

Hilbert C^* -modules over a commutative C^* -algebra

Leonel Robert and Aaron Tikuisis

ABSTRACT

This paper studies the problems of embedding and isomorphism for countably generated Hilbert C^* -modules over commutative C^* -algebras. When the fiber dimensions differ sufficiently, relative to the dimension of the spectrum, we show that there is an embedding between the modules. This result continues to hold over recursive subhomogeneous C^* -algebras. For certain modules, including all modules over $C_0(X)$ when $\dim X \leq 3$, isomorphism and embedding are determined by the restrictions to the sets where the fiber dimensions are constant. These considerations yield results for the Cuntz semigroup, including a computation of the Cuntz semigroup for $C_0(X)$ when $\dim X \leq 3$, in terms of cohomological data about X .

1. Introduction

Hilbert C^* -modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces, where the coefficient space is allowed to be a C^* -algebra. Hilbert C^* -modules appear naturally in many areas of C^* -algebra theory, such as KK-theory, Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras, and completely positive operators. In [4], Coward, Elliott, and Ivanescu give a description of the Cuntz semigroup of a C^* -algebra in terms of the Hilbert C^* -modules over the algebra. This ordered semigroup has been shown to be a key ingredient in the Elliott program for the classification of C^* -algebras (see [2, 10, 21]). These applications of the Cuntz semigroup motivate the present work.

The focus of this paper is the class of countably generated Hilbert C^* -modules over a commutative C^* -algebra. When the C^* -algebra is commutative, Hilbert C^* -modules may be alternatively described as fields of Hilbert spaces over the spectrum of the algebra [20]. Here, we do not study fields of Hilbert spaces directly, since the Hilbert C^* -module setting relates more naturally to the applications that we have in mind to Hilbert C^* -modules over subhomogeneous C^* -algebras and their inductive limits. Some generality is lost by doing this, since the base space is then restricted to be locally compact and Hausdorff.

The results here address the following questions: when are two given Hilbert C^* -modules isomorphic, and when does one embed in the other? In the context of fields of Hilbert spaces, these questions were considered by Dixmier and Douady [6], and more systematically by Dupré [7–9]. Our approach is based on a representation of a Hilbert C^* -module as a supremum of vector bundles supported on a family of open sets that cover the space. Thus, our results parallel, and rely on, the theory of locally trivial vector bundles (when the dimension of the fibers is constant, the field of Hilbert spaces corresponding to a Hilbert module is in fact a locally trivial vector bundle).

A fundamental result in the theory of vector bundles over a space X of finite dimension states that when the fiber dimension of one bundle is sufficiently smaller than that of another one, the one bundle embeds into the other. In Theorem 3.2, we generalize this to countably generated

Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules: if M and N are countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules, and

$$\dim N|_x \geq \dim M|_x + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

then M embeds into N . Here, $M|_x$ denotes the fibre of M at $x \in X$. This result strengthens [22], where it is shown that M is Cuntz below N (see also [9, Proposition 7] for the case where M has constant dimension and N has infinite-dimensional fibers on an open set). In Corollary 3.4 we show that this result continues to hold for Hilbert C^* -modules over recursive subhomogeneous C^* -algebras.

In order that two given Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules M and N be isomorphic, the dimensions of $M|_x$ and $N|_x$ must agree for all $x \in X$. Furthermore, there must be an isomorphism between the vector bundles arising by restricting M and N to the sets of constant dimension. In Theorem 4.3, we find certain situations in which this is the only obstruction to the modules being isomorphic. This is the case, for example, when X has dimension at most 3. In fact, for spaces of dimension at most 3, the ordered semigroup of isomorphism classes of Hilbert C^* -modules may be described in terms of cohomological data extracted from the module (this result is obtained in [9, Corollary 1] for modules of finite order when X has dimension at most 2). In Example 2, we show that this classification does not extend to spaces of dimension larger than 3. However, for spaces of larger dimension, we show that, if we have an isomorphism between the vector bundles arising by restricting M and N to the sets of constant dimension, then

$$M^{\oplus \lceil \dim X/2 \rceil} \cong N^{\oplus \lceil \dim X/2 \rceil}.$$

In Section 5 we consider the Cuntz comparison of Hilbert C^* -modules and the Cuntz semigroup of $C_0(X)$. Our results on embedding and isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -module readily yield corollaries about the Cuntz comparison and equivalence of Hilbert C^* -modules. We give a description of the Cuntz semigroup of $C_0(X)$, for $\dim X \leq 3$. In Example 1, we resolve an outstanding question from [4] of whether Cuntz comparison is the same as embedding: two Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules are presented, with X of dimension 2, which are Cuntz equivalent, yet such that neither one embeds in the other. A peculiarity in the topological properties of X permits this example.

In the last section we completely determine the group $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ originally considered by Cuntz, where X has finite dimension: the dimension function suffices to determine the class of a Hilbert C^* -module in $K_0^*(C_0(X))$. This demonstrates that the Cuntz semigroup is significantly more interesting than the group K_0^* . We also prove that if the restriction of a countably generated Hilbert C^* -module M to an open set U is the trivial module with infinite-dimensional fibers, that is, $\ell_2(U)$, then $M \cong M \oplus \ell_2(U)$. As a corollary, we show that if $\dim X$ is finite, and the set of points where M has infinite-dimensional fibers is open, then the isomorphism class of M is determined by its restriction to the set where it has finite-dimensional fibers. Conjecturally, this holds even if the set where the fibers are infinite-dimensional is not open (under the hypothesis that $\dim X$ is finite). This conjecture was put forth by Dupré [8, Conjecture 1], and our result is a partial confirmation.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries, particularly the description of Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules in terms of rank-ordered families of projections. In Section 3, we prove the result that when the pointwise dimensions of two Hilbert modules differ sufficiently, then one embeds into the other. The main result of Section 4 is that, for $\dim X \leq 3$, isomorphism and embedding of Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules depends only on the restrictions to their sets of constant dimension. In Section 5, the results of Section 4 are applied to the Cuntz semigroup, ultimately producing a description of $\mathcal{Cu}(C_0(X))$ for $\dim X \leq 3$. Section 6 contains distinct parts. In Subsection 6.1, an example is given of non-isomorphic Hilbert $C(S^4)$ -modules whose restrictions to their sets of constant rank are isomorphic. A computation of $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ for $\dim X < \infty$ is given in Subsection 6.2. Finally, Subsection 6.3 contains the result that if

the restriction of a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module M to an open set U is isomorphic to $\ell_2(U)$, then M is isomorphic to $M \oplus \ell_2(U)$.

2. Preliminary definitions and results

2.1. Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules

A right Hilbert C*-module over a C*-algebra A is a right A -module M , endowed with an A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and such that M is complete with respect to the norm $m \mapsto \|\langle m, m \rangle\|^{1/2}$. The reader is referred to [14] for a more detailed definition of the Hilbert C*-module and for the general theory of these objects. Here we review a few facts about Hilbert C*-modules that will be used throughout the paper. We often refer to Hilbert C*-modules simply as Hilbert modules. In the discussion that follows we assume that the C*-algebra acts on the right of the Hilbert modules (this provision will be not necessary once we specialize to $A = C_0(X)$).

A Hilbert module is said to be countably generated if it contains a countable set $\{m_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ such that the sums $\sum m_i \lambda_i$, with $\lambda_i \in A$, form a dense subset of the module. For a Hilbert module M we denote by $K(M)$ the C*-algebra of compact operators on M . By $\ell_2(A)$ we denote the Hilbert module over A of sequences $(x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, with $x_i \in A$, such that $\sum x_i^* x_i$ is norm convergent in A . It is known that every countably generated Hilbert module is isomorphic to one of the form $\overline{a\ell_2(A)}$, with $a \in K(\ell_2(A))^+$.

For $a \in K(\ell_2(A))^+$ we denote by M_a the Hilbert module $\overline{a\ell_2(A)}$. Let $\text{Her}(a)$ denote the hereditary algebra generated by a in $K(\ell_2(A))$, that is, the algebra $aK(\ell_2(A))a$.

Let $a, b \in K(\ell_2(A))^+$. If $a = s^*s$ and $\text{Her}(ss^*) = \text{Her}(b)$ for some $s \in K(\ell_2(A))$, then the map $\phi_s : M_a \rightarrow M_b$, given by

$$\phi_s(|s|m) := sm$$

for $m \in \ell_2(A)$ (and extended continuously to all of $M_a = M_{|s|}$), is an isomorphism of Hilbert modules. Furthermore, if $\phi : M_a \rightarrow M_b$ is an isomorphism, then $\phi = \phi_s$ for some s as above.

Let us now focus on the case of commutative C*-algebras. Henceforth, unless otherwise stated, X will denote a locally compact Hausdorff space. We shall often speak of the dimension of X , by which we mean the covering dimension. When specializing to the algebra $C_0(X)$, we have $\ell_2(C_0(X)) \cong C_0(X, \ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ and $K(\ell_2(C_0(X))) \cong C_0(X, K(\ell_2(\mathbb{N})))$, where $C_0(X, K(\ell_2(\mathbb{N})))$ acts pointwise on $C_0(X, \ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$. In what follows we make the identifications given by these isomorphisms. We denote the C*-algebra $K(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ simply as \mathcal{K} .

For $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$, let $p : X \rightarrow B(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ be the projection-valued map defined by $p(x) := \chi_{(0, \infty)}(a(x))$ for all $x \in X$, that is, $p(x)$ is the range projection of $a(x)$. We refer to p as the pointwise range projection of a . For $s \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ let $v : X \rightarrow B(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ be such that, for each $x \in X$, $s(x) = v(x)|s(x)|$ is the polar decomposition of $s(x)$. We refer to v as the partial isometry arising from the pointwise polar decomposition of s . Suppose that $a = s^*s$ and set $ss^* = b$. The module M_a and the map $\phi_s : M_a \rightarrow M_b$ defined above can be neatly expressed in terms of p and v as follows.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let a, p, s , and v be as in the previous paragraph. Then*

$$M_a = \{m \in \ell_2(C_0(X)) \mid p(x)m(x) = m(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\phi_s m)(x) = v(x)m(x) \text{ for all } m \in M_a \text{ and } x \in X. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We clearly have the inclusion of M_a in the right-hand side of (2.1). Let $m \in \ell_2(C_0(X))$ be such that $p(x)m(x) = m(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since $a^{1/n}(x) \rightarrow p(x)$ strongly for

every x , we have $\langle a^{1/n}(x)m(x), m(x) \rangle \nearrow \langle m(x), m(x) \rangle$ for every $x \in X$. By Dini's theorem this convergence is uniform on compact subsets of X . We thus have that $(1 - a^{1/n})^{1/2}m \rightarrow 0$ in $\ell_2(C(X))$, and so $(1 - a^{1/n})m \rightarrow 0$ in $\ell_2(C(X))$. Thus $m \in M_a$.

For (2.2), we have $(\phi_s|s|m)(x) = s(x)m(x) = (v|s|)(x)m(x)$ for all $x \in X$. The vectors $|s|m$, with $m \in \ell_2(C_0(X))$, form a dense subset of M_a . Hence, $(\phi_s m)(x) = v(x)m(x)$ for all $m \in M_a$ and $x \in X$. \square

Since M_a and ϕ_s depend only on p and v , we denote them by M_p and ϕ_v when the relation between a and p and the relation between s and v are understood.

Let us denote by $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ the set of pointwise range projections of elements in $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$. Let us denote by $\text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ the set of partial isometries arising from the polar decomposition of an element in $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$. It follows from the lemma and the remarks above that if $p, q \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M_p \subseteq M_q &\iff p \leq q, \\ M_p \cong M_q &\iff p = v^*v, vv^* = q \quad \text{for some } v \in \text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X). \end{aligned}$$

In the latter case we write $p \cong q$. If M_p embeds into M_q , then we write $p \preceq q$.

One can intuitively imagine what is meant by the restriction of a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module to a subset of X , but let us give a formal definition. If $F \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then let $M|_F := M/MC_0(X \setminus F)$, which is a $C_0(F)$ -module ($C_0(F) \cong C_0(X)/C_0(X \setminus F)$ via the restriction map). If $U \subseteq X$ is an open subset, we may let $M|_U := MC_0(U)$. Combining these, if $Y \subseteq X$ is the intersection of a closed subset F and an open subset U , we can see that $(M|_F)|_{U \cap F} = (M|_U)|_{U \cap F}$, and we define $M|_Y$ to be this. For $x \in X$, we will, by abusing notation, allow $M|_x := M|_{\{x\}}$; since $C(\{x\}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, this is simply a Hilbert space, so that $\dim M|_x$ makes sense. Note that if M is countably generated, then so are $M|_F, M|_U$ for F closed and for U open and σ -compact. One can easily check that, for $p \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ and for Y the intersection of a closed and an open subset of X , we have

$$M_p|_Y = M_{p|_Y}.$$

We denote by $\dim M$ the map from X to $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$\dim M(x) := \dim M|_x.$$

If $M = M_p$, and $a \in C_0(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ has pointwise range projection p , then $\dim M(x) = \text{rank } p(x) = \text{rank } a(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

For a function $a : X \rightarrow B(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$, define the sets $R_{=i}(a), R_{\geq i}(a), R_{\leq i}(a)$ in terms of the function $\text{rank } a$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{=i}(a) &:= \{x \in X \mid \text{rank } a(x) = i\}, \\ R_{\geq i}(a) &:= \{x \in X \mid \text{rank } a(x) \geq i\}, \\ R_{\leq i}(a) &:= \{x \in X \mid \text{rank } a(x) \leq i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, for a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module M , we define $R_{=i}(M), R_{\geq i}(M), R_{\leq i}(M)$ in terms of $\dim M$ (so that, for example, $R_{=i}(M_p) = R_{=i}(p)$ for $p \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$).

