

Improper integrals

Comment: I strongly suggest you to review the material from MAT137 in the one-variable case
I posted some notes online

Goal: What can we do if a function or its domain is not bounded?

Disclaimer: I use a slightly different approach from the textbook
It is equivalent and it doesn't change anything in practice.

Definition: $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ non-negative

We say that $\int_U f$ is convergent (or that f is improperly integrable on U)

when ① $\forall S \subset U$ compact and Jordan measurable (∂S has z.c.)

$$\int_S f \text{ exists}$$

$$\text{② } \sup_S \int_S f < +\infty \text{ where } S \text{ are like above}$$

$$\text{and then we set } \int_U f := \sup_S \int_S f$$

Fact: For $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ open, there exists a sequence C_1, C_2, \dots of compact Jordan measurable subsets of U s.t.

$$\text{① } U = \bigcup C_i$$

$$\text{② } C_i \subset C_{i+1}$$

We say that $(C_i)_i$ is an exhaustion of U

Ex: $\bullet U = \mathbb{R}^m, C_i = B(\vec{0}, i)$

$\bullet U = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}, C_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \frac{1}{i} \leq \|x\| \leq i\}$

Theorem: $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ non-negative, $\int_S f$ exists for S compact Jordan measurable $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as above

$\int_U f$ is convergent $\Leftrightarrow \exists M > 0, \forall i, \int_{C_i} f < M$

and then $\int_U f = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{C_i} f$

Δ $U_i = \int_{C_i} f$ is non-decreasing so it converges iff $\{\int_{C_i} f\}$ is bounded

$\Rightarrow: \int_{C_i} f \leq \int_U f = \sup_S \int_S f$

$\Leftarrow:$ Let $S \subset U$ compact Jordan measurable.
by compactness $S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$ (finitely many)

so $\int_S f \leq \int_{C_k} f \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{C_i} f$

□

The above theorem is the reason why I first restricted to the non-negative case:

if (C_i) is an exhausting approximation of U then the value of $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{C_i} f$ doesn't depend on the choice of C_i

That's false for f not non-negative.

Cf: if $f > 0$ then you will always get the same value for $\int_U f$, no matter how you try to compute it

Ex: in the one variable case, we define $\int_a^{+\infty} f$ by $\lim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \int_a^c f$

so that $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^m \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0, m]} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$

where we used $C_m = [0, m]$ (that's the convention in the 1-var case)

But for another choice of C_m , we could have obtained something else

$$D_m = [0, 2m\pi - \pi] \cup \bigcup_{k=m}^{2m} [2k\pi, 2k\pi + \pi]$$

$$\text{then } \int_{D_m} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \int_0^{2m\pi - \pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx + \sum_k \int_{2k\pi}^{2k\pi + \pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2k\pi + \pi} \int \sin(x) dx$$

$$\text{so } \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{D_m} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx > \frac{\pi}{2} + \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{2m} \frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \ln 2 > \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Ex: $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dy dx = \int_0^1 \left[\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \right]_0^1 dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{4}$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx dy = \int_0^1 \left[-\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right]_0^1 dy = \int_0^1 -\frac{1}{1+y^2} dy = -\frac{\pi}{4}$$

ie with $C_m = [1/m, 1] \times [0, 1]$ we get $\pi/4$

with $C_m = [0, 1] \times [1/m, 1]$ we get $-\pi/4$

and we can prove that with $[1/m, 1] \times [1/m, 1]$ we get 0. (Do it)

What should we do when f is not non-negative?

We will see that if $\int_a^b |f|$ is CV then $\int_a^b f$ is CV and

doesn't depend on choices. So we will only work with absolute CV!!!

Notice that you already met this phenomenon for series in MAT137

① If $\sum a_n$ is abs cv (ie $\sum |a_n| < +\infty$) then you can compute $\sum a_n$ by grouping terms or permuting terms and you will always get the same value

② If $\sum a_n$ is cv but not ACV, by Riemann rearrangement theorem, you can get any value (even $+\infty$ or $-\infty$) by permuting terms

Let's go back to integrals.

Notation: for $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, we define $f_+, f_-: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

by $f_+(x) = \max(f(x), 0)$ and $f_-(x) = \max(-f(x), 0)$

so that $f_+, f_- \geq 0$ and $f = f_+ - f_-$ and $|f| = f_+ + f_-$

Definition: $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

We say that $\int_U f$ is (absolutely) convergent or that

f is improperly integrable on U if f_+ and f_- are integrable on U and then we set

$$\int_U f := \int_U f_+ - \int_U f_-$$

Remark: this definition is equivalent to the one in the textbook!

The absolute CV aspect is hidden in the fact that S can be any Jordan measurable set and not only a ball!

