

14 - Zeroes of analytic functions

Jean-Baptiste Campesato

November 4th, 2020

Reviews from Oct 16 – Zeroes

Definition 1.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic. Let $z_0 \in U$ be such that $f(z_0) = 0$. We define the **order of vanishing of f at z_0** by $m_f(z_0) := \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f^{(n)}(z_0) \neq 0\}$. Note that $m_f(z_0) > 0$ since $f(z_0) = 0$.

Proposition 2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic. Let $z_0 \in U$ be such that $f(z_0) = 0$.

Denote the power series expansion of f at z_0 by $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$.

Then $m_f(z_0) = \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \neq 0\}$.

Proposition 3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic. Then z_0 is a zero of order n of f if and only if there exists $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic such that $f(z) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$ and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

Reviews from Oct 16 – Analytic continuation

Theorem 4. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a **domain** and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic/analytic function.

If there exists $z_0 \in U$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, f^{(n)}(z_0) = 0$ then $f \equiv 0$ on U .

Corollary 5. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a **domain** and $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic functions.

If f and g coincide in the neighborhood of a point,

$$\text{i.e. } \exists z_0 \in U, \exists r > 0, \forall z \in D_r(z_0) \cap U, f(z) = g(z),$$

then they coincide on U ,

$$\text{i.e. } \forall z \in U, f(z) = g(z).$$

Isolated zeroes

It is actually possible to strengthen the previous results.

Theorem 6. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic/analytic function.

Then either $f \equiv 0$ or the zeroes of f are isolated^{*} :

if $f(z_0) = 0$ then there exists $r > 0$ such that $D_r(z_0) \subset U$ and $\forall z \in D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$, $f(z) \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume that z_0 is a non-isolated zero of f .

We know that f admits a power series expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ in a neighborhood of z_0 .

Assume by contradiction that there exists a smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ such that $a_n \neq 0$ then $f(z) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$ where g is holomorphic and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $\exists w_n \in (D_{\frac{1}{n}}(z_0) \cap U) \setminus \{z_0\}$, $f(w_n) = 0$. But then $g(w_n) = 0$ since $w_n \neq z_0$

Then, since $w_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} z_0$, by continuity $g(z_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g(w_n) = 0$. Which leads to a contradiction.

Hence $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, $f^{(n)}(z_0) = n!a_n = 0$ and $f \equiv 0$ on U by Theorem 4. ■

Corollary 7. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic functions.

If $f - g$ admits a non-isolated zero

$$\text{i.e. } \exists z_0 \in U, \forall r > 0, \exists z \in (U \cap D_r(z_0)) \setminus \{z_0\}, f(z) - g(z) = f(z_0) - g(z_0) = 0$$

then f and g coincide on U ,

$$\text{i.e. } \forall z \in U, f(z) = g(z).$$

Corollary 8. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic functions.

Let $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of terms in U which is convergent to \tilde{z} in U and such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z_n) = 0$.

Then $f \equiv 0$ on U .

Remark 9. The fact that the limit $\tilde{z} \in U$ is very important.

Indeed, let $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f(z) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{z}\right)$.

Then $f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$ but $f \not\equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Hence, it is possible for the zeroes of f to accumulate at a point of the boundary of the domain (including ∞ , see for instance $z_n = \pi n$ for $f = \sin$).

Homework 10. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic on U .

Prove that if $fg \equiv 0$ on U then either $f \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$.

Homework 11. Let $U = D_1(0)$. Find all the holomorphic functions $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying respectively:

1. $f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2}$

2. $f\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) = f\left(\frac{1}{2n+1}\right) = \frac{1}{n}$

^{*} Otherwise stated, if you attend MAT327, either f is constant equal to 0 or $\{z \in U : f(z) = 0\}$ is discrete.

Reviews from Oct 23 – Poles

Theorem 12. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $z_0 \in U$. Assume that $f : U \setminus \{z_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic/analytic. Then TFAE:

1. z_0 is a pole of f , i.e. $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |f(z)| = +\infty$.
2. There exist $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^n}$ on $U \setminus \{z_0\}$.
3. z_0 is not a removable singularity of f and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)^{n+1} f(z) = 0$.

Definition 13. The integer $n > 0$ in (2) is uniquely defined and we say that f admits a **pole of order n** at z_0 .

Proposition 14. The order of the pole z_0 is also:

- The order of vanishing of $1/f$ at z_0 .
- The smallest n such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)^{n+1} f(z) = 0$.

The argument principle

Lemma 15 (Logarithmic residue).

- If z_0 is an isolated zero of f then $\text{Res}\left(\frac{f'}{f}, z_0\right)$ is the order of z_0 .
- If z_0 is an isolated pole of f then $-\text{Res}\left(\frac{f'}{f}, z_0\right)$ is the order of z_0 .

Proof.

- Assume that $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in a neighborhood of z_0 where g is analytic and $g(z_0) \neq 0$. Then $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = m(z - z_0)^{-1} + \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}$. We conclude using that $\frac{g'}{g}$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of z_0 .

- z_0 is a pole of order m of f if and only if it is a zero of order m of $\frac{1}{f}$. We conclude using that

$$\text{Res}\left(\frac{(1/f)'}{(1/f)}, z_0\right) = -\text{Res}\left(\frac{f'}{f}, z_0\right)$$

■

The previous lemma holds at ∞ :

Lemma 16.

