppose again that $|\alpha_a|, |\beta_a| \ll 1$

then $e^{i\alpha_a T_R^a} e^{i\beta_a T_R^a} = e^{i\delta_a T_R^a}$

$\Rightarrow i\delta_a T_R^a \approx \ln \left[\left(1 + i\alpha_a T_R^a + \frac{1}{2}(i\alpha_a T_R^a)^2 \right) \left(1 + i\beta_a T_R^a + \frac{1}{2}(i\beta_a T_R^a)^2 \right) \right] + O((\alpha + \beta)^3)$

$\Rightarrow i\delta_a T_R^a \approx \ln \left(1 + i(\alpha + \beta) T_R^a + \frac{1}{2}(i\beta_a T_R^a)^2 + i\alpha_a T_R^a - \alpha_a \beta_b T_R^a T_R^b + \frac{1}{2}(i\alpha_a T_R^a)^2 \right)$

$= \ln \left(1 + i(\alpha + \beta) T_R^a - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_a T_R^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_a T_R^a)^2 - \alpha_a \beta_b T_R^a T_R^b \right)$

Now recall $\ln(1+x) \approx x - \frac{1}{2}x^2$ for $x = i(\alpha + \beta) T_R^a - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_a T_R^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_a T_R^a)^2 - \alpha_a \beta_b T_R^a T_R^b$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i\delta_a T_R^a &\simeq i(\alpha_a + \beta_a) T_R^a - \frac{1}{2} ((\beta_a T_R^a)^2 + (\alpha_a T_R^a)^2) - \alpha_a \beta_b T_R^a T_R^b \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} ((\alpha_a + \beta_a) T_R^a)^2 + \dots \\
&\simeq i(\alpha_a + \beta_a) T_R^a - \frac{1}{2} ((\beta_a T_R^a)^2 + (\alpha_a T_R^a)^2) - \alpha_a \beta_b T_R^a T_R^b \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} [(\alpha_a T_R^a)^2 + (\beta_a T_R^a)^2 + \alpha_a \beta_b T_R^a T_R^b \\
&\quad + \beta_a \alpha_b T_R^a T_R^b] \\
&= i(\alpha_a + \beta_a) T_R^a - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_a \beta_b (T_R^a T_R^b - T_R^b T_R^a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_a \beta_b [T_R^a, T_R^b] = -2i(\alpha_a - \alpha_a - \beta_a) T_R^a$$

Observe that the LHS is linear in both α_a & β_a
 Now $\alpha_a = \alpha_a(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow -2(\alpha_a - \alpha_a - \beta_a)$ must be
 linear in both α_a & β_a
 meaning f constant f^{ab} s.t

$$\alpha_a \beta_b f^{ab} = -2(\alpha_c - \alpha_c - \beta_c)$$

we can then write

$$[T_R^a, T_R^b] = i f^{ab}_c T_R^c$$

This relationship defines our Lie Algebra
 & f^{ab}_c are the structure constants of the group.

2 Things we should note here:

(i) We only need to consider small d, β to get the Algebra.

(ii) the constants f^{abc} are independent of $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow$ the equation for $[T^a_\beta, T^b_\mathcal{R}]$ holds $\forall \mathcal{R}$

To argue this: suppose contrary that

$f^{abc} = (f^{abc})_{\mathcal{R}}$ then we would have

$\delta^a = (\delta^a)_{\mathcal{R}}$ but δ_a reflects the group

operation $g_1 \cdot g_2$ meaning that given

g_1, g_2 ~~we set~~ we set $\delta_a(d, \beta)$ by the group operation alone which cannot depend on \mathcal{R} .