2.2. Rank-ordered families of projections

Presently, we introduce forms of data that describe pointwise range projections of positive elements and partial isometries from pointwise polar decompositions. These data thus serve to describe countably generated Hilbert modules and the embedding maps between them.

Throughout this paper, the phrase 'a continuous projection on the space X ' will mean a projection in the C^* -algebra $C_b(X, \mathcal{K})$. Similarly, the phrase 'a continuous partial isometry on X ' refers to a partial isometry in $C_b(X, \mathcal{K})$.

DEFINITION 1 (cf. [15]). A rank-ordered family of projections is a family of pairs $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ such that we have the following.

- (i) $X = \bigcup_i A_i$.
- (ii) For each i , $\bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j = \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j^\circ$.
- (iii) For each $i \geq 1$, $\bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j$ is a σ -compact subset of X .
- (iv) For each i , p_i is a continuous projection on A_i with constant rank i .
- (v) For $i \leq j$, $p_i \leq p_j$ on $A_i \cap A_j$.

A rank-ordered family of partial isometries is a family of pairs $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ such that the sets $(A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ satisfy the conditions (i)–(iii) above, and also we have the following.

- (iv) For each i , v_i is a continuous partial isometry with constant rank i .
- (v) For $i \leq j$, $v_j^* v_i = v_i^* v_i$ on $A_i \cap A_j$.

In [15], the name ‘rank-ordered family of projections’ is introduced to describe a similar object to what appears above; however, we caution that the objects are not exactly the same. What appears in [15] is a finite family of pairs $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^n$ for which the sets A_i are required to be open. By using an infinite family, we allow the rank-ordered family of projections to describe range projections with possibly unbounded or even infinite rank. Also, we will often make use of rank-ordered families, where the sets A_i are not open, but rather are relatively closed in $\bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j$.

PROPOSITION 2.2 (cf. [17, Lemma 3.1]). *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space.*

(i) *Given a rank-ordered family of projections $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, a projection in $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ (denoted by $\bigvee p_i$) is defined by the formula*

$$\left(\bigvee p_i\right)(x) := \bigvee_{i|x \in A_i} p_i(x).$$

(If x is only in finitely many sets A_i , then $(\bigvee p_i)(x) = p_i(x)$ for the greatest i for which $x \in A_i$.)

Conversely, if $p \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$, then there exists a rank-ordered family of projections $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ for which $p = \bigvee p_i$.

(ii) *Given a rank-ordered family of partial isometries $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, a partial isometry in $\text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ (denoted by $\bigvee v_i$) is defined by*

$$\left(\bigvee v_i\right)(x) = \lim_{\{i|x \in A_i\}} v_i(x),$$

where the limit is taken in the strong operator topology. (If x is only in finitely many sets A_i , then $(\bigvee v_i)(x) = v_i(x)$ for the greatest i for which $x \in A_i$.)

Conversely, if $v \in \text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$, and $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family of projections such that $v^ v = \bigvee p_i$, then there exists a rank-ordered family of partial isometries $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ for which $v = \bigvee v_i$ and $p_i = v_i^* v_i$ for all i .*

Proof. (i) Let $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ be a rank-ordered family of projections. To see that $\bigvee p_i$ is a projection in $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$, we shall construct a positive element by the formula

$$\sum \lambda_i p_i,$$

where $\lambda_i : X \rightarrow [0, 2^{-i}]$ is a continuous function that is zero outside of A_i and non-zero on $A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j > i} A_j$. Such a sum converges in $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ to an element whose pointwise range projection is exactly $\bigvee p_i$.

To see that the function λ_i exists, we need to exhibit a σ -compact open set U satisfying

$$A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j > i} A_j \subseteq U \subseteq A_i,$$

for then λ_i is given by a strictly positive element of $C_0(U)$. The set $A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j>i} A_j$ is relatively closed within the σ -compact set $\bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j$. By Urysohn's lemma, there exists a function $f : \bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j>i} A_j) = 1$ and $f(\bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j \setminus A_i) = 0$. We may set $U = f^{-1}((\frac{1}{2}, \infty))$. The set U is open since it is relatively open within the open set $\bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j$. It is σ -compact since it is a relatively G_δ subset of the σ -compact set $\bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j$.

Conversely, suppose $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ and $p(x) = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(a(x))$ for all x . At each point $x \in X$, let $\sigma_1(a)(x), \sigma_2(a)(x), \dots$ be the list of eigenvalues of $a(x)$ in non-increasing order (so that $\sigma_i(a) \in C_0(X)$). Then let $A_0 = X$ and

$$A_i = \{x \in X \mid \sigma_i(a)(x) > \sigma_{i+1}(a)(x)\}$$

for $i \geq 1$. Since each function σ_i is continuous and vanishing at ∞ , the sets A_i are open and σ -compact. By the choice of A_i , we may define $p_i(x)$ to be the spectral projection of $a(x)$ onto the i greatest eigenvalues. It is clear that this definition makes p_i continuous. Moreover, since for each $x \in X$, $\sigma_i(x) \searrow 0$, we see that

$$R_{\geq i}(a) = \{x \mid \sigma_i(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j,$$

and from this it is easy to see that $p = \bigvee p_i$.

(ii) We can show that $\bigvee v_i$ is a partial isometry from a pointwise polar decomposition by the same argument used to show that $\bigvee p_i$ is in $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$.

Conversely, for v a partial isometry arising in the polar decomposition $s(x) = v(x)|s(x)|$ of some $s \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$, and $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ a rank-ordered family for the pointwise range projection of s^*s , we can define $v_i(x) = v(x)p_i(x)$, for $x \in A_i$. The resulting family $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family of partial isometries and it is easily verified that $v(x) = \lim_{\{i \mid x \in A_i\}} v_i(x)$ for all $x \in X$. \square

If $p \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ and $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family of projections, such that $p = \bigvee p_i$, then we say that $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family for p . Similarly, if $v \in \text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ and $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is such that $v = \bigvee v_i$, then we say that $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family for v . From the definition of rank-ordered families, if $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family for p , then so is $(p_i, A_i^\circ)_{i=0}^\infty$, and similarly for the rank-ordered families of partial isometries.

REMARK 1. The proof of Proposition 2.2(i) is constructive: given a rank-ordered family $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, it produces a positive element $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$, and given a positive element $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$, it produces a rank-ordered family $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$. Moreover, a close look at the constructions involved reveals that if we begin with a rank-ordered family $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, obtain a positive element a , and then obtain a new rank-ordered family $(q_i, B_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, then the new rank-ordered family will almost coincide with the given one: $B_i \subseteq A_i$ and

$$q_i = p_i|_{B_i}.$$

In addition, in the situation that A_i is σ -compact and open, we may arrange that $B_i = A_i$.

2.3. A few technical lemmas

If a is either a projection in $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ given by a rank-ordered family $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, or a partial isometry in $\text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ given by a rank-ordered family $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, then we have

$$R_{\geq i}(a) = \bigcup_{j\geq i} A_j.$$

Note that if the sets A_i are replaced by smaller sets $A'_i \subseteq A_i$ such that

$$R_{\geq i}(a) = \bigcup_{j \geq i} A'_j \quad \text{for all } i, \tag{2.3}$$

then the resulting rank-ordered family $(p_i|_{A'_i}, A'_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ (or $(v_i|_{A'_i}, A'_i)_{i=0}^\infty$) is still a rank-ordered family for a . The condition (2.3) is equivalent to the following two conditions: A'_i is a neighbourhood of $R_{=i}(a)$ for all i , and

$$R_{=\infty}(a) = \limsup_i A_i \circ := \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j \circ.$$

The observation that we may get a new rank-ordered family by slightly shrinking the sets A_i , combined with the following lemma, allows us to find for the elements of $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ and $\text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ rank-ordered families whose sets A_i are relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}(a)$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $(U_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be an open cover of X such that, for each i , $R_{\geq i} := \bigcup_{j \geq i} U_j$ is σ -compact. Then there exists a cover $(A_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of X such that, for each i , we have the following:*

- (i) $\bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j = \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j \circ = R_{\geq i}$;
- (ii) A_i is relatively closed in $\bigcup_{j \geq i} A_j$.

Proof. Using notation that reflects the intended use of this lemma, we shall set $R_{=i} = R_{\geq i} \setminus R_{\geq i+1}$. We shall find open sets B_i for which the relative closure $A_i := \overline{B_i} \cap R_{\geq i}$ is contained in U_i , and such that the sets satisfy

$$\bigcup_{j \geq i} B_j = R_{\geq i}.$$

CLAIM. *Each set U_j can be covered by open sets $V_\alpha^{(j)}$ that satisfy*

$$R_{=j} \subseteq V_\alpha^{(j)} \subseteq \overline{V_\alpha^{(j)}} \cap R_{\geq j} \subseteq U_j.$$

Proof of Claim. Let $x \in U_i$ be given. Since $R_{\geq i+1}$ is open, $R_{=i}$ is relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}$. Since $R_{\geq i}$ is σ -compact, we may use Urysohn's lemma to obtain a continuous function $f : R_{\geq i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(R_{=i}) = f(x) = 1$ and $f(R_{\geq i} \setminus U_i) = 0$. Set $V = f^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$. It is easy to verify the required inclusions. \square

We create our sets B_i as the finite unions of sets $V_\alpha^{(i)}$ from the claim. Let us see first that we can find such sets B_i that satisfy condition (i) for $i = 1$.

Since $R_{\geq 1}$ is σ -compact, let $(K_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable cover consisting of compact subsets. We see that K_1 is compact, and so it may be covered by finitely many sets of the form $V_\alpha^{(i)}$. This gives us some $n_1 \geq 1$ and sets B_i which are finite unions of the $V_\alpha^{(i)}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n_1$, such that $K_1 \subseteq B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{n_1}$. By requiring B_i to be a non-empty union, we have $R_{=i} \subseteq B_i$ for each i .

Now, $K_2 \setminus (B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{n_1})$ is compact and contained in $R_{\geq n_1+1}$. Thus, it is covered by finitely many sets of the form $V_\alpha^{(i)}$, with $i \geq n_1 + 1$. Again, this allows us to obtain $n_2 \geq n_1$ and sets B_i for $i = n_1 + 1, \dots, n_2$ as above. Continuing on, we eventually cover all the sets K_j , and thus all of $R_{\geq 1}$.

Let us now label the sets $(B_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^\infty$, where the superscript (1) denotes the fact that their union covers $R_{\geq 1}$. We may likewise find a sequence of sets $(B_i^{(k)})_{i=k}^\infty$ such that each $B_i^{(k)}$ is a finite union of sets $V_\alpha^{(i)}$, and the sets cover $R_{\geq k}$. If we now let $B_i = \bigcup_{k=1}^i B_i^{(k)}$, then since the

union is finite, the relative closure in $R_{\geq i}$ is still a subset of U_i , and now

$$R_{\geq k} = \bigcup_{i \geq k} B_i$$

for each k . □

REMARK 2. Let $p \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ and let $(p_i, U_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a rank-ordered family for p . Restricting to the interiors of sets U_i if necessary, we may assume that the sets U_i are open. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we may find sets $A_i \subseteq U_i$ relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}(p)$, and such that $(p_i|_{A_i}, A_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a rank-ordered family for p . Likewise, for every partial isometry $v \in \text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ there exists a rank-ordered family of partial isometries $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ for v such that A_i is relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}(v)$ for all i .

In certain situations, it is desirable to obtain rank-ordered families that are compatible with certain given data. The following two lemmas provide instances where this is possible.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let X be a σ -compact locally compact Hausdorff space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. Let p be a projection in $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$. Let $(p_i, B_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a rank-ordered family of projections, for $p|_Y$. Then there exists a rank-ordered family $(\tilde{p}_i, A_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ for p such that $A_i \cap Y \subseteq B_i$ and $\tilde{p}_i|_{A_i \cap Y} = p_i|_{A_i \cap Y}$ for each i .*

Proof. Let $b \in C_0(Y, \mathcal{K})^+$ be obtained from the rank-ordered family $(q_i, B_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.2(i), so that the pointwise range projection of b is $p|_Y$. Then b is strictly positive in the hereditary subalgebra $\{c \in C_0(Y, \mathcal{K}) \mid c = p|_Y c p|_Y\}$ of $C_0(Y, \mathcal{K})$, and this hereditary subalgebra is the image under the quotient map $C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow C_0(Y, \mathcal{K})$ of the singly generated hereditary subalgebra $\{c \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \mid c = p c p\}$. Thus, b lifts to a strictly positive element a of $\{c \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}) \mid c = p c p\}$, that is, $a|_Y = b$.

Let $(\tilde{p}_i, A_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be the rank-ordered family given from a by Proposition 2.2(i). It is clear that the construction in Proposition 2.2(i) is natural, so that $(\tilde{p}_i|_{A_i \cap Y}, A_i \cap Y)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is the rank-ordered family that would be given from $a|_Y$. Hence, by Remark 1, we see that $A_i \cap Y \subseteq B_i$ and

$$\tilde{p}_i|_{A_i \cap Y} = p_i|_{A_i \cap Y}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let X be a σ -compact locally compact Hausdorff space. Let $(Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a family of closed subsets of X . Suppose that, for every i , we are given a continuous projection $p_i : Y_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$p_i|_{Y_i \cap Y_j} \leq p_j|_{Y_i \cap Y_j}$$

for all i and j . Let q be a projection in $\text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ such that $p_i \leq q|_{Y_i}$ for all i . Then there is a rank-ordered family $(q_i, A_i)_{i=1}^n$ for q , such that $p_i|_{A_i \cap U_j} \leq q_j|_{A_i \cap U_j}$ for all i and j .