- If ∞ is an isolated zero of f then $\text{Res}\left(\frac{f'}{f}, \infty\right)$ is the order of ∞ .
- If ∞ is an isolated pole of f then $-\text{Res}\left(\frac{f'}{f}, \infty\right)$ is the order of ∞ .

Proof. ∞ is an isolated zero (resp. pole) of order m of f if and only if 0 is an isolated zero (resp. pole) of order m of $g(z) = f(1/z)$.

$$\text{Then } m = \text{Res}\left(\frac{g'}{g}, 0\right) = \text{Res}\left(\frac{-1}{z^2} \frac{f'(1/z)}{f(1/z)}, 0\right) = \text{Res}\left(\frac{f'}{f}, \infty\right).$$

■

Theorem 17 (The argument principle).

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. Let $S \subset U$ be finite. Let $f : U \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic/analytic.

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be piecewise smooth positively oriented simple closed curve on U which doesn't pass through a zero or a pole of f and such that its inside is entirely included in U .

Then

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = Z_{f,\gamma} - P_{f,\gamma}$$

where

- $Z_{f,\gamma}$ is the number of zeroes of f enclosed in γ counted with their multiplicities/orders,
- $P_{f,\gamma}$ is the number of poles of f enclosed in γ counted with their multiplicities/orders.

Proof. We apply Cauchy's residue theorem to $\frac{f'}{f}$ and then we use the above lemma:

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{z \in \text{Inside}(\gamma)} \text{Res} \left(\frac{f'}{f}, z \right) = \sum_{z \text{ zero of } f} \text{Res} \left(\frac{f'}{f}, z_0 \right) + \sum_{z \text{ pole of } f} \text{Res} \left(\frac{f'}{f}, z_0 \right) = Z_{f,\gamma} - P_{f,\gamma} \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 18. The value $\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$ involved in the previous slide is equal to the number of counterclockwise turns made by $f(z)$ as z goes through γ .

Indeed, if we set $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = f \circ \gamma$ then $\int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{1}{w} dw$.

Assume for instance that $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = z_0 + re^{2i\pi nt}$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{1}{w} dw = n$ which is the number of counterclockwise turns made by $\tilde{\gamma}$ around z_0 .

Then the conclusion of the previous statement can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\text{changes of } \arg(f(z)) \text{ as } z \text{ goes through } \gamma}{2\pi} = Z_{f,\gamma} - P_{f,\gamma}$$

That's why it is called *the argument principle*.

Rouché's theorem**Theorem 19** (Rouché's theorem – version 1).

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two holomorphic/analytic functions on U , and $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise smooth simple closed curve on U whose inside is also included in U .

Assume that

$$\forall t \in [a, b], |g(\gamma(t))| < |f(\gamma(t))|$$

Then f and $f + g$ have the same number of zeroes inside γ , counted with multiplicities.

Proof. For $t \in [0, 1]$, set $\varphi_t(z) = f(z) + (1-t)g(z)$ and $h(t) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\varphi_t'(z)}{\varphi_t(z)} dz$.

The function h is continuous since φ_t doesn't vanish on γ , indeed for $z \in \gamma$

$$|\varphi_t(z)| \geq |f(z)| + (1-t)|g(z)| \geq |f(z)| - |g(z)| > 0$$

Hence h is a continuous function taking values in \mathbb{Z} (by the principle argument), so it is constant.

Hence $h(0) = h(1)$, i.e. $Z_{f+g,\gamma} - P_{f+g,\gamma} = Z_{f,\gamma} - P_{f,\gamma}$ by the principle argument.

But these functions have no poles in the inside of γ , hence $Z_{f+g,\gamma} = Z_{f,\gamma}$. ■

Theorem 20 (Rouché's theorem – version 2).

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two holomorphic/analytic functions on U , and $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise smooth simple closed curve on U whose inside is also included in U .

Assume that

$$\forall z \in \gamma, |f(z) - g(z)| < |f(z)|$$

Then f and g have the same number of zeroes inside γ , counted with multiplicities.

Proof. That's an immediate consequence of the previous version since z_0 is a zero of order n of g iff it is a zero of order n of $-g$. ■

Theorem 21 (Rouché's theorem – version 3).

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two holomorphic/analytic functions on U , and $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise smooth simple closed curve on U whose inside is also included in U .

Assume that

$$\forall z \in \gamma, |f(z) + g(z)| < |f(z)|$$

Then f and g have the same number of zeroes inside γ , counted with multiplicities.

Proof. Since z_0 is a zero of order n of g iff it is a zero of order n of $-g$. ■

We already proved the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra (or d'Alembert–Gauss theorem) using Liouville's theorem (Oct 21): a non-constant complex polynomial admits at least one root.

Here is another proof using Rouché's theorem.

Theorem 22. A complex polynomial of degree n has exactly n complex roots (counted with multiplicity).

Proof. Assume that $P(z) = a_n z^n + Q(z)$ where Q is a polynomial of degree $< n$ and $a_n \neq 0$.

If we take $R > 0$ big enough then $|Q(z)| < |a_n z^n|$ on $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\gamma(t) = R e^{2i\pi t}$.

By Rouché's theorem, $P(z) = a_n z^n + Q(z)$ and $a_n z^n$ have the same number of zeroes counted with multiplicity. ■