Applications to Lorentz group / Spin for spinors

A Lie group that, when represented in the basis

$(y_1 - y_m, x_1 - x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ does not, for any elements,

change the number $(y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2) - (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$

is called $O(n, m)$

\therefore Lorentz group = $O(3, 1)$ & leaves invariant

$t^2 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) =$ space-time interval in physics

6
If $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ = Minkowski metric

& we put $\Lambda_R = \Lambda$ an element of Lorentz group in \mathbb{R}^4 basis (drop the R since we use this rep)

this translates then to $\eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = \eta_{\rho\sigma}$

where $\Lambda = \Lambda^\mu_\rho \rightarrow 4 \times 4$ matrix

those Λ w/ $\det \Lambda = +1$ are called in a subgroup called $SO(3,1)$ (we only care about it)

Find generators If we go back to our defn of generators, we need to ~~find~~ ^{look} only at infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

so we consider then $\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu}$
where $|\omega_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$

observe then:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\rho\sigma} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} (\delta^\mu_\rho + \omega^\mu_\rho) (\delta^\nu_\sigma + \omega^\nu_\sigma) \\ &= \eta_{\rho\sigma} + \eta_{\rho\nu} \omega^\nu_\sigma + \eta_{\mu\sigma} \omega^\mu_\rho + O(\omega^2) \\ \Rightarrow \eta_{\rho\sigma} &= \eta_{\rho\sigma} + \omega_{\rho\sigma} + \omega_{\sigma\rho}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\rho\sigma} = -\omega_{\sigma\rho}$$

so $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ has 6 independent components

These correspond to 6 generators

we call them $T^a_R \rightarrow J^{\mu\nu}$ the generators of Lorentz group & search for explicit forms.

Now Walid's class told us the Lie algebra for the group

Namely, if we call $J^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} J_{jk}$ "rotation"

$K^i = J^{i0}$ "boost"

we have $[J^i, J^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} J^k$ J^i are spatial (1, 2, 3) indices.

$[J^i, K^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} K^k$

$[K^i, K^j] = -i \epsilon^{ijk} J^k$

Note that we separated the $J_{\mu\nu}$ into 2 separate 3 component things.

Knowing the Algebra holds \forall representations

Apply it to 4-component solutions of the Dirac equation. Get $J_{\mu\nu}$

So we have $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0$

Let's give it a Lorentz transformation and see how ψ transforms

so we send $x^\mu \mapsto x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$

$\partial_\mu \mapsto \partial'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu \partial_\nu$

$\psi \mapsto \psi'(x') = S(\Lambda)\psi(x)$

where $S(\Lambda)$ is to be discovered.

so $(i\gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu - m)\psi'(x') = 0 = (i\gamma^\mu \Lambda^\nu_\mu \partial_\nu - m)S(\Lambda)\psi(x) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow i S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{\mu}^{\nu} S(\Lambda) \psi(x) - m \psi(x) = 0$$

but $m \psi(x) = i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi(x)$ (where we started!)

$$\Rightarrow \underline{S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{\mu}^{\nu} S(\Lambda) = \gamma^\nu} \quad (1)$$

Now we assume $S(\Lambda)$ can also be written w/
some generator namely we say: $S(\Lambda) = e^{\frac{i}{4} \omega_{\mu\nu} \beta^{\mu\nu}}$
where $\beta^{\mu\nu} = (\beta^{\mu\nu})_{\sigma}^{\rho} = 4 \times 4$ matrices w/ $\beta^{\mu\nu} = -\beta^{\nu\mu}$

$$\therefore S(\Lambda) \simeq 1 - \frac{i}{4} \beta_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\& S(\Lambda)^{-1} \simeq (1 - \frac{i}{4} \beta_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu})^{-1} \simeq 1 + \frac{i}{4} \beta_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + O(\omega^2)$$

where $\Lambda_{\mu}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} + \omega_{\mu}^{\nu}$ as before.

then use (1) to say $S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{\mu}^{\nu} S(\Lambda) - \gamma^\nu = 0$

& expand LHS up to 2nd order

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow (1 + \frac{i}{4} \beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta}) \gamma^\mu (\delta_{\mu}^{\nu} + \omega_{\mu}^{\nu}) (1 - \frac{i}{4} \beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta}) - \gamma^\nu \\ & = \cancel{\gamma^\mu \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}} + \gamma^\mu \omega_{\mu}^{\nu} + \frac{i}{4} \beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\mu \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} - \frac{i}{4} \gamma^\mu \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{i}{4} (\beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta}) = -\gamma_{\mu} \omega^{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu} \omega^{\nu\mu}$$

so lower the ν 's get

$$\frac{i}{4} (\beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \beta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta}) = \gamma_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}^{\mu} = g_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} \omega^{\rho\mu} \quad (2)$$