Proof. Let $\lambda \in C_0(X)^+$ be strictly positive. Let us show that there is $b \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ with pointwise range projection equal to q and such that, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have $b = \lambda p_i + b_i$, with $b_i \in C_0(Y_i, \mathcal{K})^+$ such that b_i has pointwise range projection $q - p_i$ and $\|b_i(x)\| \leq \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in C_i$.

Let $\tilde{b} \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ have pointwise range projection q and norm at most 1. Let us define b on Y_n by $b = \lambda p_n + b_n$, where $b_n = (1 - p_n)\tilde{b}(1 - p_n)$. Note that on the set $Y_n \cap Y_{n-1}$ the element b admits the decomposition $\lambda p_{n-1} + b_{n-1}$, where $b_{n-1} = \lambda(p_n - p_{n-1}) + b_n$ has

pointwise range projection equal to $q - p_{n-1}$ and satisfies that $\|b_{n-1}(x)\| \leq \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in Y_n \cap Y_{n-1}$. We proceed to define b on the set $Y_n \cup Y_{n-1}$ in the following way: extend b_{n-1} from $Y_n \cap Y_{n-1}$ to Y_{n-1} in such a way that its pointwise range projection is $q - p_{n-1}$ and such that $\|b_{n-1}(x)\| \leq \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in Y_{n-1}$; set $b = \lambda p_{n-1} + b_{n-1}$ on Y_{n-1} . Now note that on the set $(Y_n \cup Y_{n-1}) \cap Y_{n-2}$ the element b admits the decomposition $b = \lambda p_{n-2} + b_{n-2}$, where $b_{n-2} \in C_0((Y_n \cup Y_{n-1}) \cap Y_{n-2}, \mathcal{K})^+$ has pointwise range projection $q - p_{n-2}$ and $\|b_{n-2}(x)\| \leq \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in (Y_n \cup Y_{n-1}) \cap Y_{n-2}$. As before, we extend b_{n-2} to Y_{n-2} such that these properties are preserved and set $b = \lambda p_{n-2} + b_{n-2}$ on Y_{n-2} . This process is continued until b is defined on the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$. We then extend b to an element in $C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ with pointwise range projection q .

It is not hard to check that the rank-ordered family arising from b (by the construction in the proof of Proposition 2.2(i)) has the properties stated in this lemma. \square

PROPOSITION 2.6 (cf. [16, Lemma 2.2]). *Let p be a continuous projection and q be a pointwise range projection, such that $p \leq q$. Then $q - p$ is a pointwise range projection.*

Proof. Let $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ such that $q(x) = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(a(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Denoting by 1 the function $X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$, which is constantly the unit, consider the element

$$b = pap + (1 - p)a(1 - p) \in C(X, \mathcal{K})^+.$$

One easily verifies that $qbq = b$, whence $\chi_{(0, \infty)}(b) \leq q$. On the other hand,

$$a \leq a + (2p - 1)a(2p - 1) = 2(pap + (1 - p)a(1 - p)) = 2b,$$

and so $q = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(a) \leq \chi_{(0, \infty)}(b)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \chi_{(0, \infty)}(b) = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(pap + (1 - p)a(1 - p)) \\ &= \chi_{(0, \infty)}(pap) + \chi_{(0, \infty)}((1 - p)a(1 - p)), \end{aligned}$$

because the elements pap and $(1 - p)a(1 - p)$ are orthogonal. Since $p \leq q$, it is clear that $\chi_{(0, \infty)}(pap) = p$. It follows that

$$q - p = \chi_{(0, \infty)}((1 - p)a(1 - p)),$$

as required. \square

3. The embedding of a Hilbert module into one of sufficiently larger dimension

In this section we extend to countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules the well-known fact that a vector bundle always embeds into another one with dimension at least $(\dim X - 1)/2$ larger than that of the first one. A partial generalization can be found in [9, Proposition 7].

Our proof rests on a repeated application of the result for vector bundles. It is essential, however, that the result for vector bundles be stated in a relativized form, as in [9, Proposition 1] or in [18, Proposition 4.2(1)], in the sense that when given an embedding of the bundles restricted to a closed subset of X , it provides an extension of the embedding. The result that we obtain for Hilbert C*-modules (Theorem 3.2) is again relativized in the same sense. This allows us to extend the result even further to Hilbert A -modules, where A is a recursive subhomogeneous algebra. In doing this, we follow the line of reasoning used by Phillips [18, Theorem 4.5], and by Toms [22, Theorem 4.6], where analogous results are obtained for projections in the case of Phillips, and for the Cuntz comparison of Hilbert modules in the case of Toms (Toms uses the language of positive elements rather than Hilbert modules).

We shall now restate the embedding result for vector bundles, in the language of projections.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X be a finite-dimensional σ -compact Hausdorff space and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. Let $p, q : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be continuous projections such that, for all $x \in X$,*

$$\text{rank } q(x) \geq \text{rank } p(x) + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Let $v : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a continuous partial isometry such that $v^*v = p|_Y$ and $vv^* \leq q|_Y$. Then there exists a continuous partial isometry $\tilde{v} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\tilde{v}|_Y = v$, $\tilde{v}^*\tilde{v} = p$, and $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \leq q$.*

Proof. In [18, Proposition 4.2(1)], this lemma appears under the hypotheses that X is compact and the projections p and q belong to a matrix algebra over $C(X)$. Let us explain how to reduce the current version of the lemma to [18, Proposition 4.2(1)].

Suppose first that X is compact. Using that any projection in $C(X, \mathcal{K})$ is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to a projection in $C(X, M_n)$ for some n , the lemma is easily reduced to the case when $p, q \in C(X, M_n)$ for some n . This is then [18, Proposition 4.2(1)].

Let us consider now the case when X is σ -compact. Let $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of compact subsets of X , such that $X = \bigcup X_n$. For simplicity, allow $X_1 \subseteq Y$. We define \tilde{v} on successively larger domains $Y \cup X_1, Y \cup X_2, \dots$. In this manner, $\tilde{v}(x)$ is eventually defined for each $x \in X$.

On $Y \cup X_1 = Y$, we must set $\tilde{v} = v$. Having defined \tilde{v} on $Y \cup X_i$, we apply the case of the lemma established previously, where the total space X was compact, to extend $\tilde{v}|_{(X_i \cup Y) \cap X_{i+1}}$ to a continuous partial isometry on X_{i+1} . We have thus defined \tilde{v} on $X_{i+1} \cup Y$. Since both X_{i+1} and Y are closed, and \tilde{v} is continuous when restricted to either of them, we see that \tilde{v} is continuous on their union, so that the induction is complete. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X be a finite-dimensional locally compact Hausdorff space and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. Let M and N be countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules such that, for all $x \in X \setminus Y$,*

$$\dim N|_x \geq \dim M|_x + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2},$$

where $\infty \geq \infty$ is allowed. Let $\phi : M|_Y \rightarrow N|_Y$ be an embedding of Hilbert $C_0(Y)$ -modules. Then there exists $\tilde{\phi} : M \rightarrow N$, an embedding of Hilbert modules, such that $\tilde{\phi}|_Y = \phi$.

Proof. We may assume that $M = M_p$ and $N = M_q$ for some projections $p, q \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$. Then $\phi = \phi_v$, for some $v \in \text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(Y)$. In terms of p, q , and v , we must show that if $\text{rank } p + (\dim X - 1)/2 \leq \text{rank } q$, and $p|_Y = v^*v$, $vv^* \leq q$, then there is $\tilde{v} \in \text{PIPD}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$ such that $\tilde{v}|_Y = v$, $p = \tilde{v}^*\tilde{v}$, and $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \leq q$. We will first prove this under the additional assumption that the projections p and q have finite constant rank; then we shall drop these assumptions, first on q and then on p .

Case 1. Let us assume that p and q each have finite constant rank. The result is trivial unless the rank of q is at least 1 (of course, unless $\dim X \leq 1$, this is forced by the rank comparison condition). In this case X must be σ -compact, and so this case follows from Lemma 3.1.

Case 2. Next, let us prove the result under the assumption that p has finite constant rank, but allowing q to be an arbitrary pointwise range projection. Since $vv^* \leq q|_Y$, Proposition 2.6 says that $q|_Y - vv^*$ is a pointwise range projection and thus has a rank-ordered family $(r_i, B_i)_{i=0}^\infty$. By adding these projections to vv^* , we obtain a rank-ordered family of projections $(q_i, B_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ for $q|_Y$, such that $q_i \geq vv^*$ for each i . Moreover, by applying Lemma 2.4, we may extend the q_i ; the result is a rank-ordered family of projections $(q_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ for q , with the property that

$$vv^*|_{A_i \cap Y} \leq q_i|_{A_i \cap Y}$$

for each i . Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, we may assume (by possibly shrinking the sets A_i) that A_i is relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}(q)$ for each i .

We define \tilde{v} on successively larger domains, beginning with Y (where \tilde{v} is equal to v), and adding on sets A_i with i in the increasing order. We require that $\tilde{v}^*\tilde{v} = p$, and on the set A_i that $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \leq q_i$. We only need to use $i \geq \text{rank } p + \lceil (\dim X - 1)/2 \rceil =: i_0$, since $X \setminus Y$ is covered by the sets A_i with such i .

Having defined \tilde{v} on $Y' = Y \cup A_{i_0} \cup \dots \cup A_{i-1}$, let us extend the definition to include the set A_i . Note that $Y' \cap A_i$ is relatively closed in A_i . Let us check that $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^*|_{Y' \cap A_i} \leq q_i$. On $Y \cap A_i$, we have $\tilde{v} = v$, so that $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \leq q_i$. On $A_j \cap A_i$ ($j = i_0, \dots, i-1$), we have $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \leq q_j \leq q_i$. Thus, by applying the result we proved in case (1), we may continuously extend \tilde{v} to A_i , such that $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^*|_{A_i} \leq p|_{A_i}$ and $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^*|_{A_i} \leq q_i$.

By completing the induction, we obtain \tilde{v} defined on all of X and satisfying the conclusion.

Case 3. Finally, let us also remove the assumption that the rank of p is constant, and prove the lemma in full generality. Let us take a rank-ordered family of projections $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ for p , such that each set A_i is relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}(p)$. For simplicity, let us assume that $A_0 = X$. By Proposition 2.2(ii), we have a rank-ordered family $(v_i, A_i \cap Y)_{i=0}^\infty$ for v , such that $v_i^*v_i = p_i|_{A_i \cap Y}$ for each i .

To obtain v satisfying the conclusion, we shall obtain a rank-ordered family $(\tilde{v}_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ which satisfy the following:

- (i) $\tilde{v}_i|_{A_i \cap Y} = v_i$;
- (ii) $\tilde{v}_i^*\tilde{v}_i = p_i$;
- (iii) $\tilde{v}_i\tilde{v}_i^* \leq q|_{A_i}$.

Also, implicit in the requirement that $(\tilde{v}_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ be a rank-ordered family is the condition that $\tilde{v}_j|_{A_i \cap A_j}$ is compatible with $\tilde{v}_i|_{A_i \cap A_j}$ for $i \leq j$ (as in Definition 1(v)). We obtain the \tilde{v}_i in an increasing order of i .

Set $\tilde{v}_0 = 0$. Having defined $\tilde{v}_0, \dots, \tilde{v}_{i-1}$, we will proceed to define \tilde{v}_i . Our method will once again be to define \tilde{v}_i on successively larger domains, beginning with $A_i \cap Y$ (where \tilde{v}_i is equal to v_i), then adding sets $A_i \cap A_j$ as j decreases. When we have added all such sets, we will have defined \tilde{v}_i on all of A_i (since $A_0 = X$).

Having defined \tilde{v}_i on $Y' = A_i \cap (Y \cup A_{i-1} \cup \dots \cup A_{j+1})$, let us now define it on $A_i \cap A_j$. Note that Y' is relatively closed in A_i , so that $Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)$ is relatively closed in $A_i \cap A_j$. We can easily see that \tilde{v}_i is compatible with \tilde{v}_j on $Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)$, so that $\tilde{v}_i - \tilde{v}_j$ is a partial isometry defined on $Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)$, taking the constant-rank projection $(p_i - p_j)|_{Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)}$ to a subprojection of $(q - \tilde{v}_j\tilde{v}_j^*)|_{Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)}$. Since Y' contains $A_i \cap Y$, we have, for all $x \in (A_i \cap A_j) \setminus Y'$, that

$$\text{rank}(q - \tilde{v}_j\tilde{v}_j^*)(x) \geq \text{rank}(p_i - p_j) + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2}.$$

Hence, we may apply the result proven in case (2) to obtain a continuous partial isometry w defined on $A_i \cap A_j$ which takes $p_i - p_j$ to a subprojection of $q - \tilde{v}_j\tilde{v}_j^*$, and which agrees on $Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)$ with $\tilde{v}_i - \tilde{v}_j$. Thus, if we let $\tilde{v}_i|_{A_i \cap A_j} = \tilde{v}_j + w$, then this definition agrees on $Y' \cap (A_i \cap A_j)$ with the previous one and satisfies the requirements stated above.

Therefore, \tilde{v}_i may be defined on all of A_i in a manner compatible with \tilde{v}_j for $j \leq i$ (that is, so that Definition 1(v) holds). Upon defining \tilde{v}_i for all i , we obtain the partial isometry \tilde{v} by Proposition 2.2(i), as required. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let X be a finite-dimensional locally compact Hausdorff space and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. Let $a, b \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ be such that, for all $x \in X \setminus Y$,*

$$\text{rank } b(x) \geq \text{rank } a(x) + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2},$$

where $\infty \geq \infty$ is allowed. Let $s \in C_0(Y, \mathcal{K})$ such that $s^*s = a|_Y$ and $ss^* \in \text{Her}(b|_Y)$. Then there exists $\tilde{s} \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})$ such that $\tilde{s}|_Y = s$, $\tilde{s}^*\tilde{s} = a$, and $\tilde{s}\tilde{s}^* \in \text{Her}(b)$.

