

Vector potentials (or vector fields that are curls)

Here is another special case of Poincaré Lemma:

Theorem: $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ open, $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ C^1

① If $F = \text{curl } G$ for $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ C^2 then $\text{div } F = 0$

② The converse is true when U is star-shaped.
If U is star-shaped and $\text{div } F = 0$ then there exists $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ C^2 s.t. $F = \text{curl } G$

We say that G is a vector potential of F

$$\Delta \text{ ① } \text{div } F = \text{div}(\text{curl } G) = 0$$

② Since U is star-shaped, $\exists p_0 \in U$ s.t. $\forall q \in U$ the line segment from p_0 to q is in U .

For $q = (x, y, z) \in U$ we set: we apply the \int componentwise

$$G(q) = \int_0^1 F(\underbrace{(1-t)p_0 + tq}_{\in U \text{ so well defined}}) \times (t(q - p_0)) dt$$

Using the theorem to differentiate under the integral, we get that G is C^2 and that $\text{curl } G = \int_0^1 \text{curl}(x) dt$

where the curl are w.r.t. x, y, z of course

To simplify the notations, I set:

$$\tilde{F}(x, y, z) = F((1-t)P_0 + tq) \quad (\text{remember } q = (x, y, z))$$

$$= F((1-t)P_{0,x} + tx, (1-t)P_{0,y} + ty, (1-t)P_{0,z} + tz)$$

$$= F(P_0 + tr(x, y, z))$$

and

$$r(x, y, z) = q - P_0 = (x - P_{0,x}, y - P_{0,y}, z - P_{0,z})$$

then we use the formula $\text{curl}(F \times G) = (G \cdot \nabla)F + (\text{div } G)F - (F \cdot \nabla)G - (\text{div } F)G$

(Be careful, I've just realized that the formula in the online text book is false)

$$\text{curl}(\tilde{F} \times (tr)) = \underbrace{(tr \cdot \nabla) \tilde{F}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{(\text{div}(tr)) \tilde{F}}_{(2)} - \underbrace{(\tilde{F} \cdot \nabla)(tr)}_{(3)} - \underbrace{\text{div}(\tilde{F})G}_{(4)}$$

$$(1) (tr \cdot \nabla) \tilde{F} = t \left((x - P_{0,x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (y - P_{0,y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (z - P_{0,z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \tilde{F}$$

$$= t^2 \frac{d}{dt} (F((1-t)P_0 + tq))$$

$$(2) \text{div}(tr) \tilde{F} = 3t F((1-t)P_0 + tq)$$

$$(3) (\tilde{F} \cdot \nabla)(tr) = t F((1-t)P_0 + tq)$$

$$(4) \text{div}(\tilde{F}) = t \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x}(-) + t \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y}(-) + t \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z}(-) = t \frac{d}{dt} F((1-t)P_0 + tq) = 0$$

Hence $\text{curl } G(q) = \int_0^1 2t F((1-t)P_0 + tq) + t^2 \frac{d}{dt} (F((1-t)P_0 + tq)) dt$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (t^2 F((1-t)P_0 + tq)) dt$$

$$= \left[t^2 F((1-t)P_0 + tq) \right]_{t=0}^{t=1}$$

$$= F(q)$$

□

Notice that the above proof gives a formula to find a suitable G :

$$G(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 F((1-t)p_0 + t(x, y, z)) \times (t((x, y, z) - p_0)) dt$$

where $p_0 \in U$ s.t. $\forall q \in U$ the line segment from p_0 to q is included in U

Quite often, in practice, U is centered at 0 so that $p_0 = \vec{0}$ works



The above theorem fails with no assumption on the domain

$$\text{Define } F(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} (x, y, z) \text{ on } U = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$$

then $\text{div } F = 0$ but there is no $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ C^2 s.t. $F = \text{curl } G$

Indeed, otherwise we would have $\iint \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \\ \text{outward} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{but } \iint \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} = 4\pi \neq 0$$