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Chaos, fractals, and dynamics MAT 335, Winter 2019

## Term test 2

Rough range:

- Up to week 5 notes.
- Up to homework 3.


### 1.2 A warm-up for the lower range

Textbook references:

- Chapter 7 of $A$ First Course in Chaotic Dynamical Systems
- Section 1.5 of An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems


### 1.2.1 The $V$ map

Consider the dynamical map $V(x)=3|x|-2$ on the state space $\mathbb{R}$. This map is very similar to $Q_{c}$ with $c \in(-\infty,-2]$, but can be understood much more concretely.

The graph of $V$ looks a lot like the graph of $Q_{c}$. It touches the diagonal twice, at the points $p_{-}=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $p_{+}=1$. It pokes out below the bottom of the $\left[-p_{+}, p_{+}\right]$box.



### 1.2.2 The filled Julia set of the $V$ map

Let's say $K$ is the filled Julia set of $V$. We can carve out $K$ from $\mathbb{R}$ in the same way that we carved out $K_{c}$. We start by defining $L_{0}$ as $\mathbb{R} \backslash\left[-p_{+}, p_{+}\right]$. The points that enter $L_{0}$ after $n$ steps, but not before, form a subset $L_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}$. You can see $L_{0}$ and a graphical calculation of $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ in the slides, and the pictures below.


The reason we can understand $V$ more concretely than $Q_{c}$ is that, when we're carving out $K$, we can find simple, concrete descriptions of the sets $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, \ldots$. The set $L_{n+1}$ consists of the middle thirds of the intervals that remain when you remove $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n}$ from $\mathbb{R}$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0}=(-\infty,-1) \cup(1, \infty) \\
& L_{1}=\left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& L_{2}=\left(-\frac{7}{9},-\frac{5}{9}\right) \cup\left(\frac{5}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right) \\
& L_{3}=\left(-\frac{25}{27},-\frac{23}{27}\right) \cup\left(-\frac{13}{27},-\frac{11}{27}\right) \cup\left(\frac{11}{27}, \frac{13}{27}\right) \cup\left(\frac{23}{27}, \frac{25}{27}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.2.3 An itinerary function for the $V$ map

Now that we know what $K$ looks like, our next goal is to find a semiconjugacy from the shift map to $V: K \rightarrow K$. We'll do this using the idea of an itinerary function, which you met in homework 3 (problem 2).

Removing $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ from $\mathbb{R}$ leaves two intervals. Let's call the left one $I_{0}$ and the right one $I_{1}$, as shown in the slides. The set $K$ divides naturally into two parts: the part inside
$I_{0}$ and the part inside $I_{1}$. Define a function $\tau: K \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ in the following way.

$$
\text { the } n \text {th digit of } \tau(x) \text { is } \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } V^{n}(x) \in I_{0} \\ 1 & \text { if } V^{n}(x) \in I_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Like we did in the homework, we'll call the starting digit of a sequence the 0th digit, and we'll use the convention that $V^{0}(x)=x$. The function $\tau$ is an example of an itinerary function [see homework 3, problem 2, for another]. Intuitively, the sequence $\tau(x)$ tells you when the orbit of $x$ visits the left and right parts of $K$.

I'd like to convince you that $\tau$ is a semiconjugacy from $V: K \rightarrow K$ to the shift map. Furthermore, $\tau$ is invertible, and its inverse is a semiconjugacy too. In other words, $\tau$ is a conjugacy-that's a term we learned from homework 3. As I said in the homework, two dynamical systems connected by a conjugacy are the same for all practical purposes. So, if we can convince ourselves that $\tau$ is a conjugacy, we'll understand $V: K \rightarrow K$ just as well as we understand the shift map - and we understand the shift map very well.

### 1.2.4 Dividing up the filled Julia set

There are lots of steps involved in showing that $\tau$ is a conjugacy, but they all rest on one key trick: dividing $K$ into pieces according to the first few digits of the itinerary.

Removing $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ from $\mathbb{R}$ left us with the two intervals $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$. Each one maps to $\left[-p_{+}, p_{+}\right]$when you apply $V$. Removing $L_{2}$ divides each of the intervals $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ into two "second-level" intervals. We can name them according to what happens to them when you apply $V$.

| The 1st half of | $I_{0}$ | maps to | $I_{1}$, | so we call it | $I_{01}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The 2nd half of | $I_{0}$ | maps to | $I_{0}$, | so we call it | $I_{00}$. |
| The 1st half of | $I_{1}$ | maps to | $I_{0}$, | so we call it | $I_{10}$ |
| The 2d half of | $I_{1}$ | maps to | $I_{0}$, | so we call it | $I_{11}$. |

Removing $L_{3}$ divides each of the second-level intervals into two "third-level" intervals. We can name them in a similar way.

| The 1st quarter of | $I_{0}$ | maps to | $I_{11}$, | so we call it | $I_{011}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The 2nd quarter of | $I_{0}$ | maps to | $I_{10}$, | so we call it | $I_{010}$. |
| The 3rd quarter of | $I_{0}$ | maps to | $I_{00}$, | so we call it | $I_{000}$. |
| The 4th quarter of | $I_{0}$ | maps to | $I_{01}$, | so we call it | $I_{001}$. |
| The 1st quarter of | $I_{1}$ | maps to | $I_{01}$, | so we call it | $I_{101}$. |
| The 2nd quarter of | $I_{1}$ | maps to | $I_{00}$, | so we call it | $I_{100}$. |
| The 3rd quarter of | $I_{1}$ | maps to | $I_{10}$, | so we call it | $I_{110}$. |
| The 4th quarter of | $I_{1}$ | maps to | $I_{11}$, | so we call it | $I_{111}$. |