Proof. Let $p = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(a)$ and $q = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(b)$, and let $s = v|s|$ be the polar decomposition of s . Applying Theorem 3.2 to these, we obtain \tilde{v} such that $\tilde{v}|_Y = v$, $\tilde{v}^*\tilde{v} = p$, and $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \leq q$. Then the conclusion holds by setting $\tilde{s} = va^{1/2}$. \square

The direct application of Theorem 3.2 to Hilbert modules over commutative C^* -algebras is using the situation that Y is empty: giving an automatic embedding of Hilbert modules if there is sufficient difference in their dimensions. The full force of Theorem 3.2 is used, however, to show the generalization of this result to C^* -algebras with a recursive subhomogeneous decomposition by spaces of finite topological dimension. By [18, Theorem 2.16], in the separable case, these are the C^* -algebras for which there is a finite upper bound on the dimensions of irreducible representations and for which, for each n , the space of irreducible representations of dimension n is finite-dimensional.

Let M be a countably generated Hilbert module over a C^* -algebra R . Let $\pi : R \rightarrow M_n$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of R . We may consider the push forward $\pi^*(M) := M \otimes_\pi M_n$ of M by π . This is a countably generated Hilbert module over M_n . As such, it is isomorphic to a module of the form

$$\overline{a\ell^2(M_n)},$$

for some $a \in (\mathcal{K} \otimes M_n)^+ \cong \mathcal{K}^+$. As a vector space over \mathbb{C} , such a module has dimension $n \cdot (\text{rank } a)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote by $\text{Prim}_n(R)$ the space of primitive ideals of R corresponding to irreducible representations of dimension n . By $\text{Prim}(R)$, we denote the space of all primitive ideals of R .

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let R be a separable unital C^* -algebra. Suppose that there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all irreducible representations of R have dimension at most n_0 and that $\text{Prim}(R)$ is finite-dimensional. Let M and N be countably generated Hilbert R -modules. Suppose that, for every n and every irreducible representation π of R of dimension n , we have*

$$\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \pi^*(N)}{n} \geq \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \pi^*(M)}{n} + \frac{\dim \text{Prim}_n(R) - 1}{2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\infty \geq \infty$ is allowed. Then M embeds into N .

Proof. By [18, Theorem 2.16], R has a recursive subhomogeneous decomposition by spaces of finite dimension. We defer the definition of recursive subhomogeneous decomposition to [18, Definition 1.1], and we use the notation given in Definition 1.2 there.

Taking $M \cong M_a$ and $N \cong M_b$ for $a, b \in (\mathcal{K} \otimes R)^+$, it must be shown that there exists $s \in \mathcal{K} \otimes R$ such that

$$a = s^*s \quad \text{and} \quad ss^* \in \text{Her}(b).$$

This can be done by induction on the length l of the decomposition of R . Note that the condition (3.2) translates into

$$\text{rank } \sigma(b)(x) \geq \text{rank } \sigma(a)(x) + \frac{\dim X_i - 1}{2}.$$

If $\ell = 0$, then $R = M_n \otimes C(X)$ for some X , and so the result follows directly from Corollary 3.3 with $Y = \emptyset$. For $\ell \geq 1$, R is given by the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \rightarrow & C_\ell = M_{n(\ell)} \otimes C(X_\ell) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \mapsto f|_{X_\ell^{(0)}} \\ R^{(\ell-1)} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & C_\ell^{(0)} = M_{n(\ell)} \otimes C(X_\ell^{(0)}), \end{array}$$

for some unital clutching map ρ . Set $a', b' \in \mathcal{K} \otimes R^{(\ell-1)}$ to be the images of a, b in the stabilization of the $(\ell - 1)$ -stage algebra. By induction, there exists $s' \in \mathcal{K} \otimes R^{(\ell-1)}$ such that

$$a' = s'^* s' \quad \text{and} \quad s' s'^* \in \text{Her}(b').$$

Now, set a'', b'' to be the images, respectively, of a, b in $\mathcal{K} \otimes C_\ell$. We have

$$\rho(s')^* \rho(s') = \rho(a') = a''|_{X_\ell^{(0)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(s') \rho(s')^* \in \text{Her}(\rho(b')) = \text{Her}(b''|_{X_\ell^{(0)}}).$$

By Corollary 3.3, we can extend $\rho(s')$ to an element $s'' \in \mathcal{K} \otimes C_\ell$, such that

$$s''^* s'' = a'' \quad \text{and} \quad s'' s''^* \in \text{Her}(b'').$$

Thus, we obtain $s := (s', s'') \in R$ satisfying $a = s^* s$ and $s s^* \in \text{Her}(b)$, as required. □

A Hilbert A -module M is said to be finitely generated if there is a finite subset of M whose A -span is dense in M .

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let R be a separable unital C*-algebra. Suppose that there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all irreducible representations of R have dimension at most n_0 and that $\text{Prim}(R)$ is finite-dimensional. For a countably generated Hilbert R -module M , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is finitely generated;
- (ii) there is a uniform finite bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \pi^*(M)$ over all $\pi \in \text{Prim}_n(R)$, over all $n \leq n_0$;
- (iii) M is isomorphic to a Hilbert submodule of R^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) If M is finitely generated, then $\pi^*(M)$ is finitely generated (with the same number of generators) over M_n . Thus, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \pi^*(M)$ is uniformly bounded over all π .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let k be an upper bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \pi^*(M)$ over all $\pi \in \text{Prim}_n(R)$, over all $n \leq n_0$. Let d be an upper bound on $n \cdot (\dim \text{Prim}_n(R) - 1)/2$ over all n . Then M embeds into R^{k+d} by Corollary 3.4.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) This is known to hold for the countably generated Hilbert A -modules over any C*-algebra A ; let us review the argument. If M is countably generated, then $K(M)$ is σ -unital, so that it has a strictly positive element T . Since $M \subseteq R^n$, we have that $K(M) \subseteq M_n \otimes R$. M is generated by the columns of T . □

4. Large gaps and $\dim X \leq 3$

The results of this section apply to two classes of Hilbert modules: modules over a space of dimension at most 3 and modules with large gaps in their dimension function, where large gaps means gaps of at least $\dim X/2$, as defined below.

Let $\text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$ denote the functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $f^{-1}((n, \infty])$ is open and σ -compact for all $n \geq 0$. Note that if M is a countably generated Hilbert module, then $M \cong M_a$ for some $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$, and so $\dim M = \text{rank } a \in \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$.

DEFINITION 2. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$. Let us say that f has gaps of at least c if $f(x) \neq f(y) \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \geq c$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Here is a restatement of Phillips's [18, Proposition 4.2(2)]. As for our restatement of [18, Proposition 4.2(1)] (Lemma 3.1), we have made some modifications to the original statement: the space X is assumed to be σ -compact instead of compact, and the projections are taken in $C_b(X, \mathcal{K})$ instead of in $M_n(C(X))$.

LEMMA 4.1 [18, Proposition 4.2 (2)]. *Let X be a σ -compact locally compact Hausdorff space. Let Y be a closed subset of X . Let p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 be continuous projections on X , and s and w be continuous partial isometries. Assume that $p_i \perp q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and that $q_1 = s^*s$, $q_2 = ss^*$, $p_1 + q_1 = w^*w$, and $p_2 + q_2 = ww^*$.*

*Further, let v be a continuous partial isometry defined on Y such that $p_1 = v^*v$ and $p_2 = vv^*$ on Y , and let $t \mapsto w_t$ be a continuous path of partial isometries on Y such that $w_t^*w_t = p_1 + q_1$, $w_t w_t^* = p_2 + q_2$, $w_0 = w$, and $w_1 = v + s$. Then there is a continuous partial isometry \tilde{v} on X such that $\tilde{v}^*\tilde{v} = p_1$, $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* = p_2$, and $\tilde{v}|_Y = v$, and a continuous path $t \mapsto \tilde{w}_t$ of partial isometries on X such that $\tilde{w}_t^*\tilde{w}_t = p_1 + q_1$, $\tilde{w}_t\tilde{w}_t^* = p_2 + q_2$, $\tilde{w}_0 = w$, $\tilde{w}_1 = \tilde{v} + s$, and $\tilde{w}_t|_Y = w_t$.*

For spaces of dimension 3, in order for $\text{rank } p_i \geq \dim X/2$ to hold, rank one projections are excluded. In the next lemma, we replace the homotopy condition in the previous lemma by a determinant-related condition, and by doing so, remove the restriction on the rank of the projection.

For a partial isometry $u \in C_b(X, \mathcal{K})$ such that $u^*u = uu^*$ (that is, u is a unitary of the hereditary subalgebra generated by u^*u), let us define $\det(u) : X \mapsto \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\det(u)(x) := \det(u(x) + 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)} - (u^*u)(x)).$$

Note that the determinant on the right-hand side is well defined since $u(x) - (u^*u)(x)$ has finite rank for all $x \in X$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let X be a σ -compact locally compact Hausdorff space such that $\dim X \leq 3$. Let Y be a closed subset of X . Let p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 be continuous projections on X , and s and w be continuous partial isometries. Assume that $p_i \perp q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and that $q_1 = s^*s$, $q_2 = ss^*$, $p_1 + q_1 = w^*w$, and $p_2 + q_2 = ww^*$.*

*Further, let v be a continuous partial isometry on Y such that $p_1 = v^*v$, $p_2 = vv^*$, and $\det(w^*(v + s)) = 1$ on Y . Then there is a continuous partial isometry \tilde{v} on X such that $p_1 = \tilde{v}^*\tilde{v}$, $p_2 = \tilde{v}\tilde{v}^*$, $\tilde{v}|_Y = v$, and $\det(w^*(\tilde{v} + s)) = 1$ on X .*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\text{rank } p_1 \geq 1$ and $p_1 + q_1 = p_2 + q_2 = w$. Note then that $v + s$ is a unitary of $\text{Her}((p_1 + q_1)|_Y)$ and that $\det(v + s) = 1$ on Y .

Let X_0 denote the closed and open subset of X , where $\text{rank } p_1 = 1$. Let r_1 be a projection of constant rank 1 such that $r_1 = p_1$ on X_0 and on $X \setminus X_0$, r_1 is any rank 1 subprojection of p_1 (the existence of which is guaranteed by Lemma 3.1). Let us write $p_1 = p'_1 + r_1$.

Since $\text{rank}(vp'_1) < \text{rank } p_2$ on Y , we have by Lemma 3.1 that vp'_1 extends to a partial isometry v' on X such that $(v')^*v' = p'_1$ and $v'(v')^* \leq p_2$. By the cancellation of projections on a space of dimension at most 3, there is a partial isometry w_2 on X such that $r_1 = w_2^*w_2$ and $w_2 w_2^* = p_2 - v'(v')^*$. Note then that $v' + w_2 + s$ is a unitary of $\text{Her}(p_1 + p_2)$ defined on X . By multiplying w_2 by a scalar function, we may assume that $\det(v' + w_2 + s)(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$.

On the set Y the unitary $(v + s)^*(v' + w_2 + s)$ has the form $(p_1 + p_2 - r_1) + u'$, where u' is a unitary in $\text{Her}(r_1)$ such that $\det(u') = 1$. Since $\text{rank } r_1 = 1$, we have that $u' = \det(u')r_1 = r_1$. Hence, $v + s = v' + w_2 + s$ on Y , and so $v' + w_2 = v$ on Y . Setting $\tilde{v} = v' + w_2$, we get the desired partial isometry on X . \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Let M and N be countably generated Hilbert modules over a finite-dimensional space X . Suppose that $\dim X \leq 3$ or that both $\dim M$ and $\dim N$ have gaps of at least $\dim X/2$. Then we have the following conditions.*

- (i) *If $\dim M \leq \dim N$ and $M|_{R_{=i}(M) \cap R_{=j}(N)} \hookrightarrow N|_{R_{=i}(M) \cap R_{=j}(N)}$ for all i, j , then $M \hookrightarrow N$.*
- (ii) *If $\dim M = \dim N$ and $M|_{R_{=i}(M)} \cong N|_{R_{=i}(M)}$ for all i , then $M \cong N$.*

Proof. Let $M = M_p$ and $N = M_q$ for some projections $p, q \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(X)$. In terms of these projections, we need to prove in part (i) that $p \preceq q$ and in part (ii) that $p \cong q$.

(i) Let us first consider the case where $\text{rank } p$ has gaps of at least $\dim X/2$. By Remark 2, let $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ be a rank-ordered family for p , where A_i is relatively closed in $R_{\geq i}(p)$ for all i . Once again, we assume that $A_0 = X$.

CLAIM. *We may shrink the sets A_i such that $(p_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is still a rank-ordered family for p with A_i relatively closed inside $R_{\geq i}$, and in addition, for $i < j < i + \dim X/2$,*

$$A_i \cap R_{=j} = \emptyset.$$

Proof of Claim. We use B_i to denote the subset of A_i that will replace A_i . The indices i may be divided into two groups: the ones for which $R_{=i} \neq \emptyset$, in which case $R_{=j} = \emptyset$ for $i < j < i + \dim X/2$, and the ones for which $R_{=i} = \emptyset$, in which case the purpose of A_i is to satisfy

$$\limsup A_i = R_{=\infty}.$$

For i in the former group, we allow $B_i = A_i$, while for i in the latter group, we need to arrange that B_i is contained in $R_{\geq i + \dim X/2}$. This will be achieved by using the same idea as the proof of Lemma 2.3.