Now w Anti-symm

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_{\nu\rho} \omega^{\mu\nu} \beta^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (\gamma_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\rho})$$

Change some names on LHS of (2) get

$$\omega^{\mu\nu} \frac{i}{4} (\beta_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \beta_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (\gamma_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\rho})$$

Now ~~to arbitrary anti-symm~~ its pretty arbitrary to get drop ω since

$$[\gamma_{\nu}, \beta_{\mu\nu}] = 2i (\gamma_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\rho})$$

Now we observe that for β we can put something easily made from Dirac matrices namely $\beta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}]$

$$[\gamma_{\nu}, \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\mu}]] = 2i (\gamma_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\rho})$$

well... just expand

$$[\gamma_{\nu}, \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\mu}]] = \frac{i}{2} (\gamma_{\nu} (\gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\rho}) - (\gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\rho}) \gamma_{\nu})$$
$$= \frac{i}{2} (\gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\rho} - \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} + \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\nu}) \quad (3)$$

Now $\gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\rho} = 2\gamma_{\nu\rho} - \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\nu}$
 $\gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} = 2\gamma_{\nu\mu} - \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu}$

so (3) = $\frac{i}{2} (\gamma_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\rho} + \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\rho} - \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} + \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu\rho} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\rho})$

We finally get

$$\frac{i}{2} (\cancel{4\eta_{\nu\rho} x_\mu} - 4\eta_{\mu\nu} x_\rho) = 2i (\eta_{\nu\rho} x_\mu - \eta_{\mu\nu} x_\rho)$$

As claimed

So now we see that $\varphi'(x') = e^{-\frac{i}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}} \varphi(x)$

Let's also compare with our generator

From Lie Algebra stuff we have that:

$$\varphi'(x) = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}} \varphi(x) \quad \text{where } J_{\mu\nu} \text{ depend on representation}$$

here we consider small ω & Dirac repr.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi'(x) \approx (1 - \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}) \varphi(x) \quad (4)$$

while we just found $\varphi'(x') = (1 - \frac{i}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}) \varphi(x)$
but... we can also Taylor expand the above

$$\varphi'(x') = \varphi'(x) + \frac{\partial \varphi'(x)}{\partial x^\mu} (x'^\mu - x^\mu)$$

$$\text{where } x'^\mu = x^\mu + \omega^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi'(x') = \varphi'(x) + \omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \partial_\mu \varphi'(x)$$

$$\text{but... } \omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \partial_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (x_\nu \partial_\mu - x_\mu \partial_\nu)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi'(x) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (x_\nu \partial_\mu - x_\mu \partial_\nu) \varphi'(x) = \varphi(x) - \frac{i}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} \varphi(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(x) = \varphi'(x) + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu} \varphi(x)$$

(from eqn (4))

So $\frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (x_\nu \partial_\mu - x_\mu \partial_\nu) \psi(x) = \frac{\omega^i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (J_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \psi(x)$

Now $\psi'(x) = \psi(x) + \frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu} \psi(x)$ but we only work to 1st order in ω so replace $\psi'(x)$ by $\psi(x)$ above to get.

$\frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (x_\nu \partial_\mu - x_\mu \partial_\nu) \psi(x) = \frac{\omega^i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (J_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \psi(x)$

Now this holds $\forall \omega$ & $\forall \psi$ in the relevant sets

So $J_{\mu\nu} = i (x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

Observe that $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$

$\sigma^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma_{jk} = \frac{\sigma^i}{2}$ if we use the Dirac-Pauli stuff for γ^m matrices

ie we get back the $\vec{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$ stuff

$\therefore \psi$ carry intrinsic spin when we consider Lorentz transformation.