Theorem: $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ open, $\int_S f$ exists for any $S \subset \mathcal{U}$ Jordan measurable

$\int_{\mathcal{U}} f$ is improperly integrable if and only if $\int_{\mathcal{U}} |f|$ is integrable

\triangle that's different from the one-variable case where $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x}$ exists!

$\Delta \Rightarrow$ if f is improperly integrable then f_+ and f_- are too by definition but then $|f| = f_+ + f_-$

If S is compact Jordan measurable:

$$\int_S |f| = \int_S f_+ + \int_S f_- \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} f_+ + \int_{\mathcal{U}} f_-$$

so $\sup_S \int_S |f| < +\infty$

This assumption is important!

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftarrow: 0 \leq f_+ \leq f_+ + f_- = |f|$$

\triangle $\int_S \frac{|f|+f}{2}$ exists since $\int_S f$ exists

so if S is Jordan measurable compact $\int_S f_+ \leq \int_S |f| \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |f|$
and similarly for f_-

The following theorem ensures that if f is integrable then we can compute $\int_{\mathcal{U}} f$ without being too careful since we force f to be ACV in our definition!

Theorem: Assume that f is integrable on \mathcal{U} and let C_i be a sequence of compact Jordan measurable sets s.t.

- ① $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_i C_i$ ② $C_i \subset \overset{\circ}{C_{i+1}}$

$$\text{then } \int_{\mathcal{U}} f = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{C_i} f$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \int_{\mathcal{U}} f &= \int_{\mathcal{U}} f_+ - \int_{\mathcal{U}} f_- = \lim_i \int_{C_i} f_+ - \lim_i \int_{C_i} f_- \\ &= \lim_i \int_{C_i} f_+ - f_- = \lim_i \int_{C_i} f \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(Connection with the definition from the textbook)

Theorem: $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\forall S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ Jordan measurable \int_S exists
 $\int_S f$ is improperly convergent

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists L > 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists r > 0, \forall S \subset \mathbb{R}^m \text{ Jordan measurable} \\ \bar{B}(0, r) \subset S \Rightarrow \left| \int_S f - L \right| < \varepsilon$$

$\Delta \Rightarrow$ you can work separately with f_+ , f_- which are non-negative
and then $\left| \int_S f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_+ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_- \right| \leq \left| \int_S f_+ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_+ \right| + \left| \int_S f_- - \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_- \right|$

\Leftarrow Claim 1: if (C_i) is an exhaustion then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{C_i} f = L$$

Δ By Heine-Borel, $\bar{B}(0, r) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^K C_i = C_K$

$$\text{so } \forall i > K, \left| \int_{C_i} f - L \right| < \varepsilon \quad \square$$

Claim 2: if $\lim \int_{C_i} f$ doesn't depend on the exhaustion
then $\int_S f$ is integrable

Δ Idea: by contradiction either $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_+ = +\infty$ or $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_- = +\infty$

since $f_+ f_- = 0$ we may find an exhaustion
that makes $\int_{C_i} f_+$ or $\int_{C_i} f_-$ fast enough so that the other
can't compensate... see the example for $\int_{D_m} \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$ \square

the actual proof of Claim 2 relies on the fact that
if $\int_S f$ exists for S Jordan measurable, $\exists T \subset S$ Jordan measurable
s.t. $\int_S |f| \leq 3 \left| \int_T f \right|$ and we can prove that the latter is bounded \square

Formal proof of Claim 2: (you can safely skip it)

Lemma 1: Claim 1 $\Rightarrow \exists M > 0, \forall S$ Jordan measurable, $|S_S f| \leq M$

Δ Assume $\{S_S f\}$ is not bounded and let (C_n) an exhaustion

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists T_n$ s.t. $|S_{T_n} f| \geq n + \int_{U_n} |f|, U_n = C_n \cup T_n \pm U - U_{T_n}$

Define $S_n = T_n \cup U_n$ so that (S_n) is an exhaustion

then $|S_{S_n} f| = |S_{T_n} f + \int_{S_n \setminus T_n} f| \geq |S_{T_n} f| - \int_{U_n} |f| \geq n$ $\xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} +\infty$ contradiction \square

Lemma 2: $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, Jordan measurable, f_S integrable

then $\exists T \subset S$ Jordan measurable s.t. $|S_T f| \leq 3 |S_T f|$

$\Delta S := \int_S |f| = \int_S f_+ + \int_S f_-$ exists by assumption, so either $\int_S f_+$ or $\int_S f_- \geq \frac{S}{2}$

Let say $\int_S f_+ \geq S/2 > S/3$ (Assume $S > 0$ otherwise the Lemma is trivial)

So $\exists R \subset S$ a rectangle with a partition so that $L_p(f_+) > S/3$

Define T as the union of the subrectangles where $\inf(f_+) > 0$

then $\int_T f = \int_T f_+ > 0$ \hookrightarrow extended by 0

\uparrow Here I assume f is C^0 so if $\inf f_+ > 0$ then $f > 0$ on the rectangle that's not a big assumption: so this proof works if the discontinuity set has \mathbb{Z}^c

then $|S_T f| = \int_T f = \int_T f_+ = \int_R f_+ > S/3 = \frac{1}{3} \int_S |f|$ \square

Proof of claim 2: $\exists M$ s.t. $\forall T, |S_T f| \leq M$ by Lemma 1

so $\forall S, |S_S f| \leq 3M$ and $\{S_S |f|\}$ is bounded \square

What do we do in practice?