For i with $R_{=i} = \emptyset$, we can find a family $(C_\alpha^{(i)})$ of closed (in fact compact) subsets of $R_{\geq i + \dim X/2} \cap A_i$ whose interiors cover $R_{\geq i + \dim X/2} \cap A_i^\circ$. This family forms the eligible sets for B_i , which is to say that it suffices if we obtain B_i as a finite union of the $C_\alpha^{(i)}$. For i with $R_{=i} \neq \emptyset$, since we want $B_i = A_i$, we set the family $(C_\alpha^{(i)})$ of eligible sets to contain A_i only.

We have chosen the families $(C_\alpha^{(i)})$ such that, for each i ,

$$R_{\geq i} = \bigcup_{j \geq i} \bigcup_{\alpha} C_\alpha^{(j)}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we may find sets B_i that are finite unions of the $C_\alpha^{(i)}$, such that $R_{\geq i} = \bigcup_{j \geq i} B_j^\circ$, as required. \square

We construct by induction a rank-ordered family of partial isometries $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ such that $p_i = v_i^* v_i$ and $v_i v_i^* \leq q$ for all i .

We set $v_0 = 0$. Let us assume by induction that we have a rank-ordered family $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$ with the desired properties. Let us set $v_i v_i^* = p'_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. We construct v_k in two steps.

Step 1. Let us take m to be the least integer greater than or equal to k for which $R_{=m} \neq \emptyset$. We first define v_k on the set $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q)$. On this set we have that $p \preceq q$ by hypothesis. Let w_k be a continuous partial isometry on $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q)$ such that $p = w_k^* w_k$ and $q \geq w_k w_k^*$.

Let ℓ be the greatest integer less than k for which $R_{=k}(p) \cap A_\ell \neq \emptyset$. By the claim, we have $k - \ell \geq \dim X/2$, so that $p_\ell \cong p'_\ell$ and

$$\text{rank}(p - p_\ell) \geq \frac{\dim X}{2}$$

on the set $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q) \cap A_\ell$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, applied with Y empty, there is a partial isometry \tilde{v}_ℓ taking $p - p_\ell$ to $w_k w_k^* - p'_\ell$ on $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q) \cap A_\ell$, and such that $v_k := v_\ell + \tilde{v}_\ell$ is homotopic to w_k on $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q) \cap A_\ell$.

We now proceed to extend v_k to $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q) \cap A_{\ell-1}$ in such a way that it is compatible with $v_{\ell-1}$ and is homotopic to w_k on that set. Such an extension is possible by Lemma 4.1. We continue in this way defining v_k on the sets $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q) \cap (\bigcup_{j=i}^\ell A_j)$ for $i = \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, 0$. Since $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q) \subseteq A_0 = X$, this process results in v_k being defined on $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q)$ and such that it is compatible with the partial isometries $(v_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$ and is homotopic to w_k .

Step 2. We now look to extend v_k to all of A_k . Consider the set $A_k \cap A_{k-1}$. The partial isometry $v_k - v_{k-1}$ is defined on $A_{k-1} \cap A_k \cap R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q)$ and implements the equivalence between $p_k - p_{k-1}$ and $q - p'_{k-1}$ on this set. On the other hand, let us check that

$$\text{rank}(p_k - p_{k-1}) + (\dim X - 1)/2 \leq \text{rank}(q - p_{k-1})$$

on $(A_{k-1} \cap A_k) \setminus (R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=m}(q))$. Certainly, if $x \notin R_{=k}(p)$, then $\text{rank } q(x) \geq \text{rank } p(x) \geq k + \dim X/2$. On the other hand, if $x \notin R_{=m}(q)$, then $\text{rank } q(x) \geq m + \dim X/2$.

Thus, by Theorem 3.2, $v_k - v_{k-1}$ extends to a partial isometry w on $A_{k-1} \cap A_k$ such that $p_k - p_{k-1} = w^* w$ and $ww^* \leq q - p_k$ on this set. We set $v_k = v_{k-1} + w$ on $A_{k-1} \cap A_k$. We continue extending v_k to $A_{k-2} \cap A_k$, and so on. Since $A_0 \cap A_k = A_k$, by this process we obtain v_k defined on A_k and with the desired properties. This completes the induction step.

The proof of part (i) in the case that $\dim X \leq 3$ runs along similar lines as the above proof, but using Lemma 4.2 in place of Lemma 4.1. Let us assume by induction that the rank-ordered family $(v_i, A_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$ has already been defined and seek to define v_k . Only step 1 of the above proof requires some modifications.

Step 1: case $\dim X \leq 3$. The partial isometry w_k is chosen, as before, implementing the equivalence between p and q on $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=k}(q)$. We have $\text{rank } p - p_{k-1} \geq 1$ on $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=k}(q) \cap A_{k-1}$, which is sufficient for the application of Lemma 4.2. This ensures the existence of \tilde{v}_{k-1} implementing the equivalence of $p - p_{k-1}$ with $q - p'_{k-1}$. We set $v_k = v_{k-1} + \tilde{v}_{k-1}$. Moreover, by multiplying \tilde{v}_{k-1} by a scalar function, we may assume that $w_k^* v_k$ is a unitary (in the hereditary algebra generated by p restricted to the set $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=k}(q) \cap A_{k-1}$) of determinant 1. We continue extending v_k to the sets $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=k}(q) \cap A_{k-2}$, $R_{=k}(p) \cap R_{=k}(q) \cap A_{k-3}$, and so on, in such a way that it is compatible with the partial isometries v_{k-2} , v_{k-3} , and so on, and such that $\det(w_k^* v_k) = 1$. That these extensions are possible is guaranteed by Lemma 4.2.

(ii) The proof of this part applies equally well to the two cases covered by the theorem: gaps of at least $\dim X/2$ in $\text{rank } p$ and $\dim X \leq 3$.

Let $(p_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)})_{i=0}^\infty$ be a rank-ordered family for p . By part (i), we have a rank-ordered family of partial isometries $(v_i, A_i^{(1)})_{i=0}^\infty$ such that $p_i^{(1)} = v_i^* v_i$ and $v_i v_i^* \leq q$. Set $v_i v_i^* = \tilde{p}_i^{(1)}$. Choose $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large (how large will be specified later). By Lemma 2.5, there is a rank-ordered family $(q_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)})_{i=n_1+1}^\infty$ for the restriction of q to $R_{\geq n_1+1}(q)$, such that $(\tilde{p}_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)})_{i=0}^{n_1} \cup (q_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)})_{i=n_1+1}^\infty$ is a rank-ordered family for q . Choose $n_2 > n_1$ large enough (how large will be specified later). By the proof of part (i), there are partial isometries $(w_i, B_i^{(1)})_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2}$ such that $(v_i^*, A_i^{(1)})_{i=0}^{n_1} \cup (w_i, B_i^{(1)})_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2}$ is a compatible family of partial isometries from q to p . In this way, we continue to build an intertwining between rank-ordered families of projections for p and q . On the one side of p the rank-ordered family of projections has the form

$$(p_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)})_{i=0}^{n_1} \cup (\tilde{q}_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)})_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \cup (p_i^{(2)}, A_i^{(2)})_{i=n_2+1}^{n_3} \dots$$

and for q we have

$$(\tilde{p}_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)})_{i=0}^{n_1} \cup (q_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)})_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \cup (\tilde{p}_i^{(2)}, A_i^{(2)})_{i=n_2+1}^{n_3} \cdots$$

Let r_1 be the pointwise range projection below p arising from the rank-ordered family $(p_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)\circ})_{i=0}^{n_1}$. Let r_2 be the pointwise range projection above r_1 and below p arising from the rank-ordered family $(p_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(1)\circ})_{i=0}^{n_1} \cup (q_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)\circ})_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2}$. Continue in this manner to define an increasing sequence of pointwise range projections $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq p$.

Similarly define pointwise range projections s_i below q . The rank-ordered families of partial isometries constructed above give rise to an intertwining diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_{r_1} & \subseteq & M_{r_2} & \subseteq & M_{r_3} & \subseteq & \cdots \subseteq M_p \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \\ M_{s_1} & \subseteq & M_{s_2} & \subseteq & M_{s_3} & \subseteq & \cdots \subseteq M_q, \end{array}$$

where the arrows indicate Hilbert C*-module embeddings. The indices n_1, n_2, \dots are chosen such that the union of the submodules M_{r_i} , with $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is dense in M_p , and the union of the submodules M_{s_i} , with $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is dense in M_q . To do this, when choosing n_i , we can arrange that $R_{\geq k}(r_i)$ (or $R_{\geq k}(s_i)$) covers a given compact subset of $R_{\geq k}(p)$ for each $k \leq i$. By σ -compactness of the sets $R_{\geq k}$, the compact sets being covered may be chosen such that $\bigcup R_{\geq k}(r_i) = R_{\geq k}(p)$ for each k . In this way, the intertwining diagram above induces an isomorphism between the Hilbert modules M_p and M_q . \square

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let M and N be countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules such that $\dim M = \dim N$ and $M|_{R_{=i}(M)} \cong N|_{R_{=i}(M)}$ for all i . Then*

$$M^{\oplus[\dim X/2]} \cong N^{\oplus[\dim X/2]} \cong M \oplus N^{\oplus[\dim X/2]-1}.$$

Proof. The modules $M^{\oplus[\dim X/2]}$, $N^{\oplus[\dim X/2]}$, and $M \oplus N^{\oplus[\dim X/2]-1}$ all have dimension functions with gaps of at least $\dim X/2$ and their restrictions to the sets of constant dimension are isomorphic. They are thus isomorphic by Theorem 4.3(ii). \square

For the Hilbert modules in Theorem 4.3, it was shown that the isomorphism class depends only on the data given as the isomorphism classes of the restrictions to the sets of constant rank. It is natural to ask what data of this form can be attained. We answer this question in Proposition 4.6. The following technical tool will be needed in the proof of that proposition.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let X be a σ -compact locally compact Hausdorff space with finite covering dimension. Suppose that we are given, for each $i = 0, \dots, n$, a continuous rank i projection p_i on a closed set F_i , such that the sets F_i cover X and the projections p_i satisfy the compatibility condition $p_i \leq p_j$ on $F_i \cap F_j$ (this is the compatibility condition (v) required for a rank-ordered family of projections; the difference here is that the sets F_i are closed). Suppose that we are also given a continuous constant-rank projection q on X such that*

$$\text{rank } q(x) \geq \text{rank } \bigvee_{i|x \in F_i} p_i(x) + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2}. \tag{4.1}$$

*Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset and let v be a continuous partial isometry on Y such that $v^*v = q|_Y$, $vv^* \geq (\bigvee_i p_i)|_Y$. Then there exists a continuous partial isometry \tilde{v} on X such that $\tilde{v}|_Y = v$, $\tilde{v}^*\tilde{v} = q$, and $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^* \geq \bigvee_i p_i$.*

Proof. We define \tilde{v} on successively larger domains, by beginning with Y (where it must coincide with v), and adding on sets F_n, \dots, F_0 . Extending the definition of \tilde{v} to add the set F_i can be done by applying the special case of the lemma where $F_n = X$; thus, let us simply prove this case.

We are given v such that $v^*v = q|_Y$ and $vv^* \geq p_n|_Y$; that is, $v^*p_nv = p_n|_Y$ and $p_nv v^*p_n \leq q|_Y$. Hence, by applying Lemma 3.1, we may extend p_nv to a continuous partial isometry w_1 on X such that $w_1^*w_1 = p_n$ and $w_1w_1^* \leq q$.

We then have $v^*(1 - p_n)v = (q - w_1w_1^*)|_Y$ and $(1 - p_n)vv^*(1 - p_n) \perp p_n|_Y$. Thus by applying Lemma 3.1 again, we may extend $(1 - p_n)v$ to a continuous partial isometry w_2 on X such that $w_2^*w_2 = q - w_1w_1^*$ and $w_2w_2^* \perp p_n$. Finally, set $\tilde{v} = w_1 + w_2$. \square

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Suppose that we are given a lower semicontinuous function $r \in \text{Lsc}_\sigma(X, \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$, and for each $i < \infty$ a Hilbert module M_i on $R_{=i} := r^{-1}(\{i\})$, of constant dimension i . Assume that r has gaps of at least $(\dim X - 1)/2$ (this automatically holds if $\dim X \leq 3$). Then there exists a countably generated Hilbert module M on X such that $\dim M = r$ and $M|_{R_{=i}} \cong M_i$ for each i .*

Proof. Let us only work with n_i such that $R_{n_i} \neq \emptyset$, so that

$$n_{i+1} \geq n_i + \frac{\dim X - 1}{2}.$$

For every such n_i , there is an open set U_{n_i} such that $R_{=n_i} \subseteq U_{n_i}$ and M_{n_i} extends to U_{n_i} . Let us first prove the theorem assuming that the sets (U_{n_i}) satisfy that

$$\limsup U_{n_i} = R_{=\infty}. \tag{4.2}$$

We then indicate how the sets (U_{n_i}) may be chosen so that the preceding condition holds.

Given the sets (U_{n_i}) as indicated above, let us use Lemma 2.3 to obtain sets A_{n_i} which are relatively closed in $R_{\geq n_i}$, such that

$$R_{\geq n_i} = \bigcup_{j \geq i} A_{n_j}^\circ,$$

and M_{n_i} extends to A_{n_i} . Let us set $A_0 = X$.

We let q_{n_i} be a continuous rank n_i projection defined on A_{n_i} such that, by restricting to $R_{=n_i}$, it gives a Hilbert module isomorphic to M_{n_i} . Let us produce a rank-ordered family $(p_{n_i}, A_{n_i})_{i=0}^\infty$, such that p_{n_i} is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q_{n_i} for each i . This will prove the proposition.