If you know which $n$ th-level interval a point $x \in K$ is inside, you know the first $n$ digits of $\tau(x)$. For example,

- $\frac{9}{26}$ is inside $I_{1}$, so $\tau\left(\frac{9}{26}\right)$ looks like 1
- $\frac{9}{26}$ is inside $I_{10}$, so $\tau\left(\frac{9}{26}\right)$ looks like 10
- $\frac{9}{26}$ is inside $I_{101}$, so $\tau\left(\frac{9}{26}\right)$ looks like 101

Each $n$ th-level interval has width $2 / 3^{n}$.

### 1.2.5 Our itinerary function is a conjugacy

I'd like to convince you that $\tau$ is a conjugacy from $V: K \rightarrow K$ to the shift map $S: \mathbf{2}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Instead of giving you a full justification, I'll give you a sketch that illustrates the basic ideas. Then, if you talk about it with your classmates, you should be able to come up with a full justification on your own.

First, I have to show $\tau$ is a semiconjugacy from $V$ to $S$. That means I have to convince you $\tau$ has the following properties.

- Desired property. We can find out what $S$ does to $\tau(x)$ by looking at what $V$ does to $x$. In symbols,

$$
S(\tau(x))=\tau(V(x)) \quad \text { for all } x \in K
$$

Justification. To show that the binary sequences $S(\tau(x))$ and $\tau(V(x))$ are equal, we just have to show that all their digits match. We can write the digits of $S(\tau(x))$ in terms of the digits of $\tau(x)$ using the definition of the shift map:

$$
\text { the } n \text {th digit of } S(\tau(x)) \text { is the }(n+1) \text { st digit of } \tau(x) \text {. }
$$

Let's see if we can get the same expression for the digits of $\tau(V(x))$. We'll start from the definition of $\tau$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { the } n \text {th digit of } \tau(V(x)) \text { is } \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } V^{n}(V(x)) \in I_{0} \\
1 & \text { if } V^{n}(V(x)) \in I_{1}\end{cases} \\
& \text { which is } \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } V^{n+1}(x) \in I_{0} \\
1 & \text { if } V^{n+1}(x) \in I_{1}\end{cases} \\
& \text { which is the }(n+1) \text { st digit of } \tau(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It's now apparent that all the digits of $S(\tau(x))$ and $\tau(V(x))$ match, so $S(\tau(x))=$ $\tau(V(x))$. This conclusion holds for every $x \in K$, because our argument didn't make any assumptions about the value of $x$.

- Desired property. The function $\tau$ is continuous.

Idea for justification. Let me convince you that $\tau$ is continuous at $\frac{9}{26}$. I need to show that I can keep $\tau(x)$ within any "target" open ball around $\overline{10}$ by keeping $x$ close enough to $\frac{9}{26}$.
The open ball $B_{\overline{10}}\left(2^{-n}\right)$ is the set of sequences which match $\overline{10}$ for the first $n+1$ digits.

I can keep $\tau(x)$ within |  | by keeping $x$ in | $I_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | $B_{\overline{10}}\left(2^{0}\right)$ |  |
| $B_{\overline{10}}\left(2^{1}\right)$ |  | $I_{10}$ |
|  | $B_{\overline{10}}\left(2^{2}\right)$ | $I_{101}$ |
|  | $B_{\overline{10}}\left(2^{3}\right)$ | $I_{1010}$ |

For each row of the table, I can find a ball around $\frac{9}{26}$ that stays within the listed interval by looking at the endpoints of the interval.

- Desired property. Every point in $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has a label in $K$. In other words, $\tau$ is onto.

Idea for justification. We need to show that every infinite binary sequence is the itinerary of some point in $K$. As an example, let's find a point whose itinerary is $\overline{10}$. Any point which is inside all of the intervals $I_{1}, I_{10}, I_{101}, I_{1010}, \ldots$ will have the itinerary we want. Using graphical analysis to work out where these intervals are, we can express their endpoints concretely.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right] \\
I_{10} & =\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{9}\right] \\
I_{101} & =\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{10}{27}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using some basic facts about limits, it's possible to find a point that's inside all these intervals. ${ }^{1}$

- Desired property. Each point in $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has a limited number of labels in $K$. In other words, $\tau$ is at most $m$-to-one, for some $m$.
Idea for justification. It turns out that $\tau$ is one-to-one: there's only one point with each itinerary.
As an example, let me convince you that there's only one point with the itinerary $\overline{10}$. Suppose I tell you $\tau(x)=\overline{10}$.


As we go down the list, the "wiggle room" in the right column shrinks toward zero, telling us that $\tau(x)$ completely determines $x$.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The key fact is the "monotone convergence theorem," which you may have learned in a calculus course. The lower endpoints of the intervals form a sequence which is always increasing or standing still, but never goes above a certain level. The monotone convergence theorem says a sequence like this always has a limit, $a$. The upper endpoints form a sequence which is always decreasing or standing still, but never goes below a certain level. The monotone convergence theorem says a sequence like this always has a limit, $b$. Any point in $[a, b]$ will be in all the intervals.