Step 0: check that $\int_S f$ exists for S Jordan measurable (ex: $f \in C^0$)

Step 1: compute $\int_U |f|$

since $|f| \geq 0$, you don't have to be careful, the result won't depend on how you compute it

For instance you can compute $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(\vec{0}, r)} |f|$ if $U = \mathbb{R}^m$

Case 1: $\int_U |f| = +\infty$: you stop... f is not integrable on U

Case 2: $\int_U |f| < +\infty$: f is integrable, go to step 2

Step 2: we know that $\int_U f$ is (absolutely) integrable

then we can compute it (the result won't depend on how you compute it: every operation that seems legit is legit. for instance you can compute $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(\vec{0}, r)} f$ if $U = \mathbb{R}^m$ or compute the \int dx \int dy separately...)

Basic properties: $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ open, $f, g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ improperly integrable

then:

① $af+bg$ is improperly integrable and $\int af+bg = a \int f + b \int g$

② $\forall x \in U, f(x) \leq g(x) \Rightarrow \int_U f \leq \int_U g$

③ $|f|$ is integrable on U and $|\int_U f| \leq \int_U |f|$

$$\Delta \textcircled{1} |af + bg| \leq |a| |f| + |b| |g|$$

and if S is compact Jordan measurable

$$\int_S |af + bg| \leq |a| \int_S |f| + |b| \int_S |g| \leq |a| \int_M |f| + |b| \int_M |g|$$

then we obtain the equality by computing $\lim \int_C af + bg$

\textcircled{2} For a compact and Jordan measurable

$$\int_C f \leq \int_C g \text{ and then take the limit}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \left. \begin{array}{l} f \leq |f| \Rightarrow \int f \leq \int |f| \\ -f \leq |f| \Rightarrow -\int f \leq \int |f| \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow |\int f| \leq \int |f|$$

□

Improper integrals: examples

① A first example with unbounded domain

We want to compute $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy$

Since $f(x,y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$ is continuous and non-negative

$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{C_m} e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$ doesn't depend on the choice of the exhaustion

(but it could be $+\infty$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\overline{B}(0,m)} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0,m] \times [0,\pi]} r e^{-r^2} dr d\theta$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi \left[-\frac{e^{-r^2}}{2} \right]_0^m$$

$$\boxed{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} = \pi} = \pi$$

Now let's take another exhaustion:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[m,m] \times [m,m]} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-m}^m e^{-x^2} dx \int_{-m}^m e^{-y^2} dy \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

So: $\boxed{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}}$ (Gaussian/Euler-Poisson integral)

Exercise: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-\alpha \|x\|^2} = \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right)^{m/2}$

② Define $f: \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \frac{1}{\|x\|^p}$

(i) $\int_{\{\|x\| < a\}} \|x\|^{-p}$ is convergent $\Leftrightarrow p < m$ (Unbounded function)

(ii) $\int_{\{\|x\| > a\}} \|x\|^{-p}$ is convergent $\Leftrightarrow p > m$ (Unbounded domain)

△ I prove it for $m=2$ here (see next page for the general case)

Since f is C^0 and non-negative:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \int_{\{\|x\| < a\}} \|x\|^{-p} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{\frac{1}{k} \leq \|x\| \leq a\}} \|x\|^{-p} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[\frac{1}{k}, a] \times [-\pi, \pi]} r^{1-p} dr d\theta \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^a \frac{1}{r^{p-1}} dr \\ &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } p-1 \geq 1 \\ < +\infty & \text{if } p-1 < 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \int_{\{\|x\| > a\}} \|x\|^{-p} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{\|x\| \leq k\}} \|x\|^{-p} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0, k] \times [-\pi, \pi]} r^{1-p} dr d\theta \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi \int_0^k \frac{1}{r^{p-1}} dr = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } p-1 \leq 1 \\ < +\infty & \text{if } p-1 > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

A useful corollary:

Theorem: $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ C^0

if $\exists C > 0, p > m$ s.t. $\|x\|^p |f(x)| \leq C$ then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f$ exists