We obtain the p_{n_i} inductively, with i beginning at 0 and increasing. Let us set $p_0 = 0$. Given $p_0, \dots, p_{n_{i-1}}$, let us now construct p_{n_i} . The sets $A_{n_i} \cap A_{n_j}$ are relatively closed in A_{n_i} for $j < i$. Thus, by Lemma 4.5, we may find p_{n_i} which is Murray–von Neumann equivalent to q_{n_i} , and satisfies, for each $x \in A_{n_i}$,

$$p_{n_i}(x) \geq \bigvee_{j|x \in A_{n_i} \cap A_{n_j}} p_{n_j}(x).$$

This is exactly the compatibility requirement (v) for a rank-ordered family of projections.

It remains to show that the modules M_{n_i} may be extended to open sets U_{n_i} satisfying (4.2). For every i , let W_{n_i} be an open set such that $R_{=n_i} \subseteq W_{n_i} \subseteq R_{\geq n_i}$ and M_i extends to W_{n_i} . Let $\lambda_{n_i} : R_{\geq n_i} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that $\lambda_{n_i}|_{R_{=n_i}} = 1$ and $\lambda_{n_i}|_{(W_{n_i})^c} = 0$.

Consider the vector of functions

$$(\lambda_{n_1}|_{R_{\geq n_{d+1}}}, \lambda_{n_2}|_{R_{\geq n_{d+1}}}, \dots, \lambda_{n_{d+1}}),$$

where $d = \dim X < \infty$. This vector defines a continuous map from $R_{\geq n_{d+1}}$ to $[0, 1]^{d+1}$. Let $\epsilon \in C_0(R_{\geq n_{d+1}})^+$ be such that $0 < \epsilon(x) < 1/2$ for all $x \in R_{\geq n_{d+1}}$. Since $\dim R_{\geq n_{d+1}} \leq d$, by [13, Lemma 3.1] there are perturbations $\tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}$ of the functions $\lambda_{n_k}|_{R_{\geq n_{d+1}}}$, for $k = 1, \dots, d + 1$, such that

$$\left| \tilde{\lambda}_{n_k} - \lambda_{n_k}|_{R_{\geq n_{d+1}}} \right| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, d + 1,$$

and such that there is no $x \in R_{\geq n_{d+1}}$ for which $\tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}(x) = 1/2$ for all $k = 1, \dots, d + 1$. Note that since the function ϵ vanishes outside $R_{\geq n_{d+1}}$, the functions $\tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}$ extend continuously to $R_{\geq n_k}$, and agree with λ_{n_k} on $R_{\geq n_k} \setminus R_{\geq n_{d+1}}$, for $k = 1, \dots, d + 1$. We have

$$R_{=n_k} \subseteq \tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}^{-1}((\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \subseteq W_{n_k}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, d + 1$. Thus, the module M_{n_k} extends to $\tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}^{-1}((1/2, 1])$. For $k = 1, \dots, d + 1$, let us set

$$U_{n_k} = \tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2})) \cup \tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}^{-1}((\frac{1}{2}, 1]),$$

and extend M_{n_k} to U_{n_k} by setting it equal to an arbitrary module of constant dimension n_k on the set $\tilde{\lambda}_{n_k}^{-1}([0, 1/2))$. The open sets U_{n_k} obtained in this way satisfy that

$$R_{\geq n_{d+1}} \subseteq U_{n_1} \cup U_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{n_{d+1}}.$$

We continue finding the sets U_{n_k} , for $k = d + 2, \dots, 2(d + 1) + 1$, in the same way, and so on. The resulting sequence of open sets satisfies (4.2). \square

Theorem 4.3(ii) and Proposition 4.6 together form a computation of the isomorphism classes of countably generated Hilbert modules with a prescribed dimension function, when $\dim X \leq 3$ or when the dimension function has large gaps. In [9, Proposition 10], Dupré found this computation for the large gaps situation, under the condition that the dimension function is bounded. Our result improves Dupré’s most notably in that we also describe the conditions for embedding (in Theorem 4.3(i)).

5. Cuntz comparison of Hilbert modules

In [4] Coward, Elliott, and Ivanescu introduced a pre-order relation among Hilbert C*-modules in order to describe the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra using Hilbert C*-modules. Let us recall this relation here.

For a submodule F of a Hilbert module H , let us write $F \subseteq\subseteq H$ if there is $T \in K(H)^+$ such that $Tx = x$ for all $x \in F$ (in [4], this relation is denoted by $F \ll H$). The Cuntz comparison of Hilbert modules is defined as follows.

DEFINITION 3. Let M and N be Hilbert modules over a C*-algebra A . Then $M \preceq_{Cu} N$ if, for every $F \subseteq\subseteq M$, there is $F' \subseteq\subseteq N$ such that $F \cong F'$. We write $M \sim_{Cu} N$ if $M \preceq_{Cu} N$ and $N \preceq_{Cu} M$.

Embedding and isomorphism are stronger relations than the Cuntz comparison and equivalence (see Example 1). This weakening allows for more flexibility in the resulting comparison theory.

Let us now consider modules over a commutative C*-algebra. By the correspondence between countably generated Hilbert modules and pointwise range projections, we can apply the relations \preceq_{Cu} and \sim_{Cu} to pointwise range projections. For a pointwise range projection p lying below another pointwise range projection q , we write $p \subseteq\subseteq q$ if $M_p \subseteq\subseteq M_q$. Directly

expressed in terms of the projections p and q , we have $p \subseteq \subseteq q$ if there is $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ such that $ap = p$ and $qa = a$. We have that $p \preceq_{C_u} q$ if, for every $p' \subseteq \subseteq p$, there is q' such that $p' \cong q' \subseteq \subseteq q$.

LEMMA 5.1. *If p and q are pointwise range projections such that $p \subseteq \subseteq q$, then $R_{\geq i}(p) \subseteq \subseteq R_{\geq i}(q)$ for each $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K})^+$ be such that $ap = p$ and $aq = a$. We have $0 \neq p(x) \leq a(x)$ for $x \in R_{\geq 1}(p)$. Thus, $\|a(x)\| \geq 1$ on $R_{\geq 1}(p)$ and so $\overline{R_{\geq 1}(p)}$ is compact.

Let us show that $\overline{R_{\geq i}(p)} \subseteq \overline{R_{\geq i}(q)}$ for all $i \geq 1$ (since $\overline{R_{\geq 1}(p)}$ is compact, this suffices to complete the proof). Let $x \in \overline{R_{\geq i}(p)}$. Choose $y \in R_{\geq i}(p)$ such that $\|a(x) - a(y)\| < 1$. Then $\|a(x)p(y) - p(y)\| < 1$. Hence

$$i = \text{rank } p(y) \leq \text{rank}(a(x)p(y)) \leq \text{rank } a(x) \leq \text{rank } q(x).$$

Thus, $x \in R_{\geq i}(q)$. □

LEMMA 5.2. *If p and q are continuous projections, then $p \preceq_{C_u} q$ if and only if for any compact subset K of X we have $p|_K \preceq q|_K$.*

Proof. If $p \preceq_{C_u} q$, then this relation is passed on to the restrictions of p and q to any closed subset of X . We thus have $p|_K \preceq_{C_u} q|_K$ for any compact K . Since $p|_K \subseteq \subseteq p|_K$ (choose $a = p|_K$), we get that $p|_K \preceq q|_K$.

Suppose, on the other hand, that $p|_K \preceq q|_K$ for any compact K . Let p' be a pointwise range projection with $p' \subseteq \subseteq p$. Then $R_{\geq 1}(p') \subseteq \subseteq X$, and so

$$p'|_{R_{\geq 1}(p')} \leq p|_{R_{\geq 1}(p')} \preceq q|_{R_{\geq 1}(p')}.$$

Thus, $p' \preceq q' \subseteq \subseteq q$, where q' is the pointwise range projection equal to q on $R_{\geq 1}(p')$ and 0 on the complement of this set. □

The results of Section 4 have the following consequences for the Cuntz semigroup.

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let M and N be countably generated Hilbert modules over $C_0(X)$. Suppose that either $\dim M$ has gaps of at least $\dim X/2$ or $\dim X \leq 3$. Then $M \preceq_{C_u} N$ if and only if $\dim M \leq \dim N$ and*

$$M|_{R_{=i}(M) \cap R_{=j}(N)} \preceq_{C_u} N|_{R_{=i}(M) \cap R_{=j}(N)} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

REMARK 3. In view of Lemma 5.2, the condition (5.1) may be restated as $M|_K \preceq_{C_u} N|_K$ for any compact $K \subseteq R_{=i}(M) \cap R_{=j}(N)$.

Proof. It is clear that if $M \preceq_{C_u} N$, then $M|_Y \preceq_{C_u} N|_Y$ for any Y that is the intersection of a closed and open set.

For the converse, we may assume that $M = M_p$ and $N = M_q$ for some pointwise range projections p and q . If $p' \subseteq \subseteq p$, then by Lemma 5.1, we must have $R_{\geq i}(p') \subseteq \subseteq R_{\geq i}(p)$ for each i , and so $R_{=i}(p') \cap R_{=j}(q)$ is pre-compact in $R_{=i}(p) \cap R_{=j}(q)$. From this and Lemma 5.2,

we can verify that p' and q satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3(i), whence $p' \preceq q$. Since $p' \subseteq \subseteq p$ was arbitrary, this shows that $p \preceq_{Cu} q$. \square

The following example shows that the Cuntz equivalence and isomorphism differ even for continuous projections.

EXAMPLE 1. Let X be the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{T} \times [i, i + 1]$ modulo the identification of the point $(z, i + 1) \in \mathbb{T} \times [i, i + 1]$ with $(z^2, i + 1) \in \mathbb{T} \times [i + 1, i + 2]$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Let K_n be the image in this quotient of the set $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{T} \times [i, i + 1] \sqcup (\mathbb{T} \times [n, n + 1])$. It is shown in [11, Example 3F9] that while $H^2(K_n) = 0$ for all n , $H^2(X)$ is uncountable. By the correspondence between line bundles and elements of $H^2(X)$ (via the first Chern class, see [12, Theorem 3.4.16]), there are uncountably many non-isomorphic line bundles on X . These give rise to uncountably many Murray–von Neumann classes of continuous rank 1 projections on X . Let us show that they are all Cuntz equivalent. Let p and q be rank 1 continuous projections on X . Since $H^2(U_n) = 0$, we have $p \cong q$ on U_n for all n . Thus, $p \sim_{Cu} q$. Note that if p and q are continuous rank 1 projections and $p \not\cong q$, then we do not have $p \preceq q$ nor $q \preceq p$. Thus, in this case, the modules M_p and M_q do not embed in each other. This answers a question raised in [4, p. 162].

In [1, Section 4], two Hilbert modules (over a stably finite C*-algebra) are found that are Cuntz equivalent but not isomorphic, also showing how the Cuntz equivalence differs from isomorphism. However, unlike the present example the modules in [1] do embed into each other.

5.1. A description of $Cu(C_0(X))$ for $\dim X \leq 3$

Let us review the description of the Cuntz semigroup, $Cu(A)$, in terms of Hilbert A -modules, as given in [4]. Taking the equivalence classes of countably generated Hilbert A -modules under the relation \sim_{Cu} gives a set upon which \preceq_{Cu} induces an order. An addition operation may be defined by

$$[M] + [N] := [M \oplus N].$$

The resulting ordered semigroup is called the Cuntz semigroup, and is denoted by $Cu(A)$.

Here, we obtain a description of the Cuntz semigroup of $C_0(X)$, where X has dimension at most 3. We define an ordered semigroup $\widehat{Cu}(X)$ and show that it can be identified with $Cu(C_0(X))$.

Let us define an equivalence relation on continuous projections on X given by p is equivalent to q if $p|_K \cong q|_K$ for all $K \subseteq X$ compact. Let $V_c^n(X)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of projections which have constant rank n . Then by Lemma 5.2, when X is σ -compact or $n = 0$, $V_c^n(X)$ can be identified with the elements of $Cu(C_0(X))$ that have constant rank n .

Let $\widehat{Cu}(X)$ consist of pairs $(r, (\rho_i)_{i=0}^{\infty})$, where $r \in \text{Lsc}_{\sigma}(X)$ and $\rho_i \in V_c^i(r^{-1}\{i\})$ for each i .

To make $\widehat{Cu}(X)$ a semigroup, we shall define an order relation and an addition operation as follows. Let $(r, (\rho_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}), (r', (\rho'_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}) \in \widehat{Cu}(X)$.

Ordering: $(r, (\rho_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}) \leq (r', (\rho'_i)_{i=0}^{\infty})$ if $r \leq r'$ and for each i ,

$$\rho_i|_{r^{-1}\{i\} \cap r'^{-1}\{i\}} = \rho'_i|_{r^{-1}\{i\} \cap r'^{-1}\{i\}}.$$

Addition: $(r, (\rho_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}) + (r', (\rho'_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}) := (r + r', (\sigma_i)_{i=0}^{\infty})$; σ_i will be defined shortly. Note that $(r + r')^{-1}\{i\}$ decomposes into components as

$$(r + r')^{-1}\{i\} = (r^{-1}\{0\} \cap r'^{-1}\{i\}) \amalg \cdots \amalg (r^{-1}\{i\} \cap r'^{-1}\{0\}),$$

so that σ_i is determined by its restriction to each set $r^{-1}\{j\} \cap r'^{-1}\{i-j\}$. On $r^{-1}\{j\} \cap r'^{-1}\{i-j\}$, we have

$$\sigma_i = \rho_j + \rho'_{i-j}.$$

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space of dimension at most 3. Then $\mathcal{C}u(C_0(X))$ is isomorphic, as an ordered semigroup, to $\widehat{\mathcal{C}u}(X)$, via the map $\Phi : \mathcal{C}u(C_0(X)) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}u}(X)$ given by*

$$\Phi(\alpha) = (\text{rank } \alpha, (\alpha|_{R_{=i}(\alpha)})_{i=0}^\infty).$$

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}u(C_0(X))$, if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $\text{rank } \alpha \leq \text{rank } \beta$ and

$$\alpha|_{R_{=i}(\alpha) \cap R_{=i}(\beta)} \leq \beta|_{R_{=i}(\alpha) \cap R_{=i}(\beta)};$$

that is, representing $\alpha|_{R_{=i}(\alpha) \cap R_{=i}(\beta)}$ by the constant rank projection p and $\beta|_{R_{=i}(\alpha) \cap R_{=i}(\beta)}$ by p' , we have by Lemma 5.2 that $p|_K \preceq p'|_K$ for each $K \subseteq X$ compact; but since p, p' both have constant rank i , this implies that $p|_K \cong p'|_K$ for each such K , and thus

$$\alpha|_{R_{=i}(\alpha) \cap R_{=i}(\beta)} = \beta|_{R_{=i}(\alpha) \cap R_{=i}(\beta)}.$$

Hence, $\Phi(\alpha) \leq \Phi(\beta)$.

Conversely, if $\Phi(\alpha) \leq \Phi(\beta)$, then, by Corollary 5.3, we have $\alpha \leq \beta$.

To see that Φ is onto, let $(r, (\rho_i)_{i=0}^\infty) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}u}(X)$. Then, for each i , there exists a Hilbert $C_0(r^{-1}\{i\})$ -module M_i such that $[M_i] = \rho_i$. By Proposition 4.6, there exists a Hilbert module M such that $\dim M = r$ and $M|_{r^{-1}\{i\}} \cong M_i$. In particular, if α is the Cuntz element defined by this rank-ordered family, then $\Phi(\alpha) = (r, (\rho_i)_{i=0}^\infty)$. Hence, Φ is an order isomorphism.

Finally, it is clear by the definition of Φ that it preserves addition. \square

We have obtained a description of $\mathcal{C}u(C_0(X))$ in terms of the sets $V_c^n(Y)$ for the σ -compact subsets of X which arise as the intersection of a closed set with an open set. Note that, in turn, $V_c^n(Y)$ can be described with Čech cohomology of compact subsets. For a continuous projection p of constant rank n , by Lemma 3.1 we may decompose

$$p = \theta_p \oplus p',$$

where θ_p is trivial with rank $n - 1$ and p' has rank 1. For $K \subseteq Y$ compact, the isomorphism class of $p'|_K$ is determined by the Chern class $c_1(p'|_K) = c_1(p|_K) \in \check{H}^2(K)$ (using Čech cohomology [12, Theorem 16.3.4]). Thus, we see that for $[p], [q] \in V_c^n(Y)$, $[p] = [q]$ if and only if $c_1(p|_K) = c_1(q|_K)$ for all $K \subseteq Y$ compact. Letting

$$\overleftarrow{H}^2(Y) = \varprojlim_{K \text{ compact}, K \nearrow Y} \check{H}^2(K),$$

we apparently have an injective map $\overleftarrow{c}_1 : V_c^n(Y) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{H}^2(Y)$ given by $\overleftarrow{c}_1([p]) = (c_1(p|_K))_K$.

Moreover, \overleftarrow{c}_1 is surjective, as we now show. Let $(\gamma_K)_K \in \overleftarrow{H}^2(Y)$. Since Y is σ -compact and locally compact, let $(K_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of compact subsets such that $K_i \subseteq K_{i+1}^\circ$ and $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty K_i$. Since we can find a σ -compact open set V such that $K_{i-1} \subseteq V \subseteq K_i$, we may assume without loss of generality that K_i° is σ -compact for all i . Since the Chern class c_1 is surjective (by [12, Theorem 16.3.4]), for each i , let p_i be a continuous projection defined on K_i such that $\gamma_{K_i} = c_1(p_i)$. Let $q_i \in \text{RP}_{\text{p.w.}}(Y)$ be given by $q_i|_{K_i^\circ} = p_i|_{K_i^\circ}$ and $q_i|_{Y \setminus K_i^\circ} = 0$. We can easily see that $q_i \preceq_{\mathcal{C}u} q_{i+1}$, and so by [4, Theorem 1(i)], we may define

$$\alpha = \sup[q_i] \in \mathcal{C}u(C_0(Y)).$$

Then, for each i , by taking the tail $([q_j])_{j>i}$, we see that $\alpha|_{K_i} = \sup[p_i] = [p_i]$. Thus, α has constant rank i and, since (K_i) is cofinal, $\overset{\vee}{c}_1(\alpha) = (\gamma_K)_K$.

6. Further remarks

6.1. The clutching construction

By Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 5.3 the isomorphism and Cuntz equivalence classes of a Hilbert module over a space of dimension at most 3 are determined by the restrictions of the module to the subsets where its dimension is constant. Here we give an example of Hilbert modules over S^4 for which this fails. The example is based on the clutching construction given by Dupré in [9, p. 319].

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Let SX denote its suspension. We view SX as the quotient space of $X \times [-1, 1]$ obtained identifying all the points in $X \times \{-1\}$ and the points in $X \times \{1\}$ (see [12]). When speaking of subsets of SX , we use the notation $X \times_{\sim} U$, with $U \subseteq [-1, 1]$, to refer to the image of $X \times U$ in the quotient.

Consider a Hilbert $C(SX)$ -module M with dimension n on $X \times_{\sim} [-1, 0]$ and dimension m on $X \times_{\sim} (0, 1]$. Let $M \cong M_p$ for some range projection p and let $(p_1, A_1), (p_2, A_2)$ be a rank-ordered family of projections for p , so that p_1 and p_2 have ranks m and n , respectively. Necessarily, $A_2 = X \times_{\sim} (0, 1]$, and by a possible shrinking, we may assume $A_1 = X \times_{\sim} [-1, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Since the sets $X \times_{\sim} [-1, \epsilon)$ and $X \times_{\sim} (0, 1]$ are contractible, it follows that p_1 and p_2 are trivial on these sets; that is, there are partial isometries v_1 and v_2 such that $p_1 = v_1^*v_1$, $v_1v_1^* = 1_n$, $p_2 = v_2^*v_2$, and $v_2v_2^* = 1_m$. Consider the continuous partial isometry $c_{v_1, v_2, \epsilon_0} \in M_m(C(X))$ given by

$$c_{v_1, v_2, \epsilon_0}(x) = v_2(x, \epsilon_0)v_1^*(x, \epsilon_0),$$

where $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$. Note that $c_{v_1, v_2, \epsilon_0}^*c_{v_1, v_2, \epsilon_0} = 1_n$. Let us denote by $U_{n, m}(C(X))$ the set of partial isometries $c \in M_m(C(X))$ such that $c^*c = 1_n$.

PROPOSITION 6.1 [9, Section 4, Corollary 2]. *The map $[M_p] \mapsto [c_{v_1, v_2, \epsilon_0}]$ is a well-defined bijection from the isomorphism classes of Hilbert $C(SX)$ -modules with dimension n on $X \times_{\sim} [-1, 0]$, and dimension m on $X \times_{\sim} (0, 1]$, to the path connected components of $U_{n, m}(C(X))$.*

EXAMPLE 2. Say $X = S^3$. Then $SX = S^4$. Let S^4_+ denote an open hemisphere of S^4 and S^4_- its complement. By the previous proposition, the isomorphism classes of Hilbert modules on S^4 that have constant rank 1 on S^4_- and constant rank 2 on S^4_+ are in bijection with the homotopy classes of partial isometries $c \in I_{1, 2}(C(S^3))$. For every $x \in S^3$, the elements $c(x) \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $c^*(x)c(x) = 1_1$ correspond to the points in the unit sphere of \mathbb{C}^2 , that is, S^3 . Thus, the partial isometry c may be viewed as a map from S^3 to S^3 . Such a map is classified, up to homotopy, by its degree. Thus, there is one isomorphism class for every integer. Note, on the other hand, that the modules corresponding to these isomorphism classes all satisfy that their restrictions to S^4_- and S^4_+ , that is, the sets where their dimension is constant, are pairwise isomorphic (since the hemispheres of the sphere are contractible).

In the next proposition we show that, for the Hilbert modules covered by Proposition 6.1, the Cuntz equivalence agrees with isomorphism (and so, Example 2 shows that the Cuntz class of a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module may not be determined by its restrictions to the sets of constant dimension if $\dim X \geq 4$).

PROPOSITION 6.2. *The homotopy class of c_{v_1, v_2, ϵ_0} depends only on the Cuntz class of $M_{p_1 \vee p_2}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $p_1 \vee p_2 \sim_{Cu} q$ for some projection $q \in \text{RP}_{p.w.}(SX)$, and q has rank n on $X \times_{\sim} [-1, 0]$ and rank m on $X \times_{\sim} (0, 1]$. Then, for $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$, there is a rank-ordered family of partial isometries

$$(z_1, X \times_{\sim} [-1, \epsilon]), (z_2, X \times_{\sim} (\epsilon', 1])$$

such that $z_1^* z_1 = p_1$ and $z_1 z_1^* \leq q$ on $X \times_{\sim} [-1, \epsilon]$, and $z_2^* z_2 = p_2$ and $z_2 z_2^* = q$ on $X \times_{\sim} (\epsilon', 1]$. Set $z_1 z_1^* = q_1$ and $q|_{X \times_{\sim} (0, 1]} = q_2$. Then

$$(q_1, X \times_{\sim} [-1, \epsilon]), (q_2, X \times_{\sim} (0, 1])$$

is a rank-ordered family for q . Let w_1 and w_2 be trivializations for q_1 and q_2 , respectively. Choose $\epsilon_0 \in (\epsilon', \epsilon)$. Set $z_1 w_1 = v'_1$ and $z_2 w_2 = v'_2$. We have

$$c_{w_1, w_2, \epsilon_0}(x) = (w_2^* w_1)(x, \epsilon_0) = (v'_2 (v'_1)^*)(x, \epsilon_0) = c_{v'_1, v'_2, \epsilon_0}(x).$$

The partial isometries v'_1 and v'_2 are trivializations for p_1 and p_2 on the sets $X \times_{\sim} [-1, \epsilon]$ and $X \times_{\sim} (\epsilon', 1]$ respectively. Suppose that v_1 and v_2 are any trivializations for p_1 and p_2 , respectively. The unitaries $(v'_1 v_1^*)(\cdot, \epsilon_0) \in M_n(C(X))$ and $(v_2 (v'_2)^*)(\cdot, \epsilon_0) \in M_m(C(X))$ are connected to constant unitaries (on X) by the paths $t \mapsto (v'_1 v_1^*)(\cdot, t)$, with $t \in [-1, \epsilon_0]$, and $t \mapsto (v_2 (v'_2)^*)(\cdot, t)$, with $t \in [\epsilon_0, 1]$. These constant unitaries are in turn connected to 1_n and 1_m , respectively. Thus, $c_{v'_1, v'_2, \epsilon_0}$ is homotopic to c_{v_1, v_2, ϵ_0} , as required. \square

6.2. The group $K_0^*(C_0(X))$

It is in [5] that Cuntz laid the groundwork for what would later be called the Cuntz semigroup. The invariant that interested Cuntz there (and which he denoted by $K_0^*(A)$) is the enveloping group of the unstabilized Cuntz semigroup, generated by the unstabilized Cuntz semigroup in the same way that $K_0(A)$ is generated by the Murray–von Neumann semigroup of A . (The unstabilized Cuntz semigroup is the subsemigroup of $Cu(A)$ containing only those elements that can be represented by finitely generated submodules of A^n for some n ; it is denoted by $W(A)$. The terminology ‘unstabilized’ is justified by the fact that $Cu(A) = W(\mathcal{K} \otimes A)$.)

Here, we find a description of $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ for finite-dimensional X . It turns out that introducing cancellation destroys both types of non-triviality that we have seen: that arising from non-trivial constant rank projections, and the more subtle non-triviality in how the constant rank pieces fit together, as seen in Example 2.

Following [7, Section 5], we call a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module M elementary if it is isomorphic to one of the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_0(U_i),$$

for some (σ -compact) open sets U_i . If U and V are σ -compact open sets, then

$$C_0(U) \oplus C_0(V) \cong C_0(U \cup V) \oplus C_0(U \cap V),$$

by [19, Corollary 2]. For a general elementary Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module M , repeated application of this result shows that

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_0(R_{\geq i}(M)).$$

Thus, the isomorphism class of an elementary Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module M depends only on the function $\dim M$.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let M be a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module such that $\dim M$ is bounded. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *For all i , $M|_{R_{=i}(M)}$ has finite type (as a vector bundle).*
- (ii) *There exists an elementary module N such that $M \oplus N$ is also elementary.*

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) If $M \oplus N$ is elementary, then in particular, it can be embedded into $C_0(X)^{\oplus n}$ for some n . It follows that $M|_{R_{=i}(M)}$ embeds into a trivial bundle for each i . By [12, Proposition 3.5.8], this shows that $M|_{R_{=i}(M)}$ has finite type.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $M|_{R_{=i}(M)}$ has finite type for all i , there are finitely many open sets covering $R_{=i}(M)$ such the restriction to each of these sets is a trivial vector bundle. To begin, we shall construct N_0 such that each constant rank set of N_0 (and in fact, of $M \oplus N_0$) is contained in a set where M is trivial.