General case: $m \geq 2$

Spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^m : $(r, \theta, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m-2})$, $r > 0$, $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$, $\varphi_i \in (0, \pi)$

$$x_1 = r \cos \varphi_1$$

$$x_2 = r \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2$$

$$x_3 = r \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3$$

...

$$x_{m-1} = r \sin \varphi_1 \dots \sin \varphi_{m-2} \cos \theta$$

$$x_m = r \sin \varphi_1 \dots \sin \varphi_{m-2} \sin \theta$$

Notice that $r = \|x\| = \sqrt{\sum x_i^2}$ and that $|\det D\Phi| = r^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} \sin^{m-i-1}(\varphi_i)$
 $= r^{m-1} \sin^{m-2}(\varphi_1) \sin^{m-3}(\varphi_2) \dots \sin(\varphi_{m-2})$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{ \|x\| > a \} \subset \mathbb{R}^m} \|x\|^{-p} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_a^k r^{m-p-1} \prod \sin^{m-i-1}(\varphi_i) dr d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{m-2} d\theta \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} C \int_a^k r^{m-p-1} dr = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } p+1-m \leq 1 \\ < +\infty & \text{if } p+1-m > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

③ A first non-improperly-integrable function (unbounded domain)

We want to know if $\int_{\{x>0, y>0\}} \sin(x^2+y^2) dx dy$ is convergent

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{x^2+y^2 \leq k^2, x>0, y>0\}} \sin(x^2+y^2) dx dy &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^k \int_0^{\pi/2} r \sin(r^2) dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{k^2} \sin(u) du \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (1 - \cos(k^2)) \text{ DNE} \end{aligned}$$

So $\int_{\{x>0, y>0\}} \sin(x^2+y^2)$ is not improperly convergent.

Here we were able to conclude because we found an exhaustion so that the limit DNE
 If we had found a well-defined limit that wouldn't have been enough to conclude: a function whose sign changes which is not improperly CV can be CV for some exhaustion.
 See the following example

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0, k] \times [0, k]} \sin(x^2+y^2) dx dy &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0, k] \times [0, k]} \sin(x^2) \cos(y^2) + \cos(x^2) \sin(y^2) dx dy \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 2 \int_0^k \sin(x^2) dx \int_0^k \cos(y^2) dy \end{aligned}$$

Fresnel integrals, we admit it

$$= 2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

But we have already seen that it is not CV
 (so the value depends on the choice)

(4) An example where the sign changes (unbounded function)

We want to know whether $\int_{x^2+y^2 < 4} \log((x^2+y^2)^{1/2}) dx dy$ converges



Here the sign changes, so it is not enough to check the limit on an exhaustion to prove the improper convergence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{1/k \leq x^2+y^2 \leq k\}} |\log \sqrt{x^2+y^2}| dx dy$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{1/k}^k r |\log r| dr d\theta$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi \int_{1/k}^k r |\log r| dr$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi \left(\int_{1/k}^1 r (-\log r) dr + \int_1^k r \log r dr \right)$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} -2\pi \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} r^2 \log r - \frac{1}{4} r^2 \right]_{1/k}^1 - \left[\frac{1}{2} r^2 \log r - \frac{1}{4} r^2 \right]_1^k \right)$$

$$= \pi (\log 16 - 1) < +\infty$$

So $\int_{x^2+y^2 < 4} \log \sqrt{x^2+y^2} dx dy$ is improperly convergent

⑤ Study $\int_{(0,1) \times (0,1)} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy$ (Unbounded function)

Since $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 y^2}$ is non-negative and C^0

$$\int_{(0,1) \times (0,1)} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[1/k, 1] \times [1/k, 1]} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{1/k}^1 \frac{1}{x^2} dx \int_{1/k}^1 \frac{1}{y^2} dy$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (1-k)^2 = +\infty$$

So $\int_{(0,1) \times (0,1)} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy$ is not improperly convergent

⑥ Study $\int_{(1,\infty) \times (1,\infty)} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy$ (Unbounded domain)

Since f is continuous and non-negative

$$\int_{(1,\infty) \times (1,\infty)} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[1, k] \times [1, k]} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 = 1$$

So $\int_{(1,\infty) \times (1,\infty)} \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} dx dy$ is improperly CV

⑧ Study $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2} dx dy$ (Unbounded domain)

Since $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2}$ is C^0 and non-negative

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2} dx dy = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\overline{B}(0,k)} \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2} dx dy$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0,k] \times [-\pi,\pi]} \frac{1}{1+r^2} r dr d\theta$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi \int_0^k \frac{r}{1+r^2} dr$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \pi \ln(1+k^2) = +\infty$$

So $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2} dx dy$ is not improperly CV

(I like this one because it looks like $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx$ which is improperly CV)