To do this, let V_1, \dots, V_{n_1} be open sets, contained in $R_{\geq 1}$, such that $M|_{R_{=1} \cap V_i}$ is trivial for each $i = 1, \dots, n_1$. Likewise, let $V_{n_{k-1}+1}, \dots, V_{n_k}$ be open sets contained in $R_{\geq k}$ such that $M|_{R_{=k} \cap V_i}$ is trivial for each $i = n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_k$. Letting m to be the maximum dimension of the fibres of M , set

$$N_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_m} C_0(V_i).$$

The constant rank sets of $N_0 \oplus C$ are exactly the same as those of C , and each is contained in some set $V_i \cap R_{=k}(M)$, for some i between $n_{k-1} + 1$ and n_k . Thus, on each constant rank set, $M \oplus N_0$ corresponds to a trivial vector bundle. Since N_0 is elementary, we have shown that we can reduce to the situation that the restriction of each constant rank set of M is trivial.

Assuming that the restriction of M to each constant rank set is trivial, let us show by induction on the maximum fibre dimension of M that there exists an elementary module N such that $M \oplus N$ is also elementary. Of course, if M only has fibres of dimension 0, then $M = 0$.

For the inductive step, suppose that $\dim M$ is bounded by m . By induction, there exists an elementary $C_0(R_{\leq m-1}(M))$ -module N_0 such that $M|_{R_{\leq m-1}(M)} \oplus N_0$ is elementary. Let M' be the elementary Hilbert module whose dimension function is the same as that of $M \oplus N_0$. Since $M'|_{R_{\leq m}(M)} \cong (M \oplus N_0)|_{R_{\leq m}(M)}$, [19, Proposition 1] shows that

$$M' \oplus (M \oplus N_0)|_{R_{=m}(M)} \cong M \oplus N_0 \oplus M'|_{R_{=m}(M)}.$$

The left-hand side is elementary, as is the right-hand summand $N_0 \oplus M'|_{R_{=m}(M)}$, which we may take as N . □

REMARK 4. When X is finite-dimensional, all Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules with bounded fibre dimension satisfy condition (i) of Lemma 6.3 (this follows from [12, Proposition 3.5.8]).

THEOREM 6.4. *Let X be a finite-dimensional locally compact Hausdorff space and let \hat{X} be its one-point compactification. Then $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ may be identified with the group of bounded maps $f : \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $f(\infty) = 0$ and for which $f^{-1}(\{i\})$ is the difference of two σ -compact open sets for all i . If X is σ -compact, then $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ may simply be identified with the group of bounded maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for which $f^{-1}(\{i\})$ is the difference of two σ -compact open sets for all i .*

Proof. For finitely generated Hilbert modules M and M' , we have that $[M] = [M']$ in $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ if and only if $M \oplus N \sim_{Cu} M' \oplus N$ for some finitely generated Hilbert module N . Clearly, this can only happen if $\dim M = \dim M'$, and Lemma 6.3 shows that $\dim M = \dim M'$

is sufficient. Hence, $K_0^*(C_0(X))$ can be identified with the group generated by functions $\dim M$, where M is a finitely generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module. Such functions are exactly the bounded, lower semicontinuous maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for which $f^{-1}(\{i, i + 1, \dots\})$ is open and σ -compact for all $i \geq 1$. In particular, such f satisfies the condition that $f^{-1}(\{i\})$ is the difference of two σ -compact open sets for all $i \geq 1$. Moreover, if we view f as a function on \hat{X} by setting $f(\infty) = 0$, then $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is also the difference of two σ -compact open sets. Thus, f is a function as in the statement above.

Let us now check that the set of functions described forms a group, that is, it is closed under addition. Suppose that for $t = 1, 2$, we have $f_t : \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_t^{-1}(\{i\})$ is the difference of two σ -compact open sets, and that both functions are bounded between $-K$ and K . Then, for each i ,

$$(f_1 + f_2)^{-1}(\{i\}) = \bigcup_{j=-K}^K f_1^{-1}(\{j\}) \cap f_2^{-1}(\{i - j\}).$$

The family of σ -compact open sets is closed under finite intersections and unions, and thus so is the family of sets which are the difference of two σ -compact open sets. Hence, $(f_1 + f_2)^{-1}(\{i\})$ is the difference of two σ -compact open sets, so that $f_1 + f_2$ does lie in the set described.

Finally, let us show that every function described does occur in $K_0^*(C_0(X))$. For this, it suffices to show that, for every set Y , which is the difference of two σ -compact open sets, χ_Y occurs as $\dim M - \dim N$ for some countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules M and N . This is clear, since if $Y = U \setminus V$, where U and V are σ -compact and open, then $M = C_0(U)$ and $N = C_0(U \cap V)$ will work. \square

6.3. An absorption theorem

In this section, we shall prove the following.

THEOREM 6.5. *Let U be a σ -compact open subset of X and let M be a countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module. Suppose that $M|_U \cong \ell_2(U)$. Then $M \cong M \oplus \ell_2(U)$.*

Before proving the theorem, we need two simple lemmas.

LEMMA 6.6. *Let M be a countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module, and let U and V be σ -compact open sets with V compactly contained in U . If F is a submodule of $MC_0(V)$ that is a direct summand of $MC_0(U)$, then F is a direct summand of M .*

Proof. Let us show that every $m \in M$ decomposes into the sum of one element in F and one in F^\perp . Let $\lambda \in C_0(U)$ be such that $\lambda(x) = 1$ on V . Then $m = m(1 - \lambda) + m\lambda$. The first summand is orthogonal to $HC_0(V)$, whence belongs to F^\perp . The second summand belongs to $HC_0(U)$ and, since F is complemented in $HC_0(U)$, decomposes into the sum of an element in F and one in F^\perp . \square

LEMMA 6.7. *Let M be a countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -module, let $(M_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pairwise orthogonal, countably generated Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -modules, such that $M_i + M_i^\perp = M$ for all i . Suppose that, for a sequence of generators $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of M , we have that the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \xi_i^k$ is convergent for all i , where ξ_i^k denotes the projection of ξ_i onto M_k . Then the submodule $\sum_{k=1}^\infty M_k$ is a direct summand of M .*

Proof. It is easily verified that the vector $\xi_i - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_i^k$ is orthogonal to M_k for all k . Thus, $\xi_i - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_i^k$ is orthogonal to $\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k}$. This shows that each of the vectors ξ_i can be decomposed in a sum of an element in $\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k}$ and one orthogonal to $\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k}$. Taking linear combinations and passing to limits, we get the same for all the vectors of M . \square

Proof of Theorem 6.5. It is enough to show that $\ell_2(U)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of M , for if $M \cong M' \oplus \ell_2(U)$, then adding $\ell_2(U)$ on both sides, we get $M \oplus \ell_2(U) \cong M' \oplus \ell_2(U) \oplus \ell_2(U) \cong M' \oplus \ell_2(U) \cong M$.

Let $(V_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of open sets compactly contained in U and such that $U = \bigcup_i V_i$. Let $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of generators of M . We modify these generators as follows: define $\tilde{\xi}_i = \xi_i(1 - \lambda_i)$, where $\lambda_i \in C_0(U)$ is equal to 1 on V_i . The new vectors $\tilde{\xi}_i$ satisfy that $\tilde{\xi}_j \perp MC_0(V_i)$ if $i \leq j$, and M is spanned by $\{\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\xi}_2, \dots\} \cup MC_0(U)$.

Let us identify $MC_0(U)$ with $\ell_2(U)$. We have that $\ell_2(U) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell_2(V_i)$. Note that, by Lemma 6.6, each of the modules $\ell_2(V_i)$ is complemented in M . Choose an open set V_i . Consider the orthogonal projections of the vectors $(\tilde{\xi}_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ onto $\ell_2(V_i)$. Only a finite number of them are non-zero. By further decomposing $\ell_2(V_i)$ into a countable sum of submodules, all isomorphic to $\ell_2(V_i)$, we can choose one of those summands such that the projections of all the vectors $(\tilde{\xi}_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ onto that summand have norm at most $1/2^i$ (and only finitely many are non-zero). Denote this submodule by M_i . Performing this construction for every i , we obtain a sequence of submodules $(M_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $MC_0(U)$, such that $M_i \cong \ell_2(V_i)$ and M_i is complemented in M for all i , and the series $\sum_i \tilde{\xi}_j^i$ of projections of the vectors ξ_j onto the M_i , is convergent for all j . This is also true for all the vectors in $MC_0(U)$, since by construction $\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i}$ is complemented in $MC_0(U)$. It follows by Lemma 6.7 that $\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i}$ is complemented in M . Since $M_i \cong \ell_2(V_i)$ for all i , we have $\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i} \cong \ell_2(U)$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 6.8. *Let M and N be $C_0(X)$ -modules such that $M|_U \cong N|_U \cong \ell_2(U)$ and let $\phi : M|_{X \setminus U} \rightarrow N|_{X \setminus U}$ be an isomorphism of Hilbert modules. Then there is $\psi : M \rightarrow N$, isomorphism of Hilbert modules, such that $\psi|_{X \setminus U} = \phi$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.5, we have $M = M' \oplus L$, where $L \cong \ell_2(U)$. It follows that the isomorphism

$$M = M' \oplus L \cong M' \oplus L \oplus \ell_2(U) = M \oplus \ell_2(U)$$

fixes $M|_{X \setminus U}$.

By [3, Theorem 2], we have an isomorphism

$$\psi' : M \oplus \ell_2(U) \longrightarrow N \oplus \ell_2(U),$$

such that $\psi'|_{X \setminus U} = \phi$. Combining this with isomorphisms, which fix $M|_{X \setminus U}$ and $N|_{X \setminus U}$, gives

$$\psi : M \longrightarrow M \oplus \ell_2(U) \longrightarrow N \oplus \ell_2(U) \longrightarrow N$$

such that $\psi|_{X \setminus U} = \phi$. \square

REMARK 5. By [6, Théorème 5], whenever X has finite dimension, the condition $M|_U \cong \ell_2(U)$ is the same as $\dim M|_U = \infty$. However, by [6, Corollaire 1 after Théorème 6], this is not the case when X has infinite dimension. This last corollary confirms [8, Conjecture 1] in the case where A is closed. It also generalizes [9, Proposition 12] in two ways: first, it drops the restriction that $\dim M$ has finite range; second, it is the best possible generalization to the situation that X is not finite-dimensional (there, we must require that $M|_U \cong \ell_2(U)$ and not simply that $\dim M|_U = \infty$).

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Andrew Toms for discussions, which were helpful toward the preparation of this paper.

References

1. N. P. BROWN and A. CIUPERCA, ‘Isomorphism of Hilbert modules over stably finite C^* -algebras’, *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009) 332–339.
2. A. CIUPERCA and G. A. ELLIOTT, ‘A remark on invariants for C^* -algebras of stable rank one’, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 33 (2008) Art. ID rnm 158.
3. A. CIUPERCA, L. ROBERT and L. SANTIAGO, ‘Cuntz semigroups of ideals and quotients and a generalized Kasparov stabilization theorem’, *J. Operator Theory*, to appear. Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.5800>.
4. K. T. COWARD, G. A. ELLIOTT and C. IVANESCU, ‘The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras’, *J. reine angew. Math.* 623 (2008) 161–193.
5. J. CUNTZ, ‘Dimension functions on simple C^* -algebras’, *Math. Ann.* 233 (1978) 145–153.
6. J. DIXMIER and A. DOUADY, ‘Champs continus d’espaces hilbertiens et de C^* -algèbres’, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 91 (1963) 227–284.
7. M. J. DUPRÉ, ‘Classifying Hilbert bundles’, *J. Funct. Anal.* 15 (1974) 244–278.
8. M. J. DUPRÉ, ‘Hilbert bundles with infinite dimensional fibres’, *Recent advances in the representation theory of rings and C^* -algebras by continuous sections*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 148 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1974).
9. M. J. DUPRÉ, ‘Classifying Hilbert bundles. II’, *J. Funct. Anal.* 22 (1976) 295–322.
10. G. A. ELLIOTT and A. S. TOMS, ‘Regularity properties in the classification program for separable amenable C^* -algebras’, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 45 (2008) 229–245.
11. A. HATCHER, *Algebraic topology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
12. D. HUSEMOLLER, *Fibre bundles*, 3rd edn, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 20 (Springer, New York, 1994).
13. B. JACOB, ‘On perturbations of continuous maps’, *Canad. J. Math.*, to appear.
14. E. C. LANCE, ‘A toolkit for operator algebraists’, *Hilbert C^* -modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
15. Q. LIN and N. C. PHILLIPS, ‘The structure of C^* -algebras of minimal diffeomorphisms’, in preparation.
16. F. PERERA and A. S. TOMS, ‘Recasting the Elliott conjecture’, *Math. Ann.* 338 (2007) 669–702.
17. N. C. PHILLIPS, ‘Cancellation and stable rank for direct limits of recursive subhomogeneous algebras’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007) 4625–4652 (electronic).
18. N. C. PHILLIPS, ‘Recursive subhomogeneous algebras’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007) 4595–4623 (electronic).
19. L. ROBERT, ‘The Cuntz semigroup of some spaces of dimension at most 2’, Preprint, 2007, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0711.4396>.
20. A. TAKAHASHI, ‘A duality between Hilbert modules and fields of Hilbert spaces’, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* 13 (1979) 93–120.
21. A. S. TOMS, ‘On the classification problem for nuclear C^* -algebras’, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 167 (2008) 1029–1044.
22. A. S. TOMS, ‘Comparison theory and smooth minimal C^* -dynamics’, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 289 (2009) 401–433.

Leonel Robert
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 York University
 4700 Keele Street
 Toronto, ON
 Canada M3J 1P3

lrobert@mathstat.yorku.ca

Aaron Tikuisis
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Toronto
 40 St. George Street
 Toronto, ON
 Canada M5S 2E4

aptikuis@math.toronto